AS FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ON APRIL 16, 1997 REGISTRATION STATEMENT NO. 333-SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM S-11 REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 OF SECURITIES OF CERTAIN REAL ESTATE COMPANIES BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC. (EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS GOVERNING INSTRUMENTS) 8 ARLINGTON STREET BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02116 (617) 859-2600 (ADDRESS OF PRINCÍPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES) MORTIMER B. ZUCKERMAN, CHAIRMAN AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER EDWARD H. LINDE, PRESIDENT AND CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC. 8 ARLINGTON STREET BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02116 (617) 859-2600 (NAME AND ADDRESS OF AGENT FOR SERVICE) COPIES TO: WALLACE L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, GILBERT G. MENNA, P.C. GOODWIN, PROCTER & HOAR LLP EXCHANGE PLACE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02109 919 THIRD AVENUE (617) 570-1000 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 735-3000 APPROXIMATE DATE OF COMMENCEMENT OF THE PROPOSED SALE OF THE SECURITIES TO THE PUBLIC: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes -----If this form is filed to register additional securities for an offering  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left($ pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. [\_] \_\_\_\_\_ If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. [\_] If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. [\_] CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE TITLE OF SECURITIES PROPOSED MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF BEING REGISTERED AGGREGATE OFFERING PRICE(1) REGISTRATION FEE

Common Stock, \$.01 par

\$848,125,000

\$257 008

\_\_\_\_\_\_

(1) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee in accordance with Rule 457 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

(2) This Registration Statement also relates to Rights to purchase shares of Series A Preferred Stock of the Registrant which will be attached to all shares of Common Stock outstanding as of, and issued subsequent to, the effective date of this Registration Statement pursuant to the terms of the Registrant's Shareholder Rights Agreement dated , 1997. Until the occurrence of certain prescribed events, the Rights are not exercisable, are evidenced by the certificates for the Common Stock and will be transferred with and only with such Common Stock.

THE REGISTRANT HEREBY AMENDS THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT ON SUCH DATE OR DATES AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO DELAY ITS EFFECTIVE DATE UNTIL THE REGISTRANT

SHALL FILE A FURTHER AMENDMENT WHICH SPECIFICALLY STATES THAT THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL THEREAFTER BECOME EFFECTIVE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 8(A) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 OR UNTIL THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE ON SUCH DATE AS THE COMMISSION, ACTING PURSUANT TO SAID SECTION 8(A), MAY DETERMINE.

- ------

## EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Registration Statement contains a Prospectus relating to a public offering in the United States and Canada (the "U.S. Offering") of an aggregate of 23,600,000 shares of common stock (the "Common Stock") of Boston Properties, Inc., a Delaware corporation, together with separate Prospectus pages relating to a concurrent offering outside the United States and Canada of an aggregate of 5,900,000 shares of Common Stock (the "International Offering"). The complete Prospectus for the U.S. Offering follows immediately. After such Prospectus are the following alternate pages for the International Offering: a front cover page; an "Underwriting" section; and a back cover page. All other pages of the Prospectus for the U.S. Offering are to be used for both the U.S. Offering and the International Offering.

PROSPECTUS

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION APRIL 16, 1997

29,500,000 SHARES
BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC.

[LOGO OF BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC. APPEARS HERE]

### COMMON STOCK

Boston Properties, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, the "Company") has been formed to succeed to the real estate development, redevelopment, acquisition, management, operating and leasing businesses associated with the predecessor company founded by Mortimer B. Zuckerman and Edward H. Linde in . 1970. The Company is one of the largest owners and developers of office properties in the United States, with a significant presence in five submarkets in Greater Boston, four submarkets in Greater Washington, D.C. and the Park Avenue submarket of midtown Manhattan. Upon completion of this offering (the "Offering"), the Company will own a portfolio of 72 properties aggregating approximately 10.4 million square feet, 90% of which was developed or substantially redeveloped by the Company. The properties consist of 60 office properties with approximately 7.3 million net rentable square feet (including seven office properties under development that will consist of approximately 810,000 net rentable square feet) and approximately 1.1 million additional square feet of structured parking for 3,872 vehicles; nine industrial properties with approximately 925,000 net rentable square feet; two hotels with a total of 833 rooms consisting of approximately 750,000 square feet; and a parking garage with 1,170 spaces (consisting of approximately 330,000 square feet). In addition, the Company will own, have under contract or have options to acquire six parcels of land totaling 47.4 acres, which will support approximately 1.0 million square feet of development.

Following the Offering, Mr. Zuckerman will serve as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Linde will serve as President and Chief Operating Officer and together they will own approximately a % economic interest in the Company. Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde have agreed that the Company will be the exclusive entity through which they develop and acquire commercial properties. The Company is a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate company and expects to qualify as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") for federal income tax purposes for the year ending December 31, 1997. Upon completion of the Offering, the Company expects to have a \$300 million unsecured line of credit to facilitate its development and acquisition activity.

All of the shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$.01 per share ("Common Stock"), offered hereby are being sold by the Company. Of the 29,500,000 shares of Common Stock being offered hereby, 23,600,000 shares are being offered initially in the United States and Canada by the U.S. Underwriters and 5,900,000 shares are being offered initially outside the United States and Canada by the International Managers. See "Underwriting."

Prior to the Offering, there has been no public market for the Common Stock. It is currently estimated that the initial public offering price will be \$25.00 per share. See "Underwriting" for information relating to the factors to be considered in determining the initial public offering price. The Company will apply for listing of the Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "BXP."

SEE "RISK FACTORS" BEGINNING ON PAGE 23 FOR CERTAIN FACTORS RELEVANT TO AN INVESTMENT IN THE COMMON STOCK, INCLUDING:

- . Risks associated with the development of commercial properties;
- . Conflicts of interest with affiliates of the Company in connection with the formation of the Company, this Offering and the operations of the Company's ongoing business;
- The possibility that certain provisions of the Company's organizational documents may increase the costs and reduce the potential gains of the Company in connection with the sale of, or the reduction of indebtedness on, certain properties, if such sale or reduction of indebtedness caused persons who had an interest in such properties prior to the Offering to recognize taxable income;
- . The possibility that the consideration to be given by the Company for properties and other assets at the completion of the Offering may exceed their fair market value; no third-party appraisals were obtained by the Company regarding these properties and other assets;
- . Risks inherent in real estate investment and property management;
- . The possibility that the Company may not be able to refinance outstanding debt upon maturity, that indebtedness might be refinanced on less favorable terms, and that interest rates might increase on amounts drawn under the Company's proposed line of credit;
- . Taxation of the Company as a regular corporation if it fails to qualify as a REIT; and
- . Limitations on the stockholders' ability to change control of the Company due to certain provisions of the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, Delaware law and the partnership agreement of the Company's operating partnership subsidiary.

EXC AN AC	CHANGE COMMI ID EXCHANGE CCURACY OR CONTRARY IS	SSION OR A COMMISSION ADEQUACY A CRIMINAL	NY STATE SE OR ANY ST OF THIS OFFENSE.	ECURITIES FATE SECU PROSPECT	COMMISS URITIES CO TUS. ANY	ION NOR HAS OMMISSION PA REPRESENTA	SECURITIES AN THE SECURITIES ASSED UPON THE ATION TO THE	
					PRICE TO	UNDERWRITI	NG PROCEEDS TO ) COMPANY(2)	
	Share				\$	\$		-
Tota	ıl(3)					\$	\$	· <del>-</del>
(1)	The Company certain lia 1933, as am	has agree bilities, ended. See	d to indemr including l "Underwrit	nify the liabiliti ing."	several lies under	Jnderwriters the Securi	ties Act of	
	The Company	has grant	ed the U.S.	Underwi	iters a	30-day optid	on to purchase as granted the	

International 3,540,000 shares of Common Stock, and has granted tr International Managers a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 885,000 shares of Common Stock, on the same terms and conditions as set forth above solely to cover overallotments, if any. If such options are exercised in full, the total Price to Public, Underwriting Discount and Proceeds to Company will be \$ , \$ and \$ , respectively. See "Underwriting."

The shares of Common Stock are offered by the several Underwriters, subject to prior sale, when, as and if issued and accepted by them, subject to approval of certain legal maters by counsel for the Underwriters. The Underwriters reserve the right to withdraw, cancel or modify such offer and to reject orders in whole or in part. It is expected that delivery of the shares will be made in New York, New York on or about , 1997.

MERRILL LYNCH & CO. GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO. BEAR, STEARNS & CO. INC.
PAINEWEBBER INCORPORATED

PRUDENTIAL SECURITIES INCORPORATED SMITH BARNEY INC.

The date of this Prospectus is , 1997. Certain persons participating in this offering may engage in transactions that stabilize, maintain or otherwise affect the price of the Common Stock. Such transactions may include stabilizing, the purchase of Common Stock to cover syndicate short positions and the imposition of penalty bids. For a description of these activities, see "Underwriting."

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
PROSPECTUS SUMMARY	1
The Company	1
Risk Factors	4
Business and Growth Strategies	5
The PropertiesSummary Property Data	7 9
Unsecured Line of Credit	14
Structure and Formation of the Company	14
The Offering	19
Distributions  Tax Status of the Company	19 19
SUMMARY SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION	20
RISK FACTORS	23
THE COMPANY	33
General	33 34
History BUSINESS AND GROWTH STRATEGIES	34 37
USE OF PROCEEDS	41
DISTRIBUTIONS	42
CAPITALIZATION	46
DILUTION	48 49
SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION	49
OF OPERATIONS	52
Results of Operations	52
Pro Forma Operating Results	53
Liquidity and Capital Resources	54
Cash Flows Funds from Operations	55 55
Inflation	56
BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES	57
General	57
Summary Property Data	58 60
Tenants	62
The Office Properties	70
The Industrial Properties	88
The Hotel Properties	94
The Development Properties  Development Parcels	96 97
Proposed Developments	97
Development Consulting and Third Party Property Management	98
Partial Interests	99
Environmental Matters	99
UNSECURED LINE OF CREDIT	100 101
Directors and Executive Officers	101
Committees of the Board of Directors	104
Compensation of Directors	104
Executive Compensation  Employment Agreements	104 105
Stock Option Plan	105
Limitation of Liability and Indemnification	105
Indemnification Agreements	106
POLICIES WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN ACTIVITIES	107
Investment Policies	107 108
Financing Policies	108
<b>.</b>	
	DAGE
	PAGE
Conflict of Interest Policies	108
Policies with Respect to Other Activities	109
STRUCTURE AND FORMATION OF THE COMPANY	110 110
Benefits to Related Parties	112
Restrictions on Transfer	112
Restrictions on Ownership of Common Stock	113
OPERATING PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT	114
Management	114
Interest	114
Amendments of the Operating Partnership Agreement	115
Transfer of OP Units; Substitute Limited Partners	115
Redemption of OP Units	115
Issuance of Additional Limited Partnership Interest	116 116
Exculpation and Indemnification of the General Partner	116
Tax Matters	117
Term	117
PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS	118
DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK	119 119
Common Stock	119

Preferred Stock	119
Restrictions on Transfers	120
Shareholder Rights Agreement	121
CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF DELAWARE LAW AND THE COMPANY'S CERTIFICATE AND	
BYLAWS	124
Business Combinations	124
Amendment of Certificate and Bylaws	124
Dissolution of the Company	124
Meetings of Stockholders	125
The Board of Directors	125
Shareholder Rights Plan and Ownership Limitation	125
Limitation of Liability and Indemnification	125
Indemnification Agreements	126
SHARES AVAILABLE FÖR FUTURE SALE	127
General	127
Registration Rights	127
FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES	128
Federal Income Taxation of the Company	128
Opinion of Tax Counsel	128
Requirements for Qualification	129
Failure to Qualify	135
Taxation of U.S. Stockholders	135
Distributions Generally	135
Capital Gain Dividends	135
Special Tax Considerations for Foreign Stockholders	136
Information Reporting Requirements and Backup Withholding Tax	137
Other Tax Considerations	138
State and Local Tax	139
UNDERWRITING	140
EXPERTS	142
LEGAL MATTERS	143
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	143
GLOSSARY	144

#### PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following summary is qualified in its entirety by the more detailed information included elsewhere in this Prospectus. The offering of 29,500,000 shares of common stock of Boston Properties, Inc., par value \$.01 per share ("Common Stock"), pursuant to this Prospectus is referred to herein as the "Offering." Boston Properties Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership that will own substantially all of the assets of the Company on a consolidated basis and of which Boston Properties, Inc. is the sole general partner and at the completion of the Offering will own a majority of the partnership interests, is referred to herein as the "Operating Partnership." Unless otherwise indicated, the information contained in this Prospectus assumes that (i) the Underwriters' overallotment option is not exercised, (ii) the transactions described under "Structure and Formation of the Company" are consummated, (iii) none of the units of limited partnership of the Operating Partnership ("OP Units"), which are redeemable for cash or, at the election of the Company, exchangeable for Common Stock, are so redeemed or exchanged, and (iv) the Common Stock to be sold in the Offering is sold at the price specified on the cover of this Prospectus. Although the Company and the Operating Partnership are separate entities, for ease of reference and unless the context otherwise requires, all references in this Prospectus to the "Company" refer to Boston Properties, Inc. and its subsidiaries, including the Operating Partnership, collectively. All references in this Prospectus to the historical activities of the Company refer to the activities of the Company and the affiliated entities that own the properties that the Company will succeed to at the completion of the Offering. The Company and such affiliated entities are sometimes referred to herein as the "Boston Properties Predecessor Group." See "Glossary" for the definitions of certain terms used in this Prospectus.

#### THE COMPANY

# GENERAL

The Company has been formed to succeed to the real estate development, redevelopment, acquisition, management, operating and leasing businesses associated with the predecessor company founded by Mortimer B. Zuckerman and Edward H. Linde in 1970. The Company is one of the largest owners and developers of office properties in the United States, with a significant presence in five submarkets in Greater Boston, four submarkets in Greater . Washington, D.C. and the Park Avenue submarket of midtown Manhattan. Following the Offering, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will beneficially own in the % economic interest in the Company and the other senior officers aggregate a of the Company will beneficially own in the aggregate a % economic interest in the Company (in each case assuming the exchange of all OP Units for Common Stock). Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde have agreed that the Company will be the exclusive entity through which they develop or acquire commercial properties. See "Management--Employment Agreements." The Company expects to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for the year ended December 31, 1997.

Upon the completion of the Offering, the Company, through its subsidiaries, will own a portfolio of 72 commercial real estate properties (the "Properties") aggregating approximately 10.4 million square feet, 90% of which was developed or substantially redeveloped by the Company. The Company will own a 100% fee interest in 58 of the Properties that account for 98% of the total Escalated Rent of the portfolio. The Properties consist of 60 office properties with approximately 7.3 million net rentable square feet, including seven office properties currently under development or redevelopment totaling approximately 810,000 net rentable square feet, which have approximately 1.1 million additional square feet of structured parking for 3,872 vehicles (the "Office Properties"); nine industrial properties with approximately 925,000 net rentable square feet (the "Industrial Properties"); two hotels totaling 833 rooms and approximately 750,000 square feet (the "Hotel Properties"); and a 1,170 space parking garage with approximately 330,000 square feet (the "Garage Property"). The seven Office Properties currently under development are referred to herein as the "Development Properties." The Company believes that it has created significant value in its properties by developing well located properties that meet the demands of today's office tenants, redeveloping underperforming assets, and improving the management of under-managed assets it has acquired.

The Properties are primarily located in ten submarkets, including five submarkets in Greater Boston (the East Cambridge, Route 128 NW, Route 128/Massachusetts Turnpike, Route 128 SW and Back Bay submarkets), four submarkets in Greater Washington, D.C. (the Southwest Washington, D.C., Montgomery County, Maryland, Fairfax County, Virginia and Prince George's County, Maryland submarkets) and midtown Manhattan (the Park Avenue submarket). The Company's single largest Property, with approximately 1.0 million net rentable square feet, is an Office Property located in midtown Manhattan. As of December 31, 1996, the completed Office Properties and the Industrial Properties had an occupancy rate of 94% and the Hotel Properties had an average occupancy rate for the year ended December 31, 1996 of 84%. Leases with respect to 11.0%, 11.6% and 7.4% of the leased square footage of the Office and Industrial Properties expire in 1997, 1998 and 1999, respectively. The weighted average Escalated Rent per square foot of such expiring square footage is \$17.70 compared to a weighted average Company quoted rental rate per square foot as of January 1, 1997 for such expiring square footage of \$20.34. The Company will also own, have under contract or have options to acquire six undeveloped parcels of land totaling 47.4 acres, located in Greater Boston and Greater Washington, D.C., which will support approximately 1.0 million square feet of development. The Company currently manages all of the Properties except the Hotel Properties (which are managed by Marriott Hotels, Inc. ("Marriott(R)")), the Garage Property and parking garages that are a part of certain of the Office Properties.

The Company believes that its capacity for growth will be enhanced by combining the Company's experienced personnel, established market position and relationships, hands-on approach to development and management, substantial portfolio of existing properties and buildings under development, and existing acquisition opportunities with the advantages that will be available to it in its new status as a public company. These advantages include improved access to debt and equity financing and the ability to acquire properties and sites through the issuance of stock and OP Units, which can be of particular value to potential sellers concerned about the tax consequences of property sales. The Company also believes that because of its size and reputation it will be a desirable buyer for those institutions or individuals wishing to sell individual properties or portfolios of properties in exchange for an equity position in a public real estate company.

The Company believes it has superior access to potential development and acquisition opportunities by virtue of its long-standing reputation and relationships, both nationally and in its primary markets, with brokers, tenants, financial institutions, development agencies, contractors, and others involved in the real estate market. The Company intends to utilize its experience with, and understanding of, the development and management of a range of commercial property types to opportunistically pursue developments and acquisitions within its existing and new markets.

The Company believes that the Properties are well positioned to provide a base for continued growth. The Office and Industrial Properties are leased to high quality tenants and located in submarkets with low vacancy rates and rising rents. With the value added by the Company's in-house marketing, leasing, tenant construction and property management programs, the Properties have historically enjoyed high occupancy rates and speedy and efficient releasing of vacated space.

The Company will continue to supplement its revenues, leverage the experience of its personnel and strengthen its market position by providing comprehensive, project-level development and management services on a selective basis to private sector companies and government agencies ("third-party development projects"). Between 1989 and 1996, the Company completed eight third-party development projects comprising approximately 2.4 million square feet. In addition to enhancing revenues without significantly increasing overhead, the Company has achieved significant recognition and experience through this work, which has led to enhanced opportunities for the Company to obtain build-to-suit development projects.

Concurrently with the completion of the Offering, the Company expects to have a three-year \$300 million unsecured revolving line of credit (the "Unsecured Line of Credit") to facilitate its development and acquisition activities and for working capital purposes. A commercial bank (the "Line of Credit Bank") will act as agent.

The Company intends to make regular quarterly distributions to its stockholders, beginning with a distribution for the remainder of the quarter ending  $\,$ , 1997.

2

The Company is a full-service real estate company, with substantial in-house expertise and resources in acquisitions, development, financing, construction management, property management, marketing, leasing, accounting, and legal services. As of March 31, 1997, the Company had 285 employees, including 88 professionals involved in acquisitions, development, finance and legal matters. The Company's 17 senior officers have an average of 24 years experience in the real estate industry and an average of 16 years tenure with the Company. The Company's headquarters are located at 8 Arlington Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116 and its telephone number is (617) 859-2600. In addition, the Company has regional offices in Washington D.C. and mid-town Manhattan.

#### HISTORY

The Company was founded in Boston, Massachusetts in 1970 by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde to acquire and develop first-class commercial real estate for long-term ownership and management. Over its 27 year history, the Company has established a successful record of focusing on submarkets where the Company can achieve leadership positions. The following paragraphs describe the Company's development and evolution.

## Growth in Boston

In the early 1970's, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde identified the area of suburban Boston along Route 128 as ready for the development of modern office buildings, and they selected the quadrant west/northwest of Boston between the Massachusetts Turnpike and US 93 as the most desirable area in which to concentrate their efforts. Between 1978 and 1988, the Company acquired 13 key sites in that area, and completed development of 17 office buildings on those sites, containing more than 2.0 million net rentable square feet. During this period, the Company built on its growing reputation for quality development in the Boston area by successfully competing for control of sites available through public competitions. The Company was awarded hotel development rights on the Boston Harbor waterfront where it developed the 402 room Long Wharf Marriott(R) Hotel. The Company was also selected by the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority to be the developer of the 24 acre "Cambridge Center" site adjacent to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology ("MIT"), where it has completed development of ten buildings totaling over 1.7 million square feet and still controls substantial additional development rights. In total for Greater Boston, the Company has developed, acquired or redeveloped, for its own account or for third parties, 31 buildings containing approximately 4.7 million square feet, of which the Company still owns approximately 3.6 million square feet.

## Expansion to Washington, D.C. and its Suburban Markets

The Company opened its Washington, D.C. regional office in November 1979 to pursue development and acquisitions and to provide real estate development services in Greater Washington, D.C., including the Northern Virginia and suburban Maryland real estate markets. Within this region, the Company has concentrated its efforts in those submarkets that it believes to be the strongest, including Southwest Washington, D.C., Montgomery County, Maryland, Fairfax County, Virginia and Prince George's County, Maryland. The Company's first project in the Greater Washington, D.C. market was Capital Gallery, a 400,000 square foot Class A, multi-tenant office building that the Company completed in 1981. During the past 17 years, the Company, for its own account and third parties, has developed 30 buildings in Greater Washington, D.C., totaling approximately 5.8 million square feet. The Company continues to own 20 of these properties consisting of approximately 3.2 million square feet.

# Expansion to Midtown Manhattan

In the early 1980's, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde decided to explore opportunities to expand the Company's operations to New York City and focused on midtown Manhattan as desirable for new development. The Company identified a key block-front site at 599 Lexington Avenue (immediately south of Citicorp Center), structured an acquisition responsive to the particular needs of the site's owner, and obtained all necessary public approvals within 11 months of acquiring the site. Based on the Company's assessment of the strengths of the site and the building design (including larger floorplates than were generally available in the market area), the

3

Company proceeded in 1984 with construction of a 1.0 million net rentable square foot office tower. The building, which the Company still owns, has had an occupancy rate in excess of 97% for the past seven years. The building has continued to command premium rents within its submarket.

Response to Market Conditions in the late 1980's

In the late 1980's, the Company decided not to undertake any new speculative development or land or property acquisitions based on its assessment of a growing oversupply and weakening real estate fundamentals in the markets in which it operated. The Company was able to continue to prosper by operating the portfolio of properties it had acquired and developed since 1970, by finding opportunities for build-to-suit development, and by expanding the scope of its third-party development management activities. Between 1989 and 1996, the Company completed eight third-party development projects on a fee basis, including major projects for the Architect of the Capitol, the Health Care Financing Administration, the New York Daily News, Beth Israel Hospital and Medical Information Technology. The Company is currently the development manager on projects for, among others, the National Institutes of Health and Acacia Mutual Life Insurance Company in Washington, D.C., the United States Postal Service in New York City and Boston and the Hyatt Development Corporation in Boston.

### Recent Activities

As market conditions and tenant demand have improved during the past 12 months, the Company has begun to more aggressively pursue new development and acquisition opportunities. At present, the Company is developing for its own account the seven Development Properties, totaling approximately 810,000 square feet, located in Greater Boston and Fairfax County, Virginia (consisting of five Office Properties that will be 100% owned by the Company and two Office Properties in which the Company will own a 25% interest). The Development Properties are 68% pre-committed to tenants and the Company expects that its stabilized return on cost for these Properties will exceed, in the aggregate, 12%. In addition, the Company is currently pursuing a number of proposed development projects including, a 221 room Marriott(R) Residence Inn in Cambridge, Massachusetts and a joint venture with Westbrook Real Estate Partners LLC ("Westbrook") for the development of an approximately 370,000 square foot office building in Reston, Virginia. There can be no assurances that the Company will ultimately develop either of these proposed development projects. The Company is also pursuing potential property and site acquisitions, as well as build-to-suit opportunities, in all of its major markets.

## RISK FACTORS

An investment in the Common Stock involves various risks, and prospective investors should carefully consider the matters discussed under "Risk Factors" prior to an investment in the Company. Such risks include, among others:

- risks associated with the development of commercial properties, including that the development projects may not be completed on schedule or within budget, resulting in increased debt service expense and construction costs and delays in leasing such properties and generating cash flow;
- . conflicts of interest in connection with the formation of the Company and the Offering, including conflicts relating to material benefits to officers, directors and affiliates of the Company, which include receipt of an aggregate of approximately OP Units and shares of Common Stock and repayment of approximately \$659.4 million of indebtedness of the partnerships in which such persons had interests prior to completion of the Formation Transactions, some of which indebtedness had been guaranteed by officers, directors and affiliates of the Company;
- . conflicts of interest involving management of the Company and certain members of the Board of Directors in business decisions regarding the Company, including conflicts associated with the sale of any of the Properties owned at the completion of the Offering or with prepayment of certain indebtedness because of the possible adverse tax consequences of such sales or prepayment to certain members of management and the Board of Directors as holders of OP Units;

4

- . The possibility that certain provisions of the Company's organizational documents may increase the costs and reduce the potential gains of the Company in connection with the sale of, or the reduction of indebtedness on, certain properties, if such sale or reduction of indebtedness caused persons who had an interest in such properties prior to the Offering to recognize taxable income.
- . the possibility that the consideration to be given by the Company for the Properties and other assets at the completion of the Offering may exceed their fair market value; no third-party appraisals were obtained by the Company regarding these Properties and other assets;
- . real estate investment and property management risks such as the need to renew leases or relet space upon lease expirations and, at times, to pay renovation and reletting costs in connection therewith, the effect of economic and other conditions on property cash flows and values, the ability of tenants to make lease payments, the ability of a property to generate revenue sufficient to meet operating expenses, including future debt service, which may adversely affect the Company's ability to make expected distributions to stockholders;
- . the possibility that the Company may not be able to refinance outstanding indebtedness upon maturity, that such indebtedness might be refinanced at higher interest rates or otherwise on terms less favorable to the Company than existing indebtedness, and the lack of limitations in the Company's organizational documents on the amount of indebtedness the Company may incur;
- . taxation of the Company as a corporation if it fails to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, the Company's liability for certain federal, state and local income taxes in such event, and the resulting decrease in cash available for distribution;
- . anti-takeover effect of limiting actual or constructive ownership of Common Stock of the Company by a single person other than Mr. Zuckerman and Mr. Linde (and certain associated parties) to % of the outstanding capital stock, subject to certain specified exceptions, and certain other provisions contained in the organizational documents of the Company and the Operating Partnership, and of a shareholder rights plan adopted by the Company, any of which may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or change in control of the Company that might involve a premium price for the Common Stock or otherwise be in the best interests of the Company's stockholders;
- dependence on certain key personnel, particularly Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde;
- the absence of a prior public market for the Common Stock; lack of assurances that an active trading market will develop; and
- . immediate and substantial dilution in the net tangible book value per share of the shares of Common Stock purchased in the Offering.

# BUSINESS AND GROWTH STRATEGIES

# BUSINESS STRATEGY

The Company's primary business objective is to maximize growth in cash available for distribution and to enhance the value of its portfolio in order to maximize total return to stockholders. The Company's strategy to achieve this objective is: (i) to selectively acquire and redevelop or develop properties in the Company's existing markets, adjacent markets and in new markets that present favorable opportunities; (ii) to maintain high occupancy rates at rents that are at the high end of the markets in which the Properties are located, and to continue to achieve high room and occupancy rates in the Hotel Properties; and (iii) to selectively provide comprehensive, project-level development and management services to third parties. See "Business and Growth Strategies."

### GROWTH STRATEGIES

#### External Growth

The Company has targeted four areas of development and acquisition as significant opportunities to execute the Company's external growth strategy:

Acquire Land for Development. The Company believes that development of well positioned office buildings and R&D properties is currently or will be justified in many of the submarkets in which the Company has a presence. The Company believes in acquiring land in response to market conditions that allow for the development of such land in the relatively near term. Over its 27 year history, the Company has established a successful record of carefully timing land acquisitions in submarkets where the Company can become one of the market leaders in establishing rent and other business terms. The Company has been particularly successful at acquiring sites or options to purchase sites that need governmental approvals before the commencement of development and thereby adding value through its development expertise.

Acquire Existing Underperforming Assets. The Company will actively pursue opportunities to acquire existing buildings that, while currently generating income, are either underperforming the market due to poor management or are currently leased below market with anticipated roll-over of space. These opportunities may include the acquisition of entire portfolios of properties. The Company is well positioned to identify and acquire existing, underperforming properties for competitive prices and to add significant additional value to such properties through its effective marketing strategies and responsive property management program.

Acquire Assets from Institutions or Individuals. The Company believes that due to its size, management strength and reputation it will be in an advantageous position to acquire portfolios of assets or individual properties from institutions or individuals seeking to convert their ownership on a property level basis to the ownership of equity in a diversified real estate operating company that offers liquidity through access to the public equity markets. In addition, the Company may pursue mergers with and acquisitions of compatible real estate firms. The ability to offer OP Units to sellers who would otherwise recognize a gain upon a sale of assets for cash or common stock may facilitate this type of transaction on a tax-efficient basis. The Company is currently in discussions with certain institutional investors to acquire certain of their portfolio properties, but no assurances can be given that the Company will purchase any of such properties.

Third-Party Development Management Services. While the primary objective of the Company has been, and will continue to be, the development and acquisition of quality, income producing buildings to be held for long term ownership, the Company intends to engage in a select amount of comprehensive project-level development management services for third parties.

## Internal Growth

The Company believes that significant opportunities exist to increase cash flow from its existing Properties because they are high quality properties in desirable locations in submarkets that are experiencing rising rents, low vacancy rates and increasing demand for office, R&D and industrial space. In addition, the Company's Properties are in markets where supply is limited by the lack of available sites and the difficulty of receiving the necessary approvals for development on vacant land. The Company's strategy for maximizing the benefits from these opportunities is (i) to provide high quality property management services using its own employees in order to enhance tenant preferences for renewal, expansion and relocation in the Company's properties, and (ii) to achieve speed and transaction cost efficiency in replacing departing tenants through the use of in-house services for marketing, lease negotiation, and design and construction of tenant improvements.

Strong Growth Submarkets. In choosing locations for its properties, the Company has paid particular attention to transportation and commuting patterns, physical environment, adjacency to established business centers, proximity to sources of business growth, and other local factors. Substantially all of the Company's square footage of Office and Industrial Properties are located in ten submarkets in Greater Boston, Greater Washington, D.C. and midtown Manhattan.

These submarkets are experiencing increasing rents and as a result current market rates often exceed the rents being paid by the Company's tenants. The Company expects that leases expiring over the next three years will be renewed, or space relet, at higher rents. Leases with respect to 11.0%, 11.6% and 7.4% of the leased square footage of the Office and Industrial Properties expire in 1997, 1998 and 1999, respectively. The weighted average Escalated Rent per square foot of such expiring square footage is \$17.70 compared to a weighted average Company quoted rental rate per square foot as of January 1, 1997 for such expiring square footage of \$20.34

In addition, the Company believes that the Hotel Properties will add to the Company's internal growth because of their desirable locations in the downtown Boston and East Cambridge submarkets, which are experiencing high occupancy rates, and their effective management by Marriott(R), which has achieved high guest satisfaction and limitations on increases in operating costs

Directly Managing Properties to Maximize the Potential for Tenant Retention. The Company itself provides property management services, rather than contracting for this service, to achieve awareness of and responsiveness to tenant needs. The Company and the Properties also benefit from cost efficiencies produced by an experienced work force attentive to preventive maintenance and energy management and from the Company's continuing programs to assure that its property management personnel maintain good tenant relations. The Company has long recognized that renewal of existing tenant leases, as opposed to tenant replacement, often provides the best operating results, because renewals minimize transaction costs associated with marketing, leasing and tenant improvements and avoid interruptions in rental income during periods of vacancy and renovation of space.

Replacing Tenants Quickly at Best Available Market Terms and Lowest Possible Transaction Costs. The Company believes that it has a competitive advantage in attracting new tenants and achieving rental rates at the higher end of its markets as a result of its well-located, well-designed and well-maintained properties, its reputation for high quality building services and responsiveness to tenants, and its ability to offer expansion and relocation alternatives within its submarkets. The Company's objective throughout this process is to obtain the highest possible rental terms and to achieve rent commencement for new tenancies as quickly as possible, and the Company believes that its use of in-house resources for marketing, leasing and tenant improvements continues to result in lower than average transaction costs.

## THE PROPERTIES

Upon completion of the Offering, the Company will own the 60 Office Properties, the nine Industrial Properties, the two Hotel Properties and the Garage Property. Seven of the Office Properties are currently under development by the Company and are referred to as the "Development Properties."

## OFFICE PROPERTIES

The Office Properties consist of 33 Class A office buildings (including three Development Properties) ("Class A Office Buildings") and 27 properties (including four Development Properties) that support both office and technical uses ("R&D Properties"). The Company's 33 Class A Office Buildings contain approximately 5.7 million net rentable square feet in urban and suburban settings in Greater Boston, Greater Washington, D.C. and midtown Manhattan. The Company's Class A Office Buildings include 599 Lexington Avenue in midtown Manhattan, which has approximately 1.0 million net rentable square feet. As of December 31, 1996, the 30 completed Class A Office Buildings had an occupancy rate of 97%. The Company has developed or substantially redeveloped 29 of the Class A Office Buildings since 1980, containing approximately 4.9 million net rentable square feet. A number of the Office Properties include parking, and the Company's Garage Property (a free-standing garage containing 1,170 spaces) is located at the Company's Cambridge Center development.

The 27 R&D Properties contain approximately 1.6 million net rentable square feet and consist primarily of suburban properties located in the Fairfax County, Virginia submarket of Greater Washington, D.C. and the East Cambridge and Route 128 NW submarkets of Greater Boston. As of December 31, 1996, the 23 completed R&D

Properties had an occupancy rate of 96%. The Company has developed or substantially redeveloped 17 of the R&D Properties since 1981.

Management believes that the location and quality of construction of the Office Properties, as well as the Company's reputation for providing a high level of tenant service, have enabled the Company to attract and retain a diverse tenant base. As of December 31, 1996, the Office Properties were leased to 352 tenants and no single tenant (other than the General Services Administration, whose lease obligations are full faith and credit obligations of the United States government) accounted for more than approximately 10% of the aggregate Escalated Rent of the Company's office portfolio.

#### INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES

The nine Industrial Properties contain approximately 925,000 rentable square feet. The Industrial Properties are located in California, Maryland, Massachusetts, and Pennsylvania. As of December 31, 1996, the Industrial Properties had 14 tenants and, excluding a 221,000 net rentable square foot building in Hayward, California (which is 27% leased, but for which the Company has entered into a letter of intent to lease the remaining space), an occupancy rate of 94%.

### HOTEL PROPERTIES

The two Hotel Properties are located in Cambridge and Boston, Massachusetts. The 402 room Long Wharf Marriott(R) Hotel is an eight-story building located on the Boston Harbor waterfront. The hotel is within easy walking distance from the heart of the business and financial district of Boston and many of the city's major attractions. For the year ended December 31, 1996, the hotel had an occupancy rate of 86.0%, an Average Daily Rate ("ADR") of \$201.18 and Revenue per Available Room ("REVPAR") of \$173.01. The 431 room Cambridge Center Marriott(R) Hotel is a 25-story building located in Kendall Square, Cambridge and adjacent to the MIT campus. For the year ended December 31, 1996, the hotel had an occupancy rate of 82.1%, an ADR of \$150.52 and REVPAR of \$123.58.

To assist the Company in maintaining its status as a REIT, the Company will lease the Hotel Properties, pursuant to a lease with a participation in the gross receipts of the Hotel Properties, to a lessee that is controlled by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde. Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will have a 9.8% economic interest in such lessee and one or more unaffiliated public charities will have a 90.2% economic interest. Marriott Hotels, Inc. will continue to manage the Hotel Properties under the Marriott(R) name pursuant to a management agreement with the lessee.

# SUMMARY PROPERTY DATA

Set forth below is a summary of information regarding the Properties, including the seven Development Properties.

PROPERTY NAME	LOCATION	PERCENT OWNERSHIP	YEAR(S) BUILT/ RENOVATED(1)	NO. OF BLDGS.	NET RENTABLE SQUARE FEET	PERCENT LEASED AS OF 12/31/96	ESCALATED RENT AS OF 12/31/96(2)	PERCENT OF ESCALATED RENT	ESCALATED RENT PER LEASED SQUARE FOOT(2)
OFFICE PROPER- TIES:									
Class A Office Buildings:									
599 Lexington	Now York MY	100 0%	1006	1	1 000 070	0.7%	\$ 51,470,410	20. 29/	¢E2 00
Avenue (4) Two Independence	New York, NY	100.0%	1986	1	1,000,070	97%	, ,	29.3%	\$52.90
Square (5) Democracy Cen-	SW, Washington, DC	100.0	1992		579,600	100	21, 185, 671		36.65
ter One Independence	Bethesda, MD	100.0	1985-88/94-96		680,000	96	15,047,361		23.03
Square (5) Capital Gal-	SW, Washington, DC	100.0	1991	1	337,794	100	12,650,434		37.45
lery The U.S. International Trade Commission	SW, Washington, DC	100.0	1981	1	396, 255	93	12,229,487	7.0	33.15
Building (5) (6)	SW, Washington, DC	100.0	1987	1	243,798	100	6,673,165	3.8	27.35
One Cambridge Center	Cambridge, MA	100.0	1987	1	215,385	100	6,015,824	3.4	27.93
Ten Cambridge Center	Cambridge, MA	100.0	1990	1	152,664	100	4,251,071	2.4	27.85
191 Spring Street	Lexington, MA	100.0	1971/95	1	162,700	100	3,986,701	2.3	24.50
10 & 20 Burling- ton Mall Road	Burlington, MA	100.0	1984-86/95-96	2	152,552	100	3,131,736	1.8	20.53
Lexington Office Park	Lexington, MA	100.0	1982	2	168,500	95	2,995,506	1.7	19.33
Waltham Office Center	Waltham, MA	100.0	1968-70/87-88	3	129,658	100	2,575,521	1.5	19.86
Three Cambridge Center	Cambridge, MA	100.0	1987	1	107,484	100	2,406,808	1.4	22.39
Montvale Center	Gaithersburg, MD	75.0	1987	1	122, 157	100	2,195,966	1.2	17.98
170 Tracer Lane	Waltham, MA	100.0	1980	1	73,258	100	1,681,073	1.0	22.95
Bedford Business Park	Bedford, MA	100.0	1980	1	90,000	100	1,513,011	0.9	16.81
91 Hartwell Ave- nue	Lexington, MA	100.0	1985/96	1	122,135	51	1,318,024	0.7	21.24
33 Hayden Ave- nue	Lexington, MA	100.0	1979	1	79,564	100	1,128,814	0.6	14.19
Eleven Cambridge Center	Cambridge, MA	100.0	1984	1	79,616	100	1,118,563		14.05
100 Hayden Ave- nue	Lexington, MA	100.0	1985		55,924	100	1,098,034		19.63
8 Arlington Street (8)	Boston, MA	100.0	1860/1920/89	1	30,526	100	1,080,172		35.39
32 Hartwell Ave- nue	Lexington, MA	100.0	1968-79/87		69,154	100	1,002,211		14.49
204 Second Ave-	Waltham, MA	100.0	1981/93		40,974	100	812,518		19.83
nue 92 Hayden Ave-	Lexington, MA	100.0	1968/84		30,980	100	632,109		20.40
nue BDM Interna-	Lexington, MA	100.0	1900/04		30, 900	100	032,109	0.4	20.40
tional Building* (9)	Reston, VA	25.0	1999	2	440,000				
201 Spring Street* (10)	Lexington, MA	100.0	1997	1	102,000				
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED	AVERAGE FOR CLASS A OF	FICE BUILD:	INGS (11)	33	5,662,748	97%(A)	\$158,200,190	90.0%	\$31.86(A)
R&D Properties:				===	=======	===	========	====	=====
Bedford Business Park 7601 Boston Bou-	Bedford, MA	100.0%	1962-78/96	2	383,704	100%	\$ 3,265,991	1.9%	\$ 8.51
levard, Building Eight (5)	Springfield, VA	100.0	1986	1	103,750	100	1,437,971	0.8	13.86
Fourteen Cam- bridge Center	Cambridge, MA	100.0	1983	1	67,362	100	1,315,519	0.7	19.53
Hilltop Business Center (12) 7600 Boston Bou- levard, Building	So. San Francisco, CA	35.7	early 1970's	9	144,479	90	1,030,288	0.6	7.95
Nine 7500 Boston Bou-	Springfield, VA	100.0	1987	1	69,832	100	878,600	0.5	12.58
levard, Building Six(5)	Springfield, VA	100.0	1985	1	79,971	100	800,464	0.5	10.01

Springfield, VA	8000 Grainger									
March   Marc	· ·									
Levard, Building		Springfield, VA	100.0	1984	1	90,465	100	759,790	0.4	8.40
Done										
TASE   Boston Boule   Springfield, VA   100.0   1982   1   47,001   100   598,478   0.3   9.33		Springfield, VA	100.0	1982	1	105,414	67	753,100	0.4	10.60
The color of the		-pg,						,		
Ballerian   Ballerian   Ballerian   Ma										
Road		Springfield, VA	100.0	1982	1	47,001	100	644,646	0.4	13.72
Table   Tabl	. •	Billerica. MA	100.0	1982	1	64.140	100	598.478	0.3	9.33
Springfield, VA   180.0   1984   1   57,321   190.0   595,823   0.3   10.39   8808   Corporate Court, Building Eleven.		,				,		,		
Second Corporate Court, Building Eleven										
Court, Building   Eleven.   Springfield, VA   100.0   1989   1   52,539   100   395,653   0.2   7.52		Springfield, VA	100.0	1984	1	57,321	100	595,823	0.3	10.39
Eleven	•									
Part		Springfield, VA	100.0	1989	1	52,539	100	395,053	0.2	7.52
Ten (5)										
17 Hartwell Avenue		Springfield VA	100 0	1000	1	26 965	100	242 000	0.2	10 77
Number   N		Springrietu, VA	100.0	1900	_	20,803	100	342,999	0.2	12.77
Nouse   Name		Lexington, MA	100.0	1968	1	30,000	100	198,000	0.1	6.60
Twelve* (13)   Springfield, VA   100.0   1907   1   80,514           7.501 Paston Boulevard, Building Springfield, VA   100.0   1907   1   75,756           7.501 Paston Boulevard, Building Springfield, VA   100.0   1986/97   1   59,585             7.501 Paston Boulevard (15)   Sugarland Building One* (15)   Herndon, VA   100.0   1985/97   1   59,585										
TSSUB Boston Boule levard, Building   Seven* (14)   Springfield, VA   100.0   1986/97   1   75,756		Springfield VA	100 0	1997	1	80 51 <i>4</i>				
Seven* (14)   Springfield, VA   100.0   1997   1   75,756             Sugarland Building Two* (15)   Herndon, VA   100.0   1986/97   1   59,585                 Sugarland Building One* (15)   Herndon, VA   100.0   1985/97   1   52,533               Sugarland Building One* (15)   Herndon, VA   100.0   1985/97   1   52,533               Sugarland Building One* (15)   Herndon, VA   100.0   1985/97   1   52,533   3-               Sugarland Building Two   Substitution of the second seco	` ,	Springricia, VA	100.0	1337	_	00,314				
Sugarland Building Two Landover, MD 100.0 1986/97 1 59,585	levard, Building									
ing Two* (15) Herndon, VA         100.0         1986/97         1         59,585 <td></td> <td>Springfield, VA</td> <td>100.0</td> <td>1997</td> <td>1</td> <td>75,756</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Springfield, VA	100.0	1997	1	75,756				
Sugarland Building   Sugarla		Herndon. VA	100.0	1986/97	1	59.585				
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERTIES.		,	200.0	2000, 01	_	30,300				
NUSTRIAL PROP-ERTIES:   Substitution   Substituti										
INDUSTRIAL PROP- ERTIES:  38 Cabot Boule- vard (16) Bucks County, PA 100.0% 1972/84 1 161,000 100% \$ 865,613 0.5% \$ 5.38 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two Landover, MD 100.0 1986 1 99,885 87 694,935 0.4 8.03 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three Landover, MD 100.0 1988 1 83,608 100 685,338 0.4 8.20 40-46 Harvard Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1967/96 1 169,273 90 677,818 0.4 4.46 25-33 Dartmouth Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1966/96 1 78,045 87 658,645 0.4 9.75 1950 Stanford Court, Building One Landover, MD 100.0 1986 1 53,250 100 354,274 0.2 6.65 2391 West Winton Avenue Hayward, CA 100.0 1974 1 221,000 27(17) 234,000 0.1 3.90 560 Forbes Boulevard (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 40,000 100 238,000 0.1 5.95 430 ROZZI Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75 SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$ 4,523,572 2.6% \$ 6.25 Element of the control of the c	ing One* (15)	Herndon, VA	100.0	1985/97		52,533				
ERTIES: 38 Cabot Boule- vard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2980 Westwood, MA 100.0 1986 1 100.0 1987/96 1 169,273 100 100 1987/96 1 169,273 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10										
38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 8201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One Landover, MD 100.0 1966/96 1 1069,273 196 1068,338 0.4 8.20 40-46 Harvard Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2391 West Winton Avenue 560 Forbes Boulevard (12) 500 San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 200.0  So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 200.0  1972/84 1 161,000 100% \$865,613 0.5% \$5.38 664,935 0.4 8.03  0.5% \$5.38 664,935 0.4 8.03  0.5% \$5.38 694,935 0.4 8.03  0.5% \$5.38 694,935 0.4 8.03  0.5% \$5.38 694,935 0.4 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.4 8.03  0.5% 8.03  0.4 8.00 0.4 8.00 0.4 8.00 0.4 8.00 0.4 8.00 0.4 8.00 0.4 8.00 0.4 8.00					27	1,591,231	96%(B)	\$ 13,016,722	7.4%	\$10.22(B)
vard (16)         Bucks County, PA         100.0%         1972/84         1         161,000         100%         \$ 865,613         0.5%         \$ 5.38           6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two         Landover, MD         100.0         1986         1         99,885         87         694,935         0.4         8.03           2000 South Club Drive, Building Three         Landover, MD         100.0         1988         1         83,608         100         685,338         0.4         8.20           40-46 Harvard Street         Westwood, MA         100.0         1967/96         1         169,273         90         677,818         0.4         4.46           25-33 Dartmouth Street         Westwood, MA         100.0         1966/96         1         78,045         87         658,645         0.4         9.75           1950 Stanford Court, Building One         Landover, MD         100.0         1986         1         53,250         100         354,274         0.2         6.65           2391 West Winton Avenue         Hayward, CA         100.0         1974         1         221,000         27(17)         234,000         0.1         3.90           560 Forbes Boulevard (12)         S	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED INDUSTRIAL PROP-				27	1,591,231	96%(B)	\$ 13,016,722	7.4%	\$10.22(B)
6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two Landover, MD 100.0 1986 1 99,885 87 694,935 0.4 8.03 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three Landover, MD 100.0 1988 1 83,608 100 685,338 0.4 8.20 40-46 Harvard Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1967/96 1 169,273 90 677,818 0.4 4.46 25-33 Dartmouth Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1966/96 1 78,045 87 658,645 0.4 9.75 1950 Stanford Court, Building One Landover, MD 100.0 1986 1 53,250 100 354,274 0.2 6.65 2391 West Winton Avenue Hayward, CA 100.0 1974 1 221,000 27(17) 234,000 0.1 3.90 560 Forbes Boulevard (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 40,000 100 238,000 0.1 5.95 430 ROZZI Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75  SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,661 78%(17) \$ 4,523,572 2.6% \$ 6.25	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED INDUSTRIAL PROP-ERTIES:				27	1,591,231	96%(B)	\$ 13,016,722	7.4%	\$10.22(B)
Building Two Landover, MD 100.0 1986 1 99,885 87 694,935 0.4 8.03 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three Landover, MD 100.0 1988 1 83,608 100 685,338 0.4 8.20 40-46 Harvard Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1967/96 1 169,273 90 677,818 0.4 4.46 25-33 Dartmouth Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1966/96 1 78,045 87 658,645 0.4 9.75 1950 Stanford Court, Building One Landover, MD 100.0 1986 1 53,250 100 354,274 0.2 6.65 2391 West Winton Avenue Hayward, CA 100.0 1974 1 221,000 27(17) 234,000 0.1 3.90 560 Forbes Boulevard (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 40,000 100 238,000 0.1 5.95 430 ROZZI Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75  SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$4,522,572 2.6% \$6.25	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROP- ERTIES: 38 Cabot Boule-	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT	TES		27 ===	1,591,231	96%(B) ===	\$ 13,016,722 =======	7.4% =====	\$10.22(B)
2000 South Club Drive, Building Three Landover, MD 100.0 1988 1 83,608 100 685,338 0.4 8.20 40-46 Harvard Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1967/96 1 169,273 90 677,818 0.4 4.46 25-33 Dartmouth Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1966/96 1 78,045 87 658,645 0.4 9.75 1950 Stanford Court, Building One Landover, MD 100.0 1986 1 53,250 100 354,274 0.2 6.65 2391 West Winton Avenue Hayward, CA 100.0 1974 1 221,000 27(17) 234,000 0.1 3.90 560 Forbes Bou- levard (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 40,000 100 238,000 0.1 5.95 430 ROZZi Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75  SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$ 4,523,572 2.6% \$ 6.25	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROP- ERTIES: 38 Cabot Boule- vard (16)	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT	TES		27 ===	1,591,231	96%(B) ===	\$ 13,016,722 =======	7.4% =====	\$10.22(B)
Drive, Building Three Landover, MD 100.0 1988 1 83,608 100 685,338 0.4 8.20 40-46 Harvard Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1967/96 1 169,273 90 677,818 0.4 4.46 25-33 Dartmouth Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1966/96 1 78,045 87 658,645 0.4 9.75 1950 Stanford Court, Building One Landover, MD 100.0 1986 1 53,250 100 354,274 0.2 6.65 2391 West Winton Avenue Hayward, CA 100.0 1974 1 221,000 27(17) 234,000 0.1 3.90 560 Forbes Boulevard (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 40,000 100 238,000 0.1 5.95 430 ROZZI Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75  SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$ 4,523,572 2.6% \$ 6.25	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road,	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA	100.0%	1972/84	27 ===	1,591,231	96%(B) === 100%	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7 · 4% ===== 0 · 5%	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38
Three Landover, MD 100.0 1988 1 83,608 100 685,338 0.4 8.20 40-46 Harvard Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1967/96 1 169,273 90 677,818 0.4 4.46 25-33 Dartmouth Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1966/96 1 78,045 87 658,645 0.4 9.75 1950 Stanford Court, Building One Landover, MD 100.0 1986 1 53,250 100 354,274 0.2 6.65 2391 West Winton Avenue Hayward, CA 100.0 1974 1 221,000 27(17) 234,000 0.1 3.90 560 Forbes Boulevard (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 40,000 100 238,000 0.1 5.95 430 ROZZI Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75  SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$4,523,572 2.6% \$6.25  TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA	100.0%	1972/84	27 ===	1,591,231	96%(B) === 100%	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7 · 4% ===== 0 · 5%	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38
Street         Westwood, MA         100.0         1967/96         1         169,273         90         677,818         0.4         4.46           25-33 Dartmouth Street         Westwood, MA         100.0         1966/96         1         78,045         87         658,645         0.4         9.75           1950 Stanford Court, Building One         Landover, MD         100.0         1986         1         53,250         100         354,274         0.2         6.65           2391 West Winton Avenue         Hayward, CA         100.0         1974         1         221,000         27(17)         234,000         0.1         3.90           560 Forbes Bou- levard (12)         So. San Francisco, CA         35.7         early 1970's         1         40,000         100         238,000         0.1         5.95           430 Rozzi Place (12)         So. San Francisco, CA         35.7         early 1970's         1         20,000         100         114,949         0.1         5.75           SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES         9         926,061         78%(17)         \$ 4,523,572         2.6%         \$ 6.25	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA	100.0%	1972/84	27 ===	1,591,231	96%(B) === 100%	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7 · 4% ===== 0 · 5%	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38
25-33 Dartmouth Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1966/96 1 78,045 87 658,645 0.4 9.75 1950 Stanford Court, Building One Landover, MD 100.0 1986 1 53,250 100 354,274 0.2 6.65 2391 West Winton Avenue Hayward, CA 100.0 1974 1 221,000 27(17) 234,000 0.1 3.90 560 Forbes Bou- levard (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 40,000 100 238,000 0.1 5.95 430 Rozzi Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75  SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$ 4,523,572 2.6% \$ 6.25  TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD	100.0% 100.0	1972/84 1986	27 === 1	1,591,231 ======= 161,000 99,885	96%(B) === 100%	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5%	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03
Street Westwood, MA 100.0 1966/96 1 78,045 87 658,645 0.4 9.75 1950 Stanford Court, Building One Landover, MD 100.0 1986 1 53,250 100 354,274 0.2 6.65 2391 West Winton Avenue Hayward, CA 100.0 1974 1 221,000 27(17) 234,000 0.1 3.90 560 Forbes Bou- levard (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 40,000 100 238,000 0.1 5.95 430 Rozzi Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75  SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$ 4,523,572 2.6% \$ 6.25  TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES: 38 Cabot Boulevard (16)6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two2000 South Club Drive, Building Three40-46 Harvard	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD	100.0% 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988	27 === 1 1	1,591,231 ======= 161,000 99,885 83,608	96%(B) === 100% 87	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20
Court, Building One Landover, MD 100.0 1986 1 53,250 100 354,274 0.2 6.65 2391 West Winton Avenue Hayward, CA 100.0 1974 1 221,000 27(17) 234,000 0.1 3.90 560 Forbes Boulevard (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 40,000 100 238,000 0.1 5.95 430 Rozzi Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75 SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$ 4,523,572 2.6% \$ 6.25 TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES: 38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD	100.0% 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988	27 === 1 1	1,591,231 ======= 161,000 99,885 83,608	96%(B) === 100% 87	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20
One	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96	27 === 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) === 100% 87 100 90	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46
2391 West Winton Avenue Hayward, CA 100.0 1974 1 221,000 27(17) 234,000 0.1 3.90 560 Forbes Bou- levard (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 40,000 100 238,000 0.1 5.95 430 Rozzi Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75  SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$ 4,523,572 2.6% \$ 6.25  TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96	27 === 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) === 100% 87 100 90	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46
Avenue Hayward, CA 100.0 1974 1 221,000 27(17) 234,000 0.1 3.90 560 Forbes Boulevard (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 40,000 100 238,000 0.1 5.95 430 Rozzi Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75 SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$ 4,523,572 2.6% \$ 6.25 ====================================	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96	1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) === 100% 87 100 90 87	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75
levard (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 40,000 100 238,000 0.1 5.95 430 Rozzi Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75  SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$ 4,523,572 2.6% \$ 6.25  TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96	1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) === 100% 87 100 90 87	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75
430 ROZZI Place (12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75  SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$ 4,523,572 2.6% \$ 6.25  TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2391 West Winton	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96	1 1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) ===  100%  87  100  90  87  100	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65
(12) So. San Francisco, CA 35.7 early 1970's 1 20,000 100 114,949 0.1 5.75  SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES 9 926,061 78%(17) \$ 4,523,572 2.6% \$ 6.25  TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2391 West Winton Avenue 560 Forbes Bou-	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD  Hayward, CA	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96 1986	1 1 1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) === 100% 87 100 90 87 100 27(17)	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.2	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65 3.90
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2391 West Winton Avenue 560 Forbes Boulevard (12)	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD  Hayward, CA	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96 1986	1 1 1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) === 100% 87 100 90 87 100 27(17)	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.2	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65 3.90
TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2391 West Winton Avenue 560 Forbes Boulevard (12) 430 Rozzi Place	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD  Hayward, CA  So. San Francisco, CA	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 35.7	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96 1986 1974 early 1970's	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) ===  100%  87  100  90  87  100  27(17)  100	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% =====  0.5%  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.1	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65 3.90 5.95
TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2391 West Winton Avenue 560 Forbes Boulevard (12) 430 Rozzi Place	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD  Hayward, CA  So. San Francisco, CA  So. San Francisco, CA	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 35.7 35.7	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96 1986 1974 early 1970's early 1970's	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) ===  100%  87  100  90  87  100  27(17)  100  100	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% =====  0.5%  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.1  0.1	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65 3.90 5.95 5.75
	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2391 West Winton Avenue 560 Forbes Boulevard (12) 430 Rozzi Place	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD  Hayward, CA  So. San Francisco, CA  So. San Francisco, CA	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 35.7 35.7	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96 1986 1974 early 1970's early 1970's	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9	1,591,231 ====================================	100% 87 100 90 87 100 27(17) 100 100 78%(17)	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% =====  0.5%  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.1  0.1  0.1	\$10.22(B) ====== \$5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65 3.90 5.95 5.75 
	SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2391 West Winton Avenue 560 Forbes Boulevard (12) 430 Rozzi Place (12)	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERT  Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD  Hayward, CA  So. San Francisco, CA  So. San Francisco, CA  AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 35.7 35.7 PROPERTIE	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96 1986 1974 early 1970's early 1970's	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9	1,591,231 ====================================	100% 87 100 90 87 100 27(17) 100 100 78%(17)	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% =====  0.5%  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.1  0.1  0.1	\$10.22(B) ====== \$5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65 3.90 5.95 5.75 

ANNUAL NET
EFFECTIVE
RENT PER
LEASED
SQUARE
PROPERTY NAME
FOOT(3)

OFFICE PROPER-TIES: Class A Office Class A Office
Buildings:
599 Lexington
Avenue (4).....
Two Independence
Square (5).....
Democracy Cen-\$47.13 36.80 21.22 34.34 lery...... The U.S. Inter-national Trade 31.11 Commission Building (5) (6)..... One Cambridge 24.79 Center.... 25.57 Ten Cambridge 23.11 Center.... 191 Spring

```
10 & 20 Burling-
ton Mall Road...
                    18.45
Lexington Office
Park.....
                    16.97
Waltham Office
Center....
                    18.54
Three Cambridge
                    20.45
Center.....
Montvale Center
                    18.68
(7)..........
170 Tracer
Lane.....
                    19.08
Bedford Business
Park.....
                    15.78
91 Hartwell Ave-
                    19.71
nue........
33 Hayden Ave-
                    13.47
nue........
Eleven Cambridge
Center.....
                    11.90
100 Hayden Ave-
18.91
Street (8).....
                    34.94
32 Hartwell Ave-
nue........
                    12.00
204 Second Ave-
nue.......
                    19.14
92 Hayden Ave-
                    19.79
nue.....
BDM Interna-
tional Building*
(9).....
201 Spring
Street* (10)....
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR CLASS A OFFICE BUILDINGS (11).... $29.29(A)
                 ========
R&D Properties:
Bedford Business
Park.....
                   $ 9.18
7601 Boston Bou-
levard, Building
Eight (5).....
                    13.85
Fourteen Cam-
bridge Center...
                    18.47
Hilltop Business
Center (12)....
                     8.93
7600 Boston Bou-
levard, Building
Nine....
                    10.20
7500 Boston Bou-
levard, Building
Six(5).....
                     9.98
8000 Grainger
Court, Building
Five.....
                     7.58
7435 Boston Bou-
levard, Building
                     8.07
One.....
7451 Boston Bou-
levard, Building
Two.....
                     8.14
164 Lexington
Road.....
                     7.97
7374 Boston Bou-
levard, Building
Four (5).....
                    10.14
8000 Corporate
Court, Building
Eleven......
                     7.59
7375 Boston Bou-
levard, Building
Ten (5).....
                     7.82
17 Hartwell Ave-
                     6.60
nue........
7700 Boston Bou-
levard, Building
Twelve* (13)....
7501 Boston Bou-
levard, Building
Seven* (14)....
Sugarland Building Two* (15)...
Sugarland Build-
ing One* (15)...
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERTIES...... $ 9.75(B)
                 ========
INDUSTRIAL PROP-
ERTIES:
38 Cabot Boule-
vard (16).....
                   $ 5.38
6201 Columbia
Park Road,
Building Two....
                     6.39
```

Street....

22.26

2000 South Club		
Drive, Building		
Three	7.06	
40-46 Harvard		
Street	4.87	
25-33 Dartmouth		
Street	7.89	
1950 Stanford		
Court, Building		
One	6.93	
2391 West Winton		
Avenue	2.81	
560 Forbes Bou-		
levard (12)	5.37	
430 Rozzi Place		
(12)	5.47	
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED A	AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES	\$ 5.27
	========	
	RAGE FOR OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL	
PROPERTIES		\$23.23(C)
	========	

<sup>\*</sup> These Properties are Development Properties

YEAR ENDED 12/31/96

							YEAR ENDED 12/31/96			
	LOCATION	PERCENT OWNERSHIP		NUMBER OF BUILDINGS			AVERAGE OCCUPANCY	DAILY RATE (ADR)	, , ,	
HOTEL PROPER-										
TIES:										
Long Wharf Marriott	Boston, MA	100.0%	1982	1	402	420,000	86.0%	\$201.18	\$173.01	
Cambridge Center						,				
Marriott	Cambridge, MA	100.0	1986	1	431	330,400	82.1	150.52	123.58	
TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVE	RAGE FOR HOTEL	PROPERTIES	S	2	833	750,400	84.0%	\$174.97		
				===	====	========	====	======	======	
				NUMBER	NUMBER					
		PERCENT		0F	0F					
	LOCATION	OWNERSHIP	BUILT	BUILDINGS	SPACES	FOOTAGE				
GARAGE PROPERTY: Cambridge Center										
North Garage	Cambridge, MA	100.0%	1990	1	1170	332,442				
Total for all										
Properties				72		9,262,882(D)				
				===		========				
	YEAR ENDED 12	/31/95								
	AVERAGE REVEN DAILY AVAI	LABLE								
		DOM AR)(18)								

HOTEL PROPER-

TIES: Long Wharf

\$192.95 \$164.97 Marriott....

Cambridge Center

136.04 Marriott..... 114.14

TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR HOTEL PROPERTIES.... \$163.50 \$138.67

GARAGE PROPERTY: Cambridge Center North Garage.... Total for all Properties.....

- (1) These dates do not include years in which tenant improvements were made to the Properties, except with respect to 25-33 Dartmouth Street and 40-46 Harvard Street, whose interiors were completely rebuilt to satisfy tenant needs in 1996.
- (2) Escalated Rent represents the annualized monthly Base Rent in effect (after giving effect to any contractual increases in monthly Base Rent that have occurred up to December 31, 1996) plus annualized monthly tenant pass-throughs of operating and other expenses (but excluding electricity costs paid by tenants) under each lease executed as of December 31, 1996, or, if such monthly rent has been reduced by a rent concession, the monthly rent that would have been in effect at such date in the absence of such concession.
- (3) Annual Net Effective Rent Per Leased Square Foot represents the Base Rent for the month of December 1996, plus tenant pass-throughs of operating and other expenses (but excluding electricity costs paid by tenants), under each lease executed as of December 31, 1996, presented on a straight-line basis in accordance with GAAP, minus amortization of tenant improvement costs and leasing commissions, if any, paid or payable by the Company during such period, annualized.
- (4) The Company's New York offices are located in this building, where it occupies 12,896 square feet.
- (5) The Property is leased on the basis of net usable square feet (which have been converted to net rentable square feet for purposes of this table) due to the requirements of the General Services Administration.
- (6) The Company's Washington, D.C. offices are located in this building, where
- it occupies 15,612 square feet.

  (7) The Company owns a 75.0% general partner interest in the limited partnership that owns this property. Because of the priority of the Company's partnership interest, the Company expects to receive any partnership distributions that are made with respect to this property.
- (8) The Property, which is used exclusively as the Company's headquarters, was constructed in two phases, circa 1860 and circa 1920. The Company is acting as development manager of these Properties and will
- be the 25.0% managing member of a limited liability company that will own the Properties. The Company's economic interest increases above 25.0% if

certain performance criteria are achieved. The Properties are expected to be completed in 1999 and are 51.1% pre-leased to BDM International.

- (10) The Property, which is currently under development by the Company, is expected to be completed in late 1997 and is 100% committed to Continental Cablevision.
- (11) The Class A Office Buildings contain 3,872 structured parking spaces.
- (12) The Company owns a 35.7% controlling general partnership interest in this
- (13) The Property, which is currently under development by the Company, is expected to be completed in late 1997 and is 100% pre-leased to Autometric, Inc.
- (14) The Property, which is currently under development by the Company, is expected to be completed in late 1997 and is 100% pre-leased to the General Services Administration (for the United States Customs Service).
- (15) The Property, which was acquired by the Company on November 25, 1996, is currently being redeveloped by the Company.
- (16) The original building (100,000 net rentable square feet ) was built in 1972, with an expansion building (61,000 net rentable square feet) completed in 1984.
- (17) The Company's Industrial Property in Hayward, California is currently 27.0% leased. The Company has entered into a letter of intent to lease the remaining space. Excluding this Property, the Industrial Properties had an occupancy rate of 94.0% at December 31, 1996.
  (18) REVPAR is determined by dividing room revenue by available rooms for the
- (18) REVPAR is determined by dividing room revenue by available rooms for the applicable period.
- (A) Does not include three of the Development Properties.
- (B) Does not include four of the Development Properties.
- (C) Does not include the Development Properties.
- (D) Does not include approximately 1.1 million square feet of structured parking that is part of the Office Properties.

### DEVELOPMENT PARCELS

At the completion of the Offering, the Company will own, have under contract, or have an option to develop or acquire six parcels consisting of an aggregate of 47.4 acres of land. The Company believes that this land, some of which needs zoning or other regulatory approvals prior to development, will be able to support an aggregate of approximately 1.0 million square feet of development. The following chart provides additional information with respect to undeveloped parcels:

LOCATION	SUBMARKET	NO. OF PARCELS	ACREAGE	DEVELOPABLE SQUARE FEET (1)
Springfield, VA Lexington, MA Cambridge, MA Andover, MA Total	Fairfax County, VA Route 128 NW East Cambridge, MA Route 495 N	3 1 1 1 	9.4 6.8 4.2 27.0  47.4	130,000 50,000 539,000 290,000  1,009,000
		===	====	=======

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the total square feet of development that the parcel(s) will support.

#### MARKET INFORMATION

#### Greater Boston, Massachusetts

Greater Boston is the seventh largest metropolitan area in the United States and has emerged as one of the top investment centers in the country. The Greater Boston market is characterized by four core industry groups: (i) health care, (ii) information technology, (iii) financial services and (iv) research and development, including both academic and commercial research. According to the Massachusetts Department of Employment and Training, Greater Boston's employment base has expanded by 22% since 1992 to its current size of 1.9 million jobs. As a result of the steady growth in the Greater Boston economy, the local unemployment rate has fallen from 7.0% in 1992 to 3.4% in 1996. In addition, per capita income in Massachusetts grew by 6.4% in 1995, the second largest gain in the country for that year, and grew by another 4.5% in 1996.

Between 1992 and 1996, according to information provided by Spaulding & Slye, the office space availability rate in this market (space currently available direct from landlord or by sublease, or scheduled to become available within 12 months) declined from 16.0% to 8.3% while average quoted rents increased 23%, and space immediately available direct from landlord (the "Direct Vacancy Rate") was only 5.0% at the end of 1996. During the same 1992-96 period, office space supply grew by only 1.3% (351,000 square feet) and there was net absorption of approximately 10.8 million square feet at a relatively steady rate (approximately 1.8 million square feet in 1992, 2.2 million square feet annually 1993-95, and 2.3 million square feet in 1996).

### Greater Washington, D.C.

Greater Washington D.C., including the District of Columbia and the adjacent areas of Northern Virginia and suburban Maryland, is the fifth largest metropolitan area in the country and the heart of the nation's federal government and policy-making activities. Business service industries, including technology-intensive knowledge-based industries such as information management and data communications, have been the economy's engines of growth in the 1990's, expanding substantially from 1992 to 1996. Unemployment in Greater Washington fell from 5.4% in 1992 to 3.4% in 1996, well below the national rate of 5.4%. In 1996, the area had a median household income of \$48,100, the highest in the country.

According to Spaulding & Slye, total office space supply in the Greater Washington area was 244.7 million square feet in 1996 compared to 239.6 million square feet in 1992, an increase of 5.1 million square feet, while during the same period the market absorbed 14.1 million square feet, resulting in a decrease in the availability rate from 14.4% in 1992 to 10.4% in 1996. The absorption was particularly strong in 1995 and 1996, with approximately 9.2 million square feet of absorption and an increase in average asking rent from \$20.85 per square foot to \$22.76 per square foot.

## New York City

New York City is a world renowned business capital and cultural center, with service and retail industries driving its economy. New York remains the nation's leader in financial services and attracts international transactions and global businesses. Despite increasing costs, New York City's economy has remained competitive in the areas of retail/wholesale trade and business services, which combine for over one-half of the City's employment base. The employment base for this sector has increased by 8%, or 87,000 net new jobs, during the past five years. The City's unemployment rate has fallen from 11% in 1992 to 8.8% in 1996.

According to information provided by Insignia/ESG, the Park Avenue Submarket of midtown Manhattan in 1996 consisted of 25.6 million square feet of space, with supply up 200,000 square feet over 1992. Availability rate declined in the same period from 15.1% to 11.4% in midtown Manhattan and asking rent increased from \$40.36 per square foot to \$44.40 per square foot.

The graph below sets forth availability rates and weighted average market quoted rental rates as of December 31 for years 1992 through 1996, with respect to the aggregate values for all submarkets of each of the three general markets, weighted on the basis of total square footage of each submarket.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for the combined submarkets in which the Company's Property's are located in Greater Boston, Greater Washington, D.C. and New York City office markets.

# [GRAPH APPEARS HERE]

# Combined Company Markets /1/ Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate/2/

	COMBINED COMPANY MARKETS
1992	\$27.83
1993	\$30.28
1994	\$30.15
1995	\$30.94
1996	\$31.24
1992	12.1%
1993	11.9%
1994	11.7%
1995	9.7%
1996	8.9%

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes Company submarkets in Greater Boston, Greater Washington, D.C. and New York City.

(2) Weighted by Escalated Rent in each of the Company's submarkets,

Source: Complied from third party sources.

respectively

The following chart shows the geographic location of the Company's Office and Industrial Properties by net rentable square feet and 1996 Escalated Rent:

# NET RENTABLE SQUARE FEET OF OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES

MARKET/SUBMARKET	NUMBER OF PROPERTIES	CLASS A OFFICE BUILDINGS	R&D PROPERTIES	INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES		PERCENT OF TOTAL
GREATER BOSTON						
East Cambridge	5	555,149	67,362		622,511	7.6%
Route 128 NW		,	,		, -	
Bedford, MA	3	90,000	383,704		473,704	5.8
Billerica, MA	1		64,140		64,140	.8
Burlington, MA	2	152,555			152,552	1.9
Lexington, MA		,			,	
(2)	10	790,957	30,000		820,957	10.0
Route 128/MA		,	,			
Turnpike						
Waltham, MA	5	243,890			243,890	3.0
Route 128 SW		,			,	
Westwood, MA	2			247,318	247,318	3.0
Downtown				,	,	
Boston	1	30,526			30,526	0.4
Subtotal	29	1,863,074	545,206	247,318	2,655,598	32.5
GREATER			•	•		
WASHINGTON, D.C.						
SW Washington,						
D.C.(3)	4	1,557,447			1,557,447	19.0
Montgomery		, ,			, ,	
County, MD						
Bethesda, MD	3	680,000			680,000	8.3
Gaithersburg, MD		•			•	
(4)	1	122,157			122,157	1.5
Èairfax County,		,			,	
VA						
Herndon, VA						
(5)	2		112,118		112,118	1.4
Reston, VA (6)	2	440,000			440,000	5.4
Springfield, VA						
(3)(7)	11		789,428		789,428	9.7
Prince George's						
County, MD						
Landover, MD	3			236,743	236,743	2.9
Subtotal	26	2,799,604	901,546	236,743	3,937,893	48.1
MIDTOWN MANHATTAN						
Park Avenue	1	1,000,070			1,000,070	12.2
GREATER SAN						
FRANCISCO						
Hayward, CA	1			221,000	221,000	2.7
San Francisco,						
CA (8)	11		144,479	60,000	204,479	2.5
Subtotal	12		144,479	281,000	425,479	5.2
BUCKS COUNTY,						
PA	1			161,000	161,000	2.0
T0TAL	69	5,662,748		926,061	8,180,040	100.00%
	===	=======		======	=======	=====
PERCENT OF TOTAL		69.2%	19.5%	11.3%	100.0%	
NUMBER OF OFFICE A				-		
INDUSTRIAL PROPERT	1ES	33	27	9	69	

# 1996 ESCALATED RENT OF OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES (1)

MARKET/SUBMARKET	CLASS A OFFICE BUILDINGS	R&D PROPERTIES	INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES	TOTAL	PERCENT OF TOTAL
GREATER BOSTON					
East Cambridge Route 128 NW	\$ 13,792,266	\$ 1,315,519	\$	\$ 15,107,785	8.6%
Bedford, MA	1,513,011	3,265,991		4,779,002	2.7
Billerica, MA	· · · ·	598,478		598,478	0.3
	3,131,736	,		3,131,736	1.8
Lexington, MA					
(2)	12,161,399	198,000		12,359,399	7.0
Route 128/MA					
Turnpike					
Waltham, MA	5,069,112			5,069,112	2.9
Route 128 SW					
Westwood, MA			1,336,463	1,336,463	0.8
Downtown	1 000 170			1 000 170	0.6
Boston	1,080,172			1,080,172	0.0
Subtotal GREATER	36,747,696	5,377,988	1,336,463	43,462,147	24.7

WASHINGTON, D.C. SW Washington,					
D.C.(3) Montgomery	52,738,757			52,738,757	30.0
County, MD Bethesda, MD Gaithersburg, MD	15,047,361			15,047,361	8.6
(4)	2,195,966			2,195,966	1.2
Fairfax County, VA					
Herndon, VA (5)					
Reston, VA (6)					
Springfield, VA					
(3)(7)		6,608,446		6,608,446	3.8
Príncé George's		, ,		, ,	
County, MD					
Landover, MD			1,734,547	1,734,547	1.0
Cubtotol	60 002 004	6 600 446	1 704 547	70 225 077	44.6
Subtotal MIDTOWN MANHATTAN	69,982,084	6,608,446	1,734,547	78,325,077	44.6
Park Avenue	51,470,410			51,470,410	29.3
GREATER SAN	01,470,410			01,470,410	20.0
FRANCISCO					
Hayward, CA			234,000	234,000	0.1
San Francisco,					
CA (8)		1,030,288	352,949	1,383,237	0.8
Subtotal	\$	1,030,288	586,949	1,617,237	0.0
BUCKS COUNTY,	ъ	1,030,288	580,949	1,017,237	0.9
PA		_	865,613	865,613	0.5
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
T0TAL			\$4,523,572	\$175,740,484	100.0%
DEDCENT OF TOTAL	=========		7 40/	2 60/	100 00/
PERCENT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFICE A		90.0%	7.4%	2.6%	100.0%
INDUSTRIAL PROPERT		33	27	9	69

WASHINGTON D C

- (1) Escalated Rent represents the annualized monthly Base Rent in effect (after giving effect to any contractual increases in monthly Base Rent that have occurred up to December 31, 1996) plus annualized monthly tenant pass-throughs of operating and other expenses (but excluding electricity costs paid by tenants) under each lease executed as of December 31, 1996, or, if such monthly rent has been reduced by a rent concession, the monthly rent that would have been in effect at such date in the absence of such concession.
- (2) Does not include 1996 Escalated Rent for one Class A Office Building
- currently under development by the Company.

  (3) Certain of such Properties are leased on the basis of net usable square feet (which have been converted to net rentable square feet for purposes of this table) due to the requirements of the General Services Administration.
- (4) The Company will own a 75.0% general partner interest in the limited partnership that will own this property. Because of the priority of the Company's partnership interest, the Company expects to receive any partnership distributions that are made with respect to this property.
- (5) Does not include 1996 Escalated Rent for two R&D Properties currently under redevelopment by the Company.
- (6) Does not include 1996 Escalated Rent for two Class A Office Buildings currently under development by the Company. The Company is acting as development manager of, and will be the 25.0% managing member of a limited liability company that will own the Properties. The Company's economic interest may increase above 25.0% depending upon the achievement of certain performance goals.
- (7) Does not include 1996 Escalated Rent for two Office Properties currently under development by the Company.
- (8) The Company will own a 35.7% controlling general partnership interest in the nine R&D Properties and two Industrial Properties located in Greater San Francisco, California.

#### UNSECURED LINE OF CREDIT

Upon completion of the Offering, the Company expects to have a three-year \$300 million Unsecured Line of Credit with the Line of Credit Bank to facilitate its development and acquisition activities and for working capital purposes. At the closing of the Offering, the Company expects to borrow approximately \$40.1 million under the Unsecured Line of Credit to repay indebtedness incurred in connection with the Development Properties.

#### STRUCTURE AND FORMATION OF THE COMPANY

# FORMATION TRANSACTIONS

Each Property that will be owned by the Company at the completion of the Offering is currently owned by a partnership (a "Property Partnership") of which Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and others affiliated with Boston Properties, Inc. control the managing general partner and, in most cases, a majority economic interest. The other direct or indirect investors in the Property Partnerships include persons formerly affiliated with Boston Properties, Inc., as well as private investors (including former owners of the land on which the Properties were developed) who are not affiliated with Boston Properties, Inc.

Prior to or simultaneously with the completion of the Offering, the Company will engage in the transactions described below (the "Formation Transactions"), which are designed to consolidate the ownership of the Properties and the commercial real estate business of the Company in the Operating Partnership, to facilitate the Offering and to enable the Company to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with the taxable year ending December 31. 1997.

- Boston Properties, Inc., a Massachusetts corporation that was founded in 1970, will be reorganized to change its jurisdiction of organization to Delaware.
- . The Operating Partnership will be organized as a Delaware limited partnership.
- . The Company will sell 29,500,000 shares of Common Stock in the Offering and will contribute approximately \$682.7 million, the net proceeds of the Offering, to the Operating Partnership in exchange for an equivalent number of OP Units.
- . Pursuant to one or more option, contribution or merger agreements, (i) certain Property Partnerships will contribute Properties to the Operating Partnership, or will merge into the Operating Partnership, in exchange for OP Units and the assumption of debt, and the partners of such Property Partnerships will receive such OP Units either directly as merger consideration or as a distribution from the Property Partnership, and (ii) certain persons, both affiliated and not affiliated with the Company, will contribute their direct and indirect interests in certain Property Partnerships to the Operating Partnership in exchange for OP Units.
- . Prior to the completion of the Offering, the Company will contribute substantially all of its Greater Washington, D.C. third-party property management business to Boston Properties Management, Inc. (the "Development and Management Company"), a subsidiary of the Operating Partnership. In order to retain qualification as a REIT, the Operating Partnership will own a 1.0% voting interest but will hold a 95.0% economic interest in the Development and Management Company. The remaining voting and economic interest will be held by officers and directors of the Development and Management Company. In addition, the other management and development operations of the Company will be contributed to the Operating Partnership.
- . In connection with the transactions described in the preceding two paragraphs, the Company will issue a total of OP Units.

- . The contribution to the Operating Partnership of the Properties or of the direct and indirect interests in the Property Partnerships is subject to all of the terms and conditions of the related option, merger and contribution agreements. With respect to direct or indirect contributions of interests to the Property Partnerships, the Operating Partnership will assume all the rights, obligations and responsibilities of the holders of such interests. The transfer of such interests is subject to the completion of the Offering. Any working capital or other cash balance of the Property Partnership as of immediately prior to the Offering will be distributed to the holders of such interests prior to the contribution to the Operating Partnership. The contribution agreements with respect to such interests generally contain representations only with respect to the ownership of such interests by the holders thereof and certain other limited matters.
- . The Operating Partnership will enter into a participating lease with ZL Hotel LLC. Marriott Hotels, Inc. will continue to manage the Hotel Properties under the Marriott(R) name pursuant to management agreements with ZL Hotel LLC. Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will be the sole membermanagers of the lessee and will own a 9.8% economic interest in ZL Hotel LLC. ZL Hotel Corp. will own the remaining economic interests in ZL Hotel LLC. One or more unaffiliated public charities will own all of the capital stock of ZL Hotel Corp.
- . The Company, through the Operating Partnership, expects to enter into the \$300 million Unsecured Credit Facility prior to or concurrently with the completion of the foregoing Formation Transactions.
- . Approximately \$659.4 million of the net proceeds of the Offering, together with \$40.1 million drawn under the Unsecured Line of Credit, will be used by the Operating Partnership to repay certain mortgage debt secured by the Properties and to refinance existing indebtedness on Development Properties, the interest on which will continue to be capitalized during the development period.

As a result of the Formation Transactions, (i) the Company will own OP Units, which will represent an approximately % economic interest in the Operating Partnership, and Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and other persons with a direct or indirect interest in the Property Partnerships will own OP Units, which will represent the remaining approximately % economic interest in the Operating Partnership and (ii) the Company will indirectly own a fee interest in all of the Properties. At the completion of the Formation Transactions, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will own an aggregate of shares of Common Stock and OP Units.

In forming the Company, the Company will succeed to the ownership of each of the Properties or the interests therein based upon a value for such property determined by the Company. The valuation of the Company as a whole has been determined based primarily upon a multiple of estimated funds from operations and adjusted funds from operations attributable to all assets of the Company, including the Company's interests in the Development and Management Company.

# CONSEQUENCES OF THE OFFERING AND THE FORMATION TRANSACTIONS

Upon completion of the Formation Transactions, the Company will indirectly own a fee interest in all of the Properties. The Operating Partnership will hold substantially all of the assets of the Company. Based on the assumed initial public offering price of the Common Stock, (i) the purchasers of Common Stock in the Offering will own % of the outstanding Common Stock (or % assuming exchange of all OP Units for shares of Common Stock), (ii) the Company will be the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and will own

% of the interests in the Operating Partnership and (iii) Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will beneficially own, directly or indirectly through affiliates (not including the Company), a total of shares of Common Stock and OP Units (representing a % economic interest in the Company). Pursuant to the partnership agreement governing the Operating Partnership (the "Operating Partnership Agreement"), persons receiving OP Units in the Formation Transactions will have certain rights, beginning fourteen months after the completion of the Offering, to cause the Operating Partnership to redeem their OP Units for cash, or, at the election of the Company, to exchange their OP Units for shares of Common Stock on a one-for-one basis. See "Underwriting"

for certain transfer restrictions with respect to the OP Units and to shares of Common Stock issued in exchange for such OP Units that are applicable to Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and other senior officers of the Company.

The aggregate estimated value to be given by the Operating Partnership for the Properties or for interests in the Property Partnerships, and for the development and management business of the Company, is approximately \$ billion, consisting of OP Units having a value of \$ million and the assumption of \$1.34 billion of indebtedness. The aggregate book value of the interests and assets to be transferred to the Operating Partnership is approximately negative \$528.9 million. The Company does not believe that the book value of such interests and assets reflects the fair market value of such interests and assets.

No independent third-party appraisals, valuations or fairness opinions have been obtained by the Company in connection with the Formation Transactions. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the value of the OP Units received in the Formation Transactions by persons with interests in the Property Partnerships is equivalent to the fair market value of the interests and assets acquired by the Operating Partnership. See "Risk Factors--No Assurance as to Value."

The following diagram depicts the ownership structure of the Company and the Operating Partnership upon completion of the Offering and the Formation Transactions:

# Boston Properties, Inc., Including its Principal Subsidiaries

Public Shareholders ( %) M. Zuckerman,
E. Linde and
affiliated parties
( %)

# BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC. ("COMPANY")

Hotel Properties

M. Zuckerman,			General	M. Zuckerman	Public
E. Linde and	0ther	0ther	Partner	and	Chairities
affiliated parties	Management	Limited Partners	Interest	E. Linde	
·	ū		(1.0%)/		
Limited	Limited	Limited	Limitéd	Managing	Membership
Partner	Partner	Partner	Partner	Membership	Interest
Interests	Interests	Interests	Interest	Interest	(90.2%)
( %)	( %)	( %)	( %)	(9.8%)	,
Boston Properties	s	Rental	ZL Hotel LLC		
Limited Partnersh		Payments	(Lessee of Hotel Prop	erties)	
("Operating Partners	•	on the	(	,	
( opo. aczng rareners		011 6116			

Officers of the Development and Voting Management Interest (1%)/
Economic Voting Interest Interest (95%) (99%)/
Economic Interest (5%)

Management Contract

Marriotts(R) Hotels, Inc. as Hotel Operator

Boston Properties Management, Inc. ("Development and Management Company")

#### BENEFITS TO RELATED PARTIES

Certain affiliates of the Company will realize certain material benefits in connection with the Formation Transactions, including the following:

- . In respect of their respective ownership interests in the Property Partnerships and the development and management business of the Company, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will become beneficial owners of a total of shares of Common Stock and OP Units, with a total value of approximately \$ million based on the assumed initial public offering price of the Common Stock, which value may differ from the fair market value of such interests and assets. Other persons who will be officers of the Company at the completion of the Offering will receive OP Units for their interests in the Property Partnerships.
- . Approximately \$699.5 million of indebtedness secured by the Properties (including \$40.1 million due to Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde for amounts loaned in connection with the Development Properties), and the related additional and accrued interest thereon, to be assumed by the Operating Partnership will be repaid in the Formation Transactions. A portion of this debt was previously guaranteed by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde. In addition, guarantees by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde with respect to certain other indebtedness that is not being repaid in the Formation Transactions may be released. To the extent such guarantees are not released, the Operating Partnership will agree to indemnify Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde for any damages that may arise due to the failure of the Operating Partnership to repay such amounts when due.
- . Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and others receiving OP Units in connection with the Formation Transactions will have registration rights with respect to shares of Common Stock that may be issued in exchange for OP Units.
- . In connection with certain development projects or rights, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde have personally guaranteed, in certain instances, performance of contractual obligations. In connection with the Formation Transactions, they will be relieved of such guarantees or, to the extent not so relieved, indemnified by the Operating Partnership for damages to them that may arise from the Operating Partnership's failure to perform such obligations in accordance with their terms.

### RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFER

Under the Operating Partnership Agreement, persons receiving OP Units in the Formation Transactions are prohibited from transferring such OP Units, except under certain limited circumstances, for a period of one year. In addition, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and the other senior officers of the Company have agreed not to sell any shares of Common Stock owned by them at the completion of the Offering or acquired by them upon exchange of OP Units for a period of two years after the completion of the Offering without the consent of Merrill Lynch & Co.

## RESTRICTIONS ON OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK

Due to limitations on the concentration of ownership of stock of a REIT imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and to otherwise address concerns relating to concentration of capital stock ownership, the certificate of incorporation of the Company (the "Certificate") prohibits any stockholder from actually or beneficially owning more than % of the outstanding shares of Common Stock (the "Ownership Limit"), except that each of Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde together with certain family members and affiliates of each, and certain "look through entities," may actually and beneficially own up to 15.0% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock. See "Risk Factors--Risks Relating to Control of the Company" and "Description of Capital Stock--Restrictions on Transfers."

#### THE OFFERING

All of the shares of Common Stock offered hereby are being sold by the Company. None of the Company's stockholders are selling any Common Stock in the Offering.

Common Stock Offered	23,600,000
Use of Proceeds  Proposed NYSE Symbol	general corporate and working capital purposes

- -----

- (1) Excludes shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance pursuant to the Stock Option Plan, of which not more than shares will be subject to outstanding options upon completion of the Offering.
- (2) Includes shares of Common Stock that may be issued in exchange for OP Units (which are redeemable by the holders for cash or, at the election of the Company, shares of Common Stock on a one-for-one basis beginning fourteen months after completion of the Offering). Excludes shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance pursuant to the Stock Option Plan.

### **DISTRIBUTIONS**

The Company intends to make regular quarterly distributions to its stockholders. The Company intends to pay a pro rata distribution with respect to the period commencing on the completion of the Offering and ending on June 30, 1997, based upon \$ per share for a full quarter. On an annualized basis, this would be \$ per share (of which the Company currently estimates \$ may represent a return of capital for tax purposes), or an annual distribution rate of % based on the initial public offering price per share of \$25.00. The Company estimates that this initial distribution will represent approximately

% of estimated Cash Available for Distribution for the 12 months ended December 31, 1997. The Company established this distribution rate based upon an estimate of Cash Available for Distribution after the Offering. See "Distributions" for information as to how this estimate was derived. The Company intends to maintain its initial distribution rate for the twelve-month period following completion of the Offering unless actual results of operations, economic conditions or other factors differ materially from the assumptions used in its estimate. Distributions by the Company will be determined by the Board of Directors and will be dependent upon a number of factors. The Company believes that its estimate of Cash Available for Distribution constitutes a reasonable basis for setting the initial distribution; however, no assurance can be given that the estimate will prove accurate, and actual distributions may therefore be significantly different from the expected distributions. In addition, in order to maintain its qualification as a REIT under the Code, the Company is required to distribute currently 95% of its taxable income. See "Distributions." The Company does not intend to reduce the expected distribution per share if the Underwriters' overallotment option is exercised.

## TAX STATUS OF THE COMPANY

The Company intends to elect to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Code, commencing with its taxable year ending December 31, 1997. The Company believes, and has obtained an opinion of tax counsel to the effect that, its organization and proposed method of operation will enable it to meet the requirements for qualification as a REIT. To maintain REIT status, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 95% of its taxable income to its stockholders. As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to federal income tax on net income it distributes currently to its stockholders. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates. See "Federal Income Tax Consequences--Failure to Qualify" and "Risk Factors--Failure to Qualify as a REIT." Even if the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, the Company may be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on its income and property.

#### SUMMARY SELECTED ETNANCIAL INFORMATION

The following table sets forth unaudited pro forma financial and other information for the Company and combined historical financial information for the Boston Properties Predecessor Group. The following summary selected financial information should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Prospectus.

The combined historical balance sheets as of December 31, 1996 and 1995 and the combined statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 1996, 1995 and 1994 of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group have been derived from the historical combined financial statements audited by Coopers & Lybrand, L.L.P., independent accountants, whose report with respect thereto is included elsewhere in this Prospectus.

Unaudited pro forma operating information for the year ended December 31, 1996 is presented as if the completion of the Offering and the Formation Transactions occurred at January 1, 1996, and, therefore, incorporates certain assumptions that are described in the notes to the Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations included elsewhere in this Prospectus. The unaudited pro forma balance sheet data is presented as if the aforementioned transactions had occurred on December 31, 1996.

The pro forma information does not purport to represent what the Company's financial position or results of operations would actually have been if these transactions had, in fact, occurred on such date or at the beginning of the period indicated, or to project the Company's financial position or results of operations at any future date or for any future period.

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,

		YEA	R ENDED DEC	EMBER 31,		
	PRO FORMA 1996	1996	1995		1993	
			NDS, EXCEPT			
OPERATING DATA: Revenues:						
Rental revenue (1) Hotel revenue (1) Fee and other income		\$ 179,925 65,678			\$ 166,904 54,788	\$ 162,044 52,682
(2)	7,470	9,589				11,553
Total revenues Expenses: Property expenses	204,623	255,192	233,480	228,817	230,122	226,279
(2) Hotel expenses (1) General and adminis-		54,722 46,734		49,878 42,753	51,152 40,286	46,451 38,957
trative	11,054 49,275	10,698 97,357			9,549 78,840	9,331 80,727
amortization Other depreciation and	34,143	34,143	31,731	31,003	30,793	32,595
amortization	2,076	2,759	2,348			
Total expenses Income (loss) before extraordinary item and minority interest in		246,413			213,204	210,246
combined partnership Minority interest in a						
combined partnership	(384)	(384)	(276)	(411)	(391)	(374)
Income (loss) before extraordinary item Extraordinary itemloss on early extinguishment	54,028	8,395		6,598	16,527	15,659
of debt		(994)				
( - )						
Net income (loss)		\$ 7,401 ======			\$ 16,527 ======	
Net income per share Weighted average number of shares outstanding	\$					
Weighted average number of shares and OP Units outstanding						
BALANCE SHEET DATA, AT PERIOD END: Real estate, before						
accumulated depreciation Real estate, after accu-	\$987,975	\$ 978,106	\$ 952,837	\$ 925,553	\$ 924,450	\$ 923,044
mulated depreciation Cash and cash equiva-	734,352	724,483	725,327	721,177	738,361	759,652
lents Total assets	7,405	5,611	22,791 856,714	42,990 873,061	47,735	25,620
Total Indebtedness Stockholders' or owners'	829,008 654,674	833,211 1,336,620	1,295,264	1,306,680	893,440 1,319,472	902,590 1,310,348
equity (deficiency) OTHER DATA:	148,924	(528,932)	(461,552)	(458,048)	(451,800)	(437,434)
EBITDA (4) Funds from operations	\$139,222	,	\$ 126,383	,	,	\$ 130,864
(5) Cash flow from operating	80,573	34,940	27,107	37,488	47,174	48,120
activities  Cash flow from investing		50,442	28,959	45,398	58,788	46,623
activities Cash flow from financing		(23, 420)	(36,658)	(18, 424)		(38, 408)
activities		(44, 202)	(12,500)	(31,719)	(27,251)	14,881

<sup>(1)</sup> Rental revenue for pro forma 1996 includes the lease revenue that the Company will receive under the lease for the two Hotel Properties. After entering into such lease, the Company will not recognize direct hotel revenues and expenses.

<sup>(2)</sup> The development and management operations of the Company are reflected on a gross basis in the historical combined financial statements. In connection with the Formation Transactions, substantially all of the Greater Washington D.C. third party property management business will be contributed by the Company to the Development and Management Company and thereafter the operations of the Development and Management Company will be accounted for by the Company under the equity method in the pro forma

statements; therefore, the pro forma statements include (i) revenues and expenses on a gross basis, from development and management conducted directly by the Operating Partnership in the respective income and expense line items and (ii) the Development and Management Company's net operations in the fee and other income line item. See "Business and Properties--Development Consulting, Property Management and Third Party Management."

- (3) Represents the approximate % interest in the Operating Partnership that will be owned by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and other continuing investors in the Properties.
- (4) EBITDA means operating income before mortgage and other interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. The Company believes EBITDA is useful to investors as an indicator of the Company's ability to service debt or pay cash distributions. EBITDA, as calculated by the Company, is not comparable to EBITDA reported by other REITs that do not define EBITDA exactly as the Company defines that term. EBITDA does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to operating income or net income as an indicator of performance or as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities as an indicator of liquidity. EBITDA for the respective periods is calculated as follows:

## YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,

	PRO FORMA 1996	1996	1995	1994	1993	1992
EBITDA						
Income (loss) before minority interest and						
extraordinary item	\$ 54,412	\$ 8,779	\$ (4,242)	\$ 7,009	\$ 16,918	\$ 16,033
Add:						
Interest expense	49,275	97,357	97,139	85,595	78,840	80,727
Real estate deprecia-						
tion and amortiza-						
tion	34,143	34,143	31,731	31,003	30,793	32,595
Other depreciation and						
amortization	2,076	2,759	2,348	2,456	2,584	2,185
Less: Minority combined						
partnership's						
share of EBITDA	(684)	(684)	(593)	(699)	(699)	(676)
EBITDA	\$139,222	\$142,354	\$126,383	\$125,364	\$128,463	\$130,864
	=======	======	======	=======	=======	=======
EBITDA (Company's						
% Share)	\$					

(5) The Company generally considers Funds from Operations an appropriate measure of liquidity of an equity REIT because industry analysts have accepted it as a performance measure of equity REITs. "Funds from Operations" as defined by NAREIT means net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) excluding significant non-recurring items, gains (or losses) from debt restructuring and sale of property plus depreciation and amortization on real estate assets, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The Company's Funds from Operations are not comparable to Funds from Operations reported by other REITs that do not define that term using the current NAREIT definition or that interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than does the Company. The Company believes that in order to facilitate a clear understanding of the combined historical operating results of the Properties and the Company, funds from Operations should be examined in conjunction with the income (loss) as presented in the audited combined financial statements and information included elsewhere in this Prospectus. Funds from Operations should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of the Company's financial performance or to cash flow from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of the Company's liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund the Company's cash needs, including its ability to make distributions.

## YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,

Funds from operations	\$80,573 ======	\$34,940 =====	\$27,107 ======	\$37,488 ======	\$47,174 ======	\$48,120 =====
Non-recurring item significant lease termination fee(A)	(7,503)	(7,503)				
Less: Minority combined partnership's share of funds from operations	(479)	(479)	(382)	(524)	(537)	(508)
Real estate depreciation and amortization	34,143	34,143	31,731	31,003	30,793	32,595
FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS Income (loss) before minority interest and extraordinary item Add:	\$54,412	\$ 8,779	\$(4,242)	\$ 7,009	\$16,918	\$16,033
	1996	1996	1995	1994	1993	1992

DDU EUDMV

Funds from operations (Company's % Share).....\$ \$ \$ \$

\$

<sup>(</sup>A) For Funds from Operations reporting purposes, the Company believes this lease termination fee to be non-recurring.

#### RISK FACTORS

Prospective investors should carefully consider the following matters in conjunction with the other information contained in this Prospectus before purchasing shares of Common Stock in the Offering.

#### REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT RISKS

The Company intends to pursue the development of office, industrial and hotel properties, both for the Company's ownership and on a third-party feefor-services basis. See "Business and Growth Strategies." To the extent that the Company engages in such development activities, it will be subject to the risks normally associated with such activities. Such risks include, without limitation, risks relating to the availability and timely receipt of zoning, land use, building, occupancy, and other regulatory approvals, the cost and timely completion of construction (including risks from causes beyond the Company's control, such as weather, labor conditions or material shortages) and the availability of construction financing on favorable terms. These risks could result in substantial unanticipated delays or expense and, under certain circumstances, could prevent completion of development activities once undertaken, any of which could have an adverse effect on the financial condition and results of operations of the Company and on the amount of funds available for distribution to stockholders.

### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

### Influence of Directors, Officers and Significant Stockholders

Officers and directors of the Company, including Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde, will receive material benefits at the completion of the Offering, including receipt of an aggregate of OP Units and repayment of approximately \$699.5 million of indebtedness owed by the partnerships in which they had a direct or indirect interest. Upon completion of the Offering, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will own an aggregate of shares of Common Stock and OP Units representing approximately a % economic interest in the Company. Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde also will receive certain benefits from the Formation Transactions that will not generally be received by other participants in the Formation Transactions. Such benefits include, without limitation, repayment of guaranteed indebtedness and the release of guarantees and deferral of tax consequences of contribution of the Properties to the Operating Partnership. See "Certain Transactions--Formation Transactions. Depending on their particular tax situations, Messrs. Zuckerman and/or Linde could have interests that conflict with the interests of other holders of shares of Common Stock. Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will have substantial influence on the management and operations of the Company and, as stockholders, on the outcome of any matters submitted to a vote of the stockholders, and such influence might be exercised in a manner inconsistent with the interests of other stockholders. See "Management--Directors and Executive Officers" and "Principal Stockholders."

# Tax Consequences of Sale of Properties

Certain holders of OP Units, including Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde, may incur adverse tax consequences upon the sale of certain of the Properties to be owned by the Company at the completion of the Formation Transactions or the repayment of certain indebtedness which are different from the tax consequences to the Company and persons who purchase shares of Common Stock in the Offering. Consequently, such holders may have different objectives regarding the appropriate pricing and timing of any such sale or repayment of indebtedness. While the Company will have the exclusive authority under the Operating Partnership Agreement to determine whether, when, and on what terms to sell a Property or when to refinance or repay indebtedness, any such decision would require the approval of the Board of Directors. As Directors of the Company, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will have substantial influence with respect to any such decision, and such influence could be exercised in a manner inconsistent with the interests of some, or a majority, of the Company's stockholders, including in a manner which could prevent completion of a Property sale or the repayment of indebtedness. See "--Make-Whole Payments, " and "Operating Partnership Agreement -- Management."

#### Other Real Estate Interests

Three properties (the "Excluded Properties") that are managed by the Company and in which Messrs. Zuckerman and/or Linde hold ownership interests are not being contributed to the Company as part of the Formation Transactions. For a description of each of the Excluded Properties and certain option agreements related to such properties, see "Policies with Respect to Certain Activities--Conflict of Interest Policies--Excluded Properties." Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will continue to hold ownership interests in the Excluded Properties that are not contributed to the Operating Partnership. The Excluded Properties are located in the same markets as and may compete with the Company's Properties. Upon completion of the Offering, the Excluded Properties will be managed by the Development and Management Company in return for a specified management fee. There is no assurance, however, that the Excluded Properties will continue to be managed by the Development and Management Company.

### Continued Involvement in Other Investment Activities

Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde have a broad and varied range of investment interests. It is possible that companies in which one or both of Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde has or may acquire an interest, and which are not directly involved in real estate investment activities, will be owners of real property and will acquire real property in the future. However, pursuant to their employment and non-compete agreements with the Company, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will not, in general, have management control over such companies and, therefore, they may not be able to prevent one or more such companies from engaging in activities that are in competition with activities of the Company. See "Management--Employment Agreements."

### MAKE-WHOLE PAYMENTS

The Operating Partnership Agreement provides that, for a period of 15 years following the completion of the Offering (the "Make-Whole Period"), in connection with the sale of, or the repayment or refinancing of indebtedness on, any of the Designated Properties (as defined hereafter), the Company will pay each person who contributed an interest in the Designated Property an amount representing the federal and state income tax liability (assuming the highest marginal federal and state income tax rates) associated with the recognition of gain by such person in connection with any such transaction (the "Make-Whole Amount"). No Make-Whole Amount would be due in the case of a transaction that does not result in the recognition of gain for tax purposes (such as Section 1031 "like-kind" exchanges under the Code). In order to reduce the amount of gain that might be recognized by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde, they have agreed to guaranty, at the request of the Company in connection with the sale of, or reduction of indebtedness on, any of the Designated Properties, any other indebtedness of the Company, provided that the aggregate amount of such guarantees, together with any then existing guarantees of Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde of indebtedness of the Company, shall not exceed the amounts of \$ and \$ , respectively (such amounts being the respective amounts of indebtedness guaranteed by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde immediately following completion of the Offering).

The "Designated Properties" consist of the following properties: 599
Lexington Avenue, Independence Square, Capital Gallery, Democracy Center, the
U.S. International Trade Commission Building, Bedford Business Park, One
Cambridge Center, Long Wharf Marriott and Cambridge Center Marriott. As of
December 31, 1996, the Designated Properties accounted in the aggregate for
73.3% of the Company's Escalated Rent plus assumed annual participating lease
revenue with respect to the Hotel Properties. Payment of a Make-Whole Amount
may materially increase the cost and reduce the potential gain of the Company
in connection with the sale of, or the reduction of indebtedness on, a
Designated Property. The Make-Whole provisions may cause the Company to decide
not to sell, or to not reduce the indebtedness on, one or more of the
Designated Properties even if such sale, repayment or refinancing would be in
the best interests of the stockholders of the Company to do so in the absence
of the payment of Make-Whole Amounts. See "Operating Partnership Agreement-Make-Whole Provisions."

# NO ASSURANCE AS TO VALUE

The terms of the Formation Transactions were not determined by arm's-length negotiations. The value of the Company was not determined on a property-by-property basis because, in the view of management, the

appropriate basis for valuing the Company is as an ongoing business enterprise, rather than as a collection of assets. Therefore, the Company did not obtain third-party appraisals of the Properties or valuations of the Company. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the value of shares of Common Stock and OP Units issued in respect of the assets the Company will succeed to in connection with the Formation Transactions accurately reflects the respective fair market values of such assets. The total market capitalization of the Company at the initial public offering price may not be indicative of, and may exceed, the aggregate value of the individual Properties and assets of the Company that would have been determined by appraisals if such appraisals had been obtained. See "Structure and Formation of the Company--Determination and Valuation of Ownership Interests."

RISKS RELATING TO CONTROL OF THE COMPANY

Ability to Change Policies without Stockholder Approval

The Company's operating and financial policies, including its policies with respect to acquisitions, growth, operations, indebtedness, capitalization and distributions, will be determined by the Company's Board of Directors. Accordingly, stockholders will have little direct control over the Company's policies, other than its policy of maintaining its qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. See "Policies With Respect to Certain Activities."

Ability to Engage in Investment Activity Without Stockholder Approval

In the future, the Company expects to acquire additional real estate assets pursuant to its investment strategies and consistent with its investment policies. See "Business and Growth Strategies--External Growth" and "Policies with Respect to Certain Activities--Investment Policies." The stockholders of the Company will generally not be entitled to receive historical financial statements regarding, or to vote on, any such acquisition and, instead, will be required to rely entirely on the decisions of management.

Possible Adverse Consequences of Ownership Limit

In order to maintain its qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, not more than 50% in value of the outstanding stock of the Company may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities). See "Federal Income Tax Consequences--Requirements for Qualification." In order to facilitate maintenance of its qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. and to otherwise address concerns relating to concentration of capital stock ownership, the Company generally has prohibited ownership, directly or by virtue of the attribution provisions of the Code, by any single stockholder (which does not include certain pension plans or mutual funds) of more than % of the issued and outstanding shares of the Company's Common Stock (the "Ownership Limit"). The Board of Directors may waive or modify the Ownership Limit with respect to one or more persons if it is satisfied, based upon the advice of tax counsel, that ownership in excess of this limit will not jeopardize the Company's status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Notwithstanding the above, the Company's Certificate provides that each of Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde, along with certain family members and affiliates of each of Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde, respectively, as well as, in general, pension plans and mutual funds, may actually and beneficially own up to 15% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock. The Ownership Limit may have the effect of inhibiting or impeding a change in control and, therefore, could adversely affect the stockholders' ability to realize a premium over the thenprevailing market price for the Common Stock in connection with such a transaction.

Limitations on Acquisition of and Changes in Control Contained in the Certificate and Bylaws and in the Operating Partnership Agreement

Certain provisions of the Company's Certificate and Bylaws (the "Bylaws") and of the Operating Partnership Agreement may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making an acquisition proposal for the Company or of impeding a change in control of the Company under circumstances that could otherwise provide the holders of shares of Common Stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of such shares. The Ownership Limit described in the preceding paragraph also may have

the effect of precluding acquisition of control of the Company even if such a change in control were in the best interests of some, or a majority, of the Company's stockholders. In addition, the Board of Directors has been divided into three classes, the initial terms of which expire in 1998, 1999 and 2000, with directors of a given class chosen for three-year terms upon expiration of the terms of the members of that class. The staggered terms of the members of the Board of Directors may adversely affect the stockholders' ability to effect a change in control of the Company, even if such a change in control were in the best interests of some, or a majority, of the Company's stockholders. See "Management--Directors and Executive Officers." The Certificate authorizes the Board of Directors to issue shares of preferred stock ("Preferred Stock") in series and to establish the rights and preferences of any series of Preferred Stock so issued. See "Description of Capital Stock--Preferred Stock" and "Certain Provisions of Delaware law and the Company's Certificate and Bylaws--Preferred Stock." The issuance of Preferred Stock also could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of the Company, even if such a change in control were in the best interests of some, or a majority, of the Company's stockholders. No shares of Preferred Stock will be issued or outstanding immediately subsequent to the Offering and the Company has no present intention to issue any such shares.

The Operating Partnership Agreement provides that the Company may not in general engage in a business combination unless the holders of OP Units will receive, or have the opportunity to receive, the same consideration per OP Unit as holders of Common Stock receive per share of Common Stock in the transaction; if holders of OP Units will not be treated in such manner in connection with a proposed business combination, the Company may not engage in such transaction unless limited partners (other than the Company) holding at least 75% of the OP Units held by limited partners vote to approve the business combination. In addition, the Operating Partnership Agreement provides that if the Company proposes to engage in a business combination for which it seeks the approval of a certain percentage (the "Required Percentage") of the outstanding voting stock of the Company, it may not engage in such transaction unless a vote of the limited partners of the Operating Partnership (other than the Company) is taken and the result of the votes of OP Unit holders and stockholders is that the Required Percentage of OP Units and voting stock, taken together, has approved of the proposed business combination. As a result of these provisions of the Operating Partnership, a third party may be inhibited from making an acquisition proposal that it would otherwise make, or the Company, despite having the requisite authority under its Certificate of Incorporation, may not be authorized to engage in a proposed business combination.

# Shareholder Rights Plan

The Company has adopted a Shareholder Rights Plan. The Shareholder Rights Plan may have the effect of inhibiting or impeding a change in control and, therefore, could adversely affect the stockholders' ability to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price for the Common Stock in connection with such a transaction. See "Description of Capital Stock-Shareholder Rights Plan."

Limitations on Acquisition of and Changes in Control Pursuant to Delaware Law

Certain provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law (the "DGCL") also may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making an acquisition proposal for the Company or of impeding a change in control of the Company under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of shares of Common Stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the thenprevailing market price of such shares. See "Certain Provisions of Delaware Law and the Company's Certificate and Bylaws."

### RISKS RELATING TO DEBT

Real Estate Financing and Potential Effect of Rising Interest Rates on the Company's Variable Rate Indebtedness

Upon completion of the Offering and the Formation Transactions, the Company expects to have approximately \$670 million of outstanding indebtedness. The Company also intends to enter into and, over time, make borrowings under the Unsecured Line of Credit. Advances under the Unsecured Line of Credit will bear interest at a variable rate. In addition, the Company may incur other variable rate indebtedness in the future. Increases in interest rates on such indebtedness would increase the Company's interest expense (e.g., assuming

the entire \$300 million available under the Unsecured Line of Credit is outstanding, the Company would incur an additional \$750,000 in interest expense for each 0.25% increase in interest rates), which could adversely affect the Company's cash flow and its ability to pay expected distributions to stockholders. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations--Liquidity and Capital Resources." The Company will also be subject to risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risk that the Company's cash flow will be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest, the risk that any indebtedness will not be able to be refinanced or that the terms of any such refinancing will not be as favorable as the terms of such indebtedness.

#### No Limitation on Debt

Upon completion of the Offering and the Formation Transactions, the Company's debt to total market capitalization ratio will be approximately % (% if the Underwriters' overallotment option is exercised in full). The Company does not have a policy limiting the amount of debt that the Company may incur. Accordingly, the Company could become more highly leveraged, resulting in an increase in debt service that could adversely affect the Company's cash flow and, consequently, the amount available for distribution to stockholders, and could increase the risk of default on the Company's indebtedness.

### GENERAL REAL ESTATE RISKS

### Lease Expiration and Leasing of Vacant Space

The Company will be subject to the risks that, upon expiration, leases for space in the Office Properties or the Industrial Properties may not be renewed, the space may not be re-leased, or the terms of renewal or re-lease (including the cost of required renovations or concessions to tenants) may be less favorable than current lease terms. Leases on a total of 11.0% and 11.6% of the aggregate net rentable area of the Office Properties and the Industrial Properties expire during 1997 and 1998, respectively. If the Company were unable to re-lease substantial amounts of vacant space promptly, if the rental rates upon such re-lease were significantly lower than expected, or if reserves for costs of re-leasing proved inadequate, the cash flow to the Company would be decreased and the Company's ability to make distributions to stockholders would be adversely affected.

### Hotel Industry Risks

The Hotel Properties are subject to all operating risks common to the hotel industry. These risks include, among other things: (i) competition for guests from other hotels, a number of which may have greater marketing and financial resources than the Company and Marriott(R); (ii) increases in operating costs due to inflation and other factors, which increases may not have been offset in recent years, and may not be offset in the future by increased room rates; (iii) dependence on business and commercial travelers and tourism, which business may fluctuate and be seasonal; (iv) increases in energy costs and other expenses of travel, which may deter travelers; and (v) adverse effects of general and local economic conditions. These factors could adversely affect the ability of Marriott(R) to generate revenues and for ZL Hotel LLC to make lease payments and therefore, the Company's ability to make expected distributions to stockholders. Because the lease payments to the Company from ZL Hotel LLC will be based on a participation in the gross receipts of the Hotel Properties, the actual lease payments will increase or decrease over the term of the lease in response to fluctuations in the gross receipts of the Hotel Properties.

# Acquisition Risks

There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to implement its investment strategies successfully or that its property portfolio will expand at all, or at any specified rate or to any specified size. In addition, investment in additional real estate assets is subject to a number of risks. In particular, investments are expected to be financed with funds drawn under the Unsecured Line of Credit, which would subject the Company to the risks described under "Risk Factors--Risks Relating to Debt." The Company does not intend to limit its investments to the Greater Boston, Greater Washington, D.C. and New York City markets in which the Properties are primarily located. Consequently, to the extent that it elects to invest in additional markets, the

27

Company also will be subject to the risks associated with investment in new markets, with which management may have relatively little experience and familiarity. Investment in additional real estate assets also entails the other risks associated with real estate investment generally, as described in "--General Real Estate Risks."

### Uncontrollable Factors Affecting Performance and Value

The economic performance and value of the Company's real estate assets will be subject to all of the risks incident to the ownership and operation of real estate. These include the risks normally associated with changes in general national, regional and local economic and market conditions. Such local real estate market conditions may include excess supply and intense competition for tenants, including competition based on rental rates, attractiveness and location of the Property and quality of maintenance, insurance and management services. Economic and market conditions may impact the ability of tenants to make lease payments. In addition, other factors may adversely affect the performance and value of a Property, including changes in laws and governmental regulations (including those governing usage, zoning and taxes), changes in interest rates and the availability of financing. If the Properties do not generate sufficient income to meet operating expenses, including future debt service, the Company's income and ability to make distributions to its stockholders will be adversely affected.

### Illiquidity of Real Estate Investments

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, the Company's ability to vary its portfolio promptly in response to economic or other conditions will be limited. In addition, certain significant expenditures, such as debt service (if any), real estate taxes, and operating and maintenance costs, generally are not reduced in circumstances resulting in a reduction in income from the investment. The foregoing and any other factor or event that would impede the ability of the Company to respond to adverse changes in the performance of its investments could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

### **Environmental Matters**

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner or operator of real property may become liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances released on or in its property, as well as certain other costs relating to hazardous or toxic substances. Such liability may be imposed without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release of such substances. The presence of, or the failure to remediate properly, such substances, when released, may adversely affect the owner's ability to sell the affected real estate or to borrow using such real estate as collateral. Such costs or liabilities could exceed the value of the affected real estate. The Company has not been notified by any governmental authority of any noncompliance, liability or other claim in connection with any of the Properties and the Company is not aware of any other environmental condition with respect to any of the Properties that management believes would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, assets or results of operations.

Some of the Properties are located in urban and industrial areas where fill or current or historic industrial uses of the areas may have caused site contamination at the Properties. Within the past 12 months, independent environmental consultants were retained to conduct or update Phase I environmental assessments (which generally do not involve invasive techniques such as soil or ground water sampling) on all of the Properties. These environmental assessments have not revealed any environmental conditions that the Company believes will have a material adverse effect on its business, assets or results of operations, and the Company is not aware of any other environmental condition with respect to any of the Properties which the Company believes would have such a material adverse effect. However, the Company is aware of environmental conditions at two of the Properties that may require remediation. With respect to 17 Hartwell Avenue in Lexington, Massachusetts, the Company received a Notice of Potential Responsibility from the state regulatory authority on January 9, 1997, related to groundwater contamination, as well as Notices of Downgradient Property Status Submittals from third parties concerning contamination at two downgradient properties. The Company has notified the state regulatory authority that it will cooperate with and monitor the tenant at the Property which is investigating this matter. On January 15, 1992, 91 Hartwell Avenue in Lexington, Massachusetts was listed by the state regulatory authority

as an unclassified Confirmed Disposal Site in connection with groundwater contamination. The Company has engaged a specially licensed environmental consultant to perform the necessary investigation and assessment and to prepare submittals to the state regulatory authority by August 2, 1997. See "Business and Properties--Environmental Matters."

No assurance can be given that the environmental assessments and updates identified all potential environmental liabilities, that no prior owner created any material environmental condition not known to the Company or the independent consultants preparing the assessments, that no environmental liabilities may have developed since such environmental assessments were prepared, or that future uses or conditions (including, without limitation, changes in applicable environmental laws and regulations) will not result in imposition of environmental liability.

### Cost of Compliance with Americans with Disabilities Act

The Properties are subject to the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (the "ADA"), which generally requires that public accommodations, including office buildings, be made accessible to disabled persons. The Company believes that the Properties are in substantial compliance with the ADA and that it will not be required to make substantial capital expenditures to address the requirements of the ADA. However, compliance with the ADA could require removal of access barriers and noncompliance could result in imposition of fines by the federal government or the award of damages to private litigants. If, pursuant to the ADA, the Company were required to make substantial alterations in one or more of the Properties, the Company's financial condition and results of operations, as well as the amount of funds available for distribution to stockholders, could be adversely affected.

### Uninsured Losses

The Company carries comprehensive liability, fire, flood, extended coverage and rental loss insurance, as applicable, with respect to the Properties, with policy specification and insured limits customarily carried for similar properties. In the opinion of management, all of the Properties are adequately insured. There are, however, certain types of losses (such as from wars or catastrophic acts of nature) that may be either uninsurable or not economically insurable. Any uninsured loss could result in both loss of cash flow from, and asset value of, the affected property.

It is anticipated that new owner's title insurance policies will not be obtained in connection with the Formation Transactions. Each of the Properties has previously been insured by title insurance policies insuring the interests of the Property-owning entities. Certain of these title insurance policies may continue to benefit those Property-owning entities which will remain after the completion of the Formation Transactions. Nevertheless, each such title insurance policy may be in an amount less than the current value of the applicable Property. In the event of a loss with respect to a Property relating to a title defect, the Company could lose both its capital invested in and anticipated profits from such Property.

### Changes in Laws

Costs resulting from changes in real estate taxes generally may be passed through to tenants and will not affect the Company. Increases in income, service or transfer taxes, however, generally are not passed through to tenants and may adversely affect the Company's results of operations and the amount of funds available to make distributions to stockholders. Similarly, changes in laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or increasing the restrictions on discharges or other conditions may result in significant unanticipated expenditures, which would adversely affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations and the amount of funds available for distribution to stockholders.

### Failure to Qualify as a REIT

The Company intends to operate so as to qualify as a REIT under the Code, commencing with its taxable year ending December 31, 1997. Although management of the Company believes that it will be organized and will operate in such a manner, no assurance can be given that it will so qualify or that it will continue to qualify in the future. In this regard, the Company has received an opinion of Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP, tax counsel to the Company ("Tax Counsel"), to the effect that, commencing with its taxable year ending December 31, 1997, the Company will be organized in conformity with the requirements for qualification as a REIT under the Code, and that the Company's proposed manner of operation will enable it to meet the requirements for taxation as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Qualification as a REIT, however, involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions as to which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. Certain facts and circumstances which may be wholly or partially beyond the Company's control may affect its ability to qualify as a REIT. In addition, no assurance can be given that future legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not significantly change the tax laws (or the application thereof) with respect to qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes or the federal income tax consequences of such qualification. However, the Company is not aware of any proposal to amend the tax laws that would significantly and adversely affect the Company's ability to qualify as a REIT. The opinion of Tax Counsel is not binding on the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") or the courts.

If, in any taxable year, the Company were to fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, it would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on its taxable income at regular corporate rates. In addition, unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, the Company would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification is lost. The additional tax liability resulting from the failure to so qualify would significantly reduce the amount of funds available for distribution to stockholders. In addition, the Company would no longer be required to make distributions to shareholders. Although the Company intends to operate in a manner designed to permit it to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, it is possible that future economic, market, legal, tax or other events or circumstances could cause it to fail to so qualify. See "Federal Income Tax Consequences--Requirements for Qualification."

### Effect of Distribution Requirements

To obtain and maintain its status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, the Company generally will be required each year to distribute to its stockholders at least 95% of its taxable income. In addition, the Company will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which certain distributions paid by it with respect to any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of its ordinary income for such calendar year, 95% of its capital gain net income for the calendar year and any amount of such income that was not distributed in prior years. The Company may be required, under certain circumstances, to accrue as income for tax purposes interest, rent and other items treated as earned for tax purposes but not yet received. In addition, the Company may be required not to accrue as expenses for tax purposes certain items which actually have been paid. It is also possible that the Company could realize income, such as income from cancellation of indebtedness, which is not accompanied by cash proceeds. In any such event, the Company could have taxable income in excess of cash available for distribution. In such circumstances, the Company could be required to borrow funds or liquidate investments on unfavorable terms in order to meet the distribution requirement applicable to a REIT. See "Federal Income Tax Consequences--Requirements for Qualification."

The Company intends to make distributions to stockholders sufficient to comply with the 95% distribution requirement and to avoid the 4% nondeductible excise tax described above. No assurances can be given, however, that the Company will satisfy these requirements.

#### Other Tax Liabilities

Even if it qualifies as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, the Company may, and certain of its subsidiaries will, be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on their income and property. See "Federal Income Tax Consequences--State and Local Tax."

# RELIANCE ON KEY PERSONNEL

The Company is dependent on the efforts of Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and other senior management personnel. While the Company believes that it could find replacements for these key executives, the loss of their services could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Company. While Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will have employment agreements with the Company, this can serve as no guarantee that they will remain with the Company for any specified term.

#### RISKS AFFECTING MARKET FOR THE COMMON STOCK

#### No Prior Market

Prior to the completion of the Offering, there will have been no public market for shares of Common Stock. Although the Common Stock has been approved for listing on the New York Stock Exchange, subject to official notice of issuance, there can be no assurance that an active trading market will develop. In addition, the initial public offering price was determined by negotiations between the Company and the Representative of the Underwriters and, therefore, may not be indicative of the market price for shares after the Offering. See "Underwriting."

# Effect of Changes in Interest Rates or Equity Markets

One of the factors that may be expected to influence the prevailing market price of the Common Stock is the annual yield on the stock price from distributions by the Company. Accordingly, an increase in market interest rates may lead purchasers of shares of Common Stock in the secondary market to demand a higher annual yield, which could adversely affect the market price of the Common Stock. In addition, the market price of the Common Stock could be adversely affected by changes in general market conditions or fluctuations in the market for equity securities in general or REIT securities in particular. Moreover, in the future, numerous other factors, including governmental regulatory actions and proposed or actual modifications in the tax laws, could have a significant impact on the market price of the Common Stock.

### Effect of Shares Available for Future Sale

Sales of substantial amounts of Common Stock (including shares issued upon the exercise of options), or the perception that such sales could occur, could adversely affect the prevailing market price for the Common Stock. Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will own an aggregate of shares of Common Stock and OP Units at the completion of the Offering. In addition, executive officers of the Company other than Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will receive an aggregate OP Units in connection with the Formation Transactions. OP Units may, οf following a period of fourteen months after completion of the Offering, be exchanged for cash or, at the option of the Company, for shares of Common Stock on a one-for-one basis. See "Certain Transactions--Formation Transactions" and "Certain Transactions--Operating Partnership Agreement; Redemption/Exchange Rights." Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and the other senior officers of the Company have agreed, subject to certain limited exceptions, not to offer, sell, contract to sell or otherwise dispose of any Common Stock for a period of two years after the date of this Prospectus without the prior written consent of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated. At the conclusion of the two year restriction period (or earlier with the consent of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated), all shares of Common Stock owned by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and such other individuals, including shares of Common Stock acquired in exchange for OP Units, may be sold in the public market pursuant to registration rights or any available exemptions from registration. See "Shares Available for Future Sale." In shares of Common Stock will be reserved for issuance addition, up to pursuant to the Company's Stock Option Plan. Shares of Common Stock purchased pursuant to options granted under the Stock Option Plan will generally be available for sale in the public market. See

"Management--Stock Option Plan" and "Shares Available for Future Sale." No prediction can be made as to the effect of future sales of Common Stock on the market price of shares of Common Stock.

# IMMEDIATE AND SUBSTANTIAL DILUTION

Purchasers of Common Stock in the Offering will experience immediate dilution of approximately \$ per share in the net tangible book value per share of the Common Stock so purchased. Similarly, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde, the sole stockholders of the Company prior to the Offering, will experience an immediate increase of approximately \$ per share in the value of their shares of Common Stock. See "Dilution."

#### GENERAL

The Company has been formed to succeed to the real estate development, redevelopment, acquisition, management, operating and leasing businesses associated with the predecessor company founded by Mortimer  ${\tt B.}$  Zuckerman and Edward H. Linde in 1970. The Company is one of the largest owners and developers of office properties in the United States, with a significant presence in five submarkets in Greater Boston, four submarkets in Greater Washington, D.C. and the Park Avenue submarket of midtown Manhattan. The Company believes that it has created significant value in its properties by developing well located properties that meet the demands of today's office tenants, redeveloping underperforming assets, and improving the management of under-managed assets it has acquired. Following the Offering, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will beneficially own in the aggregate a % economic interest in the Company and the other senior officers of the Company will beneficially own in the aggregate a % economic interest in the Company Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde have agreed that the Company will be the exclusive entity through which they develop or acquire commercial properties. See "Management--Employment Agreements." The Company expects to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for the year ended December 31, 1997. See "Federal Income Tax Consequences--Federal Income Taxation of the Company."

Upon the completion of the Offering, the Company, through its subsidiaries, will own a portfolio of 72 commercial real estate properties aggregating approximately 10.4 million square feet, 90% of which was (or is being) developed or substantially redeveloped by the Company. The Company will own a 100% fee interest in 58 of the Properties that account for 98% of the total Escalated Rent of the portfolio. The Properties consist of 60 office properties with approximately 7.3 million net rentable square feet, including seven office properties currently under development or redevelopment totaling approximately 810,000 net rentable square feet, which have approximately 1.1 million square feet of structured parking for 3,872 vehicles; nine industrial properties with approximately 925,000 net rentable square feet; two hotels totaling 833 rooms and approximately 750,000 square feet and a 1,170 space parking garage with approximately 330,000 additional square feet. The Properties are primarily located in 10 submarkets, including five submarkets in Greater Boston (the East Cambridge, Route 128 NW, Route 128/Massachusetts Turnpike, Route 128 SW and downtown Boston submarkets), four submarkets in Greater Washington, D.C. (the Southwest Washington, D.C., Montgomery County, Maryland, Fairfax County, Virginia and Prince George's County, Maryland submarkets) and midtown Manhattan (the Park Avenue submarket). The Company's single largest Property, with approximately 1.0 million net rentable square feet, is an Office Property located in midtown Manhattan. As of December 31, 1996, the completed Office Properties and the Industrial Properties had an occupancy rate of 94% and the completed Hotel Properties had an average occupancy rate for the year ended December 31, 1996 of 84%. Leases with respect to 11.0%, 11.6% and 7.4% of the leased square footage of the Office and Industrial Properties expire in 1997, 1998 and 1999, respectively. The weighted average Escalated Rent of such expiring square footage is \$17.70, compared to a weighted average Company quoted rental rate per square foot as of January 1, 1997 for such expiring footage of \$20.34. The Company will also own, have under contract or have options to acquire six undeveloped parcels of land totaling 47.4 acres, located primarily in Greater Boston and Greater Washington, D.C., which will support approximately 1.0 million square feet of development.

The Company has developed or substantially redeveloped 55 of the Properties which total approximately 9.5 million square feet or 90% of the aggregate square feet of all of the Properties. The Company currently manages all of the Properties except the Hotel Properties, which are managed by Marriott Hotels, Inc., the Garage Property, and parking garages that are a part of certain of the Office Properties. The Company has long-established, full-service offices in each of its three major market areas and achieves efficiencies of scale by operating a centralized financial control and data center at its Boston headquarters that is responsible for processing of all operating budgets, billing and payments for all of its completed and development properties. As a result, the Company believes that it has the capacity to substantially increase the number of properties it owns and manages without proportional increases in overhead costs.

The Company believes that its capacity for growth will be enhanced by combining the Company's experienced personnel, established market position and relationships, hands-on approach to development and

management, substantial portfolio of existing properties and buildings under development, and existing acquisition opportunities with the advantages that will be available to it in its new status as a public company. These advantages include improved access to debt and equity financing and the ability to acquire properties and sites through the issuance of stock and OP Units, which can be of particular value to potential sellers concerned about the tax consequences of property sales. The Company also believes that because of its size and reputation it will be a desirable buyer for those institutions or individuals wishing to sell individual properties or portfolios of properties in exchange for an equity position in a public real estate company.

The Company believes it has superior access to potential development and acquisition opportunities by virtue of its long-standing reputation and relationships, both nationally and in its primary markets, with brokers, tenants, financial institutions, development agencies, contractors, and others involved in the real estate market. The Company intends to utilize its experience with, and understanding of, the development and management of a range of commercial property types to opportunistically pursue developments and acquisitions within its existing and new markets. The Company's extensive development experience includes suburban and downtown office buildings, downtown hotels, mixed-use projects, R&D and research laboratory buildings, suburban office/flex buildings, suburban office and industrial parks, warehouse and distribution buildings, and special purpose facilities, as well as both new construction and substantial renovation for re-use or repositioning. The properties that the Company has developed have won numerous awards.

The Company believes that the Properties are well positioned to provide a base for continued growth. The Office and Industrial Properties are leased to high quality tenants and located in submarkets with low vacancy rates and rising rents. With the value added by the Company's in-house marketing, leasing, tenant construction and property management programs, the Properties have historically enjoyed high occupancy rates and speedy and efficient releasing of vacated space.

The Company will continue to supplement its revenues, leverage the experience of its personnel and strengthen its market position by providing comprehensive, project level development and management services on a selective basis to private sector companies and government agencies ("third-party development projects"). Between 1989 and 1996, the Company completed eight third-party development projects comprising approximately 2.4 million net rentable square feet. In addition to enhancing revenues without significantly increasing overhead the Company has achieved significant recognition and experience through this work, which has led to enhanced opportunities for the Company to obtain build-to-suit development projects.

Concurrently with the completion of the Offering, the Company expects to have in effect a three-year \$300 million unsecured revolving line of credit (the "Unsecured Line of Credit") led by a commercial bank (the "Line of Credit Bank"), as agent. The Company intends to use the Unsecured Line of Credit principally to fund growth opportunities and for working capital purposes. See "Unsecured Line of Credit."

The Company intends to make regular quarterly distributions to its stockholders, beginning with a distribution for the remainder of the quarter ending  $\,$ , 1997.

The Company is a full-service real estate company, with substantial in-house expertise and resources in acquisitions, development, financing, construction management, property management, marketing, leasing, accounting, and legal services. As of March 31, 1997 the Company had 285 employees, including 88 professionals involved in acquisitions, development, finance and legal matters. The Company's 17 senior officers have an average of 24 years experience in the real estate industry and an average of 16 years tenure with the Company.

# HISTORY

The Company was founded in Boston, Massachusetts in 1970 by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde to acquire and develop first-class commercial real estate for long-term ownership and management. Over its 27 year history, the Company has established a successful record of focusing on submarkets where the Company can achieve leadership positions. The following paragraphs describe the Company's development and evolution.

In the early 1970's, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde identified the area of suburban Boston along Route 128 as ready for the development of modern office buildings, and they selected the quadrant west/northwest of Boston between the Massachusetts Turnpike and US 93 as the most desirable area in which to concentrate their efforts. Between 1978 and 1988, the Company acquired 13 key sites in that area, and completed development of 17 office buildings on those sites, containing more than 2.0 million net rentable square feet. The Company built on its growing reputation for quality development in the Boston area by successfully competing for control of sites available through public competitions. During this period, the Company was awarded hotel development rights on the Boston Harbor waterfront where it developed the 402 room Long Wharf Marriott(R) Hotel. The Company was also selected by the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority to be the developer of the 24 acre "Cambridge Center" site adjacent to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology ("MIT"), where it has completed development of ten buildings totaling over 1.7 million square feet and still controls substantial additional development rights. In total for Greater Boston, the Company has developed, acquired or redeveloped, for its own account or for third parties, 31 buildings containing approximately 4.7 million square feet, of which the Company still owns approximately 3.6 million square feet.

### Expansion to Washington, D.C. and its Suburban Markets

The Company opened its Washington, D.C. regional office in November 1979 to pursue development and acquisitions and to provide real estate development services in Greater Washington, D.C., including the Northern Virginia and suburban Maryland real estate markets. Within this region, the Company has concentrated its efforts in those submarkets that it believes to be the strongest, including Southwest Washington, D.C., Montgomery County, Maryland, Fairfax County, Virginia and Prince George's County, Maryland. The Company's first project in the Greater Washington, D.C. market was Capital Gallery, a 400,000 square foot Class A, multi-tenant office building that the Company completed in 1981. During the past 17 years, the Company, for its own account and for third parties, has developed 30 buildings in Greater Washington, D.C., totaling approximately 5.75 million square feet. The Company continues to own 20 of these properties consisting of approximately 3.2 million square feet.

### Expansion to Midtown Manhattan

In the early 1980's, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde decided to explore opportunities to expand the Company's operations to New York City and focused on midtown Manhattan as desirable for new development. The Company identified a key block-front site at 599 Lexington Avenue (immediately south of Citicorp Center), structured an acquisition responsive to the particular needs of the site's owner, and obtained all necessary public approvals within 11 months of acquiring the site. Based on the Company's assessment of the strengths of the site and the building design (including large floorplates than were floor generally available supply in the market area), the Company proceeded in 1984 with construction of a 1.0 million net rentable square foot office tower. The building, which the Company still owns, has had an occupancy rate in excess of 97% for the past seven years. The building has continued to command premium rents within its submarket.

### Response to Market Conditions.

In the mid-1980's the Company was designated as the developer of a project in New York City in a joint venture with a national financial institution, which intended to occupy a major portion of the leasable square footage associated with the development. This institution withdrew from the project due to changing economic circumstances and subsequently the Company withdrew due to market conditions that made the project infeasible without a major tenant precommitment. In the late 1980's, in response to market conditions, the Company decided not to undertake any new speculative development or land or property acquisitions based on its assessment of a growing oversupply and weakening real estate fundamentals in the markets in which it operated. Company was able to continue to prosper by operating the portfolio of properties it had acquired and developed since 1970, by finding opportunities for build-to-suit development, and by expanding the scope of its third-party development management activities. Between 1989 and 1996, the Company completed eight third party development projects on a fee basis, including major projects for the Architect of the Capitol, the Health Care Financing Administration, the New York Daily News, Beth Israel Hospital and Medical Information Technology. The Company is currently the development manager on projects for, among others, the National

Institutes of Health and Acacia Mutual Life Insurance Company in Washington, D.C., the United States Postal Service in New York City and Boston and the Hyatt Development Corporation in Boston.

#### Recent Activities

Recently, the Company began to more aggressively pursue potential new development and acquisition opportunities and has increased its development and acquisition activity. Currently, the Company is developing seven  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right$ properties, totaling approximately 810,000 square feet, located in Greater Boston and Fairfax County, Virginia (consisting of five Office Properties that will be 100% owned by the Company and two Office Properties in which the Company will own a 25% interest). In 1996, in response to significant unsatisfied tenant demand, the Company decided to begin construction of the first new Class A speculative office building to be built in the 1990's along Route 128 in suburban Boston. This 102,000 square foot building, to be completed in the fall of 1997, is now committed in its entirety to Continental Cablevision. In addition, in Springfield, Virginia, for pre-committed tenants, the Company is developing two buildings in its Virginia-95 Business Park. One of these buildings will be occupied by the United States Customs Service and the other will serve as the headquarters of Autometric, Inc. In Reston, Virginia, the Company is developing two Class A Office Buildings totaling 440,000 net rentable square feet. One of such buildings, with approximately 312,000 net rentable square feet, is 72% leased to, and will serve as the headquarters of, BDM International. In 1996, the Company also acquired the two Sugarland buildings in Herndon, Virginia, which the Company is redeveloping. The Development Properties are 68% pre-committed to tenants. The Company expects that its stabilized return on cost for those Properties will exceed in the aggregate 12%. In addition, the Company is currently pursuing a number of proposed development projects. One such proposed project is the development of a 221 room Marriott(R) Residence Inn in Cambridge, Massachusetts on land with respect to which the Company currently holds development rights. A second proposed project, if consummated, will be a joint venture with Westbrook Real Estate Partners LLC ("Westbrook") for the development of an approximately 370,000 square foot office building in Reston, Virginia. The Company is currently in discussions with certain institutional investors to acquire certain of their portfolio properties, and is also pursuing other potential property and site acquisitions as well as build-to-suit opportunities in all of its major markets. There can be no assurances that the Company will ultimately acquire or develop any of such properties.

#### BUSINESS STRATEGY

The Company's primary business objective is to maximize growth in net available cash for distribution and to enhance the value of its portfolio in order to maximize total return to stockholders. The Company's strategy to achieve this objective is: (i) to selectively acquire and redevelop or develop additional properties in the Company's existing markets, adjacent suburban markets and in new markets that present favorable opportunities; (ii) to continue to maintain high lease renewal rates at rents that are at the high end of the markets in which the Properties are located, and to continue to achieve high room rates and occupancy rates in the Hotel Properties; and (iii) to selectively provide fee-based development consulting and project management services to third parties.

#### **GROWTH STRATEGIES**

### External Growth

The Company believes that it is well positioned to realize significant growth through external asset development and acquisition. During its 27 year history, the Company has developed 107 properties for itself and third parties. The Company believes that this development experience and the Company's organizational depth positions the Company to continue to develop a range of property types, from single-story suburban properties to high-rise urban developments, within budget and on schedule. Other factors that contribute to the Company's competitive position include: (i) the significant increase in demand for new, high quality office and industrial space in the Company's core market areas; (ii) the Company's control of sites in its core markets that will support approximately 1.0 million square feet of new development through fee ownership, contract ownership, and joint venture relationships; (iii) the Company's reputation gained through the stability and strength of its existing portfolio of properties; (iv) the Company's relationships with leading national corporations and public institutions seeking new facilities and development services; (v) the Company's relationships with nationally recognized financial institutions that provide capital to the real estate industry; and (vi) the substantial amount of commercial real estate owned by domestic and foreign institutions, private investors, and corporations who are seeking to sell such assets in the Company's market areas.

The Company has targeted four areas of development and acquisition as significant opportunities to execute the Company's external growth strategy:

. Acquire Land for Development. The Company believes that development of well positioned office buildings and R&D properties is currently or will be justified in many of the submarkets in which the Company has a presence. The Company believes in acquiring land in response to market conditions that allow for the development of such land in the relatively near term. Over its 27 year history, the Company has established a successful record of carefully timing land acquisitions in submarkets where the Company can become one of the market leaders in establishing rent and other business terms. The Company believes that there are opportunities in its existing and other markets to acquire land with development potential at key locations in markets which are experiencing growth.

In the past, the Company has been particularly successful at acquiring sites or options to purchase sites that need governmental approvals before the commencement of development. Because of the Company's development expertise, knowledge of the governmental approval process and reputation for quality development with local government approval bodies, the Company generally has been able to secure the permits necessary to allow development, thereby enabling the Company to profit from the increase in their value once the necessary permits have been obtained.

In accordance with its belief that future development will provide significant growth opportunities, the Company controls several major parcels of land in its core submarkets which are positioned for near term development. These sites are either (i) owned outright by the Company, (ii) subject to options at prices that the Company believes are less than the value of the land once developed, or (iii) owned by a third party with whom the Company has established a joint venture relationship with respect to such site.

In the Company's Virginia-95 Business Park in Springfield, Virginia, the Company is developing for pre-committed tenants two office buildings on land that is owned by the Company. These buildings, an 80,514 net rentable square foot two-story office building (with expansion potential for another 40,000 square feet) that, when completed, will serve as the headquarters of Autometric, Inc., and a 75,756 net rentable square foot expansion of the U.S. Customs Service Data Center currently in the Company's Virginia-95 Business Park, are both on target to be delivered by the end of 1997. In addition, the Virginia-95 Business Park has the potential for an additional 130,000 square feet of development, including the possible expansion of the Autometric, Inc. building.

The Company has entered into a joint venture with Westbrook, a major investment fund that owns the Mobil Land Corporation national portfolio including Reston Town Center, which is currently zoned for the development of several office buildings in Reston, Virginia. The Company's first joint venture with Westbrook is for the construction of a 2-building, 440,000 square foot project. BDM has committed to lease the first 225,000 square feet, and the Company is currently negotiating with BDM to lease an additional 84,000 square feet. BDM occupancy is expected in February 1999.

In addition, the Company is pursuing a number of proposed development projects. One such project is the proposed development of a 221-room Marriott(R) Residence Inn on a parcel of land in the Company's Cambridge Center development. Subject to the Company receiving the necessary zoning and other regulatory approvals, and certain other business matters, the Company expects to begin construction of this hotel in the third quarter of 1997. In addition, the Company is currently negotiating a second joint venture with Westbrook. This joint venture, if consummated, will be for the construction of a 370,000 square foot office building, 60% of which will be occupied by a major national tenant with whom negotiations are now underway. No assurances can be given that the Company will ultimately develop either of such properties. The Company expects that its relationship with Westbrook will continue, resulting in additional joint venture arrangements. The Reston market is one of the most active areas of expansion for the rapidly growing Northern Virginia computer technology and telecommunications industries. See "Business and Properties--The Proposed Developments.'

The Company believes that, in many cases, land owners with limited development expertise and/or limited financial resources wish to align their property with an experienced, stable development team who can secure financing and lead tenants. The Company has historically been very successful at securing lead tenants and favorable financing terms for its major projects, and therefore is routinely sought as a joint venture partner. Examples of the Company's successful joint ventures with land owners include One and Two Independence Square in Southwest Washington, D.C., which are the headquarters for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, respectively, and the United States International Trade Commission Building, which is the headquarters of the United States International Trade Commission.

. Acquire Existing Underperforming Assets. The Company will actively pursue opportunities to acquire existing buildings that, while currently generating income, are either underperforming the market due to poor management or are currently leased below market with anticipated roll-over of space. These opportunities may include the acquisition of entire portfolios of properties. The Company believes that because of its in-depth market knowledge and development experience in each market in which it currently operates, its national reputation with brokers, financial institutions and others involved in the real estate market and its access to competitively-priced capital, the Company is well positioned to identify and acquire existing, underperforming properties for competitive prices and to add significant additional value to such properties through its effective marketing strategies and responsive property management program.

The Company's development capabilities enable the Company to purchase properties that have significant redevelopment potential, and to redevelop and re-position such properties in the market. Examples of the Company's implementation of this strategy include the Company's redevelopment of a 160,000 square foot office building at 191 Spring Street in Lexington, Massachusetts in 1995. The Company acquired the property on a sale and short-term leaseback. When the existing tenant vacated, the Company

redeveloped the property, adding a new facade, elevator and stair tower and creating an atrium, and leased the property in its entirety as first-class office space to Stride Rite for its corporate headquarters.

Another example of the Company's implementation of this strategy was the acquisition of the Sugarland Office Park in Herndon, Virginia. After the major tenant of this two-building, 112,118 square foot, single story office project moved out, the institutional owner decided to sell the property rather than undertake a redevelopment or remarketing effort. The property was substantially vacant when the Company acquired it in November of 1996. By March of 1997, 61% of the available space was committed to new tenants.

Similarly, the Company has been successful at acquiring properties that have more land available for development. When the Company acquired Bedford Business Park in Bedford, Massachusetts, the property had 203,000 square feet of buildings. The Company used additional zoning capacity to build an additional 270,000 square feet on the site.

Acquisition of Assets from Institutions or Individuals. The Company believes that due to its size, management strength and reputation it will be in an advantageous position to acquire portfolios of assets or individual properties from institutions or individuals seeking to convert their ownership on a property level basis to the ownership of equity in a diversified real estate operating company that offers liquidity through access to the public equity markets. In addition, the Company may pursue mergers with and acquisitions of compatible real estate firms. The ability to offer OP Units to sellers who would otherwise recognize a gain upon a sale of assets for cash or Common Stock may facilitate this type of transaction on a tax-efficient basis. The Company is currently in discussions with certain institutional investors to acquire certain of their portfolio properties, but no assurances can be given that the Company will purchase any of such properties.

Third-Party Development Management Services. While the primary objective of the Company has been, and will continue to be, the development and acquisition of quality, income producing buildings to be held for long term ownership, a select amount of comprehensive project-level development management services for third parties will be an element of the continued growth and strategy of the Company. The Company believes that third-party development projects permit the Company to: (i) create relationships with major institutions and corporations that lead to new development opportunities; (ii) continue to enhance the Company's reputation in its core markets; (iii) create opportunities to enter new markets; and (iv) leverage its operating overhead.

The Company's previous third-party development management projects include the Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building in Washington, D.C. and the Health Care Financing Administration Building in Woodlawn, Maryland, laboratory facilities for Biogen and Beth Israel Hospital in Cambridge and Boston, Massachusetts, and the New York Daily News headquarters and printing plant in New York City and Jersey City, New Jersey, respectively. The high quality of the Company's development management projects is evidenced by the numerous awards bestowed upon the Federal Judiciary Building, the Health Care Financing Administration Building and the New York Daily News headquarters. Current third-party development management projects that the Company is engaged in include the development of a new \$330 million Clinical Research Center for the National Institutes of Health, the redevelopment of 90 Church Street in New York City for the U.S. Postal Service, and the redevelopment of the Acacia Mutual Life Insurance Company building in Washington, D.C. which has been leased in its entirety to the law firm of Jones, Day, Reavis, and Pogue.

### Internal Growth

The Company believes that significant opportunities exist to increase cash flow from its existing Properties because they are high quality properties in desirable locations in submarkets that are experiencing rising rents, low vacancy rates and increasing demand for office and industrial space. In addition, the Company's Properties are in markets where supply is limited by the lack of available sites and the difficulty of receiving the necessary approvals for development on vacant land. The Company's strategy for maximizing the benefits from these opportunities is (i) to provide high quality property management services using its own employees in order to

enhance tenant preferences for renewal, expansion and relocation in the Company's properties, and (ii) to achieve speed and transaction cost efficiency in replacing departing tenants through the use of in-house services for marketing, lease negotiation, and design and construction of tenant improvements. In addition, the Company believes that the Hotel Properties will add to the Company's internal growth because of their desirable locations in the downtown Boston and East Cambridge submarkets, which are experiencing high occupancy rates and continued growth in room rates, and their effective management by Marriott(R), which has achieved high guest satisfaction and limitations on increases in operating costs.

Increasing Rents in Strong Submarkets. In choosing locations for its properties, the Company has paid particular attention to transportation and commuting patterns, physical environment, adjacency to established business centers, proximity to sources of business growth and other local factors. Substantially all of the Company's square footage of Office Properties are located in ten submarkets in Greater Boston, Greater Washington, D.C. and midtown Manhattan. In the Boston area, 622,511 net rentable square feet of Office Properties are located in the Company's mixed-use Cambridge Center development in the East Cambridge submarket, which is the largest and most important submarket of Cambridge, Massachusetts. An additional 1,755,243 net rentable square feet of Office Properties are located in two adjacent areas along the inner suburban circumferential highway, the Route 128/Massachusetts Turnpike and Route 128 NW submarkets, which are the strongest submarkets in the Boston suburbs in terms of rental and occupancy rates. In the Washington, D.C. area, the Company's 2,359,604 square feet of space in Class A Office Buildings is concentrated in the Southwest submarket, a strong market for quality government agency tenants and tenants in related services, and in its Democracy Center and Montvale Center projects in Montgomery County, Maryland. The Company's New York City property is at 599 Lexington Avenue, adjacent to Citicorp Center in the Park Avenue submarket of midtown Manhattan, which has historically been midtown Manhattan's strongest office location.

These submarkets are experiencing increasing rents and as a result current market rates often exceed the rents being paid by current tenants in the Company's properties. The Company expects that leases expiring over the next three years will be renewed, or space relet, at higher rents. Leases with respect to 11.0%, 11.6% and 7.4% of the leased square footage of the Office and Industrial Properties expires in 1997, 1998 and 1999, respectively. The weighted average rent of such expiring square footage is \$17.70, compared to a weighted average Company quoted rental rate per square foot as of January 1, 1997 of \$20.34.

Directly Managing Properties to Maximize the Potential for Tenant Retention. The Company itself provides property management services, rather than contracting for this service, to achieve awareness of and responsiveness to tenant needs. The Company and the Properties also benefit from cost efficiencies produced by an experienced work force attentive to preventive maintenance and energy management and from the Company's continuing programs to assure that its property management personnel at all levels remain aware of their important role in tenant relations. The Company has long recognized that renewal of existing tenant leases, as opposed to tenant replacement, often provides the best operating results, because renewals minimize transaction costs associated with marketing, leasing and tenant improvements and avoid interruptions in rental income during periods of vacancy and renovation of space.

Replacing Tenants Quickly at Best Available Market Terms and Lowest Possible Transaction Costs. The Company believes that it has a competitive advantage in attracting new tenants and achieving rental rates at the higher end of its markets as a result of its well-located, well-designed and well-maintained properties, its reputation for high quality building services and responsiveness to tenants, and its ability to offer expansion and relocation alternatives within its submarkets. The Company's objective throughout this process is to obtain the highest possible rental terms and to achieve rent commencement for new tenancies as quickly as possible, and the Company believes that its use of in-house resources for marketing, leasing and tenant improvements continues to result in lower than average transaction costs.

40

The net proceeds to the Company from the Offering, after deducting the underwriting discount and estimated expenses of the Offering, are estimated to be approximately \$682.7 million (approximately \$785.9 million if the Underwriters' overallotment is exercised in full). The net proceeds of the Offering, together with approximately \$40.1 million to be drawn under the Unsecured Line of Credit upon the completion of the Offering, will be used by the Company as follows: (i) approximately \$659.4 million to repay certain mortgage indebtedness secured by the Properties as set forth in the table below; (ii) approximately \$7.9 million for related prepayment penalties; (iii) approximately \$9.9 million to pay transfer taxes; (iv) to establish a cash balance of approximately \$4.0 million for working capital purposes; (v) to repay notes aggregating approximately \$40.1 million due affiliates of the Company in respect of construction loans advanced with respect to the Development Properties (with interest on such refinanced amount to be capitalized, during the development period, in respect of the developments for which such indebtedness was incurred) and (vi) approximately \$1.5 million to establish the Unsecured Line of Credit. The Company currently has no agreements or understandings to purchase any properties or interests therein other than the Properties and certain of the development parcels.

If the Underwriters' overallotment option is exercised in full, the Company expects to use the additional net proceeds (which will be approximately \$103.1 million) to repay indebtedness, acquire or develop additional properties, and for general corporate purposes.

Pending application of cash proceeds, the Company will invest such portion of the net proceeds in interest-bearing accounts and short-term, interest-bearing securities, which are consistent with the Company's intention to qualify for taxation as a REIT.

Certain information regarding the indebtedness to be repaid is set forth below:

DEBT TO BE REPAID WITH A PORTION OF THE OFFERING PROCEEDS

PROPERTY	MATURITY(1)		INTEREST RATE(1)	
599 Lexington Avenue Cambridge Center Marriott, One and Three	July 19, 2005		8.000%	\$185,000,000
Cambridge Center  Democracy Center	June 30, 1997 July 24, 1998	LIBOR + LIBOR +	` '	125,000,000 109,500,000
Downtown Boston Properties The U.S. International Trade Commission	June 28, 1997	LIBOR +	0.700(3)	68,600,000
Building Lexington Office Park Waltham Office Center Eleven Cambridge	July 11, 1998 June 30, 2001 October 1, 1997	LIBOR +	7.350 1.000(3) 9.500	50,000,000 15,176,028 11,389,018
Center	October 1, 1997		9.500	8,318,626
Building Eight 8000 Grainger Court,	August 15, 1997	LIBOR +	1.250(3)	8,160,000
Building Five Fourteen Cambridge	August 15, 1997	LIBOR +	1.250(3)	7,470,000
Center7500 Boston Boulevard,	March 24, 2001	LIBOR +	1.750(3)	6,699,820
Building Six	August 15, 1997	LIBOR +	. ,	6,277,500
Building Nine 7435 Boston Boulevard,	August 15, 1997	LIBOR +	. ,	5,649,750
Building One	October 1, 1997 June 1, 2001	LIBOR +	9.500 1.000(3)	5,564,116 5,310,733
170 Tracer Lane	October 1, 1997	LIBOR	9.500	5,145,947
Building Two	August 15, 1997	LIBOR +	` '	4,896,000
8 Arlington Street 32 Hartwell Avenue 7374 Boston Boulevard,	June 30, 2001 October 1, 1997	LIBOR +	1.000(3) 9.500	4,552,058 4,163,697
Building Four	October 1, 1997		9.500	3,567,569
Building Three	August 15, 1997	LIBOR +	( - /	3,452,250
204 Second Avenue	October 1, 1997		9.500	3,286,902
25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court,	October 1, 1997		9.500	3,249,633
Building One	August 15, 1997	LIBOR +	. ,	2,594,500
Building Two	October 1, 1997 November 30, 2000		9.500 7.800	2,183,375
164 Lexington Road 2391 West Winton	•			1,951,797
Avenue	March 20, 2006 October 1, 1997		9.875 9.500	1,309,837 912,845
			<del>-</del>	
Total				\$659,382,001 =======

- (1) The Company estimates that the indebtedness to be repaid with a portion of the proceeds of the Offering will have a weighted average interest rate of approximately 7.19% and a weighted average maturity of approximately 7.2 years as of December 31, 1996. Repayment amounts assume that the indebtedness is repaid on June 1, 1997. Exact repayment amounts may differ due to amortization. Repayment amounts exclude prepayment penalties that
- aggregate approximately \$7.9 million.

  (2) Represents prepayment of principal only.

  (3) 30 Day LIBOR of 5.5% as of December 31, 1996 was used for calculation of the weighted average interest rate.

#### DISTRIBUTIONS

Subsequent to the Offering, the Company intends to make regular quarterly distributions to the holders of its Common Stock. The Company intends to pay a pro rata distribution with respect to the period commencing on the completion of the Offering and ending on , 1997 based upon \$ per share for a full quarter. On an annualized basis, this would be \$ per share, or an annual distribution rate of approximately % based on the initial public offering price per share of \$25.00. The Company does not intend to reduce the expected distribution per share if the Underwriters' overallotment option is exercised. The following discussion and the information set forth in the table and footnotes below should be read together with the financial statements and notes thereto, the pro forma financial information and notes thereto and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations--Liquidity and Capital Resources" included elsewhere in this Prospectus.

The distribution described above is expected to represent approximately of the Company's Cash Available for Distribution for the 12 months ended December 31, 1997. The Company's estimate of the Cash Available for Distribution for the 12 months ended December 31, 1997 is based upon pro forma Funds from Operations for the year ended December 31, 1996, with certain adjustments based on the items described below. To estimate Cash Available for Distribution for the 12 months ended December 31, 1997, pro forma Funds from Operations for the year ended December 31, 1996 was adjusted (a) without giving effect to any changes in working capital resulting from changes in current assets and current liabilities (which changes are not anticipated to be material) or the amount of cash estimated to be used for (i) development, acquisition and other activities (other than a reserve for capital expenditures and tenant improvements for renewing space) and (ii) financing activities, (b) for certain known events and/or contractual commitments that either occurred subsequent to December 31, 1996 or during the year ended December 31, 1996 but were not in effect for the full year and (c) for certain non-GAAP adjustments consisting of (i) adjusting historical rents as reported on a GAAP basis to amounts currently being paid or due from tenants and (ii) an estimate of amounts anticipated for recurring tenant improvements, leasing commissions and capital expenditures. The estimate of Cash Available for Distribution is being made solely for the purpose of setting the initial distribution and is not intended to be a projection or forecast of the Company's results of operations or its liquidity, nor is the methodology upon which such adjustments were made necessarily intended to be a basis for determining future distributions.

Future distributions by the Company will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend on a number of factors, including the amount of Cash Available for Distribution and the Operating Partnership's financial condition. Any decision by the Board of Directors to reinvest the Cash Available for Distribution rather than to distribute such funds to the Company will depend upon the Operating Partnership's capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code (see "Federal Income Tax Consequences--Requirements for Qualification--Annual Distribution Requirements") and such other factors as the Board of Directors deems relevant. There can be no assurance that any distributions will be made or that the estimated level of distributions will be maintained by the Company.

The Company anticipates that its distributions will exceed earnings and profits for income tax reporting purposes due to non-cash expenses, primarily depreciation and amortization, to be incurred by the Company. Therefore, approximately % (or \$ per share) of the distributions anticipated to be paid by the Company for the first 12 months subsequent to the Offering are expected to represent a return of capital for federal income tax purposes and in such event will not be subject to federal income tax under current law to the extent such distributions do not exceed a stockholder's basis in his or her Common Stock. The nontaxable distributions will reduce the stockholder's tax basis in the Common Stock and, therefore, the gain (or loss) recognized on the sale of such Common Stock or upon liquidation of the Company will be increased (or decreased) accordingly. The percentage of stockholder distributions that represents a nontaxable return of capital may vary substantially from year to year.

Federal income tax law requires that a REIT distribute annually at least 95% of its REIT taxable income. See "Federal Income Tax Consequences--Requirements for Qualification--Annual Distribution Requirements." The amount of distributions on an annual basis necessary to maintain the Company's REIT status based on pro forma taxable income of the Operating Partnership for the year ended December 31, 1996 as adjusted for certain

items in the following table would have been approximately \$ million. The estimated Cash Available for Distribution is anticipated to be in excess of the annual distribution requirements applicable to REITs. Under certain circumstances, the Company may be required to make distributions in excess of Cash Available for Distribution in order to meet such distribution requirements. For a discussion of the tax treatment of distributions to holders of Common Stock see "Federal Income Tax Consequences."

The Company believes that its estimate of Cash Available for Distribution constitutes a reasonable basis for setting the initial distribution, and the Company expects to maintain its initial distribution rate for the 12 months subsequent to the Offering unless actual results of operations, economic conditions or other factors differ from the assumptions used in the estimate. The Company's actual results of operations will be affected by a number of factors, including the revenue received from the Properties, the operating expenses of the Company, interest expense, the ability of tenants of the Properties to meet their obligations and unanticipated capital expenditures. Variations in the net proceeds from the Offering as a result of a change in the initial public offering price or the exercise of the Underwriters' overallotment option may affect the Cash Available for Distribution and the payout ratio of Cash Available for Distribution and available reserves. No assurance can be given that the Company's estimate will prove accurate. Actual results may vary substantially from the estimate.

The following table describes the calculation of pro forma Funds from Operations for the year ended December, 1996 and the adjustments made to pro forma Funds from Operations for the year ended December 31, 1996 in estimating initial Cash Available for Distribution for the year ended December 31, 1997 (amounts in thousands except share data, per share date, square footage data and percentages):

	Pro forma Income before Minority Interest and Extraordinary Item for
	the year ended December 31, 1996
(479)	operations
30,390	Plus: Pro forma real estate depreciation for the year ended December 31, 1996
3,753	Plus: Pro forma real estate amortization for the year ended December 31, 1996
80,573	Pro forma Funds from Operations for the year ended December 31, 1996 (1)
(4,862)	Net increases in contractual rent income (2)
	(4) Net decrease in interest income (5)
	Estimated adjusted pro forma Funds from Operations for the year ending December 31, 1997
513	Net effect of straight-line rents (6)Pro forma non-real estate amortization for the year ended December
2,076 (3,517)	31, 1996 (7)
	commissions (9)
	(10) Estimated capital expenditureshotels (11)
	Estimated Cash Available for Distribution for the year ending December 31, 1997
======	The Company's share of estimated Cash Available for Distribution
\$ ======	(12)
	Minority interest's share of estimated Cash Available for Distribution
====== \$	Total estimated initial annual distributions
====== \$	Estimated initial annual distribution per share (13)
======	Payout ratio based on estimated Cash Available for Distribution
% ======	(14)

<sup>(1)</sup> The Company generally considers Funds from Operations an appropriate measure of liquidity of an equity REIT because industry analysts have accepted it as a performance measure of equity REITs. "Funds from

Operations" as defined by NAREIT means net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) excluding non-recurring item, gains (or losses) from debt restructuring and sales of property, plus depreciation and amortization on real estate assets and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The Company's Funds from Operations are not comparable to Funds from Operations reported by other REITs that do not define the term using the current NAREIT definition or than interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than does the Company. The Company believes that in order to facilitate a clear understanding of the combined historical operating results of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group and the Company, Funds from Operations should be examined in conjunction with net income as presented in the combined financial statements and information included elsewhere in this Prospectus. Funds from Operations does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indication of the Company's performance or to cash flows as a measure of liquidity or ability to make distributions.

- (2) Represents the net increases in contractual rental income from new leases and renewals that were not in effect for the entire 12-month period ended December 31, 1996 and new leases and renewals that went into effect between January 1, 1997 and and the effect of the hotel net leases in effect at the closing date.
- (3) Assumes no lease renewals or new leases (other than month-to-month leases) for leases expiring after December 31, 1996 unless a new or renewal lease has been entered into by April 8, 1997.
- (4) Represents contractual rental revenue, net of operating expenses, to be collected during the year ended December 31, 1997 from development projects to be completed during 1997.
- (5) Reflects an estimated reduction in interest income for the year ending December 31, 1997 resulting from a decrease in the amount of escrow cash to be held by the Company.
- (6) Represents the effect of adjusting straight-line rental revenue included in pro forma net income from the straight-line accrual basis to amounts currently being paid or due from tenants.
- (7) Pro forma amortization of financing costs of \$1,520 plus corporate depreciation of \$556 for the year ended December 31, 1996.
- (8) Represents scheduled payments of mortgage loan principal due during the year ending December 31, 1997.

  (9) Reflects recurring tenant improvements and lease commissions anticipated
- for the year ending December 31, 1997 based on the weighted average tenant improvements and leasing commissions expenditures for renewed and retenanted space at the Properties incurred during 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996, multiplied by the average annual number of square feet of leased space for which leases expire during the years ending December 31, 1997 through December 31, 2001. The weighted average annual per square foot cost of tenant improvements and leasing commissions expenditures is presented below:

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, ----- WEIGHTED 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 AVERAGE

Recurring Tenant improvements and lease commissions per square foot.. \$6.74 \$5.59 \$6.51 \$7.77 \$10.31 \$ 7.67 Average annual square feet for which leases expire during years ending December 31, 1997 through December 31, 2001..... Total estimated annual recurring capitalized tenant improvements and leasing commission.....

751,949

\$ 5,767

- (10) For the year ending December 31, 1997, the estimated cost of recurring building improvements and equipment upgrades and replacements (excluding tenant improvements) at the Office and Industrial Properties is approximately \$1,440 and is based on an annual estimated cost of \$0.20 per square foot.
- (11) Reflects recurring capital expenditures anticipated for the Hotel Properties for the year ending December 31, 1997 based on the average capital expenditures at the Hotels incurring during the years ending December 31, 1992 through December 31, 1996. The average cost is presented below:

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 AVERAGE

Hotel improvements, equipment upgrades and replacements...... \$3,182 \$836 \$1,917 \$4,420 \$3,041 \$2,679 At December 31, 1996 reserve accounts for hotel improvements for both Properties aggregated \$4,942. Pursuant to the Hotel Property management agreements, Marriott(R) has agreed to reserve 5% and 6% of the gross revenues of the Marriott(R) Long Wharf Hotel and the Cambridge Center Marriott(R), respectively, for hotel improvements, equipment upgrades and replacements.

- (12) The Company's share of estimated Cash Available for Distribution and estimated initial annual cash distributions to stockholders of the Company is based on its approximate % aggregate partnership interest in the Operating Partnership.
- (13) Based on a total of shares of Common Stock to be outstanding after the Offering, consisting of 29,500,000 shares to be sold in the Offering, assuming no exercise of the Underwriters' overallotment option, and additional shares to be issued in the Formation Transactions.
- (14) Calculated as estimated initial annual distribution per share divided by the Company's share of estimated Cash Available for Distribution per share for the year ending December 31, 1997. The payout ratio based on estimated adjusted pro forma Funds from Operations is %.

#### CAPITAL TZATION

The following table sets forth the combined historical capitalization of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group together with the Property Partnerships and the other assets to be acquired in the Formation Transactions and the pro forma combined capitalization of the Company as of December 31, 1996, as adjusted to give effect to the Formation Transactions, the Offering and the use of the net proceeds from the Offering and from the initial borrowing under the Unsecured Line of Credit as set forth under "Use of Proceeds." The information set forth in the table should be read in conjunction with the combined historical financial statements and notes thereto, the pro forma financial information and notes thereto and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations--Liquidity and Capital Resources" included elsewhere in this Prospectus.

	DECEMBER 31, 1996	
	COMBINED HISTORICAL	
	(IN THOUSA	NDS)
Debt:		
Mortgage Notes and Unsecured Line of Credit (1)	\$1,314,503	\$670,600 (3)
Minority interest in Operating Partnership Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, issued, or outstanding Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized; issued and		
outstanding (2)		
Additional Paid-in Capital Owners' Equity	 (528,932)	
Total Stockholders' Equity	(528 932)	
Total Geometro Equity !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		
Total Capitalization		\$
	=======	======

(1) Mortgage notes payable are comprised of 42 loans at December 31, 1996 and 1995, each of which is collateralized by a building and related land included in real estate assets. The mortgage notes payable are generally due in monthly installments and mature at various dates through September 30, 2012. Interest rates on fixed rate mortgage notes payable aggregating \$913,361 and \$829,226 at December 31, 1996 and 1995, respectively, range from 7.35% to 9.875% (averaging 8.07% at December 31, 1996). Interest rates on variable rate mortgage notes payable aggregating \$380,129 and \$440,403 at December 31, 1996 and 1995, respectively, range from the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") 5.5% at December 31, 1996 to 1.375% above the LIBOR rate.

The interest rates related to the mortgage notes payable for three properties aggregating \$610,782 and \$612,657 at December 31, 1996 and 1995 are subject to periodic scheduled rate increases. Interest expense for these mortgage notes payable is computed using the effective interest method. The impact of using this method increased interest expense \$644, \$1,347 and \$3,131 for the years ended December 31, 1996, 1995 and 1994, respectively. The cumulative liability related to these adjustments is \$21,013 and \$20,369 at December 31, 1996 and 1995, respectively, and is included in mortgage notes payable.

Combined aggregate principal maturities of mortgage notes payable at December 31, 1996 are as follows:

1997	 \$334,472
1998	 119,435
1999	 6,083
2000	 48,040
2001	 153,148

The extraordinary loss reflected in the statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 1996 resulted from a prepayment penalty upon the early principal repayment of a mortgage note payable.

Certain mortgage notes payable are subject to prepayment penalties of varying amounts in the event of an early principal repayment.

- (2) Includes shares of Common Stock to be issued in the Offering. Does not include (i) shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the exchange of OP Units issued in connection with the Formation Transactions, or (ii) not more than shares of Common Stock subject to options granted under the Company's Stock Option Plan.
- (3) As adjusted Mortgage Notes and Unsecured Line of Credit consists of the pro forma Mortgage Notes payable and Unsecured Line of Credit of \$654,674 as of December 31, 1996 adjusted (i) for the effects of the drawdown on the Unsecured Line of Credit of \$40,145 as of the Offering date less the \$22,117 drawdown used for pro forma purposes, and (ii) to reflect anticipated principal amortization on the Mortgage Notes between December 31, 1996 and the Offering date.

#### DTIUTTON

At December 31, 1996, the Company had a net tangible book value of approximately \$(557.2) million. After giving effect to (i) the sale of the shares of Common Stock offered hereby (at an assumed initial public offering price of \$25.00 per share) and the receipt by the Company of approximately \$682.7 million in net proceeds from the Offering, after deducting the underwriting discount and estimated Offering expenses, (ii) the repayment of approximately \$661.7 (at December 31, 1996) million of indebtedness under mortgage debt, the pro forma net tangible book value at December 31, 1996 would have been \$ million, or \$ per share of Common Stock. This amount represents an immediate increase in net tangible book value of \$ per share to persons who held a direct or indirect interest in the assets of the Company prior to the Offering (the "Continuing Investors") and an immediate dilution in pro forma net tangible book value of \$ per share of Common Stock to new investors. The following table illustrates this dilution:

Initial public offering price per share  Deficiency in tangible book value per share prior to the	\$25.00
Offering(1)  Increase in net tangible book value per share attributable to	\$
the Offering(2)	\$ \$
Dilution in net tangible book value per share of Common Stock to new investors(4)	\$

- (1) Net tangible book value per share prior to the Offering is determined by dividing net tangible book value of the Company (based on the December 31, 1996 net book value of the assets less net book value of deferred financing and leasing costs to be contributed in connection with the Formation Transactions, net of liabilities to be assumed) by the number of shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exchange of all OP Units to be issued to the Continuing Investors.
- (2) Based on the assumed initial public offering price of \$25.00 per share of Common Stock and after deducting Underwriters' discounts and commissions and estimated Offering expenses.
- (3) Based on total pro forma net tangible book value of \$ million divided by the total number of shares of Common Stock. There is no impact on dilution attributable to the issuance of Common Stocks in exchange for OP Units to be issued to the Continuing Investors since such OP Units would be exchanged for Common Stock on a one-for-one basis.
- (4) Dilution is determined by subtracting net tangible book value per share of Common Stock after the Offering from the initial public offering price of \$25.00 per share of Common Stock.

The following table summarizes, on a pro forma basis giving effect to the Offering and the Formation Transactions, the number of shares of Common Stock to be sold by the Company in the Offering and the number of OP Units to be issued to the Continuing Investors in connection with the Formation Transactions, the net tangible book value as of December 31, 1996 of the assets contributed in the Formation Transactions and the net tangible book value of the average contribution per share based on total contributions.

			TO THE CON CONTRIBUTIO	MPANY DNS(1)	PURCHASE PRICE/BOOK VALUE OF AVG CONTRIBUTION PER SHARE/UNIT		
			SANDS EXCEPT				
New Investors in the Offering	29,500	%	\$ 682,719	%	\$25.00(2) \$		
Continuing Investors		%	\$	%	\$		
Total		100% =====	\$ ======	100% =====			

CASH/BOOK VALUE OF

- (1) Based on the December 31, 1996 net book value of the assets less net book value of prepaid financing and leasing costs to be contributed in connection with the Formation Transactions, net of liabilities to be assumed.
- (2) Before deducting the underwriting discount and estimated expenses of the Offering.

#### SELECTED ETNANCIAL INFORMATION

The following table sets forth unaudited pro forma financial and other information for the Company and combined historical financial information for the Boston Properties Predecessor Group. The following selected financial information should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto contained in this Prospectus.

The combined historical balance sheets as of December 31, 1996 and 1995 and combined historical statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 1996, 1995 and 1994 of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group have been derived from the historical combined financial statements audited by Coopers & Lybrand LLP, independent accountants, whose report with respect thereto is included elsewhere in this Prospectus.

Unaudited pro forma operating information for the year ended December 31, 1996 is presented as if the completion of the Offering and the Formation Transactions occurred at January 1, 1996 and, therefore, incorporates certain assumptions that are described in the notes to the Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations included elsewhere in this Prospectus. The unaudited pro forma balance sheet data is presented as if the aforementioned transactions had occurred on December 31, 1996.

The pro forma information does not purport to represent what the Company's financial position or results of operations would actually have been if these transactions had, in fact, occurred on such date or at the beginning of the period indicated, or to project the Company's financial position or results of operations at any future date or for any future period.

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,

				31, 		
	PRO FORMA 1996	1996	1995	1994	1993	1992
			NDS, EXCEPT			
OPERATING DATA: Revenues:						
Rental revenue (1) Hotel revenue (1) Fee and other income		\$ 179,925 65,678	\$ 163,649 61,320	\$ 161,044 58,435	\$ 166,904 54,788	\$ 162,044 52,682
(2)	7,470		8,511		8,430	11,553
Total revenues Expenses: Property expenses		255,192	233,480		230,122	226,279
(2) Hotel expenses (1) General and adminis-	53,663 	54,722 46,734	44,018	49,878 42,753	40,286	38,957
trative Interest Real estate	11,054 49,275	10,698 97,357	10,372 97,139	10,123 85,595	9,549 78,840	9,331 80,727
depreciation and amortization Other depreciation and	34,143	34,143	31,731	31,003	30,793	32,595
amortization	2,076		2,348		2,584	2,185
Total expenses Income (loss) before extraordinary item and minority interest in		246,413	237,722	221,808		210,246
combined partnership Minority interest in a	54,412	8,779			16,918	16,033
combined partnership	(384)	(384)	(276)	(411)	(391)	(374)
Income (loss) before extraordinary item Extraordinary itemloss on early extinguishment	54,028	8,395	(4,518)	6,598	16,527	15,659
of debt Minority interest in Operating Partnership		(994)				
(3)						
Net income (loss)	======				\$ 16,527 ======	
Net income per share Weighted average number of shares outstanding	\$					
Weighted average number of shares and OP Units outstanding						
BALANCE SHEET DATA, AT PERIOD END: Real estate, before						
accumulated depreciation Real estate, after accu-	\$987,975	\$ 978,106	\$ 952,837	\$ 925,553	\$ 924,450	\$ 923,044
mulated depreciation Cash and cash equiva-	734,352	724,483	725,327	721,177	738,361	759,652
lents Total assets	7,405 829,008	5,611 833,211	22,791 856,714	42,990 873,061	47,735 893,440	25,620 902,590
Total Indebtedness Stockholders' or owners'	654,674	1,336,620	1,295,264	1,306,680	1,319,472	1,310,348
equity (deficiency) OTHER DATA:	148,924	(528,932)	(461,552)	(458,048)	(451,801)	(437,434)
EBITDA (4) Funds from operations	\$139,222	\$ 142,354	\$ 126,383	\$ 125,364	\$ 128,463	\$ 130,864
(5)Cash flow from operating	80,573	34,940	27,107	37,488	47,174	48,120
activitiesCash flow from investing		50,442	28,959	45,398	58,788	46,623
activities		(23,420)	(36,658)	(18,424)	(9,421)	(38,408)
activities		(44,202)	(12,500)	(31,719)	(27,251)	14,881

<sup>(1)</sup> Rental revenue for pro forma 1996 includes the lease revenue that the Company will receive under the lease for the two Hotel Properties. After entering into such lease, the Company will not recognize direct hotel revenues and expenses.

<sup>(2)</sup> The development and management operations of the Company are reflected on a gross basis in the historical combined financial statements. In connection with the Formation Transactions, substantially all of the Greater Washington D.C. third party property management business will be contributed by the Company to the Development and Management Company and thereafter the operations of the Development and Management Company will be accounted for by the Company under the equity method in the pro forma

statements; therefore, the pro forma statements include (i) revenues and expenses on a gross basis, from development and management conducted directly by the Operating Partnership in the respective income and expense line items and (ii) the Development and Management Company's net operations in the fee and other income line item. See "Business and Properties--Development Consulting, Property Management and Third Party Management."

- (3) Represents the approximate % interest in the Operating Partnership that will be owned by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and other continuing investors in the Properties.
- (4) EBITDA means operating income before mortgage and other interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. The Company believes EBITDA is useful to investors as an indicator of the Company's ability to service debt or pay cash distributions. EBITDA, as calculated by the Company, is not comparable to EBITDA reported by other REITs that do not define EBITDA exactly as the Company defines that term. EBITDA does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to operating income or net income as an indicator of performance or as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities as an indicator of liquidity. EBITDA for the respective periods is calculated as follows:

			Υ	Ε	Α	R		E	N	D	E	D		D	E	С	E	M	В	E	R		3	1	,
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	PRO FORMA 1996	1996	1995	1994	1993	1992
EBITDA						
Income (loss) before minority interest and						
extraordinary item	\$ 54,412	\$ 8,779	\$ (4,242)	\$ 7,009	\$ 16,918	\$ 16,033
Add:						
Interest expense	49,275	97,357	97,139	85,595	78,840	80,727
Real estate deprecia- tion and amortiza-						
tion	34,143	34,143	31,731	31,003	30,793	32,595
Other depreciation and						
amortization	2,076	2,759	2,348	2,456	2,584	2,185
Less: Minority combined						
partnership's share						
of EBITDA	(684)	(684)	(593)	(699)	(699)	(676)
EDITO.	4400 000	<b>440.054</b>	4400 000	<b>*****</b>	<b>******</b>	<b>******</b>
EBITDA	\$139,222	\$142,354	\$126,383	\$125,364	\$128,463	\$130,864
EBITDA (Company's %						
	\$					
Share)	Ф					

(5) The Company generally considers Funds from Operations an appropriate measure of liquidity of an equity REIT because industry analysts have accepted it as a performance measure of equity REITs. "Funds from Operations" as defined by NAREIT means net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) excluding significant non-recurring items, gains (or losses) from debt restructuring and sale of property plus depreciation and amortization on real estate assets, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The Company's Funds from Operations are not comparable to Funds from Operations reported by other REITs that do not define that term using the current NAREIT definition or that interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than does the Company. The Company believes that in order to facilitate a clear understanding of the combined historical operating results of the Properties and the Company, funds from Operations should be examined in conjunction with the income (loss) as presented in the audited combined financial statements and information included elsewhere in this Prospectus. Funds from Operations should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of the Company's financial performance or to cash flow from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of the Company's liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund the Company's cash needs, including its ability to make distributions.

### YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,

	1996	1996	1995	1994	1993	1992
FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS Income (loss) before minority interest and extraordinary item	\$54,412	\$ 8,779	\$(4,242)	\$ 7,009	\$16,918	\$16,033
Real estate depreciation and amortization Less: Minority combined	34,143	34,143	31,731	31,003	30,793	32,595
partnership's share of funds from operations Non-recurring item significant lease-	. ,	(479)	(382)	(524)	(537)	(508)
termination fee(A) Funds from operations	\$80,573	(7,503)  \$34,940 ======	\$27,107 ======	\$37,488 ======	\$47,174 ======	\$48,120 ======

DDU EUDMV

Funds from operations (Company's % Share).....\$ \$ \$ \$ \$

(A) For Funds from Operations reporting purposes, the Company believes this lease termination fee to be non-recurring.

\$

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the "Selected Financial Information" and the historical and pro forma financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Prospectus. Such financial statements and information reflect the historical financial position and results of operations of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group, prior to the completion of the Offering and the Formation Transactions. The pro forma financial position is presented as if the Offering and the Formation Transactions had occurred on December 31, 1996. The pro forma results of operations is presented as if the Offering and the Formation Transactions had occurred on January 1, 1996. See "Formation Transactions" and the Notes to the pro forma financial statements of the Company. The combined financial statements of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group consist of the 58 Office Properties (including five Office Properties under development during 1996), nine Industrial Properties, two Hotel Properties and the Garage Property.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

COMPARISON OF YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1996 TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1995.

Rental revenue increased \$16.3 million or 9.9% to \$179.9 million from \$163.6 million for the year ended December 31, 1996 compared to the year ended December 31, 1995 primarily as a result of (i) a \$7.5 million lease termination fee received from a tenant at 599 Lexington Avenue for which the space was immediately released (ii) an increase of \$2.8 million due to the completion of the redevelopment and leasing of 191 Spring Street and (iii) an overall increase in occupancy and rental rates.

Hotel revenue increased \$4.4 million or \$7.1% to \$65.7 million from \$61.3 million for the year ended December 31, 1996 compared to the year ended December 31, 1995 primarily as a result of an increase in average daily room rates of 7.6%.

Third party management and development fee income increased \$1.3 million or 25.4% to \$6.3 million from \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 1996 compared to the year ended December 31, 1995 primarily as a result of new fees for development services for projects which began during 1996.

Interest and other income decreased \$190,000 or 5.4% to \$3.3 million from \$3.5 million primarily due to a reduction in interest income resulting from a reduction in cash reserves.

Property expenses increased \$2.6 million or 5.0% to \$54.7 million from \$52.1 million for the year ended December 31, 1996 compared to the year ended December 31, 1995 primarily as a result of an increase of \$0.2 million in real estate taxes and a \$1.1 million increase in utility costs which is partially due to the increase in occupancy of the properties during 1996.

Hotel expenses increased \$2.7 million or 6.2% to \$46.7 million from \$44.0 million for the year ended December 31, 1996 compared to the year ended December 31, 1995.

Interest expense increased \$218,000 or 0.2% to \$97.4 million from \$97.1 million for the year ended December 31, 1996 compared to the year ended December 31, 1995 primarily as the result of an increase in interest expense of 191 Spring Street resulting from the capitalization of interest during the redevelopment of that property during 1995, an increase in total indebtedness from new loans on Bedford Business Park and Capital Gallery, partially offset by decreases in interest rates on variable rate loans.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$2.8 million or 8.3% to \$36.9 million from \$34.1 million for the year ended December 31, 1996 compared to the year ended December 31, 1995 as a result of increased tenant improvement costs incurred during the successful leasing of available space during 1995 and 1996.

As a result of the foregoing, net income before extraordinary item and minority interest in combined partnership increased \$13.0 million to \$8.8 million from a loss of \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 1996 compared to the year ended December 31, 1995.

COMPARISON OF YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1995 TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1994.

Rental revenue increased \$2.6 million or 1.6% to \$163.6 million from \$161.0 million for the year ended December 31, 1995 compared to the year ended December 31, 1994 as a result of increases in occupancy, including an increase of \$2.3 million from releasing at Democracy Center partially offset by a loss of revenue of \$2.7 million from 191 Spring Street which was taken out of service for eleven months of 1995 while undergoing a complete redevelopment.

Hotel revenue increased \$2.9 million or 4.9% to \$61.3 million from \$58.4 million for the year ended December 31, 1995 compared to the year ended December 31, 1994 primarily as a result of an increase in the average daily room rate of 7.7%.

Third party management and development fee revenue decreased \$1.6 million or 24.7% primarily as the result of a decline in revenue from projects completed in 1994.

Interest and other income increased \$809,000 or 29.9% for the year ended December 31, 1995 compared to the year ended December 31, 1994 primarily as a result of an increase in interest income from cash investments.

Property expenses increased \$2.2 million or 4.5% to \$52.1 million from \$49.9 million for the year ended December 31, 1995 compared to the year ended December 31, 1994 primarily as a result of increased utilities and building cleaning and maintenance costs.

Hotel expenses increased \$1.3 million or 3.0% to \$44.0 million from \$42.8 million for the year ended December 31, 1995 compared to the year ended December 31, 1994.

Interest expense increased \$11.5 million or 13.5% to \$97.1 million from \$85.6 million for the year ended December 31, 1995 compared to the year ended December 31, 1994 as a result of increases in interest rates on variable rate mortgage loans partially offset by a reduction in indebtedness resulting from scheduled payments of mortgage loan principal and the capitalization of interest of the 191 Spring Street loan during the redevelopment in 1995.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$620,000 or 1.9% to \$34.1 million from \$33.5 million for the year ended December 31, 1995 compared to the year ended December 31, 1994.

As a result of the foregoing, net income before extraordinary item and minority interest in combined partnership decreased \$11.3 million to a loss of \$4.2 million from \$7.0 million of net income for the year ended December 31, 1995 compared to the year ended December 31, 1994.

# PRO FORMA OPERATING RESULTS

Year Ended December 31, 1996. For the year ended December 31, 1996, pro forma net income would have been \$ million compared to \$8.4 million of historical net income for the year ended December 31, 1996. The pro forma operating results for the year ended December 31, 1996 include a minority interest in Operating Partnership of \$ million whereas there was no minority interest in Operating Partnership in the corresponding historical period. On a pro forma basis, net income before minority interest in Operating Partnership for the year ended December 31, 1996 would have been \$ million compared to \$8.8 million of net income before extraordinary items for the corresponding historical period. Net income increased by \$ million on a pro forma basis for the year ended December 31, 1996 primarily due to a reduction of interest expense of \$48.1 million.

Rental revenue for pro forma 1996 includes the lease revenues that the Company will receive from ZL Hotel LLC under the lease for the two Hotel Properties. After entering into such lease, the Company will not recognize hotel revenues and expenses.

The development and management operations of the Company are reflected on a gross basis in the historical combined financial statements. In connection with the Formation Transactions, substantially all of the Greater

Washington D.C. third party property management business will be contributed by the Company to the Development and Management Company and thereafter the operations of the Development and Management Company will be accounted for by the Company under the equity method in the pro forma statements; therefore, the pro forma statements include (i) revenues and expenses on a gross basis, from development and management conducted directly by the Operating Partnership in the respective income and expense line items and (ii) the Development and Management Company's net operations in the fee and other income line item. See "Business and Properties--Development Consulting, Property Management and Third Party Management."

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Mortgage Financing. Upon completion of the Offering and the Formation Transactions and the application of the net proceeds therefrom as described in "Use of Proceeds," the Company expects to have reduced the total indebtedness secured by the properties (the "Mortgage Debt") from \$1.31 billion to \$670 million of mortgage debt, comprised of \$630 million of mortgage debt and \$40.1 million of debt under the Unsecured Line of Credit. The \$630 million Mortgage Debt is comprised of 10 loans secured by 12 properties, with a weighted average interest rate of 7.6% on the fixed rate loans which total \$625.8 million. There will be a total of \$3.5 million of scheduled loan principal payments due during the year ending December 31, 1997. The Mortgage Debt will represent approximately % of the Company's Total Market Capitalization.

Mortgage Indebtedness. As of June 1, 1997, the Company expects to have outstanding approximately \$630.5 million of indebtedness secured by each of the Properties as listed below:

PROPERTIES	INTEREST RATE	PRINCIPAL	ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE	MATURITY DATE	ESTIMATED BALANCE AT MATURITY
		(IN TH	OUSANDS)		(IN THOUSANDS)
599 Lexington Avenue Two Independence	7.00%	\$225,000	\$15,750	July 19, 2005	\$225,000
Square One Independence	7.90	122,187	10,767	February 27, 2003	113,844
Square	7.90	78,125	7,038	August 21, 2001	73,938
Capital Gallery 10 & 20 Burlington Mall	8.24	60,364	5,767	August 15, 2006	49,555
Road(1) Ten Cambridge Center &	8.33	45,000	3,749	July 1, 2001	45,000
North Garage	7.57	40,000	3,028	March 29, 2000	40,000
191 Spring Street	8.50	23,822	2,271	September 1, 2006	20,428
Bedford Business Park	8.50	23,313	1,980	December 10, 2008	15,891
Montvale Center Hilltop Business Cen-	8.59	7,953	779	December 1, 2006	6,556
ter	LIBOR+1.50%	4,700	553	December 1, 1998	4,400
Total		\$630,464 ======	\$51,682 =====		\$594,612 ======

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes outstanding indebtedness secured by 91 Hartwell Avenue and 92 and 100 Hayden Avenue.

The Unsecured Line of Credit. The Company is currently negotiating with a commercial bank, the terms of the three year, \$300 million Unsecured Line of Credit. The Unsecured Line of Credit will be used to facilitate development and acquisition activities and for working capital purposes.

Analysis of Liquidity and Capital Resources. Upon completion of the Offering and the Formation Transactions and the use of proceeds therefrom, the Company will have reduced its total indebtedness by approximately \$620 million.

The Company believes the Offering and the Formation Transaction will improve its financial performance through changes in its capital structure, principally the substantial reduction in its overall debt and its debt to

<sup>(2)</sup> For purposes of calculating debt service, LIBOR is presumed to be 6.0%.

equity ratio. The Company anticipates that distributions will be paid from cash available for distribution, which is expected to exceed cash historically available for distribution as a result of the reduction in debt service resulting from the repayment of indebtedness. Through the Formation Transactions, the Company will repay \$660 million of its existing mortgage debt, reducing annual interest expense by approximately \$48 million.

After the Offering, the Company expects to have approximately \$259.9 million available under the Unsecured Line of Credit. The Company anticipates that the Unsecured Line of Credit will be used primarily to develop and acquire properties and provide for working capital needs.

The Company expects to meet its short-term liquidity requirements generally through its initial working capital and net cash provided by operations. The Company's operating properties and hotels require periodic investments of capital for tenant-related capital expenditures and for general capital improvements. For the years ending December 31, 1992 through December 31, 1996, the Company's recurring tenant improvements and leasing commissions averaged \$7.67 per square foot of leased space per year. The Company expects that the average annual cost of recurring tenant improvements and leasing commissions will be \$5,767,000 based upon an average annual square feet for which leases expire during the years ending December 31, 1997 through December 31, 2001 of 751,949 square feet. The Company expects the cost of general capital improvements to the properties to average \$1,440,000 annually based upon an estimate of \$0.20 per square foot. General capital expenditures for the hotels is expected to be \$2,678,000 annually based upon the average actual capital expenditures at the hotels for the years ending December 31, 1992 through December 31, 1996.

#### CASH FLOWS

Comparison for the Year Ended December 31, 1996 to Year Ended December 31, 1995. Cash and cash equivalents were \$5.6 million and \$22.8 million at December 31, 1996 and 1995, respectively. Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$17.2 million during 1996 compared to a decrease of \$20.2 million during 1995. The decrease is due to a \$31.7 million increase in net cash used in financing activities from \$12.5 million to \$44.2 million, offset by a \$13.2 million decrease in net cash used in investing activities from \$36.7 million to \$23.4 million and an increase in cash flows provided by operating activities of \$21.5 million from \$29 million to \$50.4 million. The increase in net cash used in financing activities of \$31.7 million is attributable to net distributions to owners of \$74.8 million offset by an increase of \$44.1 million in mortgage note proceeds net of financing costs and loan principal payments. The decrease in net cash used in investing activities of \$13.2 million is attributable to the acquisition of the two Sugarland properties for \$7.5 million offset by a draw of restricted cash of \$9.2 million and a net decrease in additions to tenant improvements, leasing and development costs. The increase in cash provided by operating activities of \$21.5 million is due to an increase in net income of \$11.9 million and increases from accounts receivable, escrows and prepaid expenses.

Comparison for the Year Ended December 31, 1995 to Year Ended December 31, 1994. Cash and cash equivalents were \$22.8 million and \$43.0 million at December 31, 1995 and 1994 respectively. Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$20.2 million during 1995 compared to a decrease of \$4.7 million during 1994. The decrease is due to an increase in cash used in investing activities of \$18.2 million from \$18.4 million to \$36.7 million, a decrease in cash provided by operating activities of \$16.4 million from \$45.4 million to \$29 million offset by a decrease in net cash used in financing activities of \$19.2 million from \$31.7 million to \$12.5 million. The increase in cash used in investing activities of \$18.2 million is due to an increase in tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$19.0 million and the acquisition of 164 Lexington Road of \$1.8 million. The decrease in net cash used in financing activities of \$19.2 million is attributable to a \$13.9 million decrease in net distributions to owners and a \$5.4 million decrease in loans payable and financing costs.

## FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS

Industry analysts generally consider Funds from Operations, as defined by NAREIT, to be an alternative measure of performance of an equity REIT. Funds from Operations, as defined by NAREIT, means net income (computed in accordance with GAAP) excluding significant nonrecurring items, gains (or losses) from debt

restructuring and sales of property, plus depreciation and amortization on real estate assets, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The Company's Funds from Operations are not comparable to Funds from Operations reported by other REITs that do not define the term using the current NAREIT definition or that interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than does the Company. The Company believes that in order to facilitate a clear understanding of the combined historical operating results of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group and the Company, Funds from Operations should be examined in conjunction with net income as presented in the combined financial statements and information included elsewhere in this Prospectus. Funds from Operations does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indication of the Company's performance or to cash flows as a measure of liquidity or ability to make distributions.

## INFLATION

Substantially all of the office leases provide for separate real estate tax and operating expense escalations over a base amount. In addition, many of the leases provide for fixed base rent increases or indexed increases. The Company believes that inflationary increases may be at least partially offset by the contractual rent increases described above.

## BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES

## GENERAL

The Company's Properties consist of 60 Office Properties (including seven Development Properties), nine Industrial Properties, the two Hotel Properties and the Garage Property. The total square footage of the Properties is approximately 10.4 million square feet, comprised of (i) 33 Class A Office Buildings (including three Development Properties) totaling approximately 5.7 million net rentable square feet, with approximately 1.1 million square feet of structured parking for 3,872 vehicles, (ii) 27 R&D Properties (including four Development Properties) totaling approximately 1.6 million net rentable square feet, (iii) nine Industrial Properties totaling approximately 925,000 net rentable square feet, (iv) two Hotel Properties, with 833 rooms, totaling approximately 750,000 square feet, and (v) the Garage Property, with 1,170 parking spaces, consisting of approximately 330,000 square feet.

# SUMMARY PROPERTY DATA

Set forth below is a summary of information regarding the Properties, including the seven Development Properties.

PROPERTY NAME	LOCATION	PERCENT OWNERSHIP	YEAR(S) BUILT/ RENOVATED(1)	NO. OF BLDGS.	NET RENTABLE SQUARE FEET	PERCENT LEASED AS OF 12/31/96	ESCALATED RENT AS OF 12/31/96(2)	PERCENT OF ESCALATED RENT	ESCALATED RENT PER LEASED SQUARE FOOT(2)
OFFICE PROPER- TIES:									
Class A Office Buildings:									
599 Lexington Avenue (4)	New York, NY	100.0%	1986	1	1,000,070	97%	\$ 51,470,410	29.3%	\$52.90
Two Independence Square (5)	SW, Washington, DC	100.0	1992	1	579,600	100	21,185,671		36.65
Democracy Cen- ter	Bethesda, MD	100.0	1985-88/94-96	3	680,000	96	15,047,361		23.03
One Independence Square (5)	SW, Washington, DC	100.0	1991	1	337,794	100	12,650,434	7.2	37.45
Capital Gal-					,				
lery The U.S. International Trade Commission	SW, Washington, DC	100.0	1981	1	396, 255	93	12,229,487	7.0	33.15
Building (5) (6)	SW, Washington, DC	100.0	1987	1	243,798	100	6,673,165	3.8	27.35
One Cambridge Center	Cambridge, MA	100.0	1987	1	215,385	100	6,015,824	3.4	27.93
Ten Cambridge Center	Cambridge, MA	100.0	1990	1	152,664	100	4,251,071	2.4	27.85
191 Spring Street	Lexington, MA	100.0	1971/95	1	162,700	100	3,986,701	2.3	24.50
10 & 20 Burling- ton Mall Road	Burlington, MA	100.0	1984-86/95-96	2	152,552	100	3,131,736	1.8	20.53
Lexington Office Park	Lexington, MA	100.0	1982	2	168,500	95	2,995,506	1.7	19.33
Waltham Office Center	Waltham, MA	100.0	1968-70/87-88	3	129,658	100	2,575,521	1.5	19.86
Three Cambridge Center	Cambridge, MA	100.0	1987	1	107,484	100	2,406,808	1.4	22.39
Montvale Center	Gaithersburg, MD	75.0	1987	1	122,157	100	2,195,966	1.2	17.98
170 Tracer Lane	Waltham, MA	100.0	1980	1	73,258	100	1,681,073		22.95
Bedford Business Park	Bedford, MA	100.0	1980	1	90,000	100	1,513,011		16.81
91 Hartwell Ave- nue	Lexington, MA	100.0	1985/96	1	122,135	51	1,318,024	0.7	21.24
33 Hayden Ave-	Lexington, MA	100.0	1903/30	1	,	100		0.6	14.19
nue Eleven Cambridge	,				79,564		1,128,814		
Center 100 Hayden Ave-	Cambridge, MA	100.0	1984	1	79,616	100	1,118,563	0.6	14.05
nue 8 Arlington	Lexington, MA	100.0	1985	1	55,924	100	1,098,034		19.63
Street (8) 32 Hartwell Ave-	Boston, MA	100.0	1860/1920/89	1	30,526	100	1,080,172		35.39
nue 204 Second Ave-	Lexington, MA	100.0	1968-79/87	1	69,154	100	1,002,211	0.6	14.49
nue 92 Hayden Ave-	Waltham, MA	100.0	1981/93	1	40,974	100	812,518	0.4	19.83
nue BDM Interna-	Lexington, MA	100.0	1968/84	1	30,980	100	632,109	0.9	20.40
tional Building*	Reston, VA	25.0	1999	2	440,000				
201 Spring Street* (10)		100.0	1997	1	102,000				
	AVERAGE FOR CLASS A OF			33	5,662,748	97%(A)	\$158,200,190	90.0%	\$31.86(A)
R&D Properties:				===	=======	===	========	====	=====
Bedford Business Park	Bedford, MA	100.0%	1962-78/96	2	383,704	100%	\$ 3,265,991	1.8%	\$ 8.51
7601 Boston Bou- levard, Building									
Eight (5) Fourteen Cam-	Springfield, VA	100.0	1986	1	103,750	100	1,437,971	0.8	13.86
bridge Center Hilltop Business	Cambridge, MA	100.0	1983	1	67,362	100	1,315,519	0.7	19.53
Center (11) 7600 Boston Bou-	So. San Francisco, CA	35.7	early 1970's	9	144,479	90	1,030,288	0.6	7.95
levard, Building Nine	Springfield, VA	100.0	1987	1	69,832	100	878,600	0.5	12.58
levard, Building Six(5) 8000 Grainger	Springfield, VA	100.0	1985	1	79,971	100	800,464	0.5	10.01

Court, Building Five	Springfield, VA	100.0	1984	1	90,465	100	759,790	0.4	8.40
7435 Boston Bou-	opringricia, v	100.0	1304	-	307400	100	700,700	014	0140
levard, Building One	Springfield, VA	100.0	1982	1	105,414	67	753,100	0.4	10.60
7451 Boston Bou-	-pg,			_		-	,		
levard, Building	Springfield, VA	100.0	1982	1	47,001	100	644,646	0.4	13.72
164 Lexington	Springricia, VA	100.0	1302	-	47,001	100	044,040	0.4	10.72
Road	Billerica, MA	100.0	1982	1	64,140	100	598,478	0.3	9.33
7374 Boston Bou- levard, Building									
Four (5)	Springfield, VA	100.0	1984	1	57,321	100	595,823	0.3	10.39
8000 Corporate Court, Building									
Eleven	Springfield, VA	100.0	1989	1	52,539	100	395,053	0.2	7.52
7375 Boston Bou- levard, Building									
Ten (5)	Springfield, VA	100.0	1988	1	26,865	100	342,999	0.2	12.77
17 Hartwell Ave-	Levington MA	100.0	1968	1	30,000	100	109 000	0.1	6.60
nue 7700 Boston Bou-	Lexington, MA	100.0	1900	_	30,000	100	198,000	0.1	0.00
levard, Building	0 ' 5' 1   14								
Twelve* (12) 7501 Boston Bou-	Springfield, VA	100.0	1997	1	80,514				
levard, Building									
Seven* (13)	Springfield, VA	100.0	1997	1	75,756				
Sugarland Build- ing Two* (14)	Herndon, VA	100.0	1986/97	1	59,585				
Sugarland Build-		200.0	2000,0.	_	55,555				
ing One* (14)	Herndon, VA	100.0	1985/97	1	52,533				
0 ( )	,								
, ,	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPE	RTIES		27	1,591,231	96%(B)	\$ 13,016,722	7.4%	\$10.22(B)
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPE	RTIES							
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED INDUSTRIAL PROP-	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPE	RTIES		27	1,591,231	96%(B)	\$ 13,016,722	7.4%	\$10.22(B)
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROP- ERTIES: 38 Cabot Boule-				27 ===	1,591,231	96%(B) ===	\$ 13,016,722 ========	7 . 4% =====	\$10.22(B) =====
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROP- ERTIES: 38 Cabot Boule- vard (16)	AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPEI	100.0%		27	1,591,231	96%(B)	\$ 13,016,722	7.4%	\$10.22(B)
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROP- ERTIES: 38 Cabot Boule-				27 ===	1,591,231	96%(B) ===	\$ 13,016,722 ========	7 . 4% =====	\$10.22(B) =====
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16)6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two				27 ===	1,591,231	96%(B) ===	\$ 13,016,722 ========	7 . 4% =====	\$10.22(B) =====
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES: 38 Cabot Boulevard (16)6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two2000 South Club	Bucks County, PA	100.0%	1972/84	27 ===	1,591,231	96%(B) === 100%	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5%	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROP- ERTIES: 38 Cabot Boule- vard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three	Bucks County, PA	100.0%	1972/84	27 ===	1,591,231	96%(B) === 100%	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5%	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES: 38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard	Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD	100.0% 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988	27 === 1 1	1,591,231 ======= 161,000 99,885 83,608	96%(B) === 100% 87	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROP- ERTIES: 38 Cabot Boule- vard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three	Bucks County, PA Landover, MD	100.0%	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96	27 === 1	1,591,231 ======= 161,000 99,885	96%(B) === 100% 87	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES: 38 Cabot Boulevard (16)	Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD	100.0% 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988	27 === 1 1	1,591,231 ======= 161,000 99,885 83,608	96%(B) === 100% 87	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES: 38 Cabot Boulevard (16)6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two2000 South Club Drive, Building Three40-46 Harvard Street25-33 Dartmouth	Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96	1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) === 100% 87 100 90	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES: 38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One	Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96	1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) === 100% 87 100 90	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES: 38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2391 West Winton	Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96	1 1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) ===  100%  87  100  90  87  100	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16)	Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD  Hayward, CA	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96 1986 1974	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) ===  100%  87  100  90  87  100  27(17)	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.2	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65 3.90
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16)	Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD	100.0% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96	1 1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) ===  100%  87  100  90  87  100	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 25-33 Dartmouth Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2391 West Winton Avenue 560 Forbes Bou-	Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD  Hayward, CA	100.0%  100.0  100.0  100.0  100.0  100.0  A 35.7	1972/84 1986 1988 1967/96 1966/96 1986 1974	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) ===  100%  87  100  90  87  100  27(17)  100  100	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% ===== 0.5% 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.2	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65 3.90
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2391 West Winton Avenue 560 Forbes Boulevard (11) 430 Rozzi Place (11)	Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD  Hayward, CA  So. San Francisco, Co	100.0%  100.0  100.0  100.0  100.0  100.0  A 35.7  A 35.7	1972/84  1986  1988  1967/96  1966/96  1986  1974  early 1970's  early 1970's	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) ===  100%  87  100  90  87  100  27(17)  100  100	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% =====  0.5%  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.2  0.1  0.1	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65 3.90 5.95 5.75
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16) 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three 40-46 Harvard Street 1950 Stanford Court, Building One 2391 West Winton Avenue 560 Forbes Boulevard (11) 430 Rozzi Place (11)	Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD  Hayward, CA  So. San Francisco, CA	100.0%  100.0  100.0  100.0  100.0  100.0  A 35.7  A 35.7	1972/84  1986  1988  1967/96  1966/96  1986  1974  early 1970's  early 1970's	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) ===  100%  87  100  90  87  100  27(17)  100  100	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% =====  0.5%  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.2  0.1  0.1	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65 3.90 5.95 5.75
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED  INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES:  38 Cabot Boulevard (16)	Bucks County, PA  Landover, MD  Landover, MD  Westwood, MA  Westwood, MA  Landover, MD  Hayward, CA  So. San Francisco, Co	100.0%  100.0  100.0  100.0  100.0  100.0  A 35.7  A 35.7  L PROPERTIES	1972/84  1986  1988  1967/96  1966/96  1986  1974  early 1970's  early 1970's	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9	1,591,231 ====================================	96%(B) ===  100%  87  100  90  87  100  27(17)  100  100  78%(17)	\$ 13,016,722 ===================================	7.4% =====  0.5%  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.2  0.1  0.1  0.1  2.6%	\$10.22(B) ====== \$ 5.38 8.03 8.20 4.46 9.75 6.65 3.90 5.95 5.75

	ANNUAL NET	
	EFFECTIVE	
	RENT PER	
	LEASED	
	SQUARE	
ROPERTY NAME	F00T(3)	

OFFICE PROPER- TIES: Class A Office Buildings: 599 Lexington	
Avenue (4) Two Independence	\$47.13
Square (5) Democracy Cen-	36.80
ter One Independence	21.22
Square (5) Capital Gal-	34.34
lery The U.S. International Trade Commission	31.11
Building (5) (6)	24.79
One Cambridge Center Ten Cambridge	25.57
Center	23.11
Street	22.26

10 & 20 Burling-		
ton Mall Road	18.45	
Lexington Office Park	16.97	
Waltham Office	10.37	
Center	18.54	
Three Cambridge		
Center	20.45	
Montvale Center	10 60	
(7) 170 Tracer	18.68	
Lane	19.08	
Bedford Business		
Park	15.78	
91 Hartwell Ave-	10 71	
nue	19.71	
nue	13.47	
Eleven Cambridge		
Center	11.90	
100 Hayden Ave-	10 01	
nue 8 Arlington	18.91	
Street (8)	34.94	
32 Hartwell Ave-		
nue	12.00	
204 Second Ave- nue	19.14	
92 Hayden Ave-	19.14	
nue	19.79	
BDM Interna-		
tional Building*		
(9) 201 Spring		
Street* (10)		
001000 (10)1111		
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED	AVERAGE FOR CLASS A OFFICE BUILDINGS (11)	\$29.29(A)
	========	
R&D Properties:		
Bedford Business Park	\$ 9.18	
7601 Boston Bou-	φ 9.10	
levard, Building		
Eight (5)	13.85	
Fourteen Cam-		
bridge Center Hilltop Business	18.47	
Center (11)	8.93	
7600 Boston Bou-	0.00	
levard, Building		
Nine	10.20	
7500 Boston Bou-	10.20	
7500 Boston Bou- levard, Building		
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98	
7500 Boston Bou- levard, Building		
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)		
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97 10.14 7.59 7.82	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97 10.14 7.59	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97 10.14 7.59 7.82	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97 10.14 7.59 7.82	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97 10.14 7.59 7.82 6.60	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97 10.14 7.59 7.82 6.60	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97 10.14 7.59 7.82 6.60	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97 10.14 7.59 7.82 6.60	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97 10.14 7.59 7.82 6.60	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98 7.58 8.07 8.14 7.97 10.14 7.59 7.82 6.60	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98  7.58  8.07  8.14  7.97  10.14  7.59  7.82  6.60	
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98  7.58  8.07  8.14  7.97  10.14  7.59  7.82  6.60	\$ 9.75(B)
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98  7.58  8.07  8.14  7.97  10.14  7.59  7.82  6.60	\$ 9.75(B)
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98  7.58  8.07  8.14  7.97  10.14  7.59  7.82  6.60	\$ 9.75(B)
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98  7.58  8.07  8.14  7.97  10.14  7.59  7.82  6.60	\$ 9.75(B)
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98  7.58  8.07  8.14  7.97  10.14  7.59  7.82  6.60	\$ 9.75(B)
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98  7.58  8.07  8.14  7.97  10.14  7.59  7.82  6.60     AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERTIES	\$ 9.75(B)
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98  7.58  8.07  8.14  7.97  10.14  7.59  7.82  6.60     AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERTIES	\$ 9.75(B)
7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six(5)	9.98  7.58  8.07  8.14  7.97  10.14  7.59  7.82  6.60     AVERAGE FOR R&D PROPERTIES	\$ 9.75(B)

Drive, Building		
Three	7.06	
40-46 Harvard		
Street	4.87	
25-33 Dartmouth		
Street	7.89	
1950 Stanford		
Court, Building		
One	6.93	
2391 West Winton		
Avenue	2.81	
560 Forbes Bou-		
levard (11)	5.37	
430 Rozzi Place		
(11)	5.47	
SUBTOTAL/WEIGHTED	AVERAGE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES	\$ 5.27
	========	
	RAGE FOR OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL	
PROPERTIES		\$23.23(C)

<sup>\*</sup> These Properties are Development Properties

							YEAR	ENDED 1	2/31/96
	LOCATION	PERCENT OWNERSHIP	BUILT	NUMBER OF BUILDINGS			AVERAGE OCCUPANCY	DAILY RATE (ADR)	REVENUE PER AVAILABLE ROOM (REVPAR)(18)
HOTEL PROPER- TIES: Long Wharf									
Marriott Cambridge Center	Boston, MA	100.0%	1982	1	402	420,000	86.0%	\$201.18	\$173.01
Marriott	Cambridge, MA	100.0	1986	1	431	330,400	82.1	150.52	123.58
TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVE	RAGE FOR HOTEL	PROPERTIE:	S	2	833	750,400	84.0% ====	\$174.97	\$147.44
	LOCATION	PERCENT OWNERSHIP	BUILT	NUMBER OF BUILDINGS	NUMBER OF SPACES	SQUARE			
GARAGE PROPERTY: Cambridge Center North Garage Total for all Properties	Cambridge, MA	100.0%	1990	1 72 ===	1170	332,442 9,262,882(D) =======			
	YEAR ENDED 12.	/31/95							
	RATE R	UE PER LABLE DOM AR)(18)							
HOTEL PROPER- TIES: Long Wharf Marriott Cambridge Center	\$192.95 \$16	4.97							
Marriott	136.04 11	4.14							

\$138.67

GARAGE PROPERTY: Cambridge Center North Garage.... Total for all Properties.....

(1) These dates do not include years in which tenant improvements were made to the Properties, except with respect to 25-33 Dartmouth Street and 40-46 Harvard Street, whose interiors were completely rebuilt to satisfy tenant needs in 1996.

TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE FOR HOTEL PROPERTIES.... \$163.50

- (2) Escalated Rent represents the annualized monthly Base Rent in effect (after giving effect to any contractual increases in monthly Base Rent that have occurred up to December 31, 1996) plus annualized monthly tenant pass-throughs of operating and other expenses (but excluding electricity costs paid by tenants) under each lease executed as of December 31, 1996, or, if such monthly rent has been reduced by a rent concession, the monthly rent that would have been in effect at such date in the absence of such concession.
- (3) Annual Net Effective Rent Per Leased Square Foot represents the Base Rent for the month of December 1996, plus tenant pass-throughs of operating and other expenses (but excluding electricity costs paid by tenants), under each lease executed as of December 31, 1996, presented on a straight-line basis in accordance with GAAP, minus amortization of tenant improvement costs and leasing commissions, if any, paid or payable by the Company during such period, annualized.
- (4) The Company's New York offices are located in this building, where it occupies 12,896 square feet.
- (5) The Property is leased on the basis of net usable square feet (which have been converted to net rentable square feet for purposes of this table) due to the requirements of the General Services Administration.
- (6) The Company's Washington, D.C. offices are located in this building, where it occupies 15,612 square feet.
- (7) The Company owns a 75.0% general partner interest in the limited partnership that owns this property. Because of the priority of the Company's partnership interest, the Company expects to receive any partnership distributions that are made with respect to this property.
- (8) The Property, which is used exclusively as the Company's headquarters, was constructed in two phases, circa 1860 and circa 1920.
- (9) The Company is acting as development manager of these Properties and will be the 25.0% managing member of a limited liability company that will own the Properties. The Company's economic interest increases above 25.0% if certain performance criteria are achieved. The Properties are expected to

- be completed in 1999 and are 51.1% pre-leased to BDM International.
- (10) The Property, which is currently under development by the Company, is expected to be completed in late 1997 and is 100% committed to Continental Cablevision.
- (11) The Class A Office Buildings contain 3,872 structured parking spaces.
- (12) The Company owns a 35.7% controlling general partnership interest in this property.
- (13) The Property, which is currently under development by the Company, is expected to be completed in late 1997 and is 100% pre-leased to Autometric, Inc.
- (14) The Property, which is currently under development by the Company, is expected to be completed in late 1997 and is 100% pre-leased to the
- General Services Administration (for the United States Customs Service). (15) The Property, which was acquired by the Company on November 25, 1996, is currently being redeveloped by the Company.

  (16) The original building (100,000 net rentable square feet ) was built in
- 1972, with an expansion building (61,000 net rentable square feet) completed in 1984.
- (17) The Company's Industrial Property in Hayward, California is currently 27.0% leased. The Company has entered into a letter of intent to lease the remaining space. Excluding this Property, the Industrial Properties had an occupancy rate of 94.0% at December 31, 1996.
- (18) REVPAR is determined by dividing room revenue by available rooms for the applicable period.
- (A) Does not include three of the Development Properties.
  (B) Does not include four of the Development Properties.
- (C) Does not include the Development Properties.
- (D) Does not include approximately 1.1 million square feet of structured parking that is part of the Office Properties.

#### DEVELOPMENT PARCELS

At the completion of the Offering, the Company will own, have under contract, or have an option to develop or acquire six parcels consisting of an aggregate of 47.4 acres of land. The Company believes that this land, some of which needs zoning or other regulatory approvals prior to development, will be able to support an aggregate of approximately 1.0 million square feet of development. The following chart provides additional information with respect to undeveloped parcels:

LOCATION	SUBMARKET	NO. OF PARCELS	ACREAGE	DEVELOPABLE SQUARE FEET (1)
Springfield, VA Lexington, MA Cambridge, MA Andover, MA	Fairfax County, VA Route 128 NW East Cambridge, MA Route 495 N	3 1 1 1	9.4 6.8 3.2 27.0	130,000 50,000 539,000 290,000
Total		6 ===	47.4 ====	1,009,000 ======

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the total square feet of development that the parcel(s) will

# LOCATION OF PROPERTIES

The following chart shows the geographic location of the Company's Office and Industrial Properties by net rentable square feet and 1996 Escalated Rent:

NET RENTABLE SQUARE FEET OF OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES

	NUMBER OF	CLASS A OFFICE		INDUSTRIAL		PERCENT OF
MARKET/SUBMARKET	PROPERTIES	BUILDINGS	R&D PROPERTIES	PROPERTIES	TOTAL	T0TAL
Greater Boston East Cambridge	5	555,149	67,362		622,511	7.6%
Route 128 NW	2					FO
Bedford, MA Billerica, MA	3 1	90,000	383,704 64,140		473,704 64,140	5.8 0.8
Burlington, MA Lexington, MA	2	152,555			152,552	1.9
(2) Route 128/MA	10	790,957	30,000		820,957	10.0
Turnpike Waltham, MA Route 128 SW	5	243,890			243,890	3.0
Westwood, MA Downtown Boston	2 1	30,526		247,318	247,318 30,526	3.0 0.4
Subtotal	29	1,863,074	545,206	247,318	2,655,598	32.5
Greater Washington, D.C. SW Washington,		, , .	,	,	,,	
D.C.(3) Montgomery	4	1,557,447			1,557,447	19.0
County, MD Bethesda, MD	3	680,000			680,000	8.3
Gaithersburg, MD (4)	1	122,157			122, 157	1.5
Fairfax County,	-	,			,	
VA Herndon, VA						
(5) Reston, VA (6)	2 2	 440,000	112,118		112,118 440,000	1.4 5.4
Springfield, VA		440,000			•	
(3)(7) Prince George's County, MD	11		789,428		789,428	9.7
Landover, MD	3			236,743	236,743	2.9
Subtotal	26	2,799,604	901,546	236,743	3,937,893	48.1
Midtown Manhattan Park Avenue Greater San	1	1,000,070			1,000,070	12.2
Francisco Hayward, CA	1			221,000	221,000	2.7
San Francisco, CA (8)	11		144,479	60,000	204,479	2.5
Subtotal	 12		144,479	281,000	425,479	5.2
Bucks County,			,			
PA	1			161,000		2.0
Total	69 ===	5,662,748			8,180,040 ======	
Percent of						
Total		69.2%			100.0%	
Number of Office						
and Industrial Properties		33	27	9	69	
	1996 FSCALA	TED RENT OF OFF			1)	
				<del>`</del>		
	CLASS A OFFICE		INDUSTRIAL		RCENT OF	
MARKET/SUBMARKET	BUILDINGS	R&D PROPERTI	IES PROPERTIES	TOTAL T	0TAL 	
Greater Boston East Cambridge	\$ 13,792,26	66 \$ 1,315,519	9 \$ \$	15,107,785	8.6%	
Route 128 NW Bedford, MA	1,513,01	.1 3,265,991	ı	4,779,002	2.7	
Billerica, MA Burlington, MA	3,131,73	/	3 	598,478 3,131,736	0.3 1.8	
Lexington, MA				12,359,399	7.0	
Route 128/MA Turnpike						
Waltham, MA	5,069,11	.2		5,069,112	2.9	
Route 128 SW Westwood, MA Downtown Boston	 1,080,17		1,336,463	1,336,463 1,080,172	0.8 0.6	

Subtotal Greater	36,747,696	5,377,988	1,336,463	43,462,147	24.7
Washington, D.C. SW Washington, D.C.(3) Montgomery	52,738,757			52,738,757	30.0
County, MD Bethesda, MD Gaithersburg, MD	15,047,361			15,047,361	8.6
(4) Fairfax County, VA	2,195,966			2,195,966	1.2
Herndon, VA (5)					
Reston, VA (6) Springfield, VA					
(3)(7) Prince George's		6,608,446		6,608,446	3.8
County, MD Landover, MD			1,734,547	1,734,547	1.0
Subtotal Midtown Manhattan	69,982,084	6,608,446	1,734,547	78,325,077	44.6
Park Avenue Greater San Francisco	51,470,410			51,470,410	29.3
Hayward, CA San Francisco,			234,000	234,000	0.1
CA (8)		1,030,288	352,949	1,383,237	0.8
Subtotal		1,030,288	586,949	1,617,237	0.9
Bucks County, PA			865,613	865,613	0.5
Total		\$13,016,722			
Percent of Total	90.0%	7.4%	2.6%	100.0%	
Number of Office and Industrial					
Properties	33	27	9	69	

- (2) Does not include 1996 Escalated Rent for one Class A Office Building currently under development by the Company.

  (3) Certain of such Properties are leased on the basis of net usable square
- feet (which has been converted to net rentable square feet for purposes of this table) due to the requirements of the General Services Administration.
- (4) The Company will own a 75.0% general partner interest in the limited partnership that will own this property. Because of the priority of the Company's partnership interest, the Company expects to receive any partnership distributions that are made with respect to this Property.
- (5) Does not include 1996 Escalated Rent for two R&D Properties currently under redevelopment by the Company.
- (6) Does not include 1996 Escalated Rent for two Class A Office Buildings currently under development by the Company. The Company is acting as development manager of, and will be the 25.0% managing members of the limited liability company that will own the Properties. The Company's economic interest may increase above 25.0% depending upon the achievement of certain performance goals.
- (7) Does not include 1996 Escalated Rent for two Office Properties currently under development by the Company.

  (8) The Company will own a 35.7% controlling general partnership interest in
- the nine R&D Properties and two Industrial Properties located in San Francisco, California.

<sup>(1)</sup> Escalated Rent represents the annualized monthly Base Rent in effect (after giving effect to any contractual increases in monthly Base Rent that have occurred up to December 31, 1996) plus annualized monthly tenant pass-throughs of operating and other expenses (but excluding electricity costs paid by tenants) under each lease executed as of December 31, 1996, or, if such monthly rent has been reduced by a rent concession, the monthly rent that would have been in effect at such date in the absence of such concession.

1996 ESCALATED RENT PER LEASED SQUARE FOOT AND ANNUAL NET EFFECTIVE RENT PER LEASED SQUARE FOOT OF THE PROPERTIES BY LOCATION AND TYPE OF PROPERTY

The following table sets forth the 1996 annual base rent of the Office and Industrial Properties by location and type of property.

## 1996 ESCALATED RENT PER LEASED SQUARE FOOT(1)

1996 ANNUAL NET EFFECTIVE RENT PER LEASED SQUARE FOOT(2)

		1 EN ELMOLD OQUINE 1 001(1)				. 2 22025 300/112 1001(2)			
MARKET/SUBMARKET			R&D PROPERTIES		TOTAL		R&D PROPERTIES	INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES	TOTAL
Greater Boston East Cambridge Route 128 NW	5	\$24.84	\$19.53	\$	\$24.27	\$21.94	\$18.47	\$	\$21.57
Bedford, MA	3	16.81	8.51		10.09	15.78	9.18		10.43
Billerica, MA	1		9.33		9.33		7.97		7.97
Burlington, MA	2	20.53			20.53	18.45			18.45
Lexington, MA(3) Route 128/MA Turnpike	10	19.76	6.60		19.15	17.95	6.60		17.42
Waltham, MA Route 128 SW	5	20.78			20.78	18.80			18.80
Westwood, MA	2			6.09	6.09			5.80	5.80
Downtown Boston	1	35.39			35.39	34.94			34.94
Subtotal	29	21.78	9.86	6.09	17.72	19.62	10.04	5.80	16.26
SW Washington, D.C.(4) Montgomery County, MD	4	34.50			34.50	32.94			32.94
Bethesda, MD	3	23.03			23.03	21.22			21.22
Gaithersburg, MD(5) Prince George's County, MD	1	17.98			17.98	18.68			18.68
Landover, MD Fairfax County, VA	3			7.77	7.77			5.28	5.28
Herndon, VA(6)	2								
Reston, VA(7)	2								
Springfield, VA(4)(8)	11		11.04		11.04		9.65		9.65
Subtotal Midtown Manhattan	26	30.37	11.04	7.77	25.05	28.84	9.65	5.28	23.49
Park Avenue	1	52.90			52.90	47.13			47.13
Hayward, CA	1			3.90	3.90			2.81	2.81
San Francisco, CA(9)	11		7.95	5.88	7.29		8.93	5.40	7.82
Subtotal	12		7.95	4.89	6.48		8.93	4.11	6.61
Bucks County, PA	12		7.95	5.38	5.38		6.93	5.38	5.38
Total	69	\$31.86	\$10.22	5.38 \$6.25	\$25.24		\$ 9.75	5.38 \$5.27	\$23.23
Ιυιατ	===	Φ31.80 ======	Φ10.22 =====	Φ0.25 =====	Φ25.24 ======		Φ 9.75 =====	Φ5.2 <i>1</i>	\$23.23 ======

- (1) Escalated Rent Per Leased Square Foot represents the annualized monthly Base Rent in effect (after giving effect to any contractual increases in monthly Base Rent that have occurred up to December 31, 1996) plus annualized monthly tenant pass-throughs of operating and other expenses (but excluding electricity costs paid by tenants) under each lease executed as of December 31, 1996, or, if such monthly rent has been reduced by a rent concession, the monthly rent that would have been in effect at such date in the absence of such concession, presented on a per leased square foot basis.
- (2) As used throughout this Prospectus, Annual Net Effective Rent Per Leased Square Foot represents the Base Rent for the month of December 1996, plus annualized monthly tenant pass-throughs of operating and other expenses (but excluding electricity costs paid by tenants) under each lease executed as of December 31, 1996, presented on a straight-line basis in accordance with GAAP, minus amortization of tenant improvement costs and leasing commissions, if any, paid or payable by the Company during such period, annualized.
- (3) Does not include rents for one Development Property.
- (4) Certain of such Properties are leased on the basis of net usable square feet (which has been converted to net rentable square feet for purposes of this table) due to the requirements of General Services Administration.
- (5) The Company will own a 75.0% general partner interest in the limited partnership that will own this Property. Because of the priority of the Company's partnership interest, the Company expects to receive any partnership distributions that are made with respect to this Property.
- (6) Does not include rents for two R&D Properties currently under redevelopment by the Company.
- (7) Does not include 1996 Escalated Rent for two Class A Office Buildings currently under development by the Company. The Company is acting as development manager of, and will be the 25.0% managing member of the limited liability company that will own the Properties. The Company's economic interest may increase above 25.0% depending upon the achievement of certain performance goals.
- (8) Does not include rents for two Office Properties currently under
- development by the Company.

  (9) The Company will own a 35.7% controlling general partnership interest in the nine R&D Properties and two Industrial Properties located in San Francisco, California.

## TENANTS

## TENANT DIVERSIFICATION

The Properties currently are leased to over 366 tenants that are engaged in a variety of businesses, including financial services, investment banking, publishing, computer technology, health care services, accounting and law. The following table sets forth information regarding the leases with respect to the 25 largest tenants at the Properties, based on the amount of square footage leased by such tenants as of December 31, 1996:

TENANT 	PROPERTY	IN MONTHS		PERCENTAGE OF AGGREGATE LEASED SQUARE FEET
General Services Administration:(1) National Aeronautics and Space	Two Independence Square			
Administration(2) U.S. International Trade	The U.S. International Trade Commission Building	187	569,337	8.2%
Commission(3)(4) U.S. Customs	7601 Boston Boulevard,	8	217,772	3.1
Service(5)	Building Eight	213	103,750	1.5
U.S. Department of State(6)	Building Six	38	79,971	1.2
U.S. Department of State(7)	Building Four	45	57,321	0.8
U.S. Customs Service(8)	7375 Boston Boulevard, Building Ten	8	11,398	1.1
Total GSA Square				
FootageShearman & Sterling Office of the	599 Lexington Avenue	128	1,039,549 355,849	14.9 5.1
Lockheed Martin	One Independence Square Democracy Center,	113	331,518	4.8
Corporation(10)	Building Five, 7435 Boston Boulevard, Building One, 7451 Boston Boulevard, Building Two, and 7375 Boston Boulevard,			
Camp Dresser & McKee,	Building Ten One and Ten Cambridge	21-66	259,597	3.7
Inc		39 40-101	214,725 170,000	3.1 2.4
Corporation		115 18	162,700 161,000	2.3
Medisense, Inc Jones, Day, Reavis &		114	150,000	2.3
Pogue	40-46 Harvard Street	62	128,539	1.9
Inc	100 Hayden Avenue and 170	79	128,105	1.8
Care, Inc	Tracer Lane	38-47	115,448	1.6
Citibank, N.A American PCS, L.P	599 Lexington Avenue Democracy Center	72 116	114,350 108,591	1.6 1.6
The National Gallery of Art	2000 South Club Drive, Building Three	22	83,608	1.2
Open Software Foundation	Eleven Cambridge Center	24	79,616	1.1
Mercer Management Consulting, Inc Logica North America,	33 Hayden Avenue 32 Hartwell Avenue	62	79,564	1.1
Inc	Fourteen Cambridge Center	58 74	69,154 67,362	1.0 1.0
Harte-Hanks Data Technologies, Inc	164 Lexington Road	69	64,140	0.9
US Enrichment Corporation	Democracy Center	23	63,666	0.9
Viking Office Products, Inc	2391 West Winton Avenue	50	60,000	0.9
American Nurses Foundation	Capital Gallery	23-92	58,430	0.8
SkyRock Services Corporation	25-33 Dartmouth Street	46	56,747	0.8
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	599 Lexington Avenue	66	54,785	0.8

<sup>(1)</sup> All General Services Administration ("GSA") leases are full faith and credit obligations of the United States Government.

(2) Lease with the GSA for a net usable square footage amount of 488,374.

(3) Lease with the GSA for a net usable square footage amount of 198,388.

(4) The Company is currently negotiating a ten-year lease extension with the

- Lease with the GSA for a net usable square footage amount of 99,155. Lease with the GSA for a net usable square footage amount of 77,142. Lease with the GSA for a net usable square footage amount of 47,629. (6)
- (8) Lease with the GSA for a net usable square footage amount of 9,911.
  (9) Lease measured in net usable square footage of 293,736.
- (10) LMC Properties, Inc., a subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, leases 179,059 of the 259,597 square feet shown. Lockheed Martin Corporation guarantees such leases.

# LEASE DISTRIBUTION

The following table sets forth information relating to the distribution of the Company's leases based on square feet, as of December 31, 1996:

SQUARE FEET UNDER LEASE	0F	PERCENT OF ALL LEASES	TOTAL LEASED SQUARE FEET	PERCENTAGE OF AGGREGATE LEASED SQUARE FEET	ANNUAL ESCALATED RENT	PERCENTAGE OF AGGREGATE ANNUAL ESCALATED RENT
2,500 or less	142	35.2%	204,857	2.9%	\$ 4,116,394	2.3%
2,501-5,000	79	19.6	284,994	4.1	6,712,057	3.8
5,001-7,500	39	9.7	240,386	3.5	5,527,156	3.1
7,501-10,000	23	5.7	191,504	2.8	4,902,928	2.8
10,001-20,000	43	10.7	600,200	8.6	14,260,801	8.1
20,001-40,000	35	8.7	968,552	13.9	20,869,779	11.9
40,001 +	42	10.4	4,471,909	64.2	119, 351, 369	67.9
Total	403	100.0%	6,962,402	100.0%	\$175,740,484	100.0%
	===	=====	========	=====	=========	=====

# LEASE EXPIRATIONS OF OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES

The following table sets forth a schedule of lease expirations for leases in place as of December 31, 1996, for each of the ten years beginning with 1997, for the Office and Industrial Properties, on an aggregate basis by property type and submarket, assuming that none of the tenants exercises renewal options and excluding an aggregate of 407,250 square feet of unleased space. As of December 31, 1996, the average lease term for the portfolio was 5.7 years.

OFFICE PROPERTIES (MARKET/SUBMARKET)

Percentage of total leased sq. ft	CLASS A OFFICE										
East Combridge Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear		1997 	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
East Cambridge Space Spa	GREATER ROSTON										
Square foctage of cogiring control of company operating of squares of expiring leased sq. ft	(1)										
of expiring lesses											
Percentage of total leased sq.   11.99%   19.16%   11.47%   39.21%   8.52%   8.76%   4.62%   8.69%   6.06%   3.81	of expiring										
Total classed sq.		66,561	106,387	63,691	217,684	2,912	4,227	25,644	0	0	21,519
Annual cscalated rent (2)	total leased sq.				22 240	. =					
No. of tenants whose leaves expire		11.99%	19.16%	11.47%	39.21%	0.52%	0.76%	4.62%	0.00%	0.00%	3.88%
whose leases ex- pire		1,709,941	1,658,720	1,508,874	6,691,092	84,064	0	577,244	0	0	587,469
Annualized escalated rent per leased sq. f											
lated rent per leased St.  ft	•	10	5	10	3	1	1	1	0	0	1
leased sq.											
Annualized escalated rent per leased sq. ft. (4)  \$ 25.69 \$ 15.59 \$ 24.04 \$ 30.83 \$ 28.87 \$ 0.00 \$ 29.53 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 31.80 \$ 20.50 \$ 1.62 \$ 0.00 \$ 31.80 \$ 20.50 \$ 0.00 \$ 31.80 \$ 20.50 \$ 0.00 \$ 31.80 \$ 20.50 \$ 0.00 \$ 31.80 \$ 20.50 \$ 0.00 \$ 31.80 \$ 20.50 \$ 0.00 \$ 31.80 \$ 20.50 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 31.80 \$ 20.50 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 31.80 \$ 20.50 \$ 0.00 \$	leased sq.	<b>.</b> 05 00	<b>A</b> 45 50	<b>*</b> •••••	<b>.</b>	<b>*</b> 00 07	<b>*</b> • • • •	<b>.</b>		<b>*</b> • • • • • •	
Leased sq. ft.		\$ 25.69	\$ 15.59	\$ 23.69	\$ 30.74	\$ 28.87	\$ 0.00	\$ 22.51	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 27.30
Writure step- ups (3)   S   25.69   \$ 15.59   \$ 24.04   \$ 30.83   \$ 28.87   \$ 0.00   \$ 29.53   \$ 0.00   \$ 0.00   \$ 31.81   Company Quoted Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4)   \$ 27.92   Route 128 Wide Society of Charles of Company (4)   \$ 27.92   Route 128 Wide Society of Charles of Ch	lated rent per										
ups (3)											
Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4) \$ 27.92 Route 128 My Square footage of expiring leases	ups (3)	\$ 25.69	\$ 15.59	\$ 24.04	\$ 30.83	\$ 28.87	\$ 0.00	\$ 29.53	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 31.80
SR. ft. (4) \$ 27.92 ROUTE 128 MW SQUARE footage of expiring leases											
Square footage of expiring leases	sq. ft. (4)	\$ 27.92									
of expiring leases											
Percentage of total leased sq, ft	of expiring	407 202	04 500	444 604	100 517	200 010	40.000	0	0	00.000	100 700
total leased sq. ft		107,302	31,569	114,624	100,517	208,810	42,380	Θ	Θ	90,000	162,700
Annual escalated rent (2) 2,064,829 618,056 1,827,219 1,958,038 3,925,467 912,825 0 0 1,513,011 3,986,70   No. of tenants whose leases expire	total leased sq.	44 50%	0.00%	10 010	40 70%	22 420/	4 550/	0.000/	0.000/	0.00%	47 470/
No. of tenants whose leases expire		11.52%	3.39%	12.31%	10.79%	22.42%	4.55%	0.00%	0.00%	9.00%	17.47%
whose leases expire		2,064,829	618,056	1,827,219	1,958,038	3,925,467	912,825	0	0	1,513,011	3,986,701
Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft											
lated rent per leased sq. ft		26	10	7	9	16	3	0	0	1	1
ft											
Annualized escalated rent per leased sq. ft. w/future step- ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4) Route 128/Massa- chusetts Turnpike Square footage of expiring leases Percentage of total leased sq. ft No. of tenants whose leases expire No. of tenants whose leases expire Annualized escalated rent per leased sq. ft		\$ 19 <i>24</i>	\$ 19.58	\$ 15 9 <i>4</i>	\$ 10 <i>1</i> 8	\$ 18.80	\$ 21 5 <i>4</i>	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 16.81	\$ 24.50
leased sq. ft. w/future step- ups (3) \$ 20.59 \$ 19.71 \$ 17.49 \$ 21.09 \$ 19.73 \$ 21.98 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 18.22 \$ 29.14 Company Quoted Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4) \$ 27.73 Route 128/Massa- Chusetts Turnpike Square footage of expiring leases 43,402 27,883 53,830 85,215 27,174 6,386 0 0 0 0 0 0 Percentage of total leased sq. ft Annual escalated rent (2) 883,936 515,460 1,048,487 1,875,565 617,638 128,026 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  Annualized escalated rent per leased sq. ft Annualized escalated rent per leased sq. ft \$ 20.37 \$ 18.49 \$ 19.48 \$ 22.01 \$ 22.73 \$ 20.05 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00  Company Quoted Rental Rate per sq. ft. w/future step- ups (3) \$ 20.37 \$ 18.50 \$ 19.53 \$ 22.06 \$ 28.53 \$ 22.19 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00  Company Quoted Rental Rate per sq. ft. w/future step- ups (3) \$ 20.37 \$ 18.50 \$ 19.53 \$ 22.06 \$ 28.53 \$ 22.19 \$ 0.00	Annualized esca-	Ψ 13.24	Ψ 13.30	Ψ 15.54	Ψ 13.40	Ψ 10.00	Ψ 21.54	Ψ 0.00	Ψ 0.00	Ψ 10.01	Ψ 24.50
Wfuture step- ups (3) \$ 20.59 \$ 19.71 \$ 17.49 \$ 21.09 \$ 19.73 \$ 21.98 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 18.22 \$ 29.14 Company Quoted Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4) \$ 27.73 Route 128/Massa- Chusetts Turnpike Square footage of expiring leases 43,402 27,883 53,830 85,215 27,174 6,386 0 0 0 0 0 0  Percentage of total leased sq. ft 17.80% 11.43% 22.07% 34.94% 11.14% 2.62% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00  Route 128/Massa- Chusetts Turnpike Square footage of expiring leases 43,402 27,883 53,830 85,215 27,174 6,386 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  Percentage of total leased sq. ft 17.80% 11.43% 22.07% 34.94% 11.14% 2.62% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00  Route 128/Massa- Chusetts Turnpike Square footage of expiring leases sq. ft 17.80% 11.43% 22.07% 34.94% 11.14% 2.62% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00  Route 128/Massa- Chusetts Turnpike Square footage of expiring leases sq. ft 17.80% 11.43% 22.07% 34.94% 11.14% 2.62% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00  Route 128/Massa- Chusetts Turnpike Square footage of expiring leases 43,402 27,883 53,830 85,215 27,174 6,386 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  Route 128/Massa- Chusetts Turnpike Square footage of expiring leases 43,402 27,883 53,830 85,215 27,174 6,386 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0											
Company Quoted Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4) \$ 27.73 Route 128/Massa-chusetts Turnpike Square footage of expiring leases 43,402 27,883 53,830 85,215 27,174 6,386 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	w/future step-										
Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4) \$ 27.73   Route 128/Massa-chusetts Turnpike Square footage of expiring leases		\$ 20.59	\$ 19.71	\$ 17.49	\$ 21.09	\$ 19.73	\$ 21.98	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 18.22	\$ 29.14
Route 128/Massa- chusetts Turnpike Square footage of expiring leases	Rental Rate per										
Chusetts Turnpike Square footage of expiring leases		\$ 27.73									
Of expiring leases	chusetts Turnpike										
Percentage of total leased sq. ft											
total leased sq. ft		43,402	27,883	53,830	85,215	27,174	6,386	0	0	0	0
Annual escalated rent (2) 883,936 515,460 1,048,487 1,875,565 617,638 128,026 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0											
rent (2) 883,936 515,460 1,048,487 1,875,565 617,638 128,026 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		17.80%	11.43%	22.07%	34.94%	11.14%	2.62%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
whose leases ex- pire		883,936	515,460	1,048,487	1,875,565	617,638	128,026	0	0	0	0
pire											
lated rent per leased sq. ft		9	7	9	4	2	2	0	0	0	0
leased sq. ft											
Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft. w/future step- ups (3) \$ 20.37 \$ 18.50 \$ 19.53 \$ 22.06 \$ 28.53 \$ 22.19 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 Company Quoted Rental Rate per	leased sq.										
lated rent per leased sq. ft. w/future step- ups (3) \$ 20.37 \$ 18.50 \$ 19.53 \$ 22.06 \$ 28.53 \$ 22.19 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 Company Quoted Rental Rate per		\$ 20.37	\$ 18.49	\$ 19.48	\$ 22.01	\$ 22.73	\$ 20.05	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
w/future step- ups (3) \$ 20.37 \$ 18.50 \$ 19.53 \$ 22.06 \$ 28.53 \$ 22.19 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 Company Quoted Rental Rate per	lated rent per										
ups (3) \$ 20.37 \$ 18.50 \$ 19.53 \$ 22.06 \$ 28.53 \$ 22.19 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 Company Quoted Rental Rate per											
Rental Rate per	ups (3)	\$ 20.37	\$ 18.50	\$ 19.53	\$ 22.06	\$ 28.53	\$ 22.19	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
	sq. ft. (4)	\$ 26.60									

ODEATED LABOUTNO																					
GREATER WASHING- TON, D.C.																					
Southwest, Wash-																					
ington, D.C. Square footage																					
of expiring leases	20	9 100		10 OEE		40,204		07 722		E1 0/0		1 002		41 670		E2 020			0		001 E10
Percentage of	20	88,199		48,855		40,204		87,733		51,848		1,892		41,678		52,838			U	•	331,518
total leased sq. ft		18.50%		3.20%		2.58%		5.63%		3.33%		0.12%		2.68%		3.39	%	0.0	0%		21.28%
Annual escalated rent (2)	7 90	8 349	1 4	410 423	1	362,607	3	087 920	1	727 079	7	70 084	1.3	379,243	1 0	14 405			0	12 6	39,392
No. of tenants	7,55	,0,043	_,	410,420	Τ,	302,001	٥,	001,320	Τ,	121,015	,	0,004	-, -	773,243	-, -	714,403			0	12,	700,002
whose leases ex- pire		17		5		5		10		8		3		1		1			0		1
Annualized esca-				· ·		· ·				· ·		· ·		_		_			•		_
lated rent per leased sq.																					
ft	\$	27.75	\$	28.29	\$	33.89	\$	35.20	\$	33.31	\$	37.04	\$	33.09	\$	36.23	\$	0.0	0	\$	38.13
Annualized esca- lated rent per																					
leased sq. ft.																					
w/future step- ups (3)	\$	27.76	\$	28.31	\$	33.89	\$	35.33	\$	34.33	\$	40.66	\$	33.09	\$	44.94	\$	0.0	0	\$	39.22
Company Quoted Rental Rate per																					
sq. ft. (4)	\$	34.64																			
CLASS A OFFICE	26	07 &																			
BUILDINGS	BE	YOND																			
			-																		
GREATER BOSTON (1)																					
East Cambridge																					
Square footage of expiring																					
leases		46,524																			
Percentage of total leased sq.																					
ft Annual escalated		8.389	%																		
rent (2)	9	74,862																			
No. of tenants whose leases ex-																					
pire		1																			
Annualized esca- lated rent per																					
leased sq. ft	\$	20.95																			
Annualized esca-	Ψ	20.93																			
lated rent per leased sq. ft.																					
w/future step-																					
ups (3) Company Quoted	\$	20.95																			
Rental Rate per																					
sq. ft. (4) Route 128 NW																					
Square footage of expiring																					
leases		0																			
Percentage of total leased sq.																					
ft		0.00%	%																		
Annual escalated rent (2)		0																			
No. of tenants whose leases ex-																					
pire		0																			
Annualized esca- lated rent per																					
leased sq.	•	0.00																			
ft Annualized esca-	\$	0.00																			
lated rent per leased sq. ft.																					
w/future step-																					
ups (3) Company Quoted	\$	0.00																			
Rental Rate per																					
sq. ft. (4) Route 128/Massa-																					
chusetts Turnpike																					
Square footage of expiring																					
leases Percentage of		0																			
total leased sq.		_	.,																		
ft Annual escalated		0.009	%																		
rent (2)		0																			
No. of tenants whose leases ex-																					
pire Annualized esca-		0																			
lated rent per																					

leased sq. ft Annualized escalated rent per leased sq. ft. w/future step-	\$	0.00	
ups (3)	\$	0.00	
Square footage of expiring leases		582,905	
Percentage of total leased sq. ft		37.42%	
rent (2) No. of tenants	21,	149,255	
whose leases ex- pire Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq.		3	
ft	\$	36.28	
leased sq. ft. w/future step- ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4)	\$	38.58	

	_	1997	1998		1999		2000		2001		2002	:	2003	2	2004		2005
Montgomery Coun-																	
ty, MD Square footage of expiring															_		
leases Percentage of total leased sq.		82,726	97,1	.71	89,447		108,193		68,231		136,129		0		0		36,081
ft		10.31%	12.	11%	11.15%		13.49%		8.51%		16.97%		0.00%		0.00%		4.50%
rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex-		1,677,012	2,203,9	72	1,942,011	2	,550,478	1,	659,885	3	,005,059		Θ		0		307,300
pire Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq.		15		8	11		13		7		3		0		0		2
ft	\$	20.27	\$ 22.	68	\$ 21.71	\$	23.57	\$	24.33	\$	22.08	\$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$	22.37
w/future step- ups (3) Company Quoted	\$	20.27	\$ 23.	15	\$ 22.52	\$	24.80	\$	25.02	\$	24.87	\$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$	26.98
Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4) MIDTOWN MANHATTAN (5)	\$	24.01															
Park Avenue Square footage of expiring																	
leases Percentage of total leased sq.		35,971	33,7	25	350		19,118		0		385,656		21,365		10,237		8,890
ft		3.60%	3.	37%	0.03%		1.91%		0.00%		38.56%		2.14%		1.02%		0.89%
rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex-		1,755,579	2,071,5	31	33,529	1	,001,175		0	20	,697,975	1,6	628,705	4	483,457	į	518,978
pire Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq.		3		2	1		3		Θ		11		5		3		2
ft	\$	49.36	\$ 61.	42	\$ 95.80	\$	52.37	\$	0.00	\$	53.67	\$	76.23	\$	47.23	\$	58.38
ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$	49.36	\$ 61.	42	\$ 107.39	\$	52.37	\$	0.00	\$	58.23	\$	84.03	\$	49.64	\$	60.51
sq. ft. (4) TOTAL CLASS A OF- FICE BUILDINGS Square footage of expiring	\$	44.50															
leases Percentage of total leased sq.		624,161	346,5	90	362,146		618,460		358,975		576,669		88,687		63,075	:	134,971
ft		12.19%	6.	77%	7.07%		12.08%		7.01%		11.26%		1.73%		1.23%		2.64%
rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex-	1	6,109,596	8,478,1	.62	7,722,727	17	,164,268	8,	014,133	24	,813,969	3,	585,192	2,3	397,862	2,8	339,289
pire Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq.		80		37	43		40		34		23		7		4		5
ft	\$	25.81	\$ 24.	46	\$ 21.32	\$	27.75	\$	22.30	\$	43.03	\$	40.43	\$	38.02	\$	21.04
w/future step- ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$	26.05	\$ 24.	61	\$ 22.10	\$	28.29	\$	23.59	\$	46.81	\$	44.33	\$	45.70	\$	26.39
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$	32.33 2006	2007 BEYON														
	-																
Montgomery Coun- ty, MD Square footage																	
of expiring leases Percentage of		152,978	4,	664													
total leased sq. ft Annual escalated		19.07%	G	. 58%	i												

rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex-	3,337,430	60,18	0							
pire Annualized esca- lated rent per	3	:	1							
leased sq. ft Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft.	\$ 21.82	12.9	0							
w/future step- ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$ 22.99	\$ 12.9	0							
sq. ft. (4) MIDTOWN MANHATTAN (5)										
Park Avenue Square footage										
of expiring leases Percentage of total leased sq.	18,297	439,39	9							
ft	1.83	43.9	4%							
Annual escalated rent (2) No. of tenants	842,635	21,870,19	7							
whose leases ex- pire Annualized esca- lated rent per	2	!	4							
leased sq. ft Annualized escalated rent per leased sq. ft.	\$ 46.05	\$ 49.7	7							
w/future step- ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$ 46.97	\$ 51.8	0							
sq. ft. (4) TOTAL CLASS A OF- FICE BUILDINGS Square footage										
of expiring leases Percentage of total leased sq.	687,012	1,073,49	2							
ft	13.42	20.9	6%							
Annual escalated rent (2) No. of tenants	21,393,627	44,054,49	4							
whose leases ex- pire Annualized esca-	8	•	9							
lated rent per leased sq.										
ft	\$ 31.14	\$ 41.0	4							
ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$ 33.19	\$ 43.1	1							
sq. ft. (4) R&D PROPERTIES GREATER BOSTON East Cambridge Square footage										
of expiring leases Percentage of	0	0	6	)	0	Θ	0	67,362	0	0
total leased sq.	0.00	% 0.00	% 0.00	1%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Annual escalated rent (2) No. of tenants	0.00				0	0		315,519	0	0
whose leases ex- pire	0	) 6	6	1	0	0	0	1	Θ	0
Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq.	0	, 6		,	<del>0</del>	9	Ü	1	O	O
ft	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$	0.00 \$	0.00 \$	0.00 \$	19.53 \$	0.00 \$	0.00
ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$	0.00 \$	0.00 \$	0.00 \$	25.86 \$	0.00 \$	0.00
sq. ft. (4) Route 128NW Square footage	\$ 25.00	)								
of expiring leases	30,000	0	50,000	133	3,000	0	64,140	50,704	0	0
	23,000	·	23,000		, =	-	· /=:=	-,	-	•

Percentage of total leased sq. ft		6.28%		0.00%	10.46	i%	27.83%	Š	0.00%	13.42%	10.61%	0.00%	0.00%
Annual escalated rent (2) No. of tenants		198,000		0	322,209	)	1,108,275		0	598,478	456,219	0	0
whose leases ex- pire Annualized esca- lated tent per		1		0	1	-	2		0	1	1	0	0
leased sq. ft Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft.	\$	6.60	\$	0.00	\$ 6.44	\$	8.33	\$	0.00	\$ 9.33	\$ 9.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
w/future step- ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$	6.60	\$	0.00	\$ 6.44	\$	8.59	\$	0.00	\$ 9.33	\$ 9.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
sq. ft. (4) GREATER BOSTON East Cambridge	\$	8.93											
Square footage of expiring leases		0		0									
total leased sq. ft		0.00%		0.00%									
Annual escalated rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex-		0		0									
pire Annualized esca- lated rent per		0		0									
leased sq. ft Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft. w/future step-	0\$	0.00	\$	0.00									
ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4) Route 128NW Square footage	\$	0.00	\$	0.00									
of expiring leases Percentage of total leased sq.		150,000		0									
ft	1	31.39% .,379,288	ì	0.00%									
whose leases ex- pire Annualized esca- lated rent per		1		0									
leased sq. ft Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft.	\$	9.20	\$	0.00									
w/future step- ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4)	\$	9.20	\$	0.00									

	_	1997	1998		1999		2000	2001		2002		2003	2004	2005		2006
GREATER WASHING- TON, D.C.																
Fairfax County, VA Square footage of expiring leases Percentage of to-		44,433	165,863		47,001		190,361	41,793	3	Θ		0	5,600	Θ		0
tal leased sq. ft		7.02%	26.20%		7.42%		30.07%	6.60	)%	0.00%	,	0.00%	0.88%	0.00%		0.00%
Annual escalated rent (2) No. of tenants		576,004	1,425,064		644,646	1,	905,052	571,248	3	0		0	48,461	0		0
whose leases ex- pire		3	9		1		6	2	2	0		0	1	0		0
Annualized esca- lated rent per																
leased sq. ft Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft.	\$	12.96	\$ 8.59	\$	13.72	\$	10.01	\$ 13.67	' \$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$ 8.65	\$0.00	\$	0.00
w/future step-ups (3)	\$	12.96	\$ 8.79	\$	14.47	\$	10.09	\$ 14.73	3 \$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$10.12	\$0.00	\$	0.00
Company Quoted Rental Rate per																
sq. ft. (4) GREATER SAN FRAN- CISCO	\$	12.04														
Square footage of expiring leases Percentage of total leased sq.		46,050	23,950		25,150		19,519	7,000	)	6,000		2,000	0	0		0
ft		31.87%	16.58%		17.41%		13.51%	4.84	1%	4.15%	5	1.38%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%
Annual escalated rent (2) No. of tenants		377,260	193,740		184,896		160,032	53,220	)	46,980		14,160	Θ	0		0
whose leases ex- pire		30	11		11		5	3	3	2		1	0	0		0
Annualized esca- lated rent per																
leased sq. ft Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft.	\$	8.19	\$ 8.09	\$	7.35	\$	8.20	\$ 7.60	) \$	7.83	\$	7.08	\$ 0.00	\$0.00	\$	0.00
w/future step-ups	\$	8.26	\$ 8.29	\$	7.86	\$	8.50	\$ 8.67	, ş	8.28	\$	8.52	\$ 0.00	\$0.00	\$	0.00
Company Quoted	Ψ	0.20	Ψ 0.23	Ψ	7.00	Ψ	0.50	Ψ 0.07	,	0.20	Ψ	0.52	Ψ 0.00	Ψ0.00	Ψ	0.00
Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4) TOTAL R&D PROPER-	\$	7.80														
TIES Square footage of expiring leases Percentage of to- tal leased sq.		120,483	189,813		122,151		342,880	48,793	3	70,140		120,066	5,600	0	:	150,000
ft		9.11%	14.35%		9.23%		25.92%	3.69	9%	5.30%	, )	9.08%	0.42%	0.00%		11.34%
rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex-	1	, 151, 264	1,618,804	1,	151,751	3,	173,359	624,468	3 6	645,458	1,	785,898	48,461	0	1,3	379,288
pireAnnualized esca-		34	20		13		13	5	5	3		3	1	0		1
lated rent per leased sq. ft Annualized esca-	\$	9.56	\$ 8.53	\$	9.43	\$	9.26	\$ 12.80	) \$	9.20	\$	14.87	\$ 8.65	\$0.00	\$	9.20
lated rent per leased sq. ft. w/future step-ups																
(3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$	9.58	\$ 8.73	\$	9.82	\$	9.42	\$ 13.86	5 \$	9.24	\$	18.45	\$10.12	\$0.00	\$	9.20
sq. ft. (4)		11.37 2007 & BEYOND														
GREATER WASHING- TON, D.C. Fairfax County, VA Square footage of expiring leases Percentage of to- tal leased sq. ft Annual escalated rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex- pire Annualized esca-	1	103,750 16.39% ,437,971 1														
lated rent per																

leased sq. ft	\$	13.86												
Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft. w/future step-ups														
(3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$	13.86												
sq. ft. (4) GREATER SAN FRAN- CISCO														
Square footage of expiring leases Percentage of to- tal leased sq.		0												
ft		0.00%												
Annual escalated rent (2)		0												
No. of tenants whose leases ex-														
pire Annualized esca-		0												
lated rent per														
leased sq. ft Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft.	\$	0.00												
w/future step-ups (3)	\$	0.00												
Company Quoted Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4)														
TOTAL R&D PROPER- TIES														
Square footage of expiring leases Percentage of to- tal leased sq.		103,750												
ft		7.84%												
Annual escalated rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex-	1,	437,971												
pire		1												
Annualized esca- lated rent per														
leased sq. ft Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft.	\$	13.86												
w/future step-ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$	13.86												
sq. ft. (4) INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES (MARKET/SUBMARKET)														
GREATER BOSTON Route 128-Mass Pike														
Square footage of expiring leases Percentage of total leased sq.		0		0	23,904	56,747	10,829		0	128,105	0	0		0
ft		0.00%		0.00%	9.67%	22.94%	4.38	%	0.00%	51.80%	0.00%	0.00%	0.0	00%
rent (2)		0		0	117,298	532,187	126,458		0	560,520	0	0		0
No. of tenants whose leases ex-														
pire Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft.		0		0	1	1	1		0	1	0	0		0
Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft.	\$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$ 4.91	\$ 9.38	\$ 11.68	\$	0.00 \$	4.38	\$ 0.00	\$0.00 \$	0.0	00
w/future step-ups (3) Company Quoted	\$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$ 4.91	\$ 9.38	\$ 11.68	\$	0.00 \$	6.32	\$ 0.00	\$0.00 \$	0.0	00
Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4)	\$	6.10												
GREATER WASHING- TON, D.C. Prince Georges														
County, MD Square footage of														
expiring leases Percentage of to- tal leased sq.		63,341		138,971	0	21,064	0		0	0	0	0	_	0
ft Annual escalated		26.76%		58.70%	0.00%	8.90%	0.009	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.0	JO%
rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex-	4	449,523	1,		0	144,457	0		0	0	0	0		Θ
pire		2		5	0	1	0		0	0	0	0		0

Annualized esca- lated rent per												
leased sq. ft Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft. w/future step-ups	\$	7.10	\$	8.21	\$ 0.00	\$ 6.86	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00
(3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$	7.10	\$	8.40	\$ 0.00	\$ 6.86	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00
sq. ft. (4) GREATER BOSTON Route 128-Mass	\$	5.00										
Pike Square footage of expiring leases		0										
Percentage of to- tal leased sq. ft		0.00%	6									
rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex-		0										
pire Annualized esca- lated rent per		0										
leased sq. ft	\$	0.00										
(3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$	0.00										
sq. ft. (4) GREATER WASHING- TON, D.C. Prince Georges												
County, MD Square footage of expiring leases Percentage of to-		0										
tal leased sq. ft Annual escalated rent (2)		0.00%	ś									
No. of tenants whose leases expire		0										
Annualized escalated rent per leased sq. ft	\$	0.00										
Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft. w/future step-ups	<b>¥</b>	3.00										
(3)	\$	0.00										

	1997	1998	199	9	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
GREATER SAN FRAN-		<b></b>	- 2 <b></b>	_ <b>-</b>		<b></b>		<b></b>	<b></b>	<b></b>
CISCO Square footage of expiring										
leases Percentage of total leased sq.	6	20,0	90 40	,000	0	60,000	0	0	0	0
ft	0.00	9% 7.	12% 1	4.23%	0.00%	21.35%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
rent (2) No. of tenants	6	114,9	49 238	,000	0	234,000	0	0	0	0
whose leases ex- pire Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq.	G	Ð	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
ft	\$ 0.00	9 \$ 5.	75 \$	5.95 \$	0.00	\$ 3.90	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
ups (3) Company Quoted	\$ 0.00	5.	75 \$	5.95 \$	0.00	\$ 3.90	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4) BUCKS COUNTY, PA Square footage	\$ 4.75	5								
of expiring leases Percentage of total leased sq.	G	9 161,0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ft	0.00	9% 100.	90%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex-	G	865,6	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
pire Annualized-esca- lated rent per	e	Ð	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
leased sq. ft Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft.	\$ 0.00	9 \$ 5.	38 \$	0.00 \$	0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
w/future step- ups (3) Company Quoted	\$ 0.00	5.	38 \$	0.00 \$	0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4) TOTAL INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES Square footage	\$ 7.50	Đ								
of expiring leases Percentage of	63,341	1 319,9	71 63	, 904	77,811	70,829	0	128,105	0	0
total leased sq.	6.84	1% 34.	55%	6.90%	8.40%	7.65%	0.00%	13.83%	0.00%	0.00%
Annual escalated rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex-	449,523	3 2,121,1	29 355	, 298	676,644	360,458	0	560,520	0	0
pire	2	2	7	2	2	2	0	1	0	0
ft	\$ 7.10	9 \$ 6.	<b>3</b> \$	5.56 \$	8.70	\$ 5.09	\$ 0.00	\$ 4.38	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	\$ 7.10	9 \$ 6.	71 \$	5.56 \$	8.70	\$ 5.09	\$ 0.00	\$ 6.32	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
sq. ft. (4) TOTAL ALL PROPER- TIES Square footage	\$ 5.65	5								
of expiring leases Percentage of	807,985	5 856,3	74 548	,201	1,039,151	478,597	646,809	336,858	68,675	134,971
total leased sq. ft	10.96	6% 11.	62%	7.44%	14.10%	6.49%	8.78%	4.57%	0.93%	1.83%
Annual escalated rent (2) No. of tenants	17,710,383	3 12,218,0	95 9,229	,776 2:	1,014,271	8,999,059	25, 459, 427	5,931,610	2,446,323	2,839,289
whose leases ex- pire Annualized esca- lated rent per	116	5	64	58	57	41	26	11	5	5

leased sq. ft	\$	21.92	¢	14.27	<b>\$</b>	16.84	¢	20.22	<b>Φ</b>	18.80	<b>\$</b>	39.36	¢	17.61	¢	35.62	<b>¢</b>	21.04
Annualized esca- lated rent per	Ψ	21.92	Φ	14.27	Φ	10.04	Ψ	20.22	Ψ	10.00	Φ	39.30	Ψ	17.01	Ψ	33.02	Ψ	21.04
leased sq. ft. w/future step-																		
ups (3) Company Quoted	\$	22.11	\$	14.40	\$	17.43	\$	20.59	\$	19.86	\$	42.73	\$	20.65	\$	42.80	\$	26.39
Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4)	\$	25.21																
		0000		2007 &														
		2006 		BEYOND	-													
GREATER SAN FRAN-																		
CISCO Square footage of expiring																		
leases		0		0														
Percentage of total leased sq.		0.000	,	0.000	,													
ft Annual escalated		0.00%	6	0.00%	6													
rent (2) No. of tenants		0		0														
whose leases ex- pire		Θ		0														
Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq.																		
ft	\$	0.00	\$	0.00														
Annualized esca- lated rent per leased sq. ft.																		
w/future step-	¢	0.00	¢	0 00														
ups (3) Company Quoted	\$	0.00	Ф	0.00														
Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4)																		
BUCKS COUNTY, PA																		
Square footage of expiring																		
leases Percentage of		0		0														
total leased sq.																		
ft		0.00%	6	0.00%	6													
rent (2)		0		0														
No. of tenants whose leases ex-																		
pire Annualized-esca-		0		0														
lated rent per																		
leased sq. ft	\$	0.00	\$	0.00														
Annualized esca- lated rent per																		
leased sq. ft.																		
w/future step- ups (3)	\$	0.00	\$	0.00														
Company Quoted Rental Rate per																		
sq. ft. (4) TOTAL INDUSTRIAL																		
PROPERTIES Square footage																		
of expiring		0		0														
leases Percentage of		U		ט														
total leased sq. ft		0.00%	6	0.00%	6													
Annual escalated rent (2)		0		0														
No. of tenants whose leases ex-		· ·		· ·														
pire		0		0														
Annualized esca- lated rent per																		
leased sq.	¢	0.00	¢	0 00														
ft Annualized esca-	\$	0.00	Ф	0.00														
lated rent per leased sq. ft.																		
w/future step-	\$	0.00	Φ.	0.00														
ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per	Ψ	0.00	Φ	0.00														
sq. ft. (4) TOTAL ALL PROPER-																		
TIES Square footage																		
of expiring leases		837,012	1,	177,242														
Percentage of total leased sq.																		
ft		11.36%	6	15.979	6													

Annual escalated rent (2) No. of tenants whose leases ex-	22,	772,915	45,	492,465
pire		9		10
ft	\$	27.21	\$	38.64
ups (3) Company Quoted Rental Rate per sq. ft. (4)	\$	28.89	\$	40.54

- ----

- (1) The Company owns one property in downtown Boston which is used exclusively as the Company's headquarters.
- (2) Escalated Rent represents the annualized monthly Base Rent in effect (after giving effect to any contractual increases in monthly Base Rent that have occurred up to December 31, 1996) including annualized monthly tenant pass-throughs of operating and other expenses (but excluding electricity costs paid by tenants) under each lease executed as of December 31, 1996, or, if such monthly rent has been reduced by a rent concession, the monthly rent that would have been in effect at such date in the absence of such concession. For purposes of this table, pass-throughs of operating and other expenses are estimated to remain constant.
- (3) Represents Escalated Rent as described in footnote (1) above, but also reflects contractual increases in monthly Base Rent that occur after December 31, 1996.
- (4) Represents weighted average rental rates per square foot quoted by the Company as of January 1, 1997, based on total net rentable square feet of Company Properties in the submarket. These rates have not been adjusted to a full-service equivalent rate in markets in which the Company's rates are not quoted on a full-service basis.
- (5) Mandatory expansion rights for, Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP and Shearman & Sterling totaling 83,000 square feet have been reflected in this lease expiration schedule.

# HISTORICAL TENANT IMPROVEMENTS AND LEASING COMMISSIONS

The following table sets forth certain historical information regarding recurring tenant improvement and leasing commission costs for tenants at the Office and Industrial Properties during the years ending December 31, 1992 through December 31, 1996.

	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
OFFICE PROPERTIES Class A Office Buildings						
RENEWALS Number of leases Square feet						
Tenant improvement costs per square foot	1.63	0.47	2.70	0.48	3.39	1.95
Leasing commission costs per square foot		0.26				
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission costs per square foot	1.93 =====	0.73 =====				
NEW LEASES Number of leases	38	43	57	58	60	
Square feet Tenant improvement costs						
per square foot Leasing commission costs						
per square foot  Total tenant improvement		2.38				
and leasing commission costs per square foot		12.81			13.21	
TOTAL Number of leases Square feet						
Tenant improvement costs per square foot	6.57	6.83	7.81	7.30	8.99	7.66
Leasing commission costs per square foot		1.62				
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission costs per square foot	7.85		9.46	10.66	11.40	9.81
R&D Properties RENEWALS						
Number of leases Square feet Tenant improvement costs	7 58,400	11 20,890	9 49,552	10 31,492	11 139,254	
per square foot Leasing commission costs	2.73	2.22	0.74	1.35	0.98	1.41
per square foot	0.12	2.36	0.59	1.12	0.65	0.70
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission costs per square foot		4.58				
NEW LEASES Number of leases Square feet Tenant improvement costs			20 228,780			
per square foot Leasing commission costs						
per square foot		1.66				
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission costs per square foot		5.67				
TOTAL  Number of leases  Square feet  Tenant improvement costs			29 276,332			
per square foot Leasing commission costs		3.79				
per square foot	0.61	1.74				
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission costs per square foot		5.54 ======				
INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES						

REN	EWA	LS

1 0 2 4 3 67 0 13,367 71,283 46,117

per square foot Leasing commission costs	2.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21
per square foot				0.06	0.57	0.24
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission						
costs per square foot						0.45
NEW LEASES				======		=====
Number of leases Square feet				9 237,105		
Tenant improvement costs per square foot Leasing commission costs	1.00	0.12	1.58	0.19	1.09	0.54
per square foot	1.33	0.16	2.08	1.09	1.25	0.97
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission						
costs per square foot	2.33				2.34	
TOTAL						
Number of leases				13		
Square feet Tenant improvement costs	44,473	241,500	132,521	308,388	128,148	
per square foot Leasing commission costs	1.38	0.12	1.42	0.15	0.70	0.48
per square foot	0.93	0.16	1.90	0.85	1.01	0.84
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission						
	2.31			1.00		1.33

TOTAL OFFICE AND INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
RENEWALS  Number of leases(1)  Square feet(1)  Tenant improvement costs	370,347		41 302,360		372,661	
per square foot Leasing commission costs per square foot	,	\$0.50		, -	\$0.53	,
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission costs per square foot			\$3.10 ======			
NEW LEASES  Number of leases(2)  Square feet(2)  Tenant improvement costs	69	73	81 796,958	83	81	
per square foot Leasing commission costs per square foot			\$6.25 \$1.55			
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission costs per square foot		\$6.79	\$7.80	\$8.87	\$13.02	\$9.53
TOTAL Number of leases Square feet Tenant improvement costs	116	118	122 1,099,318	133	139	
per square foot Leasing commission costs per square foot			\$5.15 \$1.36			,
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission costs per square foot		\$5.59	\$6.51 ======	\$7.77	\$10.31	\$7.67

# HISTORICAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

For each of the years 1997 and 1998, the Company projects the cost of building improvements and equipment upgrades (excluding the costs of tenant improvements) at the Office and Industrial Properties to be approximately \$1,440,000 (or \$0.20 per square foot), which cost is expected to be paid from operating cash flows.

The following table sets forth certain historical information regarding recurring capital expenditures at the Office and Industrial Properties for the years ending December 31, 1992 through December 31, 1996.

During this period the Company made substantial investments in building improvements to a number of the Properties and believes that almost all of its Properties are now at or above current market standards. As a result, the Company believes that the average annual cost of capital expenditures (excluding tenant improvements) will be somewhat lower during the next five years.

1993

1992

						, , , , _ , , , , , , ,
Recurring capital						
expenditures	\$1,424,715	\$1,546,773	\$1,811,619	\$1,432,739	\$1,803,183	\$1,603,806

1994

The following table sets forth historical capital expenditures at the Hotels incurring during the years ending December 31, 1992 through December 31, 1996. The average cost is presented below:

YE	AR END	ED DECE	EMBER 3	l,	
					ANNUAL
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	AVERAGE
(IN THOUSANDS)					

1995

1996

AVERAGE

Hotel improvements, equipment upgrades and replacements...... \$3,182 \$836 \$1,917 \$4,420 \$3,041 \$2,679

<sup>(1)</sup> Does not include retained tenants that have relocated to new space or expanded into new space.

(2) Includes retained tenants that have relocated or expanded into new space.

The Company believes that its relationship with tenants contributes in large part to its success in attracting, expanding and retaining its quality and diverse tenant base. The Company strives to develop and maintain good relationships with tenants through its active management style and by being responsive to individual tenants' needs. The Company services tenants primarily through its on site, professional management staff. Management believes that tenant satisfaction fosters long-term tenant relationships and creates expansion opportunities, which, in turn, enhance the Company's ability to maintain and increase occupancy rates.

The following table sets forth certain historical information regarding tenants at the Properties who renewed an existing lease at or prior to the expiration of the existing lease:

	1993	1994	1995	1996	TOTAL/ WEIGHTED AVERAGE 1993-1996
Number of leases expired during calendar year Aggregate net rentable square footage of expiring	95	105	95	103	100
leases	916,164	1,395,922	1,008,579	819,734	1,035,100
Number of lease renewals Aggregate net rentable square footage of lease	49	45	53	61	52
renewals	336,156	452,885	444,229	411,853	411,281
Percentage of leases renewed	51.58%	42.86%	55.79%	59.22%	52.00%
Percentage of expiring net rentable square footage					
renewed	36.69%	32.44%	44.05%	50.24%	39.73%

## THE OFFICE PROPERTIES

The Office Properties consist of the 33 Class A Office Buildings, including three Development Properties and the 27 R&D Properties, including four Development Properties. The Company's 33 Class A Office Buildings contain approximately 5.7 million net rentable square feet in urban and suburban settings in Greater Boston, Greater Washington, D.C. and midtown Manhattan. The Company's Class A Office Buildings include 599 Lexington Avenue in midtown Manhattan, which has approximately 1.0 million net rentable square feet. As of December 31, 1996, the 30 completed Class A Office Buildings had an occupancy rate of 97%. Thirty-one of the Class A Office Buildings (consisting of approximately 5.0 million rentable square feet) have been built or substantially redeveloped since 1980.

The 27 R&D Properties contain approximately 1.6 million net rentable square feet and consist primarily of suburban properties located in the Fairfax County, Virginia submarket of Greater Washington, D.C. and the East Cambridge and Route 128 NW submarkets of Greater Boston. Seventeen of the R&D Properties, totaling approximately 1.4 million net rentable square feet, have been built or substantially renovated since 1980. As of December 31, 1996, the 23 completed R&D Properties had an occupancy rate of 96%.

Management believes that the location and quality of construction of the Office Properties, as well as the Company's reputation for providing a high level of tenant service, have enabled the Company to attract and retain a diverse tenant base. As of January 1, 1997, the Office Properties were leased to 352 tenants, and no single tenant, other than the General Services Administration, whose lease obligations are full faith and credit obligations of the United States government, accounted for more than approximately 10% of the aggregate Escalated Rent of the Company's office portfolio.

# GREATER BOSTON OFFICE MARKET

Greater Boston, the seventh largest metropolitan area in the United States, has a strong and diverse economy and is a nationally recognized center of higher education, technological entrepreneurship, investment management, health care and research and development. Economic growth during the 1990's substantially increased demand for office space while there has been little addition to the total office space supply of approximately 103 million square feet in this market area defined by the cities and towns within or adjacent to the US I-495 outer circumferential highway. This has resulted in substantial absorption of available space accompanied by rising rents. Between 1992 and 1996, according to information provided by Spaulding & Slye, the office space availability rate in this market (space currently available direct from landlord or by sublease, or scheduled to become available within 12 months) declined from 16.0% to 8.3% while average quoted rents increased 23%, and the Direct Vacancy Rate was only 5.0% at the end of 1996. During this same 1992-96 period office space supply grew by only 1.3% (351,000 square feet) and there was net absorption of approximately 10.8 million square feet at a relatively steady rate (approximately 1.8 million square feet in 1992, 2.2 million square feet annually 1993-95, and 2.3 million square feet in 1996).

The Company expects this positive office space demand-supply relationship to further strengthen due to the growing economy and anticipated increases in population and employment. Between 1996 and 2001 the population of metropolitan Boston is expected to grow by approximately 231,000, with an increase in total employment of approximately 106,000, an increase in office employment alone of approximately 56,000, and substantial resulting need for office space. The Company believes this expected growth in demand will result in further increases in rental rates in Greater Boston generally and particularly in the three submarkets in which the Company's Greater Boston office properties are concentrated, which are already experiencing low vacancy rates and have substantial limitations on potential increases in supply because of limited available marketable sites and significant regulatory obstacles to development. These submarkets are East Cambridge, a market area directly across the Charles River from downtown Boston that includes MIT, and two submarkets adjacent to each other along the west/northwest quadrant of "Route 128," the inner circumferential highway known for its concentration of high-technology firms. According to Spaulding & Slye, the Direct Vacancy rates at the end of 1996 of these submarkets, and their supply sizes, were as follows: 1.8% Direct Vacancy in the 6.5 million square feet East Cambridge submarket; 2.6% Direct Vacancy in the 11.5 million square feet Route 128/West submarket; and 5.3% Direct Vacancy in the 7.2 million square feet Northwest

The Greater Boston economy is strong and competitive due to its diversity. The Greater Boston market is characterized by four core industry groups: (i) health care, (ii) information technology, (iii) financial services and (iv) research and development, including both academic and commercial research. Local businesses within these industry groups successfully compete both nationally and internationally. Growth in the area has centered around the emergence of a large number of small to medium-sized companies within these industry groups.

Over 60 colleges and universities are located within the Greater Boston area, attracting to the region in excess of 240,000 students from both within the United States and abroad. These colleges and universities, including Harvard University, MIT, Tufts University, Brandeis University, Boston College, Northeastern University and Boston University, contribute \$5 billion annually to the local economy and draw a diverse and talented student population to the region. Many graduates remain in the area, providing local businesses with a highly-educated, top-quality workforce.

According to the Massachusetts Department of Employment and Training, the Boston area's employment base has expanded by 22% since 1992 to its current size of almost 2 million jobs. The service sector continues to increase its share of the region's economy, currently accounting for 39% of the employment base. As a result of the steady growth in the Boston economy, the local unemployment rate has fallen from 7.0% in 1992 to 3.4% in 1996.

In addition to its expanding economy, Massachusetts has a high and rising standard of living. Per capita income in the State is growing at a faster pace than both the nation and the New England region as a whole. According to the U.S. Commerce Department, per capita income in Massachusetts grew by 6.4% to \$28,021 in 1995, which was the second largest gain in the nation for that year, and grew another 4.5% to \$29,288 in 1996.

The Company believes that the prospects for continued economic growth in the region are excellent because of the diverse mix of companies in the area, which has helped to create an economy which is both broad and deep, the local availability of venture and growth capital, the vitality of the City of Boston as a business, cultural and recreational center, and the major improvements in transportation infrastructure currently underway.

## THE BACK BAY OFFICE SUBMARKET

The Back Bay submarket of the downtown Boston office market contains 9.5 million square feet of space and accounts for 20% of the City's office market inventory. The Back Bay submarket has recorded absorption levels over 250,000 square feet during each of the past three years. As a result, availability has fallen from 12% in 1992 to 5.7% in 1996, the lowest rate among the downtown Boston office submarkets. The strength of the Back Bay submarket is derived from the increasing diversity in its tenant base. In addition, the Direct Vacancy Rate for the year ended 1996 was 2.6%. Once dominated by large owners and users, advertising firms and financial services institutions, the Back Bay is now an attractive alternative to the higher priced Financial District.

Rental rates, as quoted by local landlords, have steadily risen to \$26.19 per square foot in 1996 from \$19.44 per square foot in 1992, representing an increase of over 35%.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for office buildings in the Back Bay office submarket.

## [GRAPH APPEARS HERE]

Back Bay Office Submarket Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

#### BACK BAY OFFICE

1992	\$19.44
1993	\$22.14
1994	\$24.04
1995	\$24.84
1996	\$26.19
1992	12.0%
1993	14.9%
1994	12.1%
1995	8.4%
1996(1)	5.7%

Source: Spaulding & Style

(1) The Direct Vacancy Rate was 2.6%

Description of the Boston Back Bay Property.

The Company's only Office Property in the downtown Back Bay submarket is Eight Arlington Street, an historic, six-story Class A Office Building that serves as the Company's headquarters. The building has a brownstone structure and is situated among numerous other historic brick and brownstone buildings in Boston's famous Back Bay area. The building is directly across from the Boston Public Garden and is only a short walk from Beacon Hill and the downtown Boston financial district. The Property contains approximately 26,990 rentable square feet of office space, as well as 3,536 square feet of storage space. The building is located on an approximately 8,000 square foot parcel of land, with executive parking for four cars available on site. The building was originally constructed in two phases in 1860 and 1920 and was completely renovated by the Company in 1989.

## EAST CAMBRIDGE OFFICE SUBMARKET

The Cambridge office market contains 9.8 million square feet and accounts for 9% of Greater Boston's 103.3 million square foot office supply. According to Spaulding & Slye, the availability rate in Cambridge as a whole fell from 12% in 1992 to 5.5% in 1996, with 909,000 square feet absorbed while only 300,000 square feet were added to the supply. The presence of both Harvard University and MIT attracts existing firms and is a source of new business formation. In addition, the City benefits from proximity to Logan Airport and to Boston across the Charles River as well as from its own urban attractions. Office development has also been aided by the availability of rapid transit and has concentrated along areas served by the Red and Green Lines of the Metropolitan Boston Transit Authority (the "MBTA").

The East Cambridge submarket accounted for the majority of the growth in supply that occurred in Cambridge during the 1980's and with 6.5 million square feet, East Cambridge is now this city's largest and most active submarket, accounting for 67% of the total office space inventory. The office development in East Cambridge was in significant part the result of city government initiatives that were accompanied by substantial roadway, open space and other infrastructure improvements and expansions of supporting retail and business services. According to Spaulding & Slye, the availability rate in this submarket fell from 10.7% in 1992 to 5.7%

in 1996 and the Direct Vacancy was only 1.8% at the end of 1996. The positive impact of supply reductions on rent levels lagged behind absorption but is now becoming evident; during 1992-1994 asking rents continued their post-1980's decline, and reached a low of \$18.67 per square foot in 1994, before rebounding sharply during the succeeding two years and reaching \$26.70 per square foot at the end of 1996. The Company believes these rent levels are still 20-25% below current replacement cost rents and will continue to increase significantly.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for office buildings in the East Cambridge office submarket.

## [GRAPH APPEARS HERE]

East Cambridge Office Submarket Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

	EAST CAMBRIDGE
1992	\$20.54
1993	\$19.03
1994	\$18.67
1995	\$21.64
1996	\$26.70
1992	11%
1993	9%
1994	9%
1995	6%
1996(1)	6%

Source: Spaulding & Style (1) Direct vacancy rate 1.8%

Description of the Company's Cambridge Center development project

All of the Company's Properties in East Cambridge are located in Cambridge Center, a major mixed-use urban center developed by the Company on a 24-acre site at the center of Kendall Square, Cambridge, Massachusetts, directly across the Charles River from downtown Boston and immediately adjacent to the East Campus of MIT. The Company has developed this project in close cooperation with the City of Cambridge after being selected as developer by the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority through a public competition. It is the centerpiece of the revitalized Kendall Square area and the Company believes it is the premier office development in the Cambridge market. As of December 31, 1996, the Company's East Cambridge Office Properties had an occupancy rate of 100%.

The master plan for Cambridge Center provides for over 2.7 million square feet of new development. The primary office and high-end research and development uses are supported by many services and amenities included in the development, which include: the Company's 431-room Marriott Hotel with health club, meeting, function and conference facilities; extensive tenant and visitor parking providing the highest parking ratio available in the East Cambridge market; direct rapid transit service by the Kendall Station of the MBTA Red Line; major new urban parks and plazas constructed specifically for Cambridge Center; and a wide range of restaurants, shops and business services both directly in the development and in the immediately surrounding Kendall Square area.

Cambridge Center is separated by public streets and other public rights of way into three "superblock" development parcels, and the Company's properties are located on the "East Parcel" and the "North Parcel." The remaining "West Parcel" thus far has only one completed building, developed at Cambridge Center by the Company in cooperation with the Whitehead Institute of Biomedical Research, which owns the buildings. The Whitehead Institute is a world-renowned biomedical research foundation affiliated with MIT. The balance of the

West Parcel consists of approximately four acres of undeveloped land on which the Company controls all development rights.

Description of Cambridge Center East Parcel Properties.

The Company's three properties on the triangular East Parcel are the 12-story One Cambridge Center office building, the 25-story Cambridge Center Marriott(R) Hotel at Two Cambridge Center, and the four-story Three Cambridge Center office building. These three buildings frame the major central public plaza of the project whose fourth side opens south onto Main Street facing a major entrance to MIT. The Company's main marketing center for Cambridge Center is at street level on the east side of the plaza, and a main entrance to the MBTA Red Line Kendall Station is on the west side of the plaza. More specific information about the two Office Properties on the East Parcel follows below. For information on the Cambridge Center Marriott Hotel, see "--The Hotel Properties."

One Cambridge Center. This 12-story, 215,385 rentable square foot Class A Office Building, built by the Company in 1987, stands at the apex of the Cambridge Center development at the angled intersection of Main Street and Broadway. The building's east facade faces downtown Boston over the Longfellow Bridge and features a recessed and angled curtain wall between two columnar brick elements. The curtain wall includes at its base a 2-story high private atrium, which is part of space on the second and third floors of the building under long term lease to Ernst & Young US LLP, for their Center for Business Innovation. Other major tenants include the corporate headquarters of Camp, Dresser & McKee Inc. ("CDM"), an internationally active environmental engineering and development company, and computer software and consulting firms including ON Technology, Inc. and Harlequin Incorporated. While 6 of the floors in the building are occupied on a full-floor basis, the office floors can be subdivided into suites as small as 1,000 square feet or less, and the smallest current tenant occupies a suite of only 885 square feet.

Three Cambridge Center. This 4-story, 107,484 square foot Class A Office Building, completed by the Company in 1987, provides 60,960 square feet of office space on its upper three floors and 46,524 square feet of retail space on the street level and connected lower level. The major office tenant at present is The Hartford Fire Insurance Company (the "Hartford") which leases 35,687 square feet on the third and fourth floors of the building for a term that expires November 30, 1997. The Hartford has advised the Company that it will be relocating to a suburban building at the end of its lease term. By March, 1997, all of the space to be vacated by The Hartford was committed under letters of intent to two replacement tenants, at rents significantly higher than those being paid by The Hartford and with expected downtime between the departure of The Hartford and the start of rent under the new leases averaging less than one month. While no binding agreements will be established until final lease documents are executed with these tenants, the Company believes these transactions will be successfully completed. As with One Cambridge Center, all of the floors in the building are easily subdividable. The balance of approximately 25,273 square feet in the building not under lease to The Hartford is currently leased to 10 tenants ranging in size from 918 square feet to 4,227 square feet.

The retail space in Three Cambridge Center is leased in its entirety for a term running through June, 2012, to The Harvard Cooperative Society ("The Coop") and houses the main branch of the "MIT Coop," the academic bookstore and retail store serving MIT. The MIT Coop is managed for The Coop by Barnes & Noble, and provides a wide range of retail goods that enhances the attractiveness of Cambridge Center as an office location, including an 8,500 square foot food court.

Description of Cambridge Center North Parcel Properties.

The Company has four Properties on the Cambridge Center North Parcel. Three of these Properties are set along and complete the streetfront facing on Broadway, a main vehicular route through Cambridge that runs from the Longfellow Bridge from Boston to Harvard Square to the west. Running from east to west these properties are the seven-story Class A Office Building at Ten Cambridge Center; the six-level North Garage, which is set back from Broadway behind a handsomely landscaped park; and the four-story Class A Office Building at Eleven Cambridge Center. The fourth property is the two-story research and development building at Fourteen Cambridge Center on the northern corner of the parcel bordered by Binney Street.

Ten Cambridge Center. This seven-story, 152,664 square foot office building's exterior of brick, glass and pre-cast concrete features a two-story colonnade the full length of the 183-foot long facade on Broadway, with distinctive inverted-T pre-cast concrete elements between brick columns. The building, which was completed by the Company in 1990, is designed in all respects to function as a multi-tenant building consistent in quality and subdivision flexibility with the Company's East Parcel buildings described above. The building is leased in its entirety to CDM, which has its corporate headquarters at One Cambridge Center.

Cambridge Center North Garage. This 1,170-space, six-level parking garage, completed by the Company in 1990, is set in a highly landscaped setting in the middle of the North Parcel. It is set back from Broadway over 100 feet behind a heavily-landscaped park which features a perennial garden surrounding a central open lawn and which received the 1990 Urban Landscape Award from the Massachusetts Horticultural Society. The garage provides parking spaces for occupants of and business visitors to buildings at Cambridge Center and also provides monthly parking to individuals in the Kendall Square area and transient day parking.

Eleven Cambridge Center. This 4-story, 79,616 square foot office building is on the southwest corner of the North Parcel facing Broadway. The brick and punched-window exterior is set back from Broadway behind a ten-foot deep planter and the entrance to the building is at the center of this landscaped zone through a three-story curtain wall into a lobby atrium of the same height. As with Ten Cambridge Center, the building, which was built by the Company in 1984, was designed to function in every respect as a multi-tenant building with no modifications required to do so. The building is currently leased in its entirety to the Open Software Foundation, originally founded in 1988 by a consortium of leading computer companies and which now has a membership of over 200 firms worldwide.

Fourteen Cambridge Center. This two-story, 67,362 square feet R&D Property with a brick exterior was built by the Company in 1983 to provide headquarters offices, research laboratories and supporting facilities for Biogen, Inc. Since that time Biogen has grown substantially, and relocated most of its office functions to other buildings at Cambridge Center and in the immediately surrounding area. The building has extensive special HVAC and utility services (including steam and gas) that provide it with the capacity to service high intensity research and production facilities for the biotechnology industry and allied research needs. The building's entrance is through a major curtain wall element in its long west side flanked by extensive landscaping, opening onto a two-story skylight-topped central atrium featuring a monumental central staircase providing access directly to the second level.

## ROUTE 128 NW SUBMARKET

The Route 128 NW office submarket comprises six towns (Lexington, Lincoln, Concord, Bedford, Burlington and Billerica) with office locations primarily accessed by circumferential Route 128 and radial Route 2 on the south and Route 3 on the north. Construction activity during the 1980's nearly tripled this submarket's office supply, and it's 1996 total of 7.2 million square feet of space accounts for 16% of the total Greater Boston supply of approximately 45.2 million square feet. Together with the 11.5 million square feet of space in the adjacent Route 128/Massachusetts Turnpike to the south it defines the preferred core of the suburban Boston office market area.

According to information from Spaulding & Slye, approximately 1 million square feet of space were absorbed between 1992 and 1996 with no increase in supply, with a resulting dramatic decrease in the availability rate from 23.7% to 9.4% during this period and a direct vacancy rate at the end of 1996 of only 5.3%. Asking rents during this period increased from \$16.30 per square foot in 1992 to \$22.50 per square foot in 1996, with the greatest increase occurring during the years 1994-1996 when 922,000 square feet of space were absorbed and asking rent increased from \$17.01 to \$22.50. The Company believes that vacancy will continue to decline in the face of growing demand and limited increases in supply with resulting further increases in market rents.

The Company's Route 128 NW Office Properties consist of 11 Class A Office Buildings and eight R&D Properties.

75

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for office buildings in the Route 128 NW Office Submarket.

## [GRAPH APPEARS HERE]

Route 128 NW Office Submarket Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

	RT.	128	NW	OFFICE
1992		\$16	. 30	
1993		\$16	. 13	
1994		\$17	. 01	
1995		\$21	. 10	
1996		\$22	. 50	
1992		2	24%	
1993		:	18%	
1994		2	22%	
1995		:	13%	
1996(1)			9%	

Source: Spaulding & Style

(1) The direct vacancy rate was 5.3%

DESCRIPTION OF ROUTE 128 NW OFFICE PROPERTIES

Route 2 Corridor Properties in the Route 128/NW Submarket

Route 2 is a state highway that is part of a major radial route from Boston and Cambridge to circumferential Route 128, the western suburbs and beyond, within the Route 128 NW submarket. The Company owns four buildings and has a fifth building under construction within the Route 2 corridor in Lexington inside of Route 128 (Hayden Avenue/Spring Street). Significant characteristics of this area are the high visibility and identity of the office buildings, proximity to executive bedroom suburbs, the short (five mile) distance to Cambridge and the desirability of a Lexington corporate address. All of these Properties have excellent access off Route 2 with direct visibility from Route 2 or Route 128.

191 Spring Street. This 162,700 square foot, four story building is located on a prominent hillside overlooking the Route 2 and Route 128 interchange in Lexington, Massachusetts. The Class A Office Building was originally built in 1971 as the headquarters of a subsidiary of the Xerox Corporation. The Company purchased the 32.8 acre property in 1985 with a leaseback of the building to Xerox through September, 1994, and then obtained entitlements required for the development of two additional buildings, one of which is currently under construction at 201 Spring Street, as described under "Business and Properties--Development Properties." In 1994, after Xerox's lease expiration, the Company totally renovated the building to meet modern office standards, including all new window systems and the addition of a 2,800 square foot, three story atrium and a four story glass entrance tower. The building is now 100% leased as the corporate headquarters of The Stride Rite Corporation. The site provides 560 parking spaces.

33 Hayden Avenue. This three story, Class A Office Building is located directly off Route 2 in Lexington, Massachusetts, with easy access to Boston and efficient floor plates. Mercer Management Consulting, Inc. and its predecessor, The TBS Group, Inc., have occupied the building since its construction in 1979. The building has a red brick facade and features a three story skylit atrium with two glass elevators. The 79,564 square foot building is located on a 10 acre parcel with 262 parking spaces and is surrounded by wooded conservation land.

92 Hayden Avenue. This is a two story, 30,980 square foot, Class A Office Building that provides the opportunity for a small tenant to have the visibility and identity of a large corporate user. The building was originally built in 1968 as the regional headquarters of the Burroughs Corporation. In 1984 the Company purchased the Property and performed a major renovation which included the addition of a two story atrium, new windows and mechanical systems and new first class finishes in the tenant and common spaces. The Property is situated on a 6.34 acre parcel of land and has 103 parking spaces. The primary tenant in the building is Rath & Strong, Inc., a management consulting firm (21,366 square feet).

100 Hayden Avenue. The Company developed this 2 1/2 story, Class A Office Building in 1985 on the same parcel as 92 Hayden Avenue, Lexington, Massachusetts. This brick building has rounded corners at the offset in the efficient floor plan and a compact lobby space with a two story atrium. The Property contains approximately 55,924 rentable square feet and has 204 parking spaces. The Property is leased in its entirety to Harvard Pilgrim Health Care, Inc.

Hartwell Avenue Area Properties in the 128/NW Submarket

Hartwell Avenue is a commercially zoned office, research and development district established by the Town of Lexington adjacent to Hanscom Field which has become a major center of electronic and air defense technology and research with leading defense contractors, such as Lincoln Laboratory, Instrumentation Laboratories, The MITRE Corporation and the Air Force's EDS at Hanscom Field. The Company owns three buildings along Hartwell Avenue.

17 Hartwell Avenue is a single story R&D building constructed in 1968. The building is a metal framed, brick veneer structure located on a 5.25 acre site in Lexington, Massachusetts. The Property contains approximately 30,000 rentable square feet and 100 parking spaces. The Property is located one mile from the Route 4 and Route 128 interchange. Kendall Company has been the sole tenant in the building for 20 years, and does new product research for tapes and adhesives at this location. For a discussion of certain environmental matters regarding this Property, see "--Environmental Matters."

32 Hartwell Avenue is a single story, Class A Office Building which contains approximately 69,154 rentable square feet of office and research and development space. The building was originally built as the regional sales office of Hewlett-Packard Corporation in 1968, with additions completed in each of 1976 and 1979 to accommodate their expansion. The building, which is a metal framed, brick veneer structure, was completely refurbished by the Company in 1987 with all new windows, mechanical systems and interior improvements. The Property consists of 5.8 acres of land, including 311 parking spaces. The building is leased in its entirety to Logica North America Inc.

91 Hartwell Avenue is a handsome three story, Class A Office Building with approximately 122,328 rentable square feet of office space located on a 15 acre wooded site. The large floor plates, split cores and three skylit atria make the building particularly attractive and efficient for large tenants. The offset rectangular floor plan adds interest to the space and breaks up the size of the large floor plate. The building was built by the Company in 1985 and has 427 parking spaces. The Company made substantial renovations to the Property in 1995 and 1996, including major landscaping, new lobby finishes, a new 2,000 square foot food service facility and showers and locker rooms. Primary tenants at the Property include RESTRAC, Inc. (60,093 square feet) and Workgroup Technology Corporation (29,042 square feet). For a discussion of certain environmental matters regarding this Property, see "--Environmental Matters."

Other Properties in the Route 128 NW Submarket

Lexington Office Park. These Properties are two Class A Office Buildings of 84,500 square feet each on a 21 acre site in Lexington, Massachusetts, adjacent to the interchange of Route 4 with Route 128. The Property's proximity to the highway and its central location in the northwest high tech market have resulted in high levels of occupancy throughout the buildings' history. The buildings, which were built by the Company in the period from 1981 to 1983, are three story, steel frame structures, with brick veneer exteriors. The L-shaped, mirror-image buildings face each other across a center drop-off court facing on to a scenic pond on the well-landscaped

site that includes 14 acres of conservation land. The site also includes 558 parking spaces. The largest tenants at this Property include Weather Services Corporation (13,049 square feet) and Waterfield Technology Group, Inc. (12,857 square feet).

10 & 20 Burlington Mall Road. These Properties, comprised of two buildings of distinctive curved design, are located directly adjacent to the Route 3/3A interchange of Route 128 and have a signalized entrance drive, are less than 1/2 mile from the Burlington Mall, a major suburban retail center, and directly across the street from the 420 room Burlington Marriott(R). The buildings were built by the Company during the two year period from 1984 to 1986 and are steel frame structures with brick veneer exteriors. 10 Burlington Mall Road is a three story building which contains approximately 58,407 rentable square feet. 20 Burlington Mall Road is a four story building which contains approximately 98,057 rentable square feet. Both buildings have skylit atrium lobbies and floor plans particularly well suited to multi-tenant occupancy. Structured and surface parking totaling 516 spaces is available at the site. Primary tenants at these Properties include NOVASOFT Systems, Inc., (27,676 square feet), Lernout & Hauspie Speech Products USA, Inc. (16,088 square feet), Information Builders, Inc. (11,658 square feet) and Aerotek, Inc. (9,488 square feet).

Bedford Business Park. This complex of three Properties contains approximately 473,000 rentable square feet, comprised of 90,000 square feet of Class A office space in a 3-story building completed by the Company in 1981, a two-story R&D Building containing 50,000 rentable square feet, and a complex of attached two-story buildings containing 333,000 net rentable square feet. The Properties are located on a 22 acre site in Bedford, Massachusetts, directly off of the Route 3/Route 62 interchange, approximately 25 minutes northwest of downtown Boston. The Properties have frontage on Route 3 and provides tenants with high visibility and identity. The original property acquired by the Company consisted of four buildings, totaling 203,000 square feet which were constructed from 1962 to 1968. The Company has renovated these buildings on lease turnovers and expanded the property with four additional buildings totaling 270,000 square feet from 1978 to 1981. A total of 1,281 parking spaces are available on the property. Primary tenants at the Properties include ComputerVision Corporation (273,704 square feet), MediSense, Inc., (150,000 square feet), and Iris Graphics, Inc., a division of Scitex (50,000 square feet).

164 Lexington Road. This is a two story building which contains 64,140 rentable square feet of office and research and development space. The building was acquired by the Company in November of 1995 and major improvements were made in 1996, including roof replacement. In July of 1996, Harte-Hanks Data Technologies Inc., leased and occupied the entire building. The building is located on a 4.2 acre site with 210 parking spaces, easily accessible from the Route 62 interchange of Route 3, five miles north of the Route 3/Route 128 interchange. The building has frontage on and is highly visible form the Middlesex Turnpike.

## ROUTE 128/MASSACHUSETTS TURNPIKE SUBMARKET

The Route 128/Massachusetts Turnpike office submarket, which includes such cities and towns as Waltham, Wellsley, Newton, Needham and Watertown, has consistently been a preferred suburban location in Greater Boston. Inventory has remained steady at approximately 11.4 million square feet from 1992 to 1996 with the only addition to supply being the new 39,000 square foot building completed during the third quarter of 1996, which was 100% pre-leased when built.

According to Spaulding & Slye, the Route 128/Massachusetts Turnpike office submarket steadily improved from 1992 to 1995, with the movement into the area of a number of software and health care companies, including Parametric Technologies, Atria, SAP America, Logica North America, Tufts Medical, and Harvard Pilgrim Health Care. The availability rate decreased from 13.6% in 1992 to 9.1% in 1995, and in 1996 the absorption level increased to 531,000 square feet, more than doubling the level for the previous year, the availability rate declined to 4.7%, a record low and the lowest of any suburban submarket with the direct vacancy rate falling to 2.6%.

Historically, the Route 128/Massachusetts Turnpike submarket has consistently commanded higher rental rates than other suburban submarkets in the Greater Boston area. The average quoted rental rate for first class

office space was \$23.70 per square foot in 1996, the highest rental rate among the suburban office submarkets in Greater Boston.

The Company's Route 128/Mass Pike Office Properties consist of six Class A Office Buildings.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for office buildings in the Route 128/Massachusetts Turnpike office submarket.

### [GRAPH APPEARS HERE]

Route 128/Massachusetts Turnpike Office Submarket Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

	ROUTE 128/MASS.
1992	\$17.93
1993	\$16.62
1994	\$17.47
1995	\$21.25
1996	\$23.70
1992	13.6%
1993	11.1%
1994	11.1%
1995	9.1%
1996(1)	4.7%

Source: Spaulding & Syle
(1) Direct vacancy rate 2.6%

Description of Route 128/Massachusetts Turnpike Properties

Waltham Office Center consists of a complex of three Class A Office Buildings totaling 129,658 square feet located on a 8.23 acre site on Totten Pond Road in Waltham, Massachusetts, directly adjacent to the Winter Street/Totten Pond Road interchange off Route 128. There are two three-story buildings at 486 and 504 Totten Pond Road each contain approximately 32,000 rentable square feet of office space, while 470 Totten Pond Road is a five-story building which contains approximately 65,000 rentable square feet. The buildings have precast concrete facades with highly articulated punched window openings and were constructed during the two year period from 1968 to 1970. The building common areas and tenant spaces were fully renovated by the Company in 1987 and 1988. Waltham Office Center is a multi-tenant complex characterized by a large number of small to medium sized tenants and a long history of nearly full occupancy. Larger tenants at these Properties include Sungard Financial Systems, Inc. (41,912 square feet), Atlantic Aerospace Electronics Corporation (18,736 square feet) and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company (17,642 square feet).

170 Tracer Lane is a three story, Class A Office Building which contains 73,258 square feet of office space. The Property is located directly off of the Trapelo Road interchange with Route 128 at the Waltham/Lexington municipal boundary. The Property has considerable frontage directly on Route 128 which provides high visibility for its angular design and for tenant signage facing this major highway. The building has a brick veneer exterior and a three story skylit atrium at its entrance. Built by the Company in 1980, the building is situated on a 9.7 acre parcel of land which include 227 parking spaces. The primary tenant at this Property is Harvard Pilgrim Health Care, Inc. (59,524 square feet).

204 Second Avenue is a 3 1/2 story, Class A Office Building located on a 1.8 acre site in Waltham, Massachusetts. The building abuts Route 128 which is less than 50 yards away, providing premier visibility, signage and identification for the primary tenant. The building contains approximately 41,557 square feet of office space and was built in 1981. The Company substantially renovated the lobby and common areas in 1993.

Parking is available on the premises at a ratio of 3.3 spaces per 1,000 rentable square feet. The primary tenant at this Property is Ikon Office Solutions (formerly A-Copy, Inc., a division of ALCO Standard Corporation) (20,004 square feet).

### GREATER WASHINGTON, D.C. OFFICE MARKET

Greater Washington D.C., which includes the District of Columbia and the adjacent areas of Northern Virginia and suburban Maryland, is the fifth largest metropolitan area in the country and the heart of the nation's federal government and policy-making activities. The region's workforce is the most highly educated of metropolitan areas nationwide and has the highest participation of women in the labor force and the highest concentration of scientists and engineers, with the second largest concentration of high technology firms. Business service industries, including technology-intensive knowledge-based industries such as information management and data communications, have been the economy's engines of growth in the 1990's, expanding by 26.5% from 1992 to 1996, and in 1996 the area had a median household income of \$48,100, the highest in the country.

Employment increases associated with growth in the private economy, particularly the service sector which as a whole grew 15% in the past five years, have more than offset the job reductions resulting from the substantial downsizing of the government sector during this period, and non-government employment now accounts for approximately three-quarters of the area's total employment. Unemployment in Greater Washington fell from 5.4% in 1992 to 3.4% in 1996, well below the national 1996 rate of 5.4%. The Company believes that these trends and resulting increasing demand for office space will continue in light of the composition of the region's economy and anticipated population and employment growth. The Washington D.C. metropolitan area population is expected to increase by 552,000 between 1996 and 2001, with growth in total employment of approximately 175,000 and growth in office-based employment of approximately 88,500.

The growth in business demand for office space over the last five years, combined with relatively limited increases in supply, is directly reflected in vacancy reductions and strengthening rents. According to Spaulding & Slye, total office space supply in the Greater Washington area was 244.7 million square feet in 1996 compared to 239.6 million square feet in 1992, an increase of 5.1 million square feet (an annual increase of approximately 0.5% per year), while during the same period the market absorbed approximately 14.1 million square feet, resulting in a decrease in the vacancy rate from 14.4% in 1992 to 10.4% in 1996. The absorption was particularly strong in 1995 and 1996, with approximately 9.2 million square feet of absorption and an increase in average asking rent from \$20.85 per square foot to \$22.76 per square foot. The Company believes that for the foreseeable future space absorption will continue to substantially outstrip growth in supply and that further reductions in vacancy rates will be accompanied by proportionally greater increases in rent levels.

## SOUTHWEST WASHINGTON, D.C. SUBMARKET

The 9.0 million square feet of Class A office space in the Southwest Washington, D.C. submarket accounts for approximately 10% of the total Class A office supply in Washington, D.C. and this submarket has been one of the strongest submarkets in Greater Washington, D.C. over the past five years, according to Spaulding and Slye.

According to Spaulding & Slye, the availability rate in this submarket averaged 5.6% between 1992 and 1995 and had fallen to a low of 4.5% in 1995 before it increased to 9.0% in 1996 (when Blue Cross-Blue Shield put its owner-occupied 526,000 square foot building on the market). In comparison, the availability rate in the Southwest Washington, D.C. submarket as a whole averaged 10.3% between 1992 and 1995 and was 11.4% in 1996. The asking rental rate in the Southwest Washington, D.C. submarket increased from \$28.86 per square foot in 1992 to \$31.00 per square foot in 1996 while the asking rental rate in the Southwest Washington, D.C. submarket as a whole declined from \$30.13 per square foot in 1992 to \$27.11 per square foot in 1996. The Company believes the relative strength of the Southwest Washington, D.C. submarket reflects the accessibility to major government offices and the comparatively limited supply of private office space as a proportion of total office space (including government-owned buildings) in this submarket.

The Company's Southwest Washington, D.C. Office Properties consist of five Class A office properties.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for office buildings in the Southwest Washington, D.C. office submarket.

### [CHART APPEARS HERE]

Southwest Washington D.C. Office Submarket Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

	WASHINGTON	D.C
1992	\$28.86	
1993	\$36.84	
1994	\$34.61	
1995	\$32.81	
1996	\$31.00	
1992	4.7%	
1993	6.5%	
1994	6.5%	
1995	4.5%	
1996	9.0%	

Source: Spaulding & Slye

Description of Southwest Washington, D.C. Properties

Independence Square. These Properties are two Class A Office Buildings developed by the Company. Independence Square is located in the southwest office market of downtown Washington, D.C. in close proximity to numerous government agencies and buildings. METRO rail access is available within one block of the building. Both buildings have limestone colored, pre-cast concrete exteriors with curtain wall elements. The lobbies of the buildings are two stories with marble walls and terrazzo floors.

One Independence Square is a nine-story building which serves as the headquarters for the Office of the Comptroller of Currency. Built by the Company in 1991, the building has approximately 337,794 net rentable square feet of office space. The building is situated on a 1.17 acre parcel of land. The four level, below ground garage has 389 parking spaces which are leased to the building's tenant. This Property has only one tenant, the Office of the Comptroller of Currency.

Two Independence Square is a nine-story building with a below-grade concourse level. The building is the headquarters for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Built by the Company in 1992, the building has approximately 579,600 net rentable square feet of office (569,337 square feet) and retail (10,263 square feet) space. The building is located on a 2.2 acre site. There are 700 parking spaces available in the three level, below ground garage which are leased to the building's tenant. The Property has only one office tenant, the General Services Administration (for use and occupancy by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration) (569,337 square feet). With respect to Two Independence Square, the Company was awarded a Certificate of Merit and Excellence in construction from the Associated Builders and Contractors.

One tenant at Two Independence Square occupies approximately 98.5% of the rentable square feet. As of December 31, 1996, the General Services Administration, on behalf of The National Aeronautics and Space Administration occupied 569,337 square feet pursuant to a lease which expires July 19, 2012, with one 10-year renewal option. The General Services Administration's rent for 1996 was approximately \$21.1 million. The GSA's lease provides for annual adjustments to reflect inflation with respect to the \$20.0 million base rent component and an annual 4% increase on the \$1.1 million parking component of the rent.

The effective annual rent per square foot of Two Independence Square for the years ended December 31, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996 was \$16.03, \$35.79, \$36.39, 37.02 and \$37.06, respectively. The occupancy rate of the Property for each such years was 100%.

The aggregate tax basis of depreciable real property of Two Independence Square for federal income tax purposes was \$68.7 million as of December 31, 1996. Depreciation is computed on the Straight-Line Method over the estimated life of the real property which range from 15-39 years. For the tax year ending September 30, 1997, Two Independence Square was taxed by the District of Columbia at a rate equal to \$2.15 per \$100 of assessed value, resulting in a total tax for such period equal to \$3,066,717.

The leases of two tenants in this Property expire in the year 2002, such leases cover 1,352 net rentable square feet. For the year ended December 31, 1996 the Escalated Rent under such leases was \$47,458, representing 0.2% of the total Escalated Rent of the Property. No other leases at this Property expire in the period from January 1, 1997, through December 31, 2006.

The Property is subject to a mortgage as set forth under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations--Mortgage Indebtedness." Such mortgage has a yield maintenance prepayment penalty.

In the Company's opinion this Property is adequately covered by insurance.

Other than normally recurring capital expenditures, the Company has no plans with respect to material renovation, improvement or redevelopment of Two Independence Square.

Capital Gallery. This two-building, Class A office complex is located in Southwest Washington, D.C., in the heart of the federal government district. The Property is located one block from the Mall and approximately eight blocks from the Capitol Building. Virtually every major government agency is in close proximity to these Properties. The Properties are accessible by the METRO rail for which there is a stop located within the front plaza area. The Virginia Rail Express has a platform at the rear of the buildings. The buildings, which were constructed by the Company in 1981, are connected by a three-story gallery which serves as both a pedestrian way and a shopping arcade. The exteriors of both buildings are precast concrete facades. The buildings are situated on a 125,452 square foot site which includes a landscaped plaza in the rear of the buildings. The buildings contain approximately 398,469 rentable square feet of both office (384,662 square feet) and retail (13,847 square feet) space. A below ground parking garage contains 466 parking spaces on three levels. Primary tenants at these Properties include American Nurses Foundation (52,838 square feet), Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. (41,678 square feet) and The Graduate School, United States Department of Agriculture (73,458 square feet).

The U.S. International Trade Commission Building. The U.S. International Trade Commission Building is a Class A Office Building located in Southwest, Washington, D.C. Built in 1987 by the Company, the building is situated on a 1.09 acre parcel of land at 500 E Street between 4th and 6th Streets. Directly across the street from the building is the Department of Transportation and access to the METRO rail. The building is located southwest of Capitol Hill, approximately four blocks from the Mall. The building was designed by the nationally renowned architectural firm of Kohn Pedersen Fox and has pre-cast concrete, curtain wall exteriors. The building is a nine-story structure with approximately 243,798 net rentable square feet. Eight of the nine stories are leased by the General Services Administration (for use and occupancy by the U.S. Trade Commission and the Social Security Administration). The General Services Administration's lease accounts for 217,772 net rentable square feet, or 89.3% of the aggregate net rentable square feet in the building. Within the space leased by the General Services Administration are several column-free, two-story courtrooms, as well as extensive library facilities and special purpose areas. The Property has a below ground parking garage with 214 parking spaces on five levels.

# MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND SUBMARKETS

Montgomery County had a total of approximately 35 million square feet of office space at the end of 1996, accounting for 69% of the total suburban Maryland office stock of approximately 50.9 million square feet.

According to Spaulding & Slye, there has been significant improvement in the suburban Maryland market in the past two years, with virtually no increase in supply, absorption of 2.4 million square feet, a decline in availability from 19.4% to 14.7% and an increase in average asking rent from \$18.90 per square foot to \$21.00 per square foot. The Company's Properties in this area are located within two submarkets in Montgomery County, the Bethesda-Rock Spring submarket and the Gaithersburg I-270 submarket.

## BETHESDA-ROCK SPRING OFFICE SUBMARKET

The Bethesda-Rock Spring office submarket is the third largest in Montgomery County and suburban Maryland, with a total of 4.7 million square feet of office space at the end of 1996. According to Spaulding & Slye, supply has remained flat since the addition of 777,000 square feet during 1993. This supply addition, combined with cutbacks in defense spending that led to defense contractors putting substantial amounts of sublease space on the market in 1994, resulted in negative absorption in 1994 and caused availability to spike briefly to 25.6% at the end of that year. Since then the market has strengthened considerably, absorbing 396,000 square feet during 1995 and a record high 587,000 square feet during 1996, with some of the largest transactions in suburban Maryland in 1996 occurring in this submarket, including Principal Health Care, Wellspring Resources and Host Marriott. With no new supply during this period, the availability rate at the end of 1996 fell to 4.6% and the average asking rent was \$23.00 per square foot.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for office buildings in the Bethesda-Rock Spring office submarket.

Bethesda-Rock Spring Office Submarket Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

	BETHESDA-ROCK SPRING
1992 1993 1994 1995	\$23.00 \$23.00 \$22.00 \$22.75
1996	\$23.00
1992 1993	8.7% 18.8%
1994	25.6%
1995	17.1%
1996	4.6%

Source: Spaulding & Slye

Description of Bethesda-Rock Spring Property

Democracy Center. These Properties are three Class A Office Buildings which contain approximately 680,000 rentable square feet of office (669,098 square feet) and retail (10,902 square feet) space. The complex was designed by the national firm of Skidmore, Ownings & Merrill and reflects the highest architectural standards. In 1985, the complex was voted the "Best Office Complex" by the National Association of Industrial and Office Parks.

The Properties are situated within Rock Spring Park in Bethesda, Maryland, the most prominent and attractive corporate office park in the metropolitan area. The three buildings are located on a carefully landscaped, 15 acre site where they are clustered around a 1 1/2 acre ceremonial plaza. The Properties have extensive frontage along and visibility from Interstate 270, the major thoroughfare in Montgomery County. The

Properties are accessible via METRO rail and bus and are only 30 minutes from Washington National, Dulles International and Baltimore-Washington International Airports.

The three buildings, which were constructed by the Company, were completed in the years 1985, 1986 and 1988. The buildings are steel frame structures with pre-cast concrete exteriors. Two of the buildings are nine stories and the third building is fifteen stories. All three buildings are connected by a below ground public parking garage facility. The two levels in the garage facility, together with the surface parking area immediately adjacent to the complex, provide over 2,000 parking spaces. Primary tenants at these Properties include LMC Properties, Inc. (117,720 square feet), American PCS, L.P. (111,590 square feet) and United States Enrichment Corporation (63,666 square feet).

### GAITHERSBURG I-270 OFFICE SUBMARKET

The Gaithersburg I-270 office submarket consists of 2.9 million square feet with inventory remaining steady since a 76,000 square foot building was completed in 1992. In 1994 this submarket was impacted by the departure of IBM, which previously had maintained a substantial presence in the area, and absorption slumped that year to negative 288,000 square feet with availability spiking to 31.1%. The following year transactions by government contractors led to a sharp turnaround, with record-high absorption of 415,000 square feet in 1995 and further positive absorption in 1996, leading to reduction in the availability rate to 13.8% by the end of 1996 and an upturn in average asking rents from \$17.12 per square foot in 1994 to \$19.40 per square foot in 1996.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for office properties in the Gaithersburg I-270 office submarket.

## [GRAPH APPEARS HERE]

Gaitersburg I-270 Office Submarket Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

GAITHERSBURG
\$19.34
\$19.36
\$17.12
\$17.88
\$19.40
18.4%
21.1%
31.1%
16.6%
13.8%

Source: Spaulding & Style

Description of Gaithersburg I-270 Property

Montvale Center. Montvale Center is a seven-story, Class A Office Building which contains approximately 120,112 net rentable square feet of corporate office and related space. The Property is located in Montgomery County, Maryland, two blocks from the major arterial roads in the County, Route 355 and Interstate 270. The building is located on a 5.8 acre site which has been landscaped to create a wooded, park-like environment. Built by the Company in 1987, the building is a steel frame, brick veneer structure which features a prominent two-story glass and metal panel base and an arcade at the main entrance. Adjacent to the building are 401 parking spaces. A primary tenant at this Property is Integrated Telecom Technology, Inc. (17,000 square feet).

The Fairfax County, Virginia office market had a total of approximately 61.7 million square feet of space at the end of 1996, up only 400,000 square feet over 1992. The Company's Properties in Fairfax County are in office/flex buildings in the Springfield, Virginia submarket which had a total of approximately 5.2 million square feet at the end of 1996 with no increase in supply since 1992. Continued positive absorption during this period reduced the availability rate from 17.9% in 1992 to 7.6% in 1996, and asking rental rates, after falling to \$7.65 per square foot in 1994, have increased substantially to \$9.96 per square foot at the end of 1996.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for office buildings in the Springfield, Virginia flex/office submarket.

## [CHART APPEARS HERE]

Springfield, Virginia Flex/Office Submarket Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

	SPRINGFIELD
1992	\$8.65
1993	\$8.14
1994	\$7.65
1995	\$9.04
1996	\$9.96
1000	47.00/
1992	17.9%
1993	16.7%
1994	16.7%
1995	11.2%
1996	7.6%

0-----

Source: Spaulding & Slye

Description of Fairfax County Properties

The Company's completed Fairfax County, Virginia Office Properties consist of 11 R&D Properties situated within the Company's Virginia 95 Business Park (the "Business Park") located in Springfield, Virginia. The Business Park is approximately fifteen miles from downtown Washington, D.C. The Business Park is situated on Interstate 95, the only highway which provides direct truck access to the downtown area. Only minutes from the Capital Beltway, the major markets of the Greater Washington, D.C. area, including Baltimore, Maryland and Richmond, Virginia, are easily accessible from the Business Park. All of the buildings are steel frame structures with brick cavity exterior walls, except for 8000 Corporate Court, Building Eleven which has concrete, tilt walls.

7601 Boston Boulevard, Building Eight. 7601 Boston Boulevard, Building Eight is a mezzanine style R&D building built by the Company in 1986. Located within the Business Park, the building is situated on a 7.3 acre parcel of land, which includes 328 off-street parking spaces. The building has approximately 103,750 rentable square feet of office (30,000 square feet), computer center (60,000 square feet) and storage (13,750 square feet) space. The building is fully leased to the General Services Administration (for use and occupancy by the United States Customs Service).

7600 Boston Boulevard, Building Nine. 7600 Boston Boulevard, Building Nine is a mezzanine style R&D building located on a 4.32 acre site within the Business Park. Built by the Company in 1987, the building contains approximately 69,832 rentable square feet of office (49,832 square feet), light assembly (15,000 square feet) and storage (5,000 square feet) space. Adjacent to the building are 249 off-street parking spaces. A primary tenant at this Property is ALLNEWSCO., Inc. (27,455 square feet).

7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six. 7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six is a mezzanine style R&D building situated on a 4.7 acre site within the Business Park. The building was built by the Company in 1985 and contains approximately 79,971 rentable square feet of office (34,829 square feet), light assembly (10,000 square feet) and storage (35,142 square feet) space. There are 245 off-street parking spaces adjacent to the building. The Property has one tenant, the General Services Administration (for use and occupancy by the Department of State).

8000 Grainger Court, Building Five. 8000 Grainger Court, Building Five is a mezzanine style R&D building containing approximately 90,885 rentable square feet of office (85,000 square feet) and light assembly (5,885 square feet) space. The building is located on a 6.5 acre site within the Business Park. The building was constructed by the Company in 1984. Adjacent to the building are 347 off-street parking spaces. The Property has two tenants, Lockheed Martin Corporation (57,065 square feet) and Price Waterhouse (33,400 square feet).

7435 Boston Boulevard, Building One. 7435 Boston Boulevard, Building One is a single story, R&D building located within the Business Park. The Property contains approximately 106,242 rentable square feet of office (76,346 square feet) and light assembly (29,896) space. Built by the Company in 1982, the building is located on a 7.48 acre, extensively landscaped site, which includes 314 off-street parking spaces. Primary tenants at this Property include ADT Security Systems, Mid-South, Inc. (23,439 square feet) and Lockheed Martin Corporation (18,350 square feet).

7451 Boston Boulevard, Building Two. 7451 Boston Boulevard, Building Two is a single story, R&D building located on a 5.2 acre site within the Business Park. The building contains approximately 47,001 rentable square feet of office (18,500 square feet) and light assembly (28,916 square feet) space. The building was constructed by the Company in 1982. Adjacent to the building are 166 off-street parking spaces. The building is fully leased to LMC Properties, Inc., a subsidiary of the Lockheed Martin Corporation.

7374 Boston Boulevard, Building Four. 7374 Boston Boulevard, Building Four is a mezzanine style, R&D building located on a 4.2 acre site within the Business Park. The building contains approximately 57,321 rentable square feet of office (40,500 square feet) and warehouse (16,821 square feet) space. There are 207 off-street parking spaces adjacent to the building. Built by the Company in 1984, the building is fully leased to General Services Administration (for use and occupancy by the Department of State).

8000 Corporate Court, Building Eleven. 8000 Corporate Court, Building Eleven is a single story, R&D building which was constructed by the Company in 1989. The building is situated on a five acre parcel of land within the Business Park and contains approximately 52,539 square feet of office (6,000 square feet), production (15,500 square feet) and warehouse (31,039 square feet) space. Adjacent to the building are 120 off-street parking spaces. This Property is entirely leased to InSync Corporation.

7375 Boston Boulevard, Building Ten. 7375 Boston Boulevard, Building Ten is a two-story, R&D building situated on a 2.8 acre parcel of land within the Business Park. The building was constructed by the Company in 1988 and contains approximately 26,865 rentable square feet of office (21,265 square feet) and restaurant (5,600 square feet) space. There are 157 off-street parking spaces adjacent to the building. Primary tenants at this Property include the General Services Administration (for use and occupancy by the United States Customs Service) (11,398 square feet) and Boston Cafe (5,600 square feet).

# MIDTOWN MANHATTAN OFFICE MARKET

New York City is a world renowned business capital and cultural center, with service and retail industries driving its economy. New York remains the nation's leader in financial services and attracts international transactions and global businesses. A major gateway to the United States, its extensive transportation infrastructure includes three domestic and international airports, premier port and rail services and the nation's largest mass transit system.

Despite increasing costs, New York City's economy has remained competitive in the areas of retail/wholesale trade and business services, which combine for over one-half of the City's employment base.

The services sector, particularly financial, legal, public relations and other business service industries, continue to be areas of growth. The employment base of this sector has increased by eight percent, or 87,000 net new jobs, during the past five years. This sector also provides high wage jobs which have contributed to the high level of consumption-based activity in the City's economy over the past several years.

Largely a result of growing opportunities in the services and retail/wholesale trade sectors, the unemployment rate in New York City has recovered steadily during the past five years. The City's unemployment rate has fallen from 11.0% in 1992 to 8.8% in 1996. This overall increase in employment has combined with a trend to locational preference for Midtown Manhattan as compared to the Downtown/Wall Street area for office-based employers, leading to falling vacancy rates and increasing rent levels in this market area.

According to information provided by Insignia/ESG, the Midtown Manhattan market in 1996 consisted of 194.6 million square feet of space, with supply up 3.1 million square feet (1.6%) over 1992 and absorption of 8.6 million square feet in the same period. The resulting net reduction in supply correlates with a decline in the availability rate (space currently vacant becoming available within 12 months directly or on sublease and additions to supply) from 1992 to 1996 from 16.5% to 13.4% in Midtown and an increase in asking rent from \$32.19 per square foot to \$33.31 per square foot over the same period.

### Park Avenue Submarket

The Company's Property in New York City, the 1 million square foot Class A Office Building at 599 Lexington Avenue, is located within the Park Avenue submarket of the midtown Manhattan market area. The Park Avenue submarket, with 25.6 million square feet of office space in 1996 (an increase of only 200,000 square feet over 1992), is characterized by higher rent levels and lower availability rates than midtown Manhattan generally and has also seen greater improvement during the past five years. During the period 1992-96 the availability rate in this submarket declined from 15.1% to 11.4% and the average asking rent increased from \$40.36/square foot to \$44.40/square foot. The Company has maintained its Property in this submarket at very high occupancy rates throughout this period.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for office buildings in the Park Avenue office submarket.

[GRAPH APPEARS HERE]

Park Avenue Office Submarket Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

	PARK AVENUE
1992	\$40.36
1993	\$41.09
1994	\$42.98
1995	\$44.13
1996	\$44.40
1992	15.1%
1993	13.1%
1994	8.2%
1995	12.5%
1996	11.4%

Source: Insignia/ESG

599 Lexington Avenue. 599 Lexington Avenue is a 50-story, 1 million square foot Class A Office Building that occupies the entire blockfront on the east side of Lexington Avenue between 52nd and 53rd Streets, directly across 53rd Street from Citicorp Center. The building was completed by the Company in 1984. Designed by architect Edward Larrabee Barnes, 599 Lexington Avenue has a finely detailed aluminum and glass curtain wall exterior and rises to its 653 foot height through a series of distinctive geometric setbacks. The building sits on a 45,000 square foot site including a triangular plaza in front of its main entrance facing the corner of 53rd Street and Lexington Avenue that includes an entrance to the City subway system providing direct access to two separate subway lines. The 50-foot tall glass-fronted marble lobby showcases a major three dimensional work by American artist Frank Stella. The ground floor of the building has approximately 24,500 square feet of retail space fronting on Lexington Avenue and 52nd and 53rd Streets. Approximately 80% of the 985,500 rentable square feet of office space is on virtually column-free floors of 21,000 square feet or more, which the Company believes enables tenants to house their operations with an unusually high level of efficiency. The building's setbacks at its upper levels provides a series of floors of 15,750 and then 7,600 square feet that can offer high visibility for small and medium-size tenancies on a multi-tenant or full floor occupancy basis.

Three tenants at the Property occupy approximately 61% of the net rentable square feet in the aggregate. As of December 31, 1996, Shearman & Sterling, a national law firm, leased 355,849 net rentable square feet (approximately 36% of the net rentable square feet) pursuant to a lease which expires August 31, 2007. Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue, a national law firm, leased 144,289 net rentable square feet (approximately 14% of the net rentable square feet) pursuant to a lease which expires February 28, 2002. Citibank, N.A., a national bank, leased 114,350 square feet (approximately 11% of the net rentable square feet) pursuant to a lease which expires on December 31, 2002.

The average Escalated Rent per square foot of 599 Lexington Avenue for the years ended December 31, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996 was \$49.19, \$53.20, \$53.35, \$53.06, and \$52.22, respectively. The occupancy rate of the Property for each of such years was 99.2%, 100.0%, 97.2%, 99.7%, and 99.5%, respectively.

The aggregate tax basis of depreciable real property at 599 Lexington Avenue for federal income tax purposes was \$138.8 million as of December 31, 1996. Depreciation is computed on the straight-line method over the estimated life of the real property which range from 18 to 39 years. The aggregate tax basis of depreciable personal property associated with 599 Lexington Avenue for federal income tax purposes was \$6.0 million as of December 31, 1996. Depreciation is computed on the straight-line and double declining balance methods over the estimated useful life of the personal property of five or seven years. For the tax year ending June 30, 1997, 599 Lexington Avenue was taxed by the Borough of Manhattan at a rate equal to \$10.25 per \$100 of assessed value, resulting in a total tax for such period equal to \$10,819,961.

The Property is subject to a mortgage as set forth under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations--Mortgage Indebtedness." Such mortgage is not prepayable. The mortgage lender has an option to purchase, at the maturity of the mortgage, a 33.33% interest in the Property in exchange for cancellation of the outstanding balance of the mortgage (which option, if exercised, would ascribe an implied value of approximately \$675.0 million to the Property as a whole).

For information concerning the expiration of leases with respect to 599 Lexington Avenue, see "Business and Properties--Tenants--Lease Expirations of Office and Industrial Properties."

In the Company's opinion 599 Lexington Avenue is adequately covered by insurance.

Other than normally recurring capital expenditures, the Company has no plans with respect to material renovation, improvement or redevelopment of 599 Lexington Avenue.

## THE INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES

The Company owns nine Industrial Properties aggregating a total of approximately 925,000 net rentable square feet. Typically, these Properties are located in business or Industrial parks near major freeways. At December 31, 1996, the aggregate occupancy rate for the Industrial Properties was 78%.

### Route 128 SW Submarket

The Route 128 SW Industrial submarket consists of four towns, Westwood, Dedham, Canton, and Needham, Massachusetts. Supply has remained flat at 4.9 million square feet during 1992-1996. Spaulding & Slye indicates that the submarket has experienced a steady recovery over the past five years. Its availability rate has decreased from 26.3% in 1992 to 6.3% in 1996, its lowest since 5.5% in 1986. Currently, there is 316,000 square feet of available space in the submarket.

Following low absorption levels of 43,000 square feet in 1992 and a negative 18,000 square feet in 1993, absorption in the Route 128 SW submarket increased to 373,000 square feet in 1994, which was followed by a record high level of 410,000 square feet in 1995. With the tightening of the submarket in the first quarter of 1996, combined with limited opportunities for tenants, the absorption level decreased during the year to 221,000 square feet.

In the Route 128 SW submarket of Greater Boston, the Company has two Industrial Properties.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for the industrial properties in the Route 128 SW industrial submarket.

## [CHART APPEARS HERE]

Route 128 SW Industrial Submarket Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

	RT. 128 SW INDUSTRIAL
1992	\$5.47
1993	\$4.66
1994	\$5.62
1995	\$5.56
1996	\$7.08
1992	26.3%
1993	26.6%
1994	19.1%
1995	10.8%
1996	6.3%

Source: Spaulding & Slye

40-46 Harvard Street. 40-46 Harvard Street is a warehousing and distribution facility located in Westwood, Massachusetts. The building contains approximately 139,839 rentable square feet of warehouse space on the first level and approximately 29,439 rentable square feet of office space on the mezzanine level which overlooks the warehouse. Located so as to service major arteries, the Property is situated one-quarter mile from Route 128 and one-half mile from Interstate 95. Built in 1967, the building is a steel frame, brick wall on concrete masonry structure. 171 parking spaces are available on the premises. The primary tenant at this Property is Output Technologies, Inc. (128,105 square feet).

25-33 Dartmouth Street. 25-33 Dartmouth Street is a single story, multipurpose facility located in Westwood, Massachusetts, one-quarter mile from Route 128. The Property is part of a large research and development and warehousing park and contains approximately 78,045 square feet of rentable space suitable for office, research and development or warehouse use. The building is situated on a 5.58 acre parcel of land, which includes 189 parking spaces. Built in 1966, the building is a steel frame, brick wall on concrete masonry structure. The primary tenant at this Property is SkyRock Services Corporation (56,747 square feet).

PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY MARYLAND/LANDOVER-CHEVERLY INDUSTRIAL SUBMARKET

The Central Prince George's County, Maryland industrial market includes a total of approximately 10.7 million square feet of space. This submarket has remained relatively stable over the past five years, with vacancy at 4.8% in 1992 and 5.1% in 1996, fluctuating below those levels during that period. Asking rents have increased moderately from \$4.25 per square foot in 1992 to \$4.55 per square foot in 1996.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for the industrial properties in the Landover/Cheverly, Maryland industrial submarket.

### [GRAPH APPEARS HERE]

Landover/Cheverly Maryland Industrial Submarket
Average Quoted Market Rent &
Availability Rate

	LANDOVE
1992	\$4.25
1993	\$4.25
1994	\$4.50
1995	\$4.50
1996	\$4.55
1992	4.8%
1993	1.4%
1994	3.3%
1995	0.9%
1996	5.1%

Source: The Michael Companies, Inc.

Description of Landover/Cheverly Maryland Submarket Properties

The Company has three Industrial Properties in this submarket. All of these Properties are located in Maryland 50 Industrial Park (the "Industrial Park") in Landover, Maryland, which was developed by the Company. The location of the Industrial Park is a well-situated "hub" for Greater Washington, D.C. The Industrial Park is less than one mile from Route 50 which provides direct access to downtown Washington. In addition, the Industrial Park is an established stop on the METRO bus line and is less than one mile from a METRO rail station.

6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two. 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two is a single story, light assembly and distribution building located on a 6.5 acre, extensively landscaped site within the Industrial Park. The Property contains approximately 99,885 rentable square feet of office (12,000 square feet), warehouse (77,885 square feet) and service (10,000 square feet) space. The building is a steel frame, concrete tilt-wall structure which was built by the Company in 1986. There are 248 off-street parking spaces adjacent to the building. The primary tenants at this Property include Circuit City Stores, Inc. (34,863 square feet) and Safeway, Inc.

2000 South Club Drive, Building Three. 2000 South Club Drive, Building Three is a single story, office and distribution building situated on a 6.88 acre, extensively landscaped parcel of land within the Industrial Park. The building is a steel frame, concrete tilt-wall structure which contains approximately 83,608 rentable square feet of warehouse (78,608 square feet) and office (5,000 square feet) space. The building was constructed by the

Company in 1988. Adjacent to the building are 173 off-street parking spaces. This Property has as its sole tenant The National Gallery of Art.

1950 Stanford Court, Building One. 1950 Stanford Court, Building One is a single story, office and distribution building situated on a 3.4 acre, extensively landscaped site within the Industrial Park. Built by the Company in 1986, the building is a steel frame, concrete tilt-wall structure, which contains both office (5,000 square feet) and warehouse (48,250 square feet) space. Adjacent to the building are 91 off-street parking spaces. The primary tenant at this Property is Federal Express Corporation (32,750 square feet).

### GREATER SAN FRANCISCO INDUSTRIAL MARKET

The Company's Industrial Properties in Greater San Francisco are located in two submarkets, North Peninsula and Hayward/Union City. (Industrial space rents in this market area are quoted on a monthly rather than an annual basis.)

### NORTHERN PENINSULA INDUSTRIAL SUBMARKET

The Northern Peninsula submarket has a total of approximately 24.3 million square feet of space in South San Francisco, Brisbane, San Bruno and Burlingame. According to CB Commercial, consistent positive absorption of space between 1992-95 brought the availability rate down from 12.1% to 9.1% accompanied by the start of increasing rent levels. Absorption increased sharply to 950,000 square feet in 1996 with availability dropping to 5.1%, accompanied by the start of a more significant increase in rental levels which the Company expects to continue following the pattern of rent level increases lagging the rate of availability decline.

The following graphs provide information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for industrial properties in the Northern Peninsula industrial submarket, for each of warehouse/office and incubator space. (Rents in this submarket are quoted on a monthly basis but are shown annualized in the graph for ease of comparability.)

## [CHART APPEARS HERE]

Northern Peninsula Industrial Submarket Warehouse/Office Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

	N. PENINSULA
1992	\$4.20
1993	\$4.32
1994	\$4.56
1995	\$4.80
1996	\$5.40
1992	12.1%
1993	11.0%
1994	10.7%
1995	9.1%
1996	5.1%

Note: Average asking rents in California are typically quoted on a monthly basis. In this case, the average asking rents have been annualized.

Source: CB Commercial

### [GRAPH APPEARS HERE]

Northern Peninsula Industrial Submarket Incubator Space Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

N. PENINSULA II	NCUBATOR
-----------------	----------

1992	\$7.20
1993	\$7.20
1994	\$7.32
1995	\$7.44
1996	\$7.68
1992	12.1%
1993	11.0%
1994	10.7%
1995	9.1%
1996	5.1%

Note: Average asking rents in California are typically quoted on a monthly basis. In this case, the monthly average asking rents have been

annualized. Source: CB Commercial

Description of Northern Peninsula Submarket Properties

The Company has three Properties in this submarket, all located in the Company's master planned Hilltop Industrial Park development (the "Industrial Park") in South San Francisco, California. Approximately twenty minutes south of downtown San Francisco, the Industrial Park is accessible from two interchanges off the Bayshore Freeway. Hotels, shopping and public transportation, as well as San Francisco International Airport, are easily accessible from the Industrial Park. The Properties at 560 Forbes Boulevard and 430 Rozzi Place described below provide space for tenants seeking warehouse and distribution facilities with related office space. The third Property, Hilltop Business Center, is easily subdividable down to relatively small space increments and meets tenant requirements for "incubator space" i such buildings which, according to CB Commercial, commands rent levels 50% or more higher than larger size warehouse/distribution spaces.

Hilltop Business Center. These Properties comprise a nine building office and warehouse complex located on a fully landscaped 14.157 acre site in the Industrial Park. The Properties contain approximately 144,579 aggregate rentable square feet and 568 parking spaces. Constructed in the early 1970's, all of the buildings are one-story structures with painted concrete, tilt-up panel exteriors. Primary tenants at these Properties include Bionike Technologies, Inc. (10,819 square feet), RJT Express, Inc. (5,000 square feet) and ABC Building Services, Inc. (4,500 square feet).

560 Forbes Boulevard. 560 Forbes Boulevard is an industrial and office building situated on a 5.476 acre parcel of land in the Industrial Park. The Property contains approximately 40,000 rentable square feet and 30 parking spaces. Built in the early 1970's, the building has painted concrete, tilt-up panel exterior walls. The Property has one tenant, Graphics Arts Center, Inc.

430 Rozzi Place. 430 Rozzi Place is a single story, office and Industrial building with approximately 20,000 rentable square feet. The building is situated on a 3.2 acre parcel of developed industrial land in the Industrial Park. There are ten parking spaces available on the premises. The building was constructed in the early 1970's and has a painted concrete, tilt-up panel exterior. This Property has one tenant, See's Candies, Inc.

# HAYWARD/UNION CITY INDUSTRIAL SUBMARKET

Substantial absorption of space during 1992-96 has resulted in a drop in the vacancy rate from 14.4% to 4.1% and a significant increase in asking rent levels even as there were additions to supply in the last two years. According to CB Commercial, supply was flat at approximately 22.0 million square feet during 1992-94 while an average of 442,000 square feet were absorbed each year during the first two years of that period increasing to

882,000 absorbed in 1994. During 1995 there was net absorption of 420,000 square feet on top of absorption of 497,000 square feet of new supply--i.e., total absorption of existing plus new supply of approximately 917,000 square feet--and this rose further to net absorption in 1996 of 1,399,000 square feet in a year in which 647,000 square feet was added to supply. Average asking rent (quoted in this market on a monthly basis) on a triple-net basis increased from \$.24 per square foot in 1992 to \$.33 per square foot in 1996 reflecting this significant reduction in available space.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for industrial properties in the Hayward/Union City submarket. Rents in this submarket are quoted on a monthly basis but are shown annualized in the graph for ease of comparability.

## [CHART APPEARS HERE]

Hayward/Union City Industrial Submarket Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

	HAYWARD
1992	\$2.88
1993	\$3.12
1994	\$3.12
1995	\$3.60
1996	\$4.08
1992	13.4%
1993	11.4%
1994	7.3%
1995	7.5%
1996	4.1%

Note: Average asking rents in California are typically shown on a monthly basis. In this case, the average asking rents have been annualized. Source: CB Commercial

Description of Hayward/Union City Submarket Property

2391 West Winton Avenue. The Company's fourth Industrial Property in the San Francisco area is 2391 West Winton Avenue, a single story, industrial building which also offers mezzanine office space. The Property is located in Hayward, California, across the bay from San Francisco and just four miles from the Oakland Airport. The Property is part of the Company's planned Hayward Industrial Park development. The Property contains approximately 221,000 rentable square feet and 257 parking spaces. Constructed in 1974, the building is situated on a 9.74 acre parcel of land and has a painted concrete, tilt-up panel exterior. This Property has one tenant, Viking Office Products, Inc.

# LOWER BUCKS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA INDUSTRIAL MARKET

The Lower Bucks County industrial market totals approximately 18.5 million square feet of space and experienced significantly high vacancy rates in the beginning of the 1990's, but net absorption of 2.3 million square feet during 1993-96, plus absorption of approximately 600,000 square feet of additional supply, brought the availability rate down to 8.8% at the end of 1996.

The following graph provides information regarding availability rates and average asking rental rates at year end for each of the years from 1992 through 1996 for industrial properties in the Lower Bucks County industrial market.

[GRAPH APPEARS HERE]

Lower Bucks County Industrial Market Average Quoted Market Rent & Availability Rate

	LOWER BUCKS
1992	\$2.50
1993	\$2.50
1994	\$3.50
1995	\$3.45
1996	\$3.45
1992	18.2%
1993	18.9%
1994	5.3%
1995	4.7%
1996	8.8%

Source: The Flynn Company

Description of Bucks County, Pennsylvania Property

The Company has one Industrial Property in the Bucks County, Pennsylvania market, 38 Cabot Boulevard. 38 Cabot Boulevard is a single story, industrial building located in Bucks County, Pennsylvania, approximately thirty miles northeast of Philadelphia. The Property contains approximately 161,000 rentable square feet. The building is located on a 9.4 acre parcel of developed industrial land. The building, which has a painted, concrete panel exterior, was originally built in 1972. In 1984, the Company completed an expansion building which added 61,000 rentable square feet to the Property. This Property has one tenant, J.I. Case Company.

## THE HOTEL PROPERTIES

The Company owns two Hotel Properties in the Greater Boston area, one in downtown Boston on the Boston Harbor waterfront and one in East Cambridge that is part of the Company's Cambridge Center development. Both hotels are operated by Marriott under the Marriott(R) name. In order to assist the Company in maintaining its qualifications as a REIT under federal tax law, following the Offering the Company will lease the Hotel Properties, pursuant to separate leases with a participation in the gross receipts of the Hotel Properties, to a lessee that is controlled by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde. Messrs. Zuckerman Linde will have a 9.8% economic interest in such lessee and one or more unaffiliated public charities will have a 90.2% economic interest. Marriott(R) will continue to operate the Hotel Properties under the Marriott name pursuant to management agreements with ZL Hotel LLC.

## GREATER BOSTON HOTEL MARKET

Over the past five years the Greater Boston hotel market has consistently ranked as one of the strongest lodging markets in the country, with high occupancy and average room rates resulting in revenues per available room ("REVPAR", the hotel industry standard of comparison) significantly higher than average. In 1996, according to Horwath Landauer/Smith Travel Research, the Greater Boston hotel market supply of approximately 34,500 rooms had an overall occupancy rate of 73.5% and an average room rate of \$105.51, ranking fourth in both of these categories out of the top 25 markets nationwide.

The strength of this market reflects the broad base of room demand in Boston as a national and international business, tourist and meeting destination. Business growth in Boston during 1992-96 has been strong as reflected in falling office vacancy rates and unemployment rates (see "--The Office Properties--Greater Boston Office Market"). Boston has grown steadily as a national and international tourist destination, with total visitors to Boston reaching a record 10.6 million in 1996 according to the Boston Convention and Tourist Bureau, up 21% over 1992. And Boston is an important meeting and convention site, ranked as a "first-tier" convention city even though as a result of the limited size of exhibition space available in its Hynes Convention Center it does not rank in the top 30 in the amount of prime exhibit space in its principal convention facility. The City and State are presently working on plans for a new convention center with an estimated cost of approximately \$700 million targeted to have a total of 592,000 square feet of exhibit space, which would more than triple the 193,000 square feet currently available in the Hynes (Interim Report on the Trade & Convention Center, City of Boston & Commonwealth of Massachusetts, January 1997).

### BOSTON/CAMBRIDGE HOTEL SUBMARKET

The Company's completed Hotel Properties are located in downtown Boston and in East Cambridge, the latter directly across the Longfellow Bridge from Boston. The Boston/Cambridge lodging market, at the core of the metropolitan area, has a total of approximately 13,371 rooms and achieves higher occupancy and room rates than the Greater Boston market as a whole, with resulting higher REVPAR, as indicated in the following table which indicates the performance of that market during 1992-96:

## BOSTON/CAMBRIDGE HOTEL SUBMARKET, 1992-1996

	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
Occupancy  Average Daily Rate  REVPAR  Percent Change  Available Room Supply.  Percent Change	\$115.25 \$ 82.41 13,069	\$118.75 \$ 88.59 7.5% 13,112	\$126.75 \$ 96.92 9.4% 13,224	\$133.00 \$102.88 6.1%	\$143.25 \$111.84 8.7% 13,371

Source: Pinnacle Advisory Group

New additions to the Boston hotel market are underway and anticipated and if the proposed new Convention Center is constructed further additions to supply are expected. The Company believes that business, tourist and convention and meeting-driven demand will increase as well, supported by major transportation infrastructure improvements currently underway including the \$10.4 billion Central Artery/Ted Williams Tunnel project (which will improve access to downtown Boston and Logan International Airport and the urban quality of downtown Boston) and the \$1.2 billion Logan 2000 program (the modernization and facility expansion of Logan International Airport). The Company also believes that because of their excellent locations and the advantages of Marriott(R) brand strength and marketing programs and management, its Hotel Properties will continue to perform strongly and benefit directly from such growth in overall demand.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANY'S HOTEL PROPERTIES

The two completed Hotel Properties have the following characteristics:

Long Wharf Marriott(R) Hotel. The 402 room Long Wharf Marriott(R) Hotel is an eight-story building located directly on the Boston Harbor waterfront. The hotel opened in March of 1982. The interior-corridor, atrium-style structure has a shape which is reminiscent of a ship, and the vast majority of guest rooms overlook either the waterfront or downtown Boston. Surrounding land uses consist of Boston Harbor to the east, the New England Aquarium to the south, Faneuil Hall Marketplace across Atlantic Avenue to the west and Columbus Waterfront Park to the north. The hotel is within easy walking distance of the heart of the business and financial district and most of Boston's major attractions, such as the Aquarium, Faneuil Hall, Downtown Crossing, the Old State House, the Fleet Center and Boston Common. The hotel has been operated as a Marriott(R) since its

opening, pursuant to a management agreement with Marriott(R) and has consistently achieved occupancy, average room rate and REVPAR levels among the highest of all Marriott(R) hotels. For the year ended December 31, 1996, the Long Wharf Marriott(R) Hotel had an occupancy rate of 85.7%, an ADR of \$201.18 and REVPAR of \$173.01.

Cambridge Center Marriott Hotel. The 431 room Cambridge Center Marriott(R) Hotel is a 25-story building located in Kendall Square, Cambridge. The hotel opened in September 1986. The hotel is the centerpiece of the Cambridge Center development, an office and mixed-use development with 1.7 million square feet of rentable space, including the hotel and five other office and R&D buildings owned by the Company. For more information regarding Cambridge Center, see "--The Office Properties--Greater Boston Office Market--East Cambridge Submarket--Description of East Cambridge Properties." The hotel is in the heart of Kendall square and is adjacent to the MIT campus. The hotel is easily accessible by public transportation connecting directly to downtown Boston (two rapid transit stops to the east) and Harvard Square in Cambridge (two stops to the west). The hotel has been operated as a Marriott(R) since its opening, pursuant to a management agreement with Marriott(R). For the year ended December 31, 1996, the Cambridge Center Marriott Hotel had an occupancy rate of 82.6%, an ADR of \$150.52 and REVPAR of \$123.58.

MARRIOTT(R) IS A REGISTERED TRADEMARK OF MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC., WHICH HAS NOT ENDORSED OR APPROVED THE OFFERING OR ANY OF THE FINANCIAL RESULTS OF THE HOTELS SET FORTH IN THIS PROSPECTUS. INVESTORS IN THE COMPANY WILL NOT RECEIVE AN INTEREST IN MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

### THE DEVELOPMENT PROPERTIES

The Company is currently developing the following seven properties for the Company's ownership:

BDM International Building and Phase II Building. The BDM International Building is an approximately 312,000 square foot, 12-story, Class A Office Building located in Reston, Virginia. The Reston market is an active area of expansion for the rapidly growing Northern Virginia computer, technology, and telecommunications industries. The Company is developing this property through its joint venture with Westbrook. The Company owns a 25.0% interest in the BDM International building, which economic interest may be increased above 25.0% depending upon the achievement of certain performance objectives. Completion of the BDM International Building is scheduled for February of 1999. Approximately 225,000 square feet of development is pre-leased to BDM International ("BDM") for a term of twelve years, and the Company is currently negotiating with BDM for BDM to lease an additional 84,000 square feet of office space. BDM International has expressed interest in leasing an additional 84,000 square feet (the building's remaining 3,000 square feet are ground-floor retail space). Associated with the development of the new headquarters for BDM International, the Company is also constructing a second, six story, 126,500 net rentable square feet building on the site. This building will be developed without a pre-leasing commitment in response to the significant unsatisfied demand for office space in the Reston, Virginia market. Parking (1548 spaces) for both the BDM International Building and the Phase II Building will be provided on-site in surface lots and a four story parking deck. Delivery of the Phase II building is scheduled for December

201 Spring Street. 201 Spring Street is a 102,000 net rentable square foot, Class A Office Building located in Lexington, Massachusetts, in the Route 128 NW submarket of Greater Boston. This building will be adjacent to the Company's existing Class A Office Building at 191 Spring Street. Completion of 201 Spring Street is scheduled for September, 1997. The building is currently 100% committed to Continental Cablevision under a letter of intent and lease negotiations are presently underway.

7700 Boston Boulevard, Building Twelve and 7501 Boston Boulevard, Building Seven. On land owned by the Company in its Virginia-95 Office Park, the Company is in the process of completing two build-to-suit projects. These two R&D Properties contain approximately 75,756 and 80,514 rentable square feet, respectively. 7501 Boston Boulevard, Building Seven is being developed by the Company for the General Services Administration (specifically for use by the United States Customs Service). 7700 Boston Boulevard Building Twelve will be the headquarters of Autometric, Inc. and has expansion potential for another 40,000 square feet

of space. Both buildings are scheduled for completion in late 1997. 7700 Boston Boulevard, Building Twelve and 7501 Boston Boulevard, Building Seven are entirely pre-leased to the General Services Administration and Autometric, Inc. for terms of 10 and 15 years, respectively.

Sugarland Buildings One and Two. These single story office/flex buildings on extensively landscaped sites are located in the Sugarland Office Complex in Herndon, Virginia, within one mile of Reston Town Center and in the midst of the Reston-Herndon-Dulles high-technology area. Building One, constructed in 1985, contains approximately 52,533 net rentable square feet and is on a 4.67 acre parcel with 297 parking spaces. Building Two, also constructed in 1985, contains approximately 59,585 net rentable square feet and is on a 4.93 acre parcel with 234 parking spaces. The Company purchased the buildings vacant in 1996, made improvements to them and has approximately 72,000 square feet of the total of 112,161 net rentable square feet committed under signed leases or letters of intent with leases in negotiation.

### DEVELOPMENT PARCELS

The Company expects that a significant portion of its future growth will come through development and redevelopment projects. For development opportunities, the Company seeks vacant land in desirable markets including, where appropriate, where it can add value by overcoming adverse zoning regulations or by locating tenants who will work with the Company towards a "build-to-suit" or significant pre-lease arrangement. The Company believes that its reputation in its current markets for developing properties for its own account and others will aid it in working with tenants on a "build-tosuit" or pre-lease basis. In addition to the seven Development Properties (See "--Summary Property Data" and "--The Office Properties--The Development Properties"), at the completion of the Offering the Company will own, have under contract, or have an option to develop or acquire six parcels consisting of an aggregate of 47.4 acres of land. The Company believes that this land, some of which needs zoning or other regulatory approvals prior to development, will be able to support an aggregate of approximately 1.0 million square feet of development. The following chart provides additional information with respect to the undeveloped parcels.

LOCATION	SUBMARKET	NO. OF PARCELS	ACREAGE	DEVELOPABLE SQUARE FEET(1)
Springfield, VA Lexington, MA Cambridge, MA Andover, MA	Fairfax County, VA Route 128 NW East Cambridge, MA Route 495 N	3 1 1 1	9.4 6.8 4.2 27.0	130,000 50,000 539,000 290,000
Total		6	47.4	1,009,000

Represents the total square feet of development or additional development that the parcel(s) will support.

# PROPOSED DEVELOPMENTS

The Company is currently pursuing a number of proposed development projects, including:

Cambridge Center Marriott(R) Residence Inn. Subject to obtaining necessary government approvals and resolving certain business matters, the Company intends to develop a 221 room limited-service Residence Inn by Marriott(R) on a site on the West Parcel at Cambridge Center (see "--The Office Properties--East Cambridge Submarket--Description of East Cambridge Properties"). Marriott(R)'s Residence Inn is an extended-stay Hotel Development. This property is subject, among other contingencies, to obtaining required approvals, permits, rezoning and negotiation of a management agreement with Marriott(R) International, which currently manages the two Hotel Properties owned by the Company.

Reston Joint Venture. The Company is currently working with Westbrook on the development of a 370,000 square foot office building in Reston, Virginia, 60% pre-committed to a national consulting firm, in which the Company would own a joint venture interest.

There can be no assurances that the Company will ultimately develop either of the above proposed developments.

# DEVELOPMENT CONSULTING AND THIRD PARTY PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

#### DEVELOPMENT CONSULTING

Because commercial real estate development is a highly complex and specialized business, many corporate and government entities that decide to develop a property primarily for their own use seek a development and project manager to assist with the design and execution of the project. The Company has found development consulting and project management to be a desirable way to leverage the Company's extensive experience in project and construction management, marketing, leasing, finance, governmental relations, tax, real estate law, and accounting. The Company's engagement in this type of activity has three distinct attractions:

- . Development consulting and project management can be a significant source of revenue that requires little incremental investment by the Company. To support the Company's own activities, the Company's offices in Boston and Washington, D.C. are staffed with professionals who are able to provide the full range of services needed for project design and execution. By taking on third party projects, the Company is able to fully utilize the talents of those individuals and add to their experience and knowledge base.
- . In addition to being a profitable source of revenue, the Company has achieved significant recognition in its primary markets for successful oversight of high-visibility projects. The Company believes that such recognition has added to the Company's credibility when bidding for build-to-suit projects or attempting to significantly pre-lease a project under construction.
- . The Company has been successful at retaining clients at the end of third-party development projects and becoming the property manager for the completed project. These property management engagements are excellent sources of incremental revenues without the need for large investment or risk.

The Company provided significant development consulting and project management in connection with the following projects:

Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, Washington, D.C. Completed in 1992, this approximately 1.0 million square foot office building houses the Administrative Office of the United States Courts. The Company was selected after a public competition to provide comprehensive services to the Architect of the Capitol under a fee-for-services contract. Design and construction were completed on schedule in 37 months and the final cost was 7% below budget. The project, which the Company still manages under contract, received the 1995 Federal Government Design Award.

Health Care Financing Administration ("HCFA"), Woodlawn, Maryland. The Company and its co-developer, chosen over five other teams, designed and built the 920,000 square foot headquarters of HCFA on a 60-acre campus in Woodlawn, Maryland. The project was completed on time in 32 months and 8% under the approved budget amount.

The Acacia Mutual Life Building, Washington, D.C. The Company is acting as development manager for this project, which involves the substantial redevelopment of a 200,000 square foot, 2-building complex. Acacia Mutual Life Insurance Company, the owner of the building, selected the Company to oversee the design, financing and construction of the interior and parking structure. The law firm of Jones, Day, Reavis and Pogue has leased the complex as their new Washington, D.C. headquarters and will be occupying the building beginning in mid-1999.

National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. The Company is acting as development manager for a new Clinical Research Center for the National Institutes of Health at its Bethesda, Maryland campus. The Company was selected by the General Services Administration in 1995 to provide this service from among four competitors. Scheduled for completion in the year 2002, the Clinical Research Center will contain approximately 850,000 square feet.

90 Church Street, New York, New York. The Company is acting as development consultant to the United States Postal Service (the "USPS") for the redevelopment of 90 Church Street. The base of the 15-story building will continue to be used as a United States Postal Service mail processing facility, but the tower portion is being renovated for new tenants who have already committed to occupy almost all of the building's available space. The Company is also master lessee of the building and as such is responsible for the daily operation of the building and all construction work in the building and acts as exclusive leasing agent.

Beth Israel Research Lab, Boston, Massachusetts. In 1992 Boston's Beth Israel Hospital retained the Company as development manager for the conversion of a 96,000 square foot former warehouse into a modern research laboratory facility. The Company established the project budget, supervised design, developed a fast-track schedule, hired and supervised the general contractor and delivered the facility for first occupancy only 20 months after getting the assignment.

Medical Information Technology ("Meditech") Headquarters, Norwood, Massachusetts. The Company served as Development Manager for Meditech on the development of a four building corporate campus on a 60-acre property in Norwood, Massachusetts. Approvals were obtained for a master plan which preserves open space and an existing nine hole golf course.

### THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

The Company generally does not provide third-party property management services, but the Company has been willing to accept property management engagements in certain cases where the Company had a pre-existing relationship with a major tenant or client for whom the Company provided development services. In Greater Washington, D.C., the Company manages four properties for third parties and earns gross revenues of approximately \$640,000 per year. The Company served as development and project manager for all of these properties.

## PARTIAL INTERESTS

Upon completion of the Offering, the Company will own less than a 100.0% fee interest in 14 of the Properties. The Company will own a 25.0% limited liability company membership interest in a two-building complex (one building of which is leased entirely to BDM International) in Reston, Virginia, which the Company is currently developing in partnership with Westbrook Partners, LLC. The Company's economic interest in this property may be increased above 25.0%, depending upon the achievement of certain performance objectives. The Company will own a 75.0% partnership interest and will be the sole general partner of the limited partnership that will own 100.0% of the fee interest in Montvale Center in Gaithersburg, Maryland. Because of the priority of the Company's 75.0% partnership interest, the Company expects to receive substantially all of any partnership distributions that are made with respect to this property. The Company will own a 35.7% controlling general partnership interest in the nine Hilltop Business Center properties, 560 Forbes Boulevard in South San Francisco, California and 430 Rozzi Place in South San Francisco, California.

## ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Some of the Properties are located in urban and industrial areas where fill or current or historical industrial uses of the areas may have caused site contamination at the Properties. Within the past 12 months, independent environmental consultants were retained to conduct or update Phase I environmental assessments (which generally do not involve invasive techniques such as soil or ground water sampling) on all of the Properties. These environmental assessments have not revealed any environmental conditions that the Company believes will have a material adverse effect on its business, assets or results of operations, and the Company is not aware of any other environmental condition with respect to any of the Properties which the Company believes would have such a material adverse effect.

With respect to 17 Hartwell Avenue in Lexington, Massachusetts, the Company received a Notice of Potential Responsibility ("NOR") from the state regulatory authority on January 9, 1997, related to groundwater contamination. In addition, the Company received a Notice of Downgradient Property Status Submittal from each

of two third parties concerning alleged contamination at two downgradient properties. 17 Hartwell Avenue is a 30,000 square foot office building occupied by Kendall Company, a division of Tyco International, which has been the tenant of the entire building for 20 years. The tenant received a similar NOR and has responded to the state regulatory authority that it will conduct an investigation. The lease with the tenant contains a provision pursuant to which the tenant indemnifies the Company against such liability. The Company has notified the state regulatory authority that it will cooperate with and monitor the tenant's investigation.

On January 15, 1992, 91 Hartwell Avenue in Lexington, Massachusetts was listed by the state regulatory authority as an unclassified Confirmed Disposal Site in connection with groundwater contamination. 91 Hartwell Avenue is a 122,328 square foot office building occupied by five tenants. A health risk assessment conducted in 1991 by an environmental consultant concluded that contamination at the property does not pose a human health hazard, and a letter to the state regulatory authority on August 26, 1992 concluded that no further remedial response action is necessary at the site. With respect to the 1992 listing, the Company has engaged a specially licensed environmental consultant to perform the necessary investigation and assessment and to prepare submittals to the state regulatory authority by August 2, 1997. There is evidence that the contamination may be migrating from an upgradient source, in which event the property may qualify for a Downgradient Property Status. Such status would eliminate the need for the August 2, 1997 submittal and may assist the Company in assigning responsibility for future investigation and/or remedial actions to the current or former owners of the upgradient properties.

The Company expects that any resolution of the environmental matters relating to 17 Hartwell Ave. and 91 Hartwell Ave. will not have a material impact on the financial position, results of operations or liquidity of the Company.

## THE UNSECURED LINE OF CREDIT

Concurrently with the completion of the Offering, the Company expects to have a three-year, \$300 million Unsecured Line of Credit with the Line of Credit Bank. The Unsecured Line of Credit will be a recourse obligation of the Operating Partnership and will be guaranteed by the Company. The Company intends to use the Unsecured Line of Credit principally to fund growth opportunities and for working capital purposes. At the closing of the Offering, the Company expects to draw down approximately \$40.1 million under this line of credit.

The Company's ability to borrow under the Unsecured Line of Credit is subject to the Company's compliance with a number of customary financial and other covenants on an ongoing basis, including loan to unencumbered property value and debt service coverage ratios, limitations on additional indebtedness and stockholder distributions, and a minimum net worth requirement.

The Unsecured Line of Credit will, at the Company's election, bear interest at a floating rate based on a spread over LIBOR or the Line of Credit Lender's prime rate, and will require monthly payments of interest only on prime rate loans, with interest on LIBOR loans payable on the last day of an interest period but not less often than quarterly. LIBOR loans may be for periods of between thirty and 180 days.

The Line of Credit Bank has not yet issued a commitment to provide the Unsecured Line of Credit. In the event a commitment is so issued, the Unsecured Line of Credit will be subject to final approval and satisfactory completion of the Offering, completion by the Line of Credit Lender of its due diligence and preparation and execution of an acceptable credit agreement.

#### MANAGEMENT

### DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The Board of Directors of the Company will be expanded immediately following the consummation of the Offering to include the director nominees named below, each of whom has been nominated for election and consented to serve. Upon election of the director nominees, there will be a majority of directors who are neither employees nor affiliates of the Company. Pursuant to the Certificate of Incorporation, the Board of Directors is divided into three classes of directors. The initial terms of the three classes will expire in 1998, 1999 and 2000, respectively. Beginning in 1998, directors of each class will be chosen for three-year terms upon the expiration of their current terms and each year one class of directors will be elected by the stockholders. The Company believes that classification of the Board of Directors will help to assure the continuity and stability of the Company's business strategies and policies as determined by the Board of Directors. Holders of shares of Common Stock will have no right to cumulative voting in the election of directors. Consequently, at each annual meeting of stockholders, the holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock will be able to elect all of the successors of the class of directors whose terms expire at that meeting.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the directors, director nominees and executive officers of the Company immediately following the consummation of this Offering:

NAME	AGE	POSITION
Mortimer B. Zuckerman	59	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive
Edward H. Linde	55	Officer President, Chief Operating
		Officer and Director
Raymond A. Ritchey		Senior Vice President
Robert E. Burke	59	Senior Vice President
David R. Barrett	55	Senior Vice President
Robert E. Selsam	50	Senior Vice President
David G. Gaw	45	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

The following is a biographical summary of the experience of the directors, director nominees and executive and senior officers of the Company:

## Directors and Executive Officers

Mr. Mortimer B. Zuckerman serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Mr. Zuckerman co-founded the Company in 1970 after spending seven years at Cabot, Cabot & Forbes where he rose to the position of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. He is a graduate of McGill University, Montreal receiving an undergraduate degree in 1957 and a degree of law in 1961. He received an MBA with distinction from the Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania in 1961 and a Master of Law from Harvard University in 1962. Mr. Zuckerman serves as a Trustee for New York University, a Director and Member of the Executive Committee of WNET/Channel 13 New York, a Trustee of Memorial Sloan-Kittering Cancer Institute, a Trustee of the Institute For Advanced Studies at Princeton, a Member of the Harvard Medical School Board of Visitors, and a Member of the Council on Foreign Relations and the International Institute For Strategic Studies. He is also Chairman and Editor-in-Chief of U.S. News & World Report, Chairman of The Atlantic Monthly Magazine, Chairman and Co-Publisher of the New York Daily News and Chairman of the Board of Applied Graphics Technologies (AGT) and a member of the Board of Directors of Snyder Communications.

Mr. Edward H. Linde serves as President, Chief Operating Officer and a Director of the Company. Mr. Linde co-founded the Company in 1970 after spending five years at Cabot, Cabot & Forbes where he became Vice President and Senior Project Manager. Mr. Linde serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors of the

Massachusetts Government Land Bank and Co-Chairman of the Massachusetts Development Finance Agency. He is also a member of the Board of Directors of the CareGroup and the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, an Overseer of the Boston Symphony Orchestra, a Member of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Visiting Committee to the Department of Urban Studies and Planning (where he also was a Member of the MIT Corporation from 1990 to 1995) and a member of the Board of Fellows of the Harvard Medical School. Mr. Linde is a member of the Board of Applied Graphics Technologies (AGT). He received a BS in Civil Engineering from MIT in 1962 and an MBA from Harvard Business School, where he was a Baker Scholar, in 1964.

Mr. Raymond A. Ritchey serves as a Senior Vice President, Co-Manager of the Washington office and National Director of Acquisitions and Development for the Company. In this capacity, Mr. Ritchey is responsible for all marketing and new opportunity origination in the Washington area and directly oversees similar activities for the Company on a national basis. Mr. Ritchey joined the Company in 1980, leading the Company's expansion to become one of the dominant real estate firms in the Washington metropolitan area. For four years prior to joining the Company, Mr. Ritchey was one of the leading commercial real estate brokers in the Washington area with Coldwell Banker. He is a 1972 graduate of the U.S. Naval Academy and a 1973 graduate of the U.S. Naval Post Graduate School in Monterey, California.

Mr. Robert E. Burke serves as a Senior Vice President and Co-Manager of the Washington office for the Company. He joined the Company in 1979 to open its Washington area office serving as general manager in charge of operations of that office. Prior to 1979, Mr. Burke spent 7 1/2 years as General Manager of the John Fitzgerald Kennedy Library Corporation. He received dual degrees in 1960 when he earned a Bachelor of Science degree from Bates College and a Bachelor of Civil Engineering degree from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute.

Mr. David R. Barrett serves as Senior Vice President and Manager of the Boston office of the Company. He joined the Company in 1976 after six years as a principal in a consulting firm specializing in housing and urban development and after serving as Special Assistant to the Administrator of the Housing and Development Administration of the City of New York. He has been involved in all aspects of developing the Company's portfolio of properties and was directly responsible for the approval, design, construction and leasing of its Cambridge Center development. Mr. Barrett received a Bachelor of Arts Degree from Columbia College in 1963 and an LLB with honors from Harvard Law School in 1966 where he was an editor of the Harvard Law Review.

Mr. Robert E. Selsam is a Senior Vice President and Manager of the Company's New York office. He joined the Company in 1984, prior to which he was Director of Planning for the Metropolitan Transportation Authority of the State of New York. Mr. Selsam serves as Secretary and member of the Executive Committee of the New York Building Congress, is Executive Vice President and past Co-Chairman of the Associated Builders and Owners of New York, a member of the Executive Committee of the Association for a Better New York, and Vice President and Trustee of the New York Foundation for Architecture. He received a BA from the University of Pennsylvania in 1968 and a Master of Science in Urban Planning from the Columbia University School of Architecture in 1970. Mr. Selsam has had direct involvement in all aspects of the Company's New York activities including development, leasing and building operations.

Mr. David G. Gaw is Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for the Company, where he oversees a 40-person accounting, control and financial management department. He joined the Company in 1982 and has been involved in the Company's financial operations since then, including administering the Company's financings and banking relationships. From 1978 to 1982 he served as Vice President for the Norwood Group. Mr. Gaw received a BSBA from Suffolk University in 1973 and also received an MBA from Suffolk University in 1983.

# Senior Officers

Mr. Frederick J. DeAngelis serves as Senior Vice President and General Counsel for the Company, where he oversees a staff of three lawyers and one paralegal. Mr. DeAngelis joined the Company in 1980 after serving

as a partner at the firm of Lane & Altman in Boston. He received an AB in Economics (cum laude) from Holy Cross College in 1970 and a doctor of law degree (magna cum laude) from Boston College Law School in 1973.

- Mr. Stephen R. Clineburg, who joined the Company in 1984, serves as Senior Vice President and Regional General Counsel, Washington region. From June 1972 through July 1984, Mr. Clineburg was an attorney at the Gulf Oil Corporation and before that had been a Vice President and Title Officer of the Real Title Corporation in Fairfax, Virginia. Mr. Clineburg graduated from Columbia University with a BA in English in 1963 and from the University of Virginia Law School in Charlottesville in 1966.
- Mr. James C. Rosenfeld is a Senior Vice President of the Company, where he has been responsible for all suburban Boston project development. Prior to joining the Company in 1980, he worked for ten years at Cabot, Cabot and Forbes where he served as project manager on major commercial office building projects. Mr. Rosenfeld received an AB from Bowdoin College in 1965.
- Mr. E. Mitchell Norville is Senior Vice President and Senior Project Manager-Washington for the Company. In that capacity he oversees development of the Company's projects, including its fee development work for third parties. He has had direct responsibility for the project management of such projects as Independence Square, the headquarters for HCFA, and the work being performed for the National Institute of Health. Mr. Norville joined the Company in 1984 following his graduation from the University of Virginia with a Master in Business Administration. He also received a BS in Mechanical Engineering from Clemson University in 1980.
- Mr. Peter D. Johnston is a Senior Vice President of the Company, where he has been responsible for the development of more than one million square feet of the Company's Washington, D.C., commercial projects. He joined Boston Properties in 1987 after receiving a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Virginia. Mr. Johnston also received a Bachelor of Business Administration from Roanoke College in 1981 as well as a Master of Arts degree from Hollins College in 1982.
- Mr. John D. Camera, Jr. is Senior Vice President--Boston Construction Management for the Company and in that capacity oversees the Company's Boston area construction activities. Mr. Camera, who joined the Company in 1980, has more than 30 years of construction industry experience. He is a 1964 graduate of the Worcester Polytechnic Institute where he received a BS in Civil Engineering. Following graduation he served in the U.S. Navy Civil Engineering Corps. During his time at the Company, he has been responsible for more than \$325 million of construction activity.
- Mr. Jonathan B. Kurtis is Senior Vice President--Washington Construction Management for the Company. In that capacity he oversees all of the Company's Washington area construction activities and has been responsible for more than \$517 million of successfully completed construction undertaken by the Company. Mr. Kurtis joined the Company in 1984 following seven years of general contractor project management experience. He graduated from the University of Florida in Gainesville, Florida with a Bachelor of Building Construction in 1977.
- Mr. John J. Baraldi is Senior Vice President and National Director of Property Management at the Company. In that capacity, and based on his 35 years of property management experience, he provides national leadership and guidance to the property managers responsible for each of the Company's geographical areas of activity. Mr. Baraldi joined the Company in 1975 after holding property management positions at Cabot, Cabot & Forbes and the General Foods Corporation.
- Mr. David H. Boone is Senior Vice President and Director of Washington Area Property Management for the Company. In that capacity, he has direct responsibility for the property management of the Company's Washington properties. Mr. Boone joined the Company in 1986 after 23 years experience in building operations and property management with other firms. Mr. Boone has also served as commercial Vice President for BOMA (Building Owners & Managers Association) Washington, D.C. and on the Board of Governors for BOMA International.
- Mr. William J. Wedge serves as Senior Vice President--Tax Counsel for the Company. He joined Boston Properties in 1984 after serving in the Tax Department of Coopers & Lybrand. Mr. Wedge graduated from

Dartmouth College in 1977 with a B.A. in History and Government, received a JD (cum laude) from Suffolk Law School in 1981 and was awarded a Masters of Taxation (LLM) by Boston University Law School in 1984. Mr. Wedge is an Adjunct Professor of Law at Suffolk Law School. He oversees tax and corporate affairs for the Company.

### COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

### Audit Committee

Promptly following the consummation of the Offering, the Board of Directors will establish an Audit Committee. The Audit Committee will make recommendations concerning the engagement of independent public accountants, review with the independent public accountants the scope and results of the audit engagement, approve professional services provided by the independent public accountants, review the independence of the independent public accountants, consider the range of audit and non-audit fees and review the adequacy of the Company's internal accounting controls. The Audit Committee will initially consist of two or more non-employee directors.

### Compensation Committee

Promptly following the completion of the Offering, the Board of Directors will establish a Compensation Committee to establish remuneration levels for executive officers of the Company and implement the Company's Stock Option Plan and any other incentive programs. The Compensation Committee will initially consist of two or more non-employee directors.

The Board of Directors may from time to time establish certain other committees to facilitate the management of the Company.

### COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

The Company intends to pay its non-employee directors annual compensation of \$ for their services. In addition, non-employee directors will receive a fee of \$ for each Board of Directors meeting attended in person. Non-employee directors attending any committee meetings in person will receive an additional fee of \$ for each committee meeting attended, unless the committee meeting is held on the day of a meeting of the Board of Directors. Non-employee directors will also be reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred to attend director and committee meetings. Officers of the Company who are directors will not be paid any directors' fees. Non-employee directors will receive, upon initial election to the Board of Directors and annually thereafter, an option to purchase shares of Common Stock, which will vest over years.

## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth the annual base salary rates and other compensation expected to be paid in 1997 to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and each of the Company's four other most highly compensated executive officers (the "Named Executive Officers").

NAME	TITLE	SALARY RATE ALLOCATED
Mortimer B. Zuckerman	Chief Executive Officer	

1997 BASE

OPTIONS

#### EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

Mr. Zuckerman, as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Linde, as President and Chief Operating Officer, will each enter into an employment and noncompetition agreement with the Company (the "Employment Agreements"). Pursuant to the Employment Agreements, Mr. Zuckerman will devote a substantial portion of his business time and Mr. Linde will devote substantially all of his business time to the business and affairs of the Company and the Operating Partnership. Each agreement is for a two year term and prohibits, with certain limited exceptions, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde, during their respective periods of employment and following termination of employment by the Company with cause or by Mr. Zuckerman or Mr. Linde without good reason, from engaging directly or indirectly, in the development, operation, management or leasing of commercial properties. Such non-competition provision expires at the later of the end of the term of the agreement or one year after such a termination of employment.

### STOCK OPTION PLAN

Prior to the completion of the Offering, the Company will adopt the Boston Properties, Inc. 1997 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the "Plan") to provide incentives to attract and retain executive officers, directors, employees and other key personnel. The Plan will be administered by the Compensation Committee. The maximum number of shares available for issuance under the Plan will be % of the total number of shares of Common Stock and OP Units (other than OP Units owned by the Company) outstanding from time to time (initially shares).

Under the Plan, the Compensation Committee may grant stock options, including "incentive stock options" as defined in Section 422 of the Code, and non-statutory stock options. The exercise price of each option will be set by Compensation Committee; provided, however, that the exercise price must be at least equal to the market price of a share of Common Stock as of the date the option is granted. The Compensation Committee will also fix the term of each stock option, but in no event shall an incentive stock option be exercisable more than ten years after the date of grant.

The Compensation Committee may also grant either restricted or unrestricted stock awards to participants in the Plan. With the consent of the Compensation Committee, a participant may elect to receive a portion of the cash compensation otherwise due such participant either in the form of unrestricted stock or discounted stock options. The Compensation Committee may make performance share awards independent of or in connection with the granting of any other award under the Plan. A performance share award entitles the recipient to acquire shares of stock upon the attainment of specified performance goals.

# LIMITATION OF LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION

The Company's directors and officers are and will be indemnified against certain liabilities under Delaware law, the Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws of the Company and the Operating Partnership Agreement. The Certificate of Incorporation of the Company requires the Company to indemnify its directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted from time to time under Delaware law.

The Bylaws provide that directors and officers of the Company shall be, and, in the discretion of the Board of Directors, non-officer employees may be, indemnified by the Company to the fullest extent authorized by Delaware law, as it now exists or may in the future be amended, against all expenses and liabilities reasonably incurred in connection with service for or on behalf of the Company. The Bylaws also provide that the right of directors and officers to indemnification shall be a contract right and shall not be exclusive of any other right now possessed or hereafter acquired under any bylaw, agreement, vote of stockholders or otherwise. The Certificate contains a provision permitted by Delaware law that generally eliminates the personal liability of directors for monetary damages for breaches of their fiduciary duty, including breaches involving negligence or gross negligence in business combinations, unless the director has breached his or her duty of loyalty, failed to act in good faith, engaged in intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, paid a dividend or approved

a stock repurchase in violation of the Delaware General Corporation Law ("DGCL") or obtained an improper personal benefit. The provision does not alter a director's liability under the federal securities laws. In addition, this provision does not affect the availability of equitable remedies, such as an injunction or rescission, for breach of fiduciary duty. The Company believes that this provision will assist the Company in attracting and retaining qualified individuals to serve as officers and directors.

The Operating Partnership Agreement also provides for indemnification of the Company and its directors and officers to the same extent indemnification is provided to directors and officers of the Company in the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and limits the liability of the Company and its directors and officers to the Operating Partnership and its partners, to the same extent that the liability of directors and officers of the Company to the Company and its stockholders is limited under their organizational documents.

### INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENTS

The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with each of its directors and executive officers. The indemnification agreements require, among other things, that the Company indemnify its directors and executive officers to the fullest extent permitted by law and advance to the directors and executive officers all related expenses, subject to reimbursement if it is subsequently determined that indemnification is not permitted. Under these agreements, the Company must also indemnify and advance all expenses incurred by directors and executive officers seeking to enforce their rights under the indemnification agreements and may cover directors and executive officers under the Company's directors' and officers' liability insurance. Although the form of indemnification agreement offers substantially the same scope of coverage afforded by law, as a traditional form of contract it may provide greater assurance to directors and executive officers that indemnification will be available.

#### POLICIES WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN ACTIVITIES

The following is a discussion of certain investment, financing and other policies of the Company. These policies have been determined by the Company's Board of Directors and, in general, may be amended or revised from time to time by the Board of Directors without a vote of the stockholders.

### INVESTMENT POLICIES

### INVESTMENT IN REAL ESTATE OR INTERESTS IN REAL ESTATE

The Company will conduct all of its investment activities through the Operating Partnership and its affiliates. The Company's investment objectives are to provide quarterly cash distributions and achieve long-term capital appreciation through increases in the value of the Company. For a discussion of the Properties and the Company's acquisition and other strategic objectives, see "Business and Properties" and "Business and Growth Strategies."

The Company expects to pursue its investment objectives primarily through the ownership by the Operating Partnership of the Properties and other acquired properties. The Company currently intends to invest primarily in existing improved properties, existing properties in need of redevelopment and land which the Company believes has development potential. Future investment or development activities will not be limited to any geographic area or product type or to a specified percentage of the Company's assets. While the Company intends to diversify in terms of property locations, size and market, the Company does not have any limit on the amount or percentage of its assets that may be invested in any one property or any one geographic area. The Company intends to engage in such future investment or development activities in a manner that is consistent with the maintenance of its status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. In addition, the Company may purchase or lease income-producing commercial and other types of properties for long-term investment, expand and improve the real estate presently owned or other properties purchased, or sell such real estate properties, in whole or in part, when circumstances warrant.

The Company may also participate with third parties in property ownership, through joint ventures or other types of co-ownership. Such investments may permit the Company to own interests in larger assets without unduly restricting diversification and, therefore, add flexibility in structuring its portfolio. The Company will not, however, enter into a joint venture or partnership to make an investment that would not otherwise meet its investment policies.

Equity investments may be subject to existing mortgage financing and other indebtedness or such financing or indebtedness as may be incurred in connection with acquiring or refinancing these investments. Debt service on such financing or indebtedness will have a priority over any distributions with respect to the Common Stock. Investments are also subject to the Company's policy not to be treated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act").

## INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE MORTGAGES

While the Company's current portfolio consists of, and the Company's business objectives emphasize, equity investments in commercial real estate, the Company may, at the discretion of the Board of Directors, invest in mortgages and other types of real estate interests consistent with the Company's qualification as a REIT. The Company does not presently intend to invest in mortgages or deeds of trust, but may invest in participating or convertible mortgages if the Company concludes that it may benefit from the cash flow or any appreciation in value of the property. Investments in real estate mortgages run the risk that one or more borrowers may default under such mortgages and that the collateral securing such mortgages may not be sufficient to enable the Company to recoup its full investment.

SECURITIES OR INTERESTS IN PERSONS PRIMARILY ENGAGED IN REAL ESTATE ACTIVITIES AND OTHER ISSUERS

Subject to the percentage of ownership limitations and gross income tests necessary for REIT qualification, the Company also may invest in securities of other REITs, other entities engaged in real estate activities or securities of other issuers, including for the purpose of exercising control over such entities.

### **DISPOSITIONS**

The Company does not currently intend to dispose of any of the Properties, although it reserves the right to do so if, based upon management's periodic review of the Company's portfolio, the Board of Directors determines that such action would be in the best interests of the Company. Any decision to dispose of a Property will be made by the Company and approved by a majority of the Board of Directors. The tax consequences of the disposition of the Properties may, however, influence the decision of certain directors and executive officers of the Company who hold OP Units as to the desirability of a proposed disposition. In addition, the Operating Partnership Agreement provides that if a person who contributed an interest in any of the Designated Properties to the Operating Partnership in connection with of the Formation Transactions is caused, at any time during the Make-Whole Period, to recognize taxable gain as a result of the sale of or reduction of indebtedness on, any of such Properties, then the Operating Partnership shall pay a Make-Whole Amount to such person in respect of the resulting adverse tax consequence. See "Operating Partnership Agreement -- Make-Whole Payment." The payment of the Make-Whole Amount, if applicable, decreases the net proceeds to the Company from a disposition of these Properties.

## FINANCING POLICIES

The Company does not have a policy limiting the amount of indebtedness that the Company may incur. In addition, the Certificate and Bylaws do not limit the amount or percentage of indebtedness that the Company may incur. The Company has not established any limit on the number or amount of mortgages that may be placed on any single property or on its portfolio as a whole.

The Board of Directors will consider a number of factors when evaluating the Company's level of indebtedness and when making decisions regarding the incurrence of indebtedness, including the purchase price of properties to be acquired with debt financing, the estimated market value of its properties upon refinancing and the ability of particular properties and the Company as a whole to generate cash flow to cover expected debt service. See "Risk Factors--No Limitations on Debt" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations--Liquidity and Capital Resources."

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICIES

The Company has adopted certain policies that are designed to eliminate or minimize certain potential conflicts of interest. In addition, the Company's Board of Directors is subject to certain provisions of Delaware law, which are also designed to eliminate or minimize conflicts. However, there can be no assurance that these policies or provisions of law will always be successful in eliminating the influence of such conflicts, and if they are not successful, decisions could be made that might fail to reflect fully the interests of all stockholders.

The Company has adopted a policy that, without the approval of a majority of the disinterested directors, it will not (i) acquire from or sell to any director, officer or employee of the Company, or any entity in which a director, officer or employee of the Company has a material financial or a controlling interest, or acquire from or sell to any affiliate of any of the foregoing, any of the assets or other property of the Company, (ii) make any loan to or borrow from any of the foregoing persons or (iii) engage in any other transaction with any of the foregoing persons.

Pursuant to Delaware law, a contract or other transaction between the Company and a Director or between the Company and any other corporation or other entity in which a Director is a director or has a material financial

interest is not void or voidable solely on the grounds of such common directorship or interest, the presence of such Director at the meeting at which the contract or transaction is authorized, approved or ratified or the counting of the Director's vote in favor thereof if (i) the material facts relating to the common directorship or interest and as to the transaction are disclosed to the Board of Directors or a committee of the Board, and the Board or committee in good faith authorizes the transaction or contract by the affirmative vote of a majority of disinterested directors, even if the disinterested directors constitute less than a quorum, or (ii) the material facts relating to the common directorship or interest and as to the transaction are disclosed to the shareholders entitled to vote thereon, and the transaction is approved in good faith by vote of the shareholders, or (iii) the transaction or contract is fair and reasonable to the Company at the time it is authorized, ratified or approved.

#### **EXCLUDED PROPERTIES**

The Operating Partnership is succeeding to most of the properties managed by the Company or in which the Company or affiliates of the Company, including Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde, hold ownership interests. However, three such properties (the "Excluded Properties") are not being contributed to the Company. The Excluded Properties are (i) 2300 N Street, an office building located in N.W. Washington, D.C. (279,240 net rentable square feet), (ii) Sumner Square, a four building, office complex located in N.W., Washington, D.C. (203,765 net rentable square feet), and (iii) 195 West Street, an office building located in Waltham, Massachusetts (63,500 net rentable square feet).

Although Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde have agreed to devote a significant portion of their time to the business and affairs of the Company and the Operating Partnership after the completion of the Offering, they will continue to hold ownership interests in the Excluded Properties. Since the Excluded Properties are located in the same markets as the Company's Properties, they may compete with the Properties. Upon completion of the Offering, the Excluded Properties will be managed by the Operating Partnership or the Development and Management Company in return for a specified management fee. There is no assurance, however, that the Excluded Properties will continue to be managed by the Operating Partnership or the Development and Management Company or that fiduciary obligations will not require Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde, from time to time, to devote a significant amount of their time to the Excluded Properties. See "Risk Factors--Conflicts of Interest--Other Real Estate Interests."

Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde have given the Company an option to acquire their interest in Sumner Square for a cash price equal to the sum of (i) the then outstanding indebtedness secured by the property, (ii) expenses associated with the sale (not to exceed \$50,000), and (iii) the taxes payable by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde as a result of such transfer. In addition, all persons with interests in 2300 N Street and 195 West Street have granted the Operating Partnership options to acquire their interests for OP Units. The Company has not yet determined whether it will cause the Operating Partnership to exercise such options in connection with the Formation Transactions, and the information presented in this Prospectus assumes that such options are not exercised. If the Operating Partnership determines to not exercise such options, then at the completion of the Offering Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will give to the Company options to acquire their interests in such Excluded Properties for cash purchase prices that are determined in a manner similar to the cash purchase price for Sumner Square.

## POLICIES WITH RESPECT TO OTHER ACTIVITIES

The Company has authority to offer Common Stock, Preferred Stock or options to purchase stock in exchange for property and to repurchase or otherwise acquire its Common Stock or other securities in the open market or otherwise, and the Company may engage in such activities in the future. As described under "Operating Partnership Agreement--Redemption of OP Units," the Company expects (but is not obligated) to issue Common Stock to holders of OP Units in the Operating Partnership upon exercise of their redemption rights. Except in connection with the Formation Transactions, the Company has not issued Common Stock, OP Units or any other securities in exchange for property or any other purpose, and the Board of Directors has no present intention of causing the Company to repurchase any Common Stock. The Company may issue Preferred Stock from time to time, in one or more series, as authorized by the Board of Directors without the need for stockholder approval. See "Description of Capital Stock--Preferred Stock." The Company has not engaged in

trading, underwriting or agency distribution or sale of securities of other issuers other than the Operating Partnership and does not intend to do so. At all times, the Company intends to make investments in such a manner as to qualify as a REIT, unless because of circumstances or changes in the Code (or the Treasury Regulations), the Board of Directors determines that it is no longer in the best interest of the Company to qualify as a REIT and such determination is approved by a two-thirds vote of the Company's stockholders as required by the Certificate. The Company has not made any loans to third parties, although it may in the future make loans to third parties, including, without limitation, to joint ventures in which it participates. The Company intends to make investments in such a way that it will not be treated as an investment company under the 1940 Act. The Company's policies with respect to such activities may be reviewed and modified or amended from time to time by the Company's Board of Directors without a vote of the stockholders.

### STRUCTURE AND FORMATION OF THE COMPANY

### FORMATION TRANSACTIONS

Each Property that will be owned by the Company at the completion of the Offering is currently owned by a partnership (a "Property Partnership") of which Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and others affiliated with Boston Properties, Inc. control the managing general partner and, in most cases, a majority economic interest. The other direct or indirect investors in the Property Partnerships include persons formerly affiliated with Boston Properties, Inc., as well as private investors (including former owners of the land on which the Properties were developed) who are not affiliated with Boston Properties, Inc.

Prior to or simultaneously with the completion of the Offering, the Company will engage in the transactions described below (the "Formation Transactions"), which are designed to consolidate the ownership of the Properties and the commercial real estate business of the Company in the Operating Partnership, to facilitate the Offering and to enable the Company to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with the taxable year ending December 31, 1997.

- . Boston Properties, Inc., a Massachusetts company that was founded in 1970, will be reorganized to change its jurisdiction of organization to Delaware.
- . The Operating Partnership will be organized as a Delaware limited partnership.
- . The Company will sell 29,500,000 shares of Common Stock in the Offering and will contribute approximately \$682.7 million, the net proceeds of the Offering, to the Operating Partnership in exchange for an equivalent number of OP Units.
- . Pursuant to one or more option, contribution or merger agreements, (i) certain Property Partnerships will contribute Properties to the Operating Partnership, or will merge into the Operating Partnership, in exchange for OP Units and the assumption of debt, and the partners of such Property Partnerships will receive such OP Units either directly as merger consideration or as a distribution from the Property Partnership, and (ii) certain persons, both affiliated and not affiliated with the Company, will contribute their direct and indirect interests in certain Property Partnerships to the Operating Partnership in exchange for OP Units
- Prior to the completion of the Offering, the Company will contribute substantially all of its Greater Washington, D.C. third-party property management business to Boston Properties Management C Corp. (the "Development and Management Company"), a subsidiary of the Operating Partnership. In order to retain qualification as a REIT, the Operating Partnership will own a 1.0% voting interest but will hold a 95.0% economic interest in the Development and Management Company. The remaining voting and economic interest will be held by officers and directors of the Development and Management Company. In addition, the other management and development operations of the Company will be contributed to the Operating Partnership.
- In connection with the transactions described in the preceding two paragraphs, the Company will issue a total of OP Units.

- . The contribution to the Operating Partnership of the Properties or of the direct and indirect interests in the Property Partnerships is subject to all of the terms and conditions of the related option, merger and contribution agreements. With respect to direct or indirect contributions of interests to the Property Partnerships, the Operating Partnership will assume all the rights, obligations and responsibilities of the holders of such interests. The transfer of such interests is subject to the completion of the Offering. Any working capital or other cash balance of the Property Partnership as of immediately prior to the Offering will be distributed to the holders of such interests prior to the contribution to the Operating Partnership. The contribution agreements with respect to such interests generally contain representations only with respect to the ownership of such interests by the holders thereof and certain other limited matters.
- . The Operating Partnership will enter into a participating lease with ZL Hotel LLC. Marriott Hotels, Inc. will continue to manage the Hotel Properties under the Marriott(R) name pursuant to management agreements with ZL Hotel LLC. Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will be the sole membermanagers of the lessee and will own a 9.8% economic interest in ZL Hotel LLC. ZL Hotel Corp. will own the remaining economic interests in ZL Hotel LLC. One or more unaffiliated public charities will own all of the capital stock of ZL Hotel Corp.
- . The Company, through the Operating Partnership, expects to enter into the \$300 million Unsecured Credit Facility prior to or concurrently with the completion of the foregoing Formation Transactions.
- . Approximately \$659.4 million of the net proceeds of the Offering, together with \$40.1 million drawn under the Unsecured Line of Credit, will be used by the Operating Partnership to repay certain mortgage debt secured by the Properties and to refinance existing indebtedness on Development Properties, the interest on which will continue to be capitalized during the development period.

As a result of the Formation Transactions, (i) the Company will own OP Units, which will represent an approximately % economic interest in the Operating Partnership, and Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and other persons with a direct or indirect interest in the Property Partnerships will own OP Units, which will represent the remaining approximately % economic interest in the Operating Partnership and (ii) the Company will indirectly own a fee interest in all of the Properties. At the completion of the Formation Transactions, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will own an aggregate of shares of Common Stock and OP Units.

In forming the Company, the Company will succeed to the ownership of each of the Properties or the interests therein based upon a value for such property determined by the Company. The valuation of the Company as a whole has been determined based primarily upon a multiple of estimated funds from operations and adjusted funds from operations attributable to all assets of the Company, including the Company's interests in the Development and Management Company. See "Risk Factors--No Assurance as to Value."

## CONSEQUENCES OF THE OFFERING AND THE FORMATION TRANSACTIONS

Upon completion of the Formation Transactions, the Company will own an indirect fee interest in all of the Properties. The Operating Partnership will hold substantially all of the assets of the Company. Based on the assumed  $\,$ initial public offering price of the Common Stock, (i) the purchasers of Common Stock in the Offering will own of the outstanding Common Stock (or % assuming exchange of all OP Units for shares of Common Stock), (ii) the Company will be the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and will % of the interests in the Operating Partnership and (iii) Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will beneficially own, directly or indirectly through shares of Common Stock affiliates (not including the Company), a total of and OP Units (representing a % economic interest in the Company). Pursuant to the partnership agreement governing the Operating Partnership (the "Operating Partnership Agreement"), persons receiving OP Units in the Formation Transactions will have certain rights, beginning fourteen months after the completion of the Offering, to cause the Operating Partnership to redeem their OP Units for cash, or, at the election of the Company, to exchange their OP Units for shares of Common Stock on a one-for-one basis. See "Underwriting" for certain transfer restrictions with respect to the OP Units and to shares of Common Stock issued in exchange for such OP Units that are applicable to Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and other senior officers of the Company.

The aggregate estimated value to be given by the Operating Partnership for the Properties or for interests in the Property Partnerships, and for the development and management business of the Company, is approximately \$ billion, consisting of OP Units having a value of \$ million and the assumption of \$1.34 billion of indebtedness. The aggregate book value of the interests and assets to be transferred to the Operating Partnership is approximately negative \$528.9 million. The Company does not believe that the book value of such interests and assets reflects the fair market value of such interests and assets.

No independent third-party appraisals, valuations or fairness opinions have been obtained by the Company in connection with the Formation Transactions. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the value of the OP Units and cash received in the Formation Transactions by persons with interests in the Property Partnerships is equivalent to the fair market value of the interests and assets acquired by the Company and contributed to the Operating Partnership. See "Risk Factors--No Assurance as to Value."

### BENEFITS TO RELATED PARTIES

Certain affiliates of the Company will realize certain material benefits in connection with the Formation Transactions, including the following:

- . In respect of their respective ownership interests in the Property Partnerships and the development and management business of the Company, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will become beneficial owners of a total of shares of Common Stock and OP Units, with a total value of approximately \$ million based on the assumed initial public offering price of the Common Stock, which value may differ from the fair market value of such interests and assets. Other persons who will be officers of the Company at the completion of the Offering will receive OP Units for their interests in the Property Partnerships.
- . Approximately \$699.5 million of indebtedness secured by the Properties (including \$40.1 million due to Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde for amounts loaned in connection with the Development Properties), and the related additional and accrued interest thereon, to be assumed by the Operating Partnership will be repaid in the Formation Transactions. A portion of this debt was previously guaranteed by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde. In addition, guarantees by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde with respect to certain other indebtedness that is not being repaid in the Formation Transactions may be released. To the extent such guarantees are not released, the Operating Partnership will agree to indemnify Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde for any damages that may arise due to the failure of the Operating Partnership to repay such amounts when due.
- . Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and others receiving OP Units in connection with the Formation Transactions will have registration rights with respect to shares of Common Stock that may be issued in exchange for OP Units.
- . In connection with certain development projects or rights, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde have personally guaranteed, in certain instances, performance of contractual obligations. In connection with the Formation Transactions, they will be relieved of such guarantees or, to the extent not so relieved, indemnified by the Operating Partnership for damages to them that may arise from the Operating Partnership's failure to perform such obligations in accordance with their terms.

# RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFER

Under the Operating Partnership Agreement, persons receiving OP Units in the Formation Transactions are prohibited from transferring such OP Units, except under certain limited circumstances, for a period of one year. In addition, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and the other senior officers of the Company have agreed not to sell any shares of Common Stock owned by them at the completion of the Offering or acquired by them upon exchange of OP Units for a period of two years after the completion of the Offering without the consent of Merrill Lynch & Co. See "Operating Partnership Agreement--Transfer of Units; Substitute Limited Partners" and "Underwriting."

## RESTRICTIONS ON OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK

Due to limitations on the concentration of ownership of stock of a REIT imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and to otherwise address concerns relating to concentration of capital stock ownership, the certificate of incorporation of the Company (the "Certificate") prohibits any stockholder from actually or beneficially owning more than % of the outstanding shares of Common Stock (the "Ownership Limit"), except that Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and certain family members and affiliates of each and certain "look through entities" may actually and beneficially own up to 15.0% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock. See "Risk Factors--Risks Relating to Control of the Company" and "Description of Capital Stock--Restrictions on Transfers."

#### OPERATING PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

The following summary of the Operating Partnership Agreement, including the descriptions of certain provisions thereof set forth elsewhere in this Prospectus, is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Operating Partnership Agreement, which is filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement of which this Prospectus is a part.

#### MANAGEMENT

The Operating Partnership was organized as a Delaware limited partnership on April 8, 1997. The Company is the sole general partner of, and will hold approximately % of the economic interests in, the Operating Partnership. The Company will conduct substantially all of its business through the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries. The Company will hold a one percent general partner interest in the Operating Partnership and the balance will be held as a limited partner interest.

Pursuant to the Operating Partnership Agreement, the Company, as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, has full, exclusive and complete responsibility and discretion in the management, operation and control of the Operating Partnership, including the ability to cause the Operating Partnership to enter into certain major transactions, including acquisitions, developments and dispositions of properties and refinancings of existing indebtedness. No limited partner may take part in the operation, management or control of the business of the Operating Partnership by virtue of being a holder of OP Units. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Operating Partnership Agreement provides that the Company may not in general engage in a business combination unless the holders of OP Units will receive, or have the opportunity to receive, the same consideration per OP Unit as holders of Common Stock receive per share of Common Stock in the transaction; if holders of OP Units will not be treated in such manner in connection with a proposed business combination, the Company may not engage in such transaction unless limited partners (other than the Company) holding at least 75% of the OP Units held by limited partners vote to approve the business combination. In addition, the Company is prohibited under the Operating Partnership Agreement from consummating a transaction (such as a merger or sale of all or substantially all of its assets) that requires, or in connection with which the Company conducted, a vote of the Company's stockholders unless (i) the Company provides the holders of OP Units with the same information as was supplied to the Company's stockholders in connection with such vote, along with a description of any tax consequences of the proposed transaction to holders of OP Units, (ii) the Company, as general partner, conducts a vote of the limited partners of the Operating Partnership (other than the Company) and (iii) persons holding at least the Required Percentage (as defined below) of the outstanding shares of Common Stock and OP Units (other than the OP Units held by the Company), collectively, voted to approve the proposed transaction. The Required Percentage equals the minimum percentage of outstanding shares of Common Stock that are required to be held by the stockholders of the Company who vote for approval of the transaction in order for the Company to have authority to engage in such transaction (or, in the case of a transaction for which stockholder approval is not required but is sought, the percentage which the Company stated would be required before it proceeded with the transaction), but in no event less than 66.67%.

The limited partners of the Operating Partnership have agreed that in the event of any conflict in the fiduciary duties owed by the Company to its stockholders and by the Company, as general partner of the Operating Partnership, to such limited partners, the Company may act in the best interests of the Company's stockholders without violating its fiduciary duties to such limited partners or being liable for any resulting breach of its duties to the limited partners.

The Operating Partnership Agreement provides that all business activities of the Company, including all activities pertaining to the acquisition and operation of properties, must be conducted through the Operating Partnership, and that the Operating Partnership must be operated in a manner that will enable the Company to satisfy the requirements for being classified as a REIT.

REMOVAL OF THE GENERAL PARTNER; TRANSFER OF THE GENERAL PARTNER'S INTEREST

The Operating Partnership provides that the limited partners may not remove the Company as general partner of the Operating Partnership. The Company may not transfer any of its interests as general or limited

partner in the Operating Partnership except (i) in connection with a merger or sale of all or substantially all of its assets pursuant to a transaction for which it has obtained the requisite approval in accordance with the terms of the Operating Partnership Agreement or (ii) to an affiliate of the Company.

### AMENDMENTS OF THE OPERATING PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

Amendments to the Operating Partnership Agreement may be proposed by the Company or by limited partners owning at least 20% of the OP Units.

Generally, the Operating Partnership Agreement may be amended with the approval of the Company, as general partner, and limited partners (including the Company) holding a majority of the OP Units. Certain amendments that would, among other things, convert a limited partner's interest into a general partner's interest, modify the limited liability of a limited partner, alter the interest of a partner in profits or losses or the right to receive any distributions, alter or modify the redemption right described above, or cause the termination of the Operating Partnership at a time or on terms inconsistent with those set forth in the Operating Partnership Agreement must be approved by the Company and each limited partner that would be adversely affected by such amendment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company, as general partner, will have the power, without the consent of the limited partners, to amend the Operating Partnership Agreement as may be required to (1) add to the obligations of the Company as general partner or surrender any right or power granted to the Company as general partner; (2) reflect the admission, substitution, termination or withdrawal of partners in accordance with the terms of the Operating Partnership Agreement; (3) establish the rights, powers, duties and preferences of any additional partnership interests issued in accordance with the terms of the Operating Partnership Agreement; (4) reflect a change of an inconsequential nature that does not materially adversely affect the limited partners, or cure any ambiguity, correct or supplement any provisions of the Operating Partnership Agreement not inconsistent with law or with other provisions of the Operating Partnership Agreement, or make other changes concerning matters under the Operating Partnership Agreement that are not otherwise inconsistent with the Operating Partnership Agreement or law; or (5) satisfy any requirements of federal or state law. Certain provisions affecting the rights and duties of the Company as general partner (e.g., restrictions on the Company's power to conduct businesses other than owning OP Units; restrictions relating to the issuance of securities of the Company and related capital contributions to the Operating Partnership; restrictions relating to certain extraordinary transactions involving the Company or the Operating Partnership) may not be amended without the approval of a majority or, in certain instances, a supermajority of the OP Units not held by the Company.

# TRANSFER OF OP UNITS; SUBSTITUTE LIMITED PARTNERS

The Operating Partnership Agreement provides that limited partners generally may transfer their OP Units without the consent of any other person, but may substitute a transferee as a limited partner only with the prior written consent of the Company as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. In addition, limited partners may not transfer OP Units in any event until the one-year anniversary of the Offering or in violation of certain regulatory and other restrictions set forth in the Operating Partnership Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and the other senior officers of the Company have entered into agreements pursuant to which they may not transfer or dispose of OP Units or Common Stock without the consent of Merrill Lynch for a period of two years following the completion of the Offering.

## REDEMPTION OF OP UNITS

After that date which is fourteen months after the completion of the Offering, the Operating Partnership will be obligated to redeem each OP Unit at the request of the holder thereof for cash equal to the fair market value of one share of Common Stock at the time of such redemption (as determined in accordance with the provisions of the Operating Partnership Agreement), provided that the Company may elect to acquire any such OP Unit presented for redemption for one share of Common Stock or an amount of cash of the same value. The Company presently anticipates that it will elect to issue Common Stock in connection with each such redemption rather than having the Operating Partnership pay cash. With each such redemption, the Company's percentage ownership interest in the Operating Partnership will increase. Persons other than the Company who acquire OP Units in the Formation Transactions will have certain rights, pursuant to a separate registration rights agreement,

to have the issuance of shares of Common Stock that may be issued to them in exchange for their OP Units, or the resale of such shares by them, registered under the Securities Act. See "Shares Available for Future Sale."

#### TSSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL LIMITED PARTNERSHIP INTEREST

The Company is authorized, without the consent of the limited partners, to cause the Operating Partnership to issue additional OP Units to itself, to the limited partners or to other persons for such consideration and on such terms and conditions as the Company deems appropriate. If additional OP Units are issued to the Company, then the Company must (i) issue additional shares of Common Stock and must contribute to the Operating Partnership the entire proceeds received by the Company from such issuance or (ii) issue additional OP Units to all partners in proportion to their respective interests in the Operating Partnership. In addition, the Company may cause the Operating Partnership to issue to the Company additional partnership interests in different series or classes, which may be senior to the OP Units, in conjunction with an offering of securities of the Company having substantially similar rights, in which the proceeds thereof are contributed to the Operating Partnership. Consideration for additional partnership interests may be cash or other property or assets. No limited partner has preemptive, preferential or similar rights with respect to additional capital contributions to the Operating Partnership or the issuance or sale of any partnership interests therein.

## MAKE-WHOLE PAYMENTS

The Operating Partnership Agreement provides that, during the Make-Whole Period, in connection with the sale of, or the reduction of indebtedness on, any of the Designated Properties, the Company will pay each person who contributed an interest in the Designated Property a Make-Whole Amount representing the federal and state income tax liability (assuming the highest marginal federal and state income tax rates) associated with the recognition of gain by such person in connection with any such transaction. No Make-Whole Amount would be due in the case of a transaction that does not result in the recognition of gain for tax purposes (such as Section 1031 "like-kind" exchanges under the Code). In order to reduce the amount of gain that might be recognized by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde, they have agreed to guaranty, at the request of the Company in connection with the sale of, or reduction of indebtedness on, any of the Designated Properties, any other indebtedness of the Company, provided that the aggregate amount of such guarantees, together with any then existing guarantees of Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde of indebtedness of the Company, shall not exceed the amounts of \$ and \$ respectively, (such amounts being the respective amounts of indebtedness guaranteed by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde immediately following completion of the Offering). Any amendment to the provisions of the Operating Partnership Agreement relating to the payment of Make-Whole Amounts shall not be effective against a limited partner who did not consent in writing to such amendment.

# EXCULPATION AND INDEMNIFICATION OF THE GENERAL PARTNER

The Operating Partnership Agreement generally provides that the Company, as general partner of the Operating Partnership, will incur no liability to the Operating Partnership or any limited partner for losses sustained or liabilities incurred as a result of errors in judgment or of any act or omission if the Company carried out its duties in good faith. In addition, the Company is not responsible for any misconduct or negligence on the part of its agents, provided the Company appointed such agents in good faith. The Company may consult with legal counsel, accountants, appraisers, management consultants, investment bankers and other consultants and advisors, and any action it takes or omits to take in reliance upon the opinion of such persons, as to matters that the Company reasonably believes to be within their professional or expert competence, shall be conclusively presumed to have been done or omitted in good faith and in accordance with such opinion.

The Operating Partnership Agreement also provides for indemnification of the Company, the directors and officers of the Company, and such other persons as the Company may from time to time designate against any judgments, penalties, fines, settlements and reasonable expenses actually incurred by such person in connection with the preceding unless it is established that: (1) the act or omission of the indemnified person was material to the matter giving rise to the preceding and either was committed in bad faith or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty; (2) the indemnified person actually received an improper personal benefit in money,

property or services; or (3) in the case of any criminal proceeding, the indemnified person had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful.

# TAX MATTERS

The Company will be the tax matters partner of the Operating Partnership and, as such, will have the authority to make tax elections under the Code on behalf of the Operating Partnership.

## TERM

The Operating Partnership will continue in full force and effect until December 31, 2050 or until sooner dissolved pursuant to the terms of the Operating Partnership Agreement.

117

### PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of Common Stock (including Common Stock which may be issued in exchange for OP Units presented for redemption) by each director and director nominee, by each Named Executive Officer identified on the table on page , by all directors (including director nominees) and executive officers of the Company as a group and by each person who is expected to be the beneficial owner of 5% or more of the outstanding shares of Common Stock immediately following the completion of the Offering. Except as indicated below, all of such Common Stock is owned directly, and the indicated person has sole voting and investment power.

NUMBER OF SHARES OF
COMMON STOCK, PERCENTAGE OF
ASSUMING FULL COMMON STOCK
EXCHANGE OF OP UNITS OUTSTANDING
OF OP UNITS OUTSTANDING
Mortimer B. Zuckerman.

#### DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

The description of the Company's capital stock set forth below does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Company's Certificate and Bylaws, copies of which are exhibits to the Registration Statement of which this Prospectus is a part.

#### GENERAL

Under the Certificate of Incorporation, the Company has authority to issue up to 450 million shares of stock, consisting of 250 million shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 150 million shares of excess stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Excess Stock") (as described below), and 50 million shares of Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share. Under Delaware law, stockholders generally are not responsible for the corporation's debts or obligations. Upon completion of the Offering, shares of Common Stock will be issued and outstanding and no shares of Excess Stock or Preferred Stock will be issued and outstanding.

The Certificate authorizes the Directors to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of capital stock by setting or changing the preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to distributions, qualifications or terms or conditions of redemption of such stock.

## COMMON STOCK

All shares of Common Stock offered hereby have been duly authorized, and are fully paid and nonassessable. Subject to the preferential rights of any other shares or series of shares and to the provisions of the Company's Certificate regarding Excess Stock, holders of Common Stock will be entitled to receive dividends on Common Stock if, as and when authorized and declared by the Board of Directors of the Company out of assets legally available therefor and to share ratably in the assets of the Company legally available for distribution to its stockholders in the event of its liquidation, dissolution or winding-up after payment of, or adequate provision for, all known debts and liabilities of the Company.

Subject to the provisions of the Company's Certificate regarding Excess Stock, each outstanding share of Common Stock entitles the holder to one vote on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders, including the election of directors, and, except as otherwise required by law or except as provided with respect to any other class or series of shares, the holders of Common Stock will possess exclusive voting power. There is no cumulative voting in the election of directors, which means that the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock can elect all of the directors then standing for election, and the holders of the remaining shares of Common Stock will not be able to elect any director.

Holders of Common Stock have no conversion, sinking fund or redemption rights, or preemptive rights to subscribe for any securities of the Company.

The Company intends to furnish its stockholders with annual reports containing audited consolidated financial statements and an opinion thereon expressed by an independent public accounting firm and quarterly reports for the first three quarters of each fiscal year containing unaudited financial information.

Subject to the provisions of the Company's Certificate regarding Excess Stock, all Common Stock has equal dividend, distribution, liquidation and other rights, and has no preference, appraisal (except as provided by Delaware law) or exchange rights.

# PREFERRED STOCK

Preferred Stock may be issued from time to time, in one or more series, as authorized by the Board of Directors. Prior to the issuance of shares of each series, the Board of Directors is required by the DGCL and the Company's Certificate to fix for each series, subject to the provisions of the Company's Certificate regarding Excess Stock, such terms, preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends or other distributions, qualifications and terms or conditions of redemption, as are permitted by Delaware law. Such rights, powers, restrictions and limitations could include the right to receive specified

dividend payments and payments on liquidation prior to any such payments being made to the holders of some, or a majority, of the Common Stock. The Board of Directors could authorize the issuance of Preferred Stock with terms and conditions that could have the effect of discouraging a takeover or any other transaction that holders of Common Stock might believe to be in their best interests or in which holders of some, or a majority, of the Common Stock might receive a premium for their shares over the then current market price of such shares. As of the date hereof, no shares of Preferred Stock are outstanding, and the Company has no present plans to issue any Preferred Stock

### RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFERS

In order for the Company to qualify as a REIT under the Code, among other things, not more than 50% in value of its outstanding capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (defined in the Code to include certain entities) during the last half of a taxable year (other than the first year) (the "Five or Fewer Requirement"), and such shares of capital stock must be beneficially owned by 100 or more persons during at least 335 days of a taxable year of 12 months (other than the first year) or during a proportionate part of a shorter taxable year. See "Federal Income Tax Consequences." In order to protect the Company against the risk of losing its status as a REIT and to otherwise protect the Company from the consequences of a concentration of ownership among its stockholders, the Certificate, subject to certain exceptions, provides that no single holder (other than the "Related Parties," as defined below and certain "Look-Through Entities," as defined below), may "beneficially own" more than % (the "Ownership Limit") of the aggregate number of outstanding shares of any class or series of capital stock. Under the Certificate, a person "beneficially owns" shares if (i) such person has direct ownership of such shares, (ii) such person has indirect ownership of such shares taking into account the constructive ownership rules of Section 544 of the Code, as modified by Section 856(h)(1)(B) of the Code, or (iii) such person would be deemed to "beneficially own" such shares pursuant to Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act, except that a Related Party will not be deemed to beneficially own shares by virtue of the preceding clause (iii). Each of Mr. Zuckerman and his respective heirs, legatees and devisees, and any other person whose beneficial ownership of shares of Common Stock would be attributed under the Code to Mr. Zuckerman, is a Related Party, and such persons are subject to a "Related Party Ownership Limit" of 15%, such that none of such persons shall be deemed to beneficially own shares in excess of the Ownership Limit unless, in the aggregate, such persons own shares of any class or series of capital stock in excess of 15% of the number of shares of such class or series outstanding. A similar Related Party Ownership Limit is applied to Mr. Linde and persons with a similar relationship to Mr. Linde. The Company's Certificate provides that pension plans described in Section 401(a) of the Code and mutual funds registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Look-Through Entities") are subject to a 15% "Look-Through Ownership Limit." Pension plans and mutual funds are among the entities that are not treated as holders of stock under the Five or Fewer Requirement and the beneficial owners of such entities will be counted as holders for this purpose. Any transfer of shares of capital stock or of any security convertible into shares of capital stock that would create a direct or indirect ownership of shares of capital stock in excess of the Ownership Limit, the Look-Through Ownership Limit or the Related Party Ownership Limit, as applicable, or that would result in the disqualification of the Company as a REIT, including any transfer that results in the shares of capital stock being owned by fewer than 100 persons or results in the Company being "closely held" within the meaning of Section 856(h) of the Code or results in the Company constructively owning 10% or more of the ownership interests in a tenant of the Company within the meaning of Section 318 of the Code as modified by Section 856(d)(5) of the Code, shall be null and void, and the intended transferee will acquire no rights to the shares of capital stock. The foregoing restrictions on transferability and ownership will not apply if the Board of Directors determines that it is no longer in the best interests of the Company to attempt to qualify, or to continue to qualify, as a REIT. The Board of Directors may, in its sole discretion, waive the Ownership Limit, the Look-Through Ownership Limit and the Related Party Ownership Limit if evidence satisfactory to the Board of Directors and the Company's tax counsel is presented that the changes in ownership will not then or in the future jeopardize the Company's REIT status and the Board of Directors otherwise decides that such action is in the best interest of the Company.

If any purported transfer of capital stock of the Company or any other event would otherwise result in any person violating the Ownership Limit, the Look-Through Ownership Limit or the Related Party Limit, as applicable, or the Certificate, then any such purported transfer will be void and of no force or effect with respect to the purported transferee (the "Prohibited Transferee") as to that number of shares in excess of the applicable Limit and the Prohibited Transferee shall acquire no right or interest (or, in the case of any event other than a purported transfer, the person or entity holding record title to any such shares in excess of the applicable Limit (the "Prohibited Owner") shall cease to own any right or interest) in such excess shares. Any such excess shares described above will be converted automatically into an equal number of shares of Excess Stock (the "Excess Shares") and transferred automatically, by operation of law, to a trust, the beneficiary of which will be a qualified charitable organization selected by the Company (the "Beneficiary"). Such automatic transfer shall be deemed to be effective as of the close of business on the Business Day (as defined in the Certificate) prior to the date of such violative transfer. As soon as practical after the transfer of shares to the trust, the trustee of the trust (who shall be designated by the Company and be unaffiliated with the Company and any Prohibited Transferee or Prohibited Owner) will be required to sell such Excess Shares to a person or entity who could own such shares without violating the applicable Limit, and distribute to the Prohibited Transferee an amount equal to the lesser of the price paid by the Prohibited Transferee for such Excess Shares or the sales proceeds received by the trust for such Excess Shares. In the case of any Excess Shares resulting from any event other than a transfer, or from a transfer for no consideration (such as a gift), the trustee will be required to sell such Excess Shares to a qualified person or entity and distribute to the Prohibited Owner an amount equal to the lesser of the fair market value of such Excess Shares as of the date of such event or the sales proceeds received by the trust for such Excess Shares. In either case, any proceeds in excess of the amount distributable to the Prohibited Transferee or Prohibited Owner, as applicable, will be distributed to the Beneficiary. Prior to a sale of any such Excess Shares by the trust, the trustee will be entitled to receive in trust for the Beneficiary, all dividends and other distributions paid by the Company with respect to such Excess Shares.

In addition, shares of stock of the Company held in the trust shall be deemed to have been offered for sale to the Company, or its designee, at a price per share equal to the lesser of (i) the price per share in the transaction that resulted in such transfer to the trust (or, in the case of a devise or gift, the market price at the time of such devise or gift) and (ii) the market price on the date the Company, or its designee, accepts such offer. The Company shall have the right to accept such offer for a period of 90 days. Upon such a sale to the company, the interest of the Beneficiary in the shares sold shall terminate and the trustee shall distribute the net proceeds of the sale to the Prohibited Owner.

These restrictions will not preclude settlement of transactions through the  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NYSF}}$  .

Each stockholder shall upon demand be required to disclose to the Company in writing any information with respect to the direct, indirect and constructive ownership of capital stock as the Board of Directors deems necessary to comply with the provisions of the Code applicable to REITs, to comply with the requirements of any taxing authority or governmental agency or to determine any such compliance.

The Ownership Limit may have the effect of precluding acquisition of control of the Company unless the Board of Directors determines that maintenance of REIT status is no longer in the best interests of the Company.

## SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS AGREEMENT

The Board of Directors of the Company has adopted a Shareholder Rights Agreement (the "Rights Agreement"). The adoption of the Rights Agreement could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or could discourage a third party from acquiring, the Company or a large block of the Company's Common Stock.

Pursuant to the terms of the Rights Agreement, the Board of Directors declared a dividend distribution of one Preferred Stock Purchase Right (a "Right") for each outstanding share of Common Stock to stockholders of record as of the close of business on the day of the closing of the Offering (the "Record Date"). In addition, one Right will automatically attach to each share of Common Stock issued between the Record Date and the Distribution Date (as hereinafter defined). Each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from the Company a unit consisting of one one-thousandth of a share (a "Unit") of Series A Preferred Stock at a cash

exercise price of \$ per Unit (the "Exercise Price"), subject to adjustment. Each Share offered hereby will be entitled to a Right when distributed.

Initially, the Rights are not exercisable and are attached to and trade with the outstanding shares of Common Stock. The Rights will separate from the Common Stock and will become exercisable upon the earliest of (i) the close of business on the tenth calendar day (or such other calendar day as the Board of Directors may determine) following the first public announcement that a person or group of affiliated or associated persons has acquired beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the outstanding shares of Common Stock (an "Acquiring Person") (the date of said announcement being referred to as the "Stock Acquisition Date"), or (ii) the close of business on the tenth business day (or such other calendar day as the Board of Directors may determine) following the commencement of a tender offer or exchange offer that would result upon its consummation in a person or group becoming the beneficial owner of 15% or more of the outstanding shares of Common Stock (the earlier of such dates being herein referred to as the "Distribution Date").

Until the Distribution Date (or earlier redemption, exchange or expiration of the Rights), (a) the Rights will be evidenced by the Common Stock certificates and will be transferred with and only with such Common Stock certificates, (b) new Common Stock certificates issued after the Record Date will contain a notation incorporating the Shareholder Rights Agreement by reference, and (c) the surrender for transfer of any certificates for Common Stock will also constitute the transfer of the Rights associated with the Common Stock represented by such certificate.

The Rights are not exercisable until the Distribution Date and will expire in 2007, unless previously redeemed or exchanged by the Company as described below.

As soon as practicable after the Distribution Date, Rights Certificates will be mailed to holders of record of Common Stock as of the close of business on the Distribution Date and, thereafter, the separate Rights Certificates alone will represent the Rights. Except as otherwise determined by the Board of Directors, only shares of Common Stock issued prior to the Distribution Date will be issued with Rights.

In the event that a Stock Acquisition Date occurs, proper provision will be made so that each holder of a Right (other than an Acquiring Person or its associates or affiliates, whose Rights shall become null and void) will thereafter have the right to receive upon exercise that number of Units of Series A Preferred Stock of the Company having a market value of two times the exercise price of the Right (such right being referred to as the "Subscription Right"). In the event that, at any time following the Stock Acquisition Date, (i) the Company consolidates with, or merges with and into, any other person, and the Company is not the continuing or surviving corporation, (ii) any person consolidates with the Company, or merges with and into the Company and the Company is the continuing or surviving corporation of such merger and, in connection with such merger, all or part of the shares of Common Stock are changed into or exchanged for stock or other securities of any other person or cash or any other property, or (iii) 50% or more of the Company's assets or earning power is sold, mortgaged or otherwise transferred, each holder of a Right shall thereafter have the right to receive, upon exercise, common stock of the acquiring company having a market value equal to two times the exercise price of the Right (such right being referred to as the "Merger Right"). The holder of a Right will continue to have the Merger Right whether or not such holder has exercised the Subscription Right. Rights that are or were beneficially owned by an Acquiring Person may under certain circumstances specified in the Rights Agreement become null and void.

At any time after the Stock Acquisition Date the Board of Directors may, at its option, exchange all or any part of the then outstanding and exercisable Rights for shares of Common Stock or Units of Series A Preferred Stock at an exchange ratio of one share of Common Stock or one Unit of Series A Preferred Stock per Right. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Board of Directors generally will not be empowered to effect such exchange at any time after any person becomes the beneficial owner of 50% or more of the Common Stock of the Company.

The Exercise Price payable, and the number of Units of Series A Preferred Stock or other securities or property issuable, upon exercise of the Rights are subject to adjustment from time to time to prevent dilution (i)

in the event of a stock dividend on, or a subdivision, combination or reclassification of, the Series A Preferred Stock, (ii) if holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are granted certain rights or warrants to subscribe for Series A Preferred Stock or convertible securities at less than the current market price of the Series A Preferred Stock, or (iii) upon the distribution to holders of the Series A Preferred Stock of evidences of indebtedness or assets (excluding regular quarterly cash dividends) or of subscription rights or warrants (other than those referred to above).

With certain exceptions, no adjustment in the Exercise Price will be required until cumulative adjustments amount to at least 1% of the Exercise Price, determined on a per Right basis. The Company is not obligated to issue fractional Units. If the Company elects not to issue fractional Units, in lieu thereof an adjustment in cash will be made based on the fair market value of the Series A Preferred Stock on the last trading date prior to the date of exercise.

The Rights may be redeemed in whole, but not in part, at a price of \$0.01 per Right (payable in cash, Common Stock or other consideration deemed appropriate by the Board of Directors) by the Board of Directors only until the earlier of (i) the close of business on the tenth calendar day after the Stock Acquisition Date, or (ii) the expiration date of the Rights Agreement. Immediately upon the action of the Board of Directors ordering redemption of the Rights, the Rights will terminate and thereafter the only right of the holders of Rights will be to receive the redemption price.

The Rights Agreement may be amended by the Board of Directors in its sole discretion until the Distribution Date. After the Distribution Date, the Board of Directors may, subject to certain limitations set forth in the Rights Agreement, amend the Rights Agreement only to cure any ambiguity, defect or inconsistency, to shorten or lengthen any time period, or to make changes that do not adversely affect the interests of Rights holders (excluding the interests of an Acquiring Person or its associates or affiliates). In addition, the Board of Directors may at any time prior to such time as any person becomes an Acquiring Person amend the Rights Agreement to lower the threshold at which a person becomes an Acquiring Person to not less than the greater of (i) the sum of 0.001% and the largest percentage of the outstanding Common Stock then owned by any person, and (ii) 10%.

Until a Right is exercised, the holder will have no rights as a stockholder of the Company (beyond those as an existing stockholder), including the right to vote or to receive dividends. While the distribution of the Rights will not be taxable to stockholders or to the Company, stockholders may, depending upon the circumstances, recognize taxable income in the event that the Rights become exercisable for Units, other securities of the Company, other consideration or for common stock of an acquiring company.

#### CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF DELAWARE LAW AND THE COMPANY'S CERTIFICATE AND BYLAWS

The following summary of certain provisions of Delaware law and the Company's Certificate and Bylaws does not purport to be complete and is subject to and qualified in its entirety by reference to Delaware law and the Company's Certificate and Bylaws, copies of which are exhibits to the Registration Statement of which this Prospectus is a part.

The Certificate and the Bylaws of the Company contain certain provisions that could make more difficult the acquisition of the Company by means of a tender offer, a proxy contest or otherwise. These provisions are expected to discourage certain types of coercive takeover practices and inadequate takeover bids and to encourage persons seeking to acquire control of the Company to negotiate first with the Board of Directors. The Company believes that the benefits of these provisions outweigh the potential disadvantages of discouraging such proposals because, among other things, negotiation of such proposals might result in an improvement of their terms. The description set forth below is intended as a summary only and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Certificate and the Bylaws, which have been filed as exhibits to the Registration Statement of which this Prospectus is a part. See also "Description of Capital Stock--Restrictions on Transfers."

### **BUSINESS COMBINATIONS**

Upon completion of the Offering, the Company will be subject to the provisions of section 203 ("Section 203") of the DGCL. Section 203 provides, with certain exceptions, that a Delaware corporation may not engage in any of a broad range of business combinations with a person or affiliate, or associate of such person, who is an "interested stockholder" for a period of three years from the date that such person became an interested stockholder unless: (i) the transaction resulting in a person becoming an interested stockholder, or the business combination, was approved by the board of directors of the corporation before the consummation of such transaction; (ii) the interested stockholder owned 85% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation immediately after the transaction in which it became an interested stockholder (excluding shares owned by persons who are both officers and directors of the corporation, and shares held by certain employee stock ownership plans); or (iii) on or after the date the person becomes an interested stockholder, the business combination is approved by the corporation's board of directors and by the holders of at least 66 2/3% of the corporation's outstanding voting stock at an annual or special meeting, excluding shares owned by the interested stockholder. Under Section 203, an "interested stockholder" is defined (with certain limited exceptions) as any person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns or within the prior three years did own, 15% or more of the corporation's outstanding voting stock.

## AMENDMENT OF CERTIFICATE AND BYLAWS

The Company's Certificate may be amended only by the affirmative vote of the holders of two-thirds of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter except that amendments dealing with certain articles of the Certificate (for example, articles relating to stockholder action; the powers, election of, removal of and classification of directors; limitation of liability; and amendment of the By-laws or the Certificate) shall require the affirmative vote of not less than seventy-five percent of the outstanding votes entitled to be cast on the matter. Unless otherwise required by law, the Board of Directors may amend the Company's Bylaws by the affirmative vote of a majority of the directors then in office. The Bylaws may also be amended by the stockholders, at an annual meeting or at a special meeting called for such purpose, by the affirmative vote of at least seventy-five percent of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter; provided, that if the Board of Directors recommends that stockholders approve such amendment at such meeting, such amendment shall require the affirmative vote of only a majority of the shares present at such meeting and entitled to vote.

## DISSOLUTION OF THE COMPANY

The DGCL permits the dissolution of the Company by (i) the affirmative vote of a majority of the entire Board of Directors declaring such dissolution to be advisable and directing that the proposed dissolution be

submitted for consideration at an annual or special meeting of stockholders, and (ii) upon proper notice, stockholder approval by the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter.

#### MEETINGS OF STOCKHOLDERS

Under the Company's Bylaws, annual meetings of stockholders shall be held at such date and time as determined by the Board of Directors, the Chairman of the Board or the President. The Bylaws establish an advance notice procedure for stockholders to make nominations of candidates for directors or bring other business before an annual meeting of stockholders. Special meetings of stockholders may be called only by a majority of the Directors then in office and only matters set forth in the notice of the meeting may be considered and acted upon at such a meeting.

### THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Company's Certificate provides that the Board of Directors shall initially consist of five Directors and thereafter the number of Directors of the Company may be established by the Board of Directors but may not be fewer than the minimum number required by the DGCL nor more than eleven. Subject to the rights, if any, of the holders of any series of Preferred Stock to elect directors and to fill vacancies in the Board of Directors relating thereto, any vacancy will be filled, including any vacancy created by an increase in the number of Directors, at any regular meeting or at any special meeting called for the purpose, by a majority of the remaining Directors. Pursuant to the terms of the Certificate, the Directors are divided into three classes. One class will hold office initially for a term expiring at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 1998, another class will hold office initially for a term expiring at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 1999 and the third class will hold office initially for a term expiring in 2000. As the term of each class expires, Directors in that class will be elected for a term of three years and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. The use of a classified board may render more difficult a change in control of the Company or removal of incumbent management. The Company believes, however, that classification of the Board of Directors will help to assure the continuity and stability of its business strategies and policies.

The Certificate provides that the affirmative vote of 75% of the Directors then in office is required to approve certain transactions or actions of the Board, including a change of control (as defined) of the Company or of the Operating Partnership, any amendment to the Operating Partnership Agreement, any waiver of the limitations on ownership contained in the Certificate, certain issuances of equity securities by the Company or termination of the Company's status as a REIT.

# SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS PLAN AND OWNERSHIP LIMITATIONS

The Company will adopt a Shareholder Rights Plan prior to the completion of the Offering. In addition, the Certificate contains provisions that limit the ownership by any person of shares of any class or series of capital stock of the Company. See "Description of Capital Stock."

## LIMITATION OF LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION

The Company's Certificate generally limits the liability of the Company's Directors and officers to the Company to the fullest extent permitted from time to time by Delaware law. The DGCL permits, but does not require, a corporation to indemnify its directors, officers, employees or agents and expressly provides that the indemnification provided for under the DGCL shall not be deemed exclusive of any indemnification right under any bylaw, vote of stockholders or disinterested directors, or otherwise. The DGCL permits indemnification against expenses and certain other liabilities arising out of legal actions brought or threatened against such persons for their conduct on behalf of a corporation, provided that each such person acted in good faith and in a manner that he reasonably believed was in or not opposed to such corporation's best interests and in the case of a criminal proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful. The DGCL does not allow indemnification of directors in the case of an action by or in the right of a corporation (including stockholder derivative suits) unless the directors successfully defend the action or indemnification is ordered by the court.

The Bylaws provide that Directors and officers of the Company shall be, and, in the discretion of the Board of Directors, non-officer employees may be, indemnified by the Company to the fullest extent authorized by Delaware law, as it now exists or may in the future be amended, against all expenses and liabilities actually and reasonably incurred in connection with service for or on behalf of the Company. The Bylaws also provide that the right of directors and officers to indemnification shall be a contract right and shall not be exclusive of any other right now possessed or hereafter acquired under any bylaw, agreement, vote of stockholders, or otherwise. The Certificate contains a provision permitted by Delaware law that generally eliminates the personal liability of directors for monetary damages for breaches of their fiduciary duty, including breaches involving negligence or gross negligence in business combinations, unless the director has breached his or her duty of loyalty, failed to act in good faith, engaged in intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, paid a dividend or approved a stock repurchase in violation of the DGCL or obtained an improper personal benefit. The provision does not alter a director's liability under the federal securities laws. In addition, this provision does not affect the availability of equitable remedies, such as an injunction or rescission, for breach of fiduciary duty.

IT IS THE POSITION OF THE SEC THAT INDEMNIFICATION OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS FOR LIABILITIES ARISING UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED (THE "SECURITIES ACT") IS AGAINST PUBLIC POLICY AND IS UNENFORCEABLE PURSUANT TO SECTION 14 OF THE SECURITIES ACT.

## INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENTS

The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with each of its directors and executive officers. The indemnification agreements require, among other things, that the Company indemnify its directors and executive officers to the fullest extent permitted by law and advance to the directors and executive officers all related expenses, subject to reimbursement if it is subsequently determined that indemnification is not permitted. Under these agreements, the Company must also indemnify and advance all expenses incurred by directors and executive officers seeking to enforce their rights under the indemnification agreements and may cover directors and executive officers under the Company's directors' and officers' liability insurance. Although the form of indemnification agreement offers substantially the same scope of coverage afforded by law, it provides greater assurance to directors and executive officers that indemnification will be available, because, as a contract, it cannot be modified unilaterally in the future by the Board of Directors or the stockholders to eliminate the rights it provides.

#### SHARES AVAILABLE FOR FUTURE SALE

#### GENERAL

Upon the completion of the Offering, the Company will have outstanding shares of Common Stock ( shares if the Underwriters' overallotment option is exercised in full). In addition, shares of Common Stock are reserved for issuance upon exchange of OP Units. The shares of Common Stock issued in the Offering will be freely tradeable by persons other than "affiliates" of the Company without restriction under the Securities Act, subject to the limitations on ownership set forth in the Company's Certificate and Bylaws. See "Description of Capital Stock--Restrictions on Transfers." The shares of Common Stock acquired in redemption of OP Units (the "Restricted Shares") will be "restricted" securities under the meaning of Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities Act ("Rule 144") and may not be sold in the absence of registration under the Securities Act unless an exemption from registration is available, including exemptions contained in Rule 144. As described below under "--Registration Rights," the Company has granted certain holders registration rights with respect to their shares of Common Stock.

In general, under Rule 144 effective April 29, 1997, if one year has elapsed since the later of the date of acquisition of Restricted Shares from the Company or any "affiliate" of the Company, as that term is defined under the Securities Act, the acquiror or subsequent holder thereof is entitled to sell within any three-month period a number of shares that does not exceed the greater of 1% of the then outstanding shares of Common Stock or the average weekly trading volume of the Common Stock during the four calendar weeks preceding the date on which notice of the sale is filed with the SEC. Sales under Rule 144 are also subject to certain manner of sales provisions, notice requirements and the availability of current public information about the Company. If two years have elapsed since the date of acquisition of Restricted Shares from the Company or from any "affiliate" of the Company, and the acquiror or subsequent holder thereof is deemed not to have been an affiliate of the Company at any time during the 90 days preceding a sale, such person is entitled to sell such shares in the public market under Rule 144(k) without regard to the volume limitations, manner of sale provisions, public information requirements or notice requirements.

The Company has established the Stock Option Plan for the purpose of attracting and retaining directors, executive officers and other key employees. See "Management--Stock Option Plan" and "--Compensation of Directors." The Company intends to issue options to purchase approximately shares of Common Stock to directors, executive officers and certain key employees prior to the completion of the Offering and has reserved additional shares for future issuance under the Stock Option Plan. Prior to the expiration of the initial 12-month period following consummation of the Offering, the Company expects to file a registration statement on Form S-8 with the SEC with respect to the shares of Common Stock issuable under the Stock Option Plan, which shares may be resold without restriction, unless held by affiliates.

Prior to the Offering, there has been no public market for the Common Stock. Trading of the Common Stock on the NYSE is expected to commence immediately following the completion of the Offering. No prediction can be made as to the effect, if any, that future sales of shares, or the availability of shares for future sale, will have on the market price prevailing from time to time. Sales of substantial amounts of Common Stock (including shares issued upon the exercise of Options), or the perception that such sales occur, could adversely affect prevailing market prices of the Common Stock. See "Risk Factors--Risks Affecting Market for the Common Stock--No Prior Market" and "--Effect of Shares Available for Future Sale on Share Price."

## REGISTRATION RIGHTS

The Company has granted those persons with a direct or indirect interest in the Property Partnerships who will receive OP Units in the Formation Transactions certain registration rights with respect to the shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by them in connection with the exercise of the Redemption/Exchange Rights under the Operating Partnership Agreement. These registration rights require the Company to register all such shares of Common Stock effective as of that date which is fourteen months following completion of the Offering. The Company will bear expenses incident to its registration requirements under the registration rights, except that such expenses shall not include any underwriting discounts or commissions or transfer taxes, if any, relating to such shares.

#### FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES

The following is a summary of the material federal income tax consequences associated with an investment in the Common Stock. Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP, which has acted as tax counsel to the Company in connection with the formation of the Company and the Company's election to be taxed as a REIT, has reviewed the following discussion and is of the opinion that it is an accurate description of the federal income tax considerations that are likely to be material to a holder of Common Stock. The following discussion is not exhaustive of all possible tax considerations and is not tax advice. Moreover, this summary does not deal with all tax aspects that might be relevant to a particular prospective stockholder in light of his/her personal circumstances; nor does it deal with particular types of stockholders that are subject to special treatment under the Code, such as insurance companies, financial institutions and broker-dealers. The Code provisions governing the Federal income tax treatment of REITs are highly technical and complex, and this summary is qualified in its entirety by the applicable Code provisions, rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, and administrative and judicial interpretations thereof. The following discussion and the opinions of Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP are based on current law. Unless the context requires otherwise, references to the "Company" in this "Federal Income Tax Consequences" section refer only to Boston Properties, Inc

EACH PROSPECTIVE PURCHASER IS URGED TO CONSULT HIS OR HER OWN TAX ADVISOR WITH RESPECT TO SUCH PURCHASER'S SPECIFIC FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL, FOREIGN AND OTHER TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE PURCHASE, HOLDING AND SALE OF COMMON STOCK IN THE COMPANY.

### FEDERAL INCOME TAXATION OF THE COMPANY

Upon consultation with its advisers, the Company believes that it is in a position to qualify for treatment as a REIT for the year ended December 31, 1997, upon filing of its election to be taxed as a REIT, and intends to operate so as to meet the requirements under the Code for qualification as a REIT, commencing with its taxable year ended December 31, 1997 and thereafter. The Company also believes, after consultation with its advisers, that it has been organized, has operated and will operate in such a manner as to qualify for taxation as a REIT under the Code. No assurance can be given, however, that such requirements have been or will be met.

### OPINION OF TAX COUNSEL

Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP has acted as counsel to the Company in connection with the formation of the Company, the Offering and the Company's election to be taxed as a REIT. In the opinion of Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP, commencing with the Company's taxable year ended December 31, 1997, the Company will qualify to be taxed as a REIT under the Code, provided that (i) the elections and other procedural steps described in this discussion of "Federal Income Tax Consequences" are completed in a timely fashion and (ii) the Company and the Operating Partnership operate in accordance with various assumptions and factual representations made by the Company and the Operating Partnership concerning their business, properties and operations. It must be emphasized that Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP's opinion is based on various assumptions and is conditioned upon such assumptions and representations made by the Company and the Operating Partnership concerning their business and properties as set forth in this Prospectus. Such factual assumptions and representations are set forth below in this discussion of "Federal Income Tax Consequences." In addition, Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP's opinion is based upon the factual representations of the Company and the Operating Partnership concerning its business and properties as set forth in this Prospectus. Moreover, such qualification and taxation as a REIT depends upon the Company's ability to meet, through actual annual operating results, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership, the various qualification tests imposed under the Code discussed below, the results of which will not be reviewed by Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that the actual results of the Company's operations for any one taxable year will satisfy such requirements. See "Risk Factors--Failure to Qualify as a REIT; Other Tax Liabilities."

The opinion of Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP is also based upon existing law as currently applicable, IRS regulations, currently published administrative positions of the IRS and judicial decisions, which are subject to change either prospectively or retroactively. No assurance can be given that any such changes would not modify

the conclusions expressed in the opinion. Moreover, unlike a private letter ruling (which will not be sought), an opinion of counsel is not binding on the IRS, and no assurance can be given that the IRS will not successfully challenge the status of the Company as a REIT.

If the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, it generally will not be subject to federal corporate income taxes on that portion of its ordinary income or capital gain that is currently distributed to stockholders. The REIT provisions of the Code generally allow a REIT to deduct dividends paid to its stockholders. This deduction for dividends paid to stockholders substantially eliminates the federal "double taxation" on earnings (once at the corporate level and once again at the stockholder level) that usually results from investments in a corporation.

Even if the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, however, the Company will be subject to federal income tax, as follows: First, the Company will be taxed at regular corporate rates on its undistributed REIT taxable income, including undistributed net capital gains. Second, under certain circumstances, the Company may be subject to the "alternative minimum tax." Third, if the Company has net income from the sale or other disposition of "foreclosure property" that is held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business or other non-qualifying income from foreclosure property, it will be subject to tax at the highest corporate rate on such income. Fourth, if the Company has net income from prohibited transactions (which are, in general, certain sales or other dispositions of property other than foreclosure property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business), such income will be subject to a 100% tax. Fifth, if the Company should fail to satisfy either the 75% or 95% gross income test (discussed below) but has nonetheless maintained its qualification as a REIT because certain other requirements have been met, it will be subject to a 100% tax on the net income attributable to the greater of the amount by which the Company fails the 75% or 95% test, multiplied by a fraction intended to reflect the Company's profitability. Sixth, if the Company fails to distribute during each year at least the sum of (i) 85% of its REIT ordinary income for such year, (ii) 95% of its REIT capital gain net income for such year and (iii) any undistributed taxable income from prior periods, the Company will be subject to a 4% excise tax on the excess of such required distribution over the amounts actually distributed. Seventh, if the Company should acquire any asset from a C corporation (i.e., a corporation generally subject to full corporate-level tax) in a carryover-basis transaction and the Company subsequently recognizes gain on the disposition of such asset during the tenyear period (the "Recognition Period") beginning on the date on which the asset was acquired by the Company, then, to the extent of the excess of (a) the fair market value of the asset as of the beginning of the applicable Recognition Period over (b) the Company's adjusted basis in such asset as of the beginning of such Recognition Period (the "Built-In Gain"), such gain will be subject to tax at the highest regular corporate rate, pursuant to guidelines issued by the IRS (the "Built-In Gain Rules").

# REQUIREMENTS FOR QUALIFICATION

To qualify as a REIT, the Company must elect to be so treated and must meet the requirements, discussed below, relating to the Company's organization, sources of income, nature of assets and distributions of income to stockholders.

# ORGANIZATIONAL REQUIREMENTS

The Code defines a REIT as a corporation, trust or association: (i) that is managed by one or more directors or trustees, (ii) the beneficial ownership of which is evidenced by transferable shares or by transferable certificates of beneficial interest, (iii) that would be taxable as a domestic corporation but for the REIT requirements, (iv) that is neither a financial institution nor an insurance company subject to certain provisions of the Code, (v) the beneficial ownership of which is held by 100 or more persons, and (vi) during the last half of each taxable year not more than 50% in value of the outstanding stock of which is owned, directly or indirectly through the application of certain attribution rules, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities). In addition, certain other tests, described below, regarding the nature of its income and assets also must be satisfied. The Code provides that conditions (i) through (iv) inclusive, must be met during the entire taxable year and that condition (v) must be met during at least 335 days of a taxable year of 12 months, or during a proportionate part of a taxable year of less than 12 months. Conditions (v) and (vi) (the "100 Stockholder Requirement" and "Five or Fewer Requirement") will not apply until after the first taxable year for which an

election is made to be taxed as a REIT. For purposes of conditions (v) and (vi), pension funds and certain other tax-exempt entities are treated as individuals, subject to a "look-through" exception in the case of condition (vi).

Prior to consummation of the Offering, the Company did not satisfy conditions (v) and (vi) above. The Company's issuance of Common Stock in connection with the Formation Transactions and the Offering permitted it to satisfy the 100 Stockholder Requirement and the Five or Fewer Requirement. In order to protect the Company from a concentration of ownership of its stock that would cause the Company to fail the Five or Fewer Requirement, the Company's Certificate provides that stock owned, or deemed to be owned or transferred to a stockholder in excess of the Ownership Limit or the Look-Through Ownership Limit will automatically be converted into Excess Stock. See "Description of Capital Stock--Restrictions on Transfers." Because of the absence of authority on this issue, however, there is no assurance that the operation of the Excess Stock or other provisions contained in the Certificate will, as a matter of law, prevent a concentration of ownership of stock in excess of the Ownership Limit from causing the Company to violate the Five or Fewer Requirement. If there were a concentration of ownership that would cause the Company to violate the Five or Fewer Requirement, and the operation of the Excess Stock or other provisions contained in the Certificate were not held to cure such violation, the Company would be disqualified as a REIT. In rendering its opinion that the Company is organized in a manner that permits the Company to qualify as a REIT, Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP is relying on the representation of the Company that the ownership of its stock (without regard to the Excess Stock provisions) satisfies the Five or Fewer Requirement, and Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP expresses no opinion as to whether, as a matter of law, the Excess Stock or other provisions contained in the Certificate preclude the Company from failing the Five or Fewer Requirement.

In addition, a corporation may not elect to become a REIT unless its taxable year is the calendar year. The Company's taxable year is the calendar year.

In the case of a REIT that is a partner in a partnership, Treasury Regulations provide that the REIT will be deemed to own its proportionate share (based on its interest in partnership capital) of the assets of the partnership and will be deemed to be entitled to the income of the partnership attributable to such share. In addition, the character of the assets and gross income of the partnership shall retain the same character in the hands of the REIT for purposes of Section 856 of the Code, including satisfying the gross income tests and asset tests. Thus, the Company's proportionate share of the assets, liabilities and items of income of the Operating Partnership (including the Operating Partnership's share of the assets and liabilities and items of income with respect to any partnership in which it holds an interest) will be treated as assets, liabilities and items of income of the Company for purposes of applying the requirements described herein.

## INCOME TESTS

To maintain qualification as a REIT, three gross income requirements must be satisfied annually.

- . First, at least 75% of the Company's gross income, excluding gross income from certain dispositions of property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of a trade or business ("prohibited transactions"), for each taxable year must be derived directly or indirectly from investments relating to real property or mortgages on real property (including "rents from real property" and, in certain circumstances, interest) or from certain types of temporary investments.
- . Second, at least 95% of the Company's gross income (excluding gross income from prohibited transactions) for each taxable year must be derived from such real property investments described above and from dividends, interest and gain from the sale or disposition of stock or securities or from any combination of the foregoing.
- . Third, short-term gain from the sale or other disposition of stock or securities, gain from prohibited transactions and gain from the sale or other disposition of real property held for less than four years (apart from involuntary conversions and sales of foreclosure property) must represent less than 30% of the Company's gross income (including gross income from prohibited transactions) for each taxable year. For purposes of applying the 30% gross income test, the holding period of Properties acquired by the Operating Partnership in the Formation Transactions were deemed to have commenced on the date of acquisition.

Rents received or deemed to be received by the Company qualify as "rents from real property" in satisfying the gross income requirements for a REIT described above only if several conditions are met.

- . First, the amount of rent generally must not be based in whole or in part on the income or profits of any person. An amount received or accrued generally will not be excluded from the term "rents from real property," however, solely by reason of being based on a fixed percentage or percentages of receipts or sales.
- . Second, the Code provides that rents received from a tenant will not qualify as "rents from real property" in satisfying the gross income tests if the REIT, or an owner of 10% or more of the REIT, directly or constructively owns 10% or more of such tenant (a "Related Party Tenant") or a subtenant of such tenant (in which case only rent attributable to the subtenant is disqualified).
- . Third, if rent attributable to personal property, leased in connection with a lease of real property, is greater than 15% of the total rent received under the lease, then the portion of rent attributable to the personal property will not qualify as "rents from real property."
- . Finally, for rents to qualify as "rents from real property" the REIT must not operate or manage the property or furnish or render services to tenants, other than through an "independent contractor" who is adequately compensated and from whom the REIT does not derive any income; provided, however, that a REIT may provide services with respect to its properties and the income will qualify as "rents from real property" if the services are "usually or customarily rendered" in connection with the rental of room or other space for occupancy only and are not otherwise considered "rendered to the occupant."

The Company does not charge rent that is based in whole or in part on the income or profits of any person (except by reason of being based on a fixed percentage or percentages of receipts or sales consistent with the rule described above). The Company does not derive, and does not anticipate deriving, rent attributable to personal property leased in connection with real property that exceeds 15% of the total rents.

Pursuant to leases with respect to the Hotel Properties, ZL Hotel LLC will lease from the Operating Partnership the Hotel Properties for a ten year period. The hotel leases provide that ZL Hotel LLC will be obligated to pay to the Operating Partnership (i) the greater of Base Rent or Participating Rent (collectively, the "Rents") and (ii) Additional Charges. Participating Rent is calculated by multiplying fixed percentages by various revenue categories for each of the Hotel Properties. Both Base Rent and the thresholds in the Participating Rent formulas will be adjusted for inflation. Base Rent accrues and is required to be paid monthly. Participating Rent is payable monthly, with monthly adjustments based on actual results.

In order for Base Rent, Participating Rent and Additional Charges to constitute "rents from real property," the leases must be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes and not treated as service contracts, joint ventures or some other type of arrangement. The determination of whether the leases are true leases depends on an analysis of all the surrounding facts and circumstances. In making such a determination, courts have considered a variety of factors, including the following: (i) the intent of the parties, (ii) the form of the agreement, (iii) the degree of control over the property that is retained by the property owner (e.g., whether the lessee has substantial control over the operation of the property or whether the lessee was required simply to use its best efforts to perform its obligations under the agreement), and (iv) the extent to which the property owner retains the risk of loss with respect to the property (e.g., whether the lessee bears the risk of increases in operating expenses or the risk of damage to the property) or the potential for economic gain (e.g., appreciation ) with respect to the property.

In addition, Code section 7701(e) provides that a contract that purports to be a service contract (or a partnership agreement) is treated instead as a lease of property if the contract is properly treated as such, taking into account all relevant factors, including whether or not: (i) the service recipient is in physical possession of the property, (ii) the service recipient controls the property, (iii) the service recipient has a significant economic or possessory interest in the property (e.g., the property's use is likely to be dedicated to the service recipient for a substantial portion of the useful life of the property, the recipient shares the risk that the property will decline in value, the recipient shares in any appreciation in the value of the property, the recipient shares in savings in

the property's operating costs, or the recipient bears the risk of damage to or loss of the property), (iv) the service provider does not bear any risk of substantially diminished receipts or substantially increased expenditures if there is nonperformance under the contract, (v) the service provider does not use the property concurrently to provide significant services to entities unrelated to the service recipient, and (vi) the total contract price does not substantially exceed the rental value of the property for the contract period. Since the determination whether a service contract should be treated as a lease is inherently factual, the presence or absence of any single factor may not be dispositive in every case. The hotel leases have been structured to qualify as true leases for federal income tax purposes.

Investors should be aware that there are no controlling Treasury Regulations, published rulings, or judicial decisions involving leases with terms substantially the same as the hotel leases that discuss whether such leases constitute true leases for federal income tax purposes. Therefore, there can be no complete assurance that the IRS will not assert a contrary position. If the leases are recharacterized as service contracts or partnership agreements, rather than true leases, part or all of the payments that the Operating Partnership receives from the lessee would not be considered rent or would not otherwise satisfy the various requirements for qualification as "rents from real property." In that case, the Company likely would not be able to satisfy either the 75% or 95% gross income tests and, as a result, would lose its REIT status.

As indicated above, "rents from real property" must not be based in whole or in part on the income or profits of any person. The Participating Rent should qualify as "rents from real property" since it is based on percentages of receipts or sales which percentages are fixed at the time the leases are entered into, provided (i) the leases are not renegotiated during the term of the leases in a manner that has the effect of basing Participating Rent on income or profits and (ii) the leases conform with normal business practice. More generally, the Participating Rent will not qualify as "rents from real property" if, considering the hotel leases and all the surrounding circumstances, the arrangement does not conform with normal business practice, but is in reality used as a means of basing the Participating Rent on income or profits. Since the Participating Rent is based on fixed percentages of the gross revenues from the hotels that are established in the hotel leases, and the Company has represented that the percentages (i) will not be renegotiated during the terms of the leases in a manner that has the effect of basing the Participating Rent on income or profits and (ii) conform with normal business practice, the Participating Rent should not be considered based in whole or in part on the income or profits of any person. Furthermore, the Company has represented that, with respect to other hotel properties that it acquires in the future, it will not charge rent for any property that is based in whole or in part on the income or profits of any person (except by reason of being based on a fixed percentage of gross revenues, as described above.)

Pursuant to leases with independent third parties, the Operating Partnership or certain subsidiary partnerships will lease the Garage Property and the garage portions of certain of the Office Properties to independent third parties for periods between one to three years. The parking leases provide that the Operating Partnership will receive rent based on the gross receipts of the parking garage. The same "true lease" and "rent from real property" analysis applies with respect to the parking leases as is described above for the hotel leases. The garage leases also have been structured to qualify as true leases for federal income tax purposes. As is the case with respect to the hotel leases, there can be no complete assurance that the IRS will not assert a contrary position, which if successful could result in the loss of the Company's status as a REIT.

Through the Operating Partnership, which is not an "independent contractor," the Company provides certain services with respect to the Properties, but the Company believes (and has represented to Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP) that all such services are considered "usually or customarily rendered" in connection with the rental of space for occupancy only, so that the provision of such services does not jeopardize the qualification of rent from the Properties as "rents from real property." In rendering its opinion on the Company's ability to qualify as a REIT, Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP is relying on such representations. In the case of any services that are not "usual and customary" under the foregoing rules, the Company intends to employ "independent contractors" to provide such services.

The Operating Partnership may receive certain types of income with respect to the properties it owns that will not qualify under the 75% or 95% gross income test. In particular, dividends on the Company's stock in the Development and Management Company will not qualify under the 75% gross income test. The Company

believes, however, that the aggregate amount of such non-qualifying income in any taxable year will not cause the Company to exceed the limits on non-qualifying income under the 75% and 95% gross income tests.

If the Company fails to satisfy one or both of the 75% or 95% gross income tests for any taxable year, it may nevertheless qualify as a REIT for that year if it is eligible for relief under certain provisions of the Code. These relief provisions generally will be available if (i) the Company's failure to meet these tests was due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect, (ii) the Company attaches a schedule of the sources of its income to its Federal income tax return and (iii) any incorrect information on the schedule is not due to fraud with intent to evade tax. It is not possible, however, to state whether, in all circumstances, the Company would be entitled to the benefit of these relief provisions. For example, if the Company fails to satisfy the gross income tests because nonqualifying income that the Company intentionally incurs exceeds the limits on such income, the IRS could conclude that the Company's failure to satisfy the tests was not due to reasonable cause. As discussed above in "--Opinion of Tax Counsel," even if these relief provisions apply, a tax would be imposed with respect to the excess net income. No similar mitigation provision provides relief if the Company fails the 30% income test, and in such case, the Company will cease to qualify as a REIT. See "Risk Factors--Failure to Qualify as a REIT; Other Tax Liabilities."

## ASSET TESTS

At the close of each quarter of its taxable year, the Company also must satisfy three tests relating to the nature and diversification of its assets.

- . First, at least 75% of the value of the Company's total assets must be represented by real estate assets, cash, cash items and government securities.
- . Second, no more than 25% of the Company's total assets may be represented by securities other than those in the 75% asset class.
- . Third, of the investments included in the 25% asset class, the value of any one issuer's securities owned by the Company may not exceed 5% of the value of the Company's total assets, and the Company may not own more than 10% of any one issuer's outstanding voting securities.

The 5% test must generally be met for any quarter in which the Company acquires securities of an issuer. Thus, this requirement must be satisfied not only on the date the Company acquires securities of the Development and Management Company, but also each time the Company increases its ownership of securities of the Development and Management Company (including as a result of increasing its interest in the Operating Partnership as limited partners exercise their redemotion rights).

The Operating Partnership owns 100% of the nonvoting stock and 1% of the voting stock of the Development and Management Company, and by virtue of its ownership of Units, the Company is considered to own its pro rata share of such stock. Neither the Company nor the Operating Partnership, however, owns more than 10% of the voting securities of the Development and Management Company. In addition, the Company and its senior management do not believe that the Company's pro rata share of the value of the securities of the Development and Management Company exceeds 5% of the total value of the Company's assets. The Company's belief is based in part upon its analysis of the value of the equity and unsecured debt securities of the Development and Management Company owned by the Operating Partnership relative to the value of the other assets owned by the Operating Partnership. No independent appraisals have been obtained to support this conclusion, however, and Goodwin, Procter and Hoar LLP, in rendering its opinion as to the qualification of the Company as a REIT, is relying on the conclusions of the Company and its senior management as to the value of the securities of the Development and Management Company. There can be no assurance that the IRS might not contend that the value of the securities of the Development and Management Company held by the Company (through the Operating Partnership) exceeds the 5% value limitation.

As noted above, the 5% value requirement must be satisfied not only on the date the Company acquires equity and unsecured debt securities of the Development and Management Company, but also each time the Company increases its ownership of such securities of the Development and Management Company (including as a result of increasing its interest in the Operating Partnership as partners exercise their redemption rights).

Although the Company plans to take steps to ensure that it satisfied the 5% value test for any quarter with respect to which retesting is to occur, there can be no assurance that such steps will always be successful or will not require a reduction in the Company's overall interest in the Development and Management Company.

After initially meeting the asset tests at the close of any quarter, the Company will not lose its status as a REIT for failure to satisfy the asset tests at the end of a later quarter solely by reason of changes in asset values. If the failure to satisfy the asset tests results from an acquisition of securities or other property during a quarter, the failure can be cured by disposition of sufficient nonqualifying assets within 30 days after the close of that quarter. The Company maintains, and will continue to maintain, adequate records of the value of its assets to ensure compliance with the asset tests and will take such other actions within 30 days after the close of any quarter as may be required to cure any noncompliance.

## ANNUAL DISTRIBUTION REQUIREMENTS

In order to be taxed as a REIT, the Company is required to distribute dividends (other than capital gain dividends) to its stockholders in an amount at least equal to (a) the sum of (i) 95% of the Company's "REIT taxable income" (computed without regard to the dividends-paid deduction and the Company's capital gain) and (ii) 95% of the net income, if any, from foreclosure property in excess of the special tax on income from foreclosure property, minus (b) the sum of certain items of non-cash income. Such distributions must be paid in the taxable year to which they relate, or in the following taxable year if declared before the Company timely files its Federal income tax return for such year and if paid on or before the first regular dividend payment after such declaration. Even if the Company satisfies the foregoing distribution requirements, to the extent that the Company does not distribute all of its net capital gain or "REIT taxable income" as adjusted, it will be subject to tax thereon at regular capital gains or ordinary corporate tax rates. Furthermore, if the Company should fail to distribute during each calendar year at least the sum of (a) 85% of its ordinary income for that year, (b) 95% of its capital gain net income for that year and (c) any undistributed taxable income from prior periods, the Company would be subject to a 4% excise tax on the excess of such required distribution over the amounts actually distributed. In addition, if the Company disposes of any asset subject to the Built-In Gain Rules during the applicable Recognition Period, the Company will be required, pursuant to guidance issued by the IRS, to distribute at least 95% of the Built-In Gain (after tax), if any, recognized on the disposition of the asset.

The Company intends to make timely distributions sufficient to satisfy the annual distribution requirements. In this regard, the Operating Partnership Agreement authorizes the Company, as general partner, to take such steps as may be necessary to cause the Operating Partnership to distribute to its partners an amount sufficient to permit the Company to meet these distribution requirements.

It is expected that the Company's REIT taxable income will be less than its cash flow due to the allowance of depreciation and other non-cash charges in computing REIT taxable income. Accordingly, the Company anticipates that it will generally have sufficient cash or liquid assets to enable it to satisfy the 95% distribution requirement. It is possible, however, that the Company, from time to time, may not have sufficient cash or other liquid assets to meet the 95% distribution requirement or to distribute such greater amount as may be necessary to avoid income and excise taxation, as a result of timing differences between (i) the actual receipt of income and actual payment of deductible expenses and (ii) the inclusion of such income and deduction of such expenses in arriving at taxable income of the Company, or as a result of nondeductible expenses such as principal amortization or capital expenditures in excess of noncash deductions. In the event that such timing differences occur, the Company may find it necessary to arrange for borrowings or, if possible, pay taxable stock dividends in order to meet the dividend requirement.

Under certain circumstances, the Company may be able to rectify a failure to meet the distribution requirement for a year by paying "deficiency dividends" to stockholders in a later year, which may be included in the Company's deduction for dividends paid for the earlier year. Thus, the Company may be able to avoid being taxed on amounts distributed as deficiency dividends. The Company will, however, be required to pay interest based upon the amount of any deduction taken for deficiency dividends.

#### FAILURE TO OUALIFY

If the Company fails to qualify for taxation as a REIT in any taxable year and the relief provisions do not apply, the Company will be subject to tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on its taxable income at regular corporate rates. Distributions to stockholders in any year in which the Company fails to qualify will not be deductible by the Company nor will they be required to be made. In such event, to the extent of current or accumulated earnings and profits, all distributions to stockholders will be dividends, taxable as ordinary income, and subject to certain limitations of the Code, corporate distributees may be eligible for the dividends-received deduction. Unless the Company is entitled to relief under specific statutory provisions, the Company also will be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification was lost. It is not possible to state whether in all circumstances the Company would be entitled to such statutory relief. For example, if the Company fails to satisfy the gross income tests because nonqualifying income that the Company intentionally incurs exceeds the limit on such income, the IRS could conclude that the Company's failure to satisfy the tests was not due to reasonable cause. See "Risk Factors--Failure to Qualify as a REIT; Other Tax Liabilities."

### TAXATION OF U.S. STOCKHOLDERS

As used herein, the term "U.S. Stockholder" means a holder of Common Stock that for United States federal income tax purposes (a) is a citizen or resident of the United States, (b) is a corporation, partnership or other entity created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or of any political subdivision thereof or (c) is an estate or trust, the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source. For any taxable year for which the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, amounts distributed to taxable U.S. Stockholders will be taxed as follows.

## DISTRIBUTIONS GENERALLY

Distributions to U.S. Stockholders, other than capital gain dividends discussed below, will constitute dividends up to the amount of the Company's current or accumulated earnings and profits and will be taxable to the stockholders as ordinary income. These distributions are not eligible for the dividends-received deduction for corporations. To the extent that the Company makes a distribution in excess of its current or accumulated earnings and profits, the distribution will be treated first as a tax-free return of capital, reducing the tax basis in the U.S. Stockholder's Common Stock, and the amount of such distribution in excess of a U.S. Stockholder's tax basis in its Common Stock will be taxable as gain realized from the sale of its Common Stock. Dividends declared by the Company in October, November or December of any year payable to a stockholder of record on a specified date in any such month shall be treated as both paid by the Company and received by the stockholder on December 31 of the year, provided that the dividend is actually paid by the Company during January of the following calendar year. Stockholders may not include on their own federal income tax returns any losses of the Company.

The Company will be treated as having sufficient earnings and profits to treat as a dividend any distribution by the Company up to the amount required to be distributed in order to avoid imposition of the 4% excise tax discussed in "--Opinion of Tax Counsel" above. Moreover, any "deficiency dividend" will be treated as an ordinary or capital gain dividend, as the case may be, regardless of the Company's earnings and profits. As a result, stockholders may be required to treat certain distributions that would otherwise result in a tax-free return of capital as taxable dividends.

## CAPITAL GAIN DIVIDENDS

Dividends to U.S. Stockholders that are properly designated by the Company as capital gain dividends will be treated as long-term capital gains (to the extent they do not exceed the Company's actual net capital gain) for the taxable year without regard to the period for which the stockholder has held his stock. However, corporate stockholders may be required to treat up to 20% of certain capital gain dividends as ordinary income. Capital gain dividends are not eligible for the dividends-received deduction for corporations.

#### PASSIVE ACTIVITY LOSS AND INVESTMENT INTEREST LIMITATIONS

Distributions from the Company and gain from the disposition of Common Stock will not be treated as passive activity income, and therefore stockholders may not be able to apply any "passive losses" against such income. Dividends from the Company (to the extent they do not constitute a return of capital) will generally be treated as investment income for purposes of the investment income limitation. Under recently enacted legislation, net capital gain from the disposition of Common Stock and capital gain dividends generally will be excluded from investment income.

#### CERTAIN DISPOSITIONS OF SHARES

Losses incurred on the sale or exchange of Common Stock held for less than six months (after applying certain holding period rules) will be deemed long-term capital loss to the extent of any capital gain dividends received by the selling stockholder from those shares.

### TREATMENT OF TAX-EXEMPT STOCKHOLDERS

Distributions from the Company to a tax-exempt employee pension trust or other domestic tax-exempt stockholder generally, will not constitute "unrelated business taxable income" ("UBTI") unless the stockholder has borrowed to acquire or carry its Common Stock. Qualified trusts that hold more than 10% (by value) of the shares of certain REITS, however, may be required to treat a certain percentage of such a REIT's distributions as UBTI. This requirement will apply only if (i) the REIT would not qualify as such for federal income tax purposes but for the application of the "look-through" exception to the Five or Fewer Requirement applicable to shares held by qualified trusts and (ii) the REIT is "predominantly held" by qualified trusts. A REIT is predominantly held by qualified trusts if either (i) a single qualified trust holds more than 25% by value of the interests in the REIT or (ii) one or more qualified trusts, each owning more than 10% by value of the interests in the REIT, hold in the aggregate more than 50% of the interests in the REIT. The percentage of any REIT dividend treated as UBTI is equal to the ratio of (a) the UBTI earned by the REIT (treating the REIT as if it were a qualified trust and therefore subject to tax on UBTI) to (b) the total gross income (less certain associated expenses) of the REIT. A de minimis exception applies where the ratio set forth in the preceding sentence is less than 5% for any year. For these purposes, a qualified trust is any trust described in section 401(a) of the Code and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of the Code. The provisions requiring qualified trusts to treat a portion of REIT distributions as UBTI will not apply if the REIT is able to satisfy the Five or Fewer Requirement without relying upon the "look-through" exception.

# SPECIAL TAX CONSIDERATIONS FOR FOREIGN STOCKHOLDERS

The rules governing United States income taxation of non-resident alien individuals, foreign corporations, foreign partnerships and foreign trusts and estates (collectively, "Non-U.S. Stockholders") are complex, and the following discussion is intended only as a summary of these rules. Prospective Non-U.S. Stockholders should consult with their own tax advisors to determine the impact of federal, state and local income tax laws on an investment in the Company, including any reporting requirements.

In general, Non-U.S. Stockholders will be subject to regular United States federal income tax with respect to their investment in the Company if the investment is "effectively connected" with the Non-U.S. Stockholder's conduct of a trade or business in the United States. A corporate Non-U.S. Stockholder that receives income that is (or is treated as) effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business also may be subject to the branch profits tax under section 884 of the Code, which is payable in addition to regular United States federal corporate income tax. The following discussion will apply to Non-U.S. Stockholders whose investment in the Company is not so effectively connected.

A distribution by the Company that is not attributable to gain from the sale or exchange by the Company of a United States real property interest and that is not designated by the Company as a capital gain dividend will be treated as an ordinary income dividend to the extent that it is made out of current or accumulated earnings and profits. Generally, any ordinary income dividend will be subject to a United States federal income tax equal to 30% of the gross amount of the dividend unless this tax is reduced by an applicable tax treaty. Such a distribution in excess of the Company's earnings and profits will be treated first as a return of capital that will

reduce a Non-U.S. Stockholder's basis in its Common Stock (but not below zero) and then as gain from the disposition of such shares, the tax treatment of which is described under the rules discussed below with respect to dispositions of Common Stock.

Distributions by the Company that are attributable to gain from the sale or exchange of a United States real property interest will be taxed to a Non-U.S. Stockholder under the Foreign Investment in Real Property Tax Act of 1980 ("FIRPTA"). Under FIRPTA, such distributions are taxed to a Non-U.S. Stockholder as if the distributions were gains "effectively connected" with a United States trade or business. Accordingly, a Non-U.S. Stockholder will be taxed at the normal capital gain rates applicable to a U.S. Stockholder (subject to any applicable alternative minimum tax and a special alternative minimum tax in the case of non-resident alien individuals). Distributions subject to FIRPTA also may be subject to a 30% branch profits tax when made to a foreign corporate stockholder that is not entitled to treaty exemptions.

Although tax treaties may reduce the Company's withholding obligations, the Company generally will be required to withhold from distributions to Non-U.S. Stockholders, and remit to the IRS, (i) 35% of designated capital gain dividends (or, if greater, 35% of the amount of any distributions that could be designated as capital gain dividends) and (ii) 30% of ordinary dividends paid out of earnings and profits. In addition, if the Company designates prior distributions as capital gain dividends, subsequent distributions, up to the amount of such prior distributions, will be treated as capital gain dividends for purposes of withholding. A distribution in excess of the Company's earnings and profits will be subject to 30% dividend withholding if at the time of the distribution it cannot be determined whether the distribution will be in an amount in excess of the Company's current or accumulated earnings and profits. If the amount of tax withheld by the Company with respect to a distribution to a Non-U.S. Stockholder exceeds the stockholder's United States tax liability with respect to such distribution, the Non-U.S. Stockholder may file for a refund of such excess from the IRS.

Unless the Common Stock constitutes a "United States real property interest" within the meaning of FIRPTA, a sale of Common Stock by a Non-U.S. Stockholder generally will not be subject to United States federal income taxation. The Common Stock will not constitute a United States real property interest if the Company is a "domestically controlled REIT." A domestically controlled REIT is a REIT in which at all times during a specified testing period less than 50% in value of its shares is held directly or indirectly by Non-U.S. Stockholders. It is currently anticipated that the Company will be a domestically controlled REIT and therefore that sales of Common Stock will not be subject to taxation under FIRPTA. However, because the Common Stock will be publicly traded, no assurance can be given that the Company will continue to be a domestically controlled REIT. If the Company were not a domestically controlled REIT, whether a Non-U.S. Stockholder's sale of Common Stock would be subject to tax under FIRPTA as a sale of a United States real property interest would depend on whether the Common Stock were "regularly traded" on an established securities market (such as the NYSE on which the Common Stock will be listed) and on the size of the selling stockholder's interest in the Company. If the gain on the sale of Common Stock were subject to taxation under FIRPTA, the Non-U.S. Stockholder would be subject to the same treatment as a U.S. Stockholder with respect to the gain (subject to any applicable alternative minimum tax and a special alternative minimum tax in the case of non-resident alien individuals). In addition, distributions that are treated as gain from the disposition of Common Stock and are subject to tax under FIRPTA also may be subject to a 30% branch profit tax when made to a foreign corporate stockholder that is not entitled to treaty exemptions. In any event, a purchaser of Common Stock from a Non-U.S. Stockholder will not be required to withhold under FIRPTA on the purchase price if the purchased Common Stock is "regularly traded" on an established securities market (such as the NYSE) or if the Company is a domestically controlled REIT. Otherwise, under FIRPTA the purchaser of Common Stock may be required to withhold 10% of the purchase price and remit this amount to the IRS. Capital gains not subject to FIRPTA will be taxable to a Non-U.S. Stockholder if the Non-U.S. Stockholder is a non-resident alien individual who is present in the United States for 183 days or more during the taxable year and certain other conditions apply, in which case the non-resident alien individual will be subject to a 30% tax on his or her U.S. source capital gains.

# INFORMATION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS AND BACKUP WITHHOLDING TAX

Under certain circumstances, U.S. Stockholders may be subject to backup withholding at a rate of 31% on payments made with respect to, or cash proceeds of a sale or exchange of, Common Stock. Backup withholding

will apply only if the holder (i) fails to furnish his or her taxpayer identification number ("TIN") (which, for an individual, would be his or her Social Security Number), (ii) furnishes an incorrect TIN, (iii) is notified by the IRS that he or she has failed properly to report payments of interest and dividends or is otherwise subject to backup withholding or (iv) under certain circumstances, fails to certify, under penalties of perjury, that he or she has furnished a correct TIN and (a) that he or she has not been notified by the IRS that he or she is subject to backup withholding for failure to report interest and dividend payments or (b) that he or she has been notified by the IRS that he or she is no longer subject to backup withholding. Backup withholding will not apply with respect to payments made to certain exempt recipients, such as corporations and tax-exempt organizations.

U.S. Stockholders should consult their own tax advisors regarding their qualifications for exemption from backup withholding and the procedure for obtaining such an exemption. Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Rather, the amount of any backup withholding with respect to a payment to a U.S. Stockholder will be allowed as a credit against the U.S. Stockholder's United States federal income tax liability and may entitle the U.S. Stockholder to a refund, provided that the required information is furnished to the IRS.

Additional issues may arise pertaining to information reporting and backup withholding for Non-U.S. Stockholders. Non-U.S. Stockholders should consult their tax advisors with regard to U.S. information reporting and backup withholding.

### OTHER TAX CONSIDERATIONS

## EFFECT OF TAX STATUS OF OPERATING PARTNERSHIP ON REIT QUALIFICATION

Substantially all of the Company's investments are through the Operating Partnership. In addition, the Operating Partnership holds interests in certain Properties through subsidiary partnerships. The Company's interest in these partnerships may involve special tax considerations. Such considerations include (i) the allocations of items of income and expense, which could affect the computation of taxable income of the Company, (ii) the status of the Operating Partnership, and other subsidiary partnerships as partnerships (as opposed to associations taxable as corporations) for federal income tax purposes, and (iii) the taking of actions by the Operating Partnership and subsidiary partnerships that could adversely affect the Company's qualifications as a REIT. In the opinion of Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP, based on certain representations of the Company and its subsidiaries, each of the Operating Partnership, and the other subsidiary partnerships in which the Operating Partnership has an interest will be treated for Federal income tax purposes as a partnership (and not as an association taxable as a corporation). If any of the Operating Partnership, or other subsidiary partnerships in which the Operating Partnership has an interest were treated as an association taxable as a corporation, the Company would fail to qualify as a REIT for a number of reasons.

# TAX ALLOCATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE PROPERTIES

When property is contributed to a partnership in exchange for an interest in the partnership, the partnership generally takes a carryover basis in that property for tax purposes equal to the adjusted basis of the contributing partner in the property, rather than a basis equal to the fair market value of the property at the time of contribution. Pursuant to section 704(c) of the Code, income, gain, loss and deduction attributable to such contributed property must be allocated in a manner such that the contributing partner is charged with, or benefits from, respectively, the unrealized gain or unrealized loss associated with the property at the time of the contribution. The amount of such unrealized gain or unrealized loss is generally equal to the difference between the fair market value of the contributed property at the time of contribution and the adjusted tax basis of such property at the time of contribution (a "Book-Tax Difference"). Such allocations are solely for Federal income tax purposes and do not affect the book capital accounts or other economic or legal arrangements among the partners. The Operating Partnership was formed by way of contributions of appreciated property (including certain of the Properties). Consequently, the Operating Partnership Agreement requires such allocations to be made in a manner consistent with section 704(c) of the Code. Final and temporary Regulations under Section 704(c) of the Code provide partnerships with a choice of several

methods of accounting for Book-Tax Differences for property contributed to a partnership on or after December 21, 1993, including the retention of the "traditional method" that was available under prior law or the election of certain alternative methods. The Company intends to elect the "traditional method" of Section 704(c) allocations. Under the traditional method, which is the least favorable method from the Company's perspective, the carryover basis of contributed interests in the Properties in the hands of the Operating Partnership could cause the Company (i) to be allocated lower amounts of depreciation deductions for tax purposes than would be allocated to the Company if all Properties were to have a tax basis equal to their fair market value at the time of contribution and (ii) to be allocated taxable gain in the event of a sale of such contributed interests in the Properties in excess of the economic or book income allocated to the Company as a result of such sale, with a corresponding benefit to the other partners in the Operating Partnership. These allocations possibly could cause the Company to recognize taxable income in excess of cash proceeds, which might adversely affect the Company's ability to comply with REIT distribution requirements, although the Company does not anticipate that this will occur.

Interests in the Properties purchased for cash by the Operating Partnership simultaneously with or subsequent to the admission of the Company to the Operating Partnership will initially have a tax basis equal to their fair market value. Thus, Section 704(c) of the Code will not apply to such interests.

A portion of the amounts to be used to fund distributions to stockholders is expected to come from the Development and Management Company, through dividends on stock held by the Operating Partnership. The Development and Management Company will not qualify as a REIT and will pay federal, state and local income taxes on its taxable income at normal corporate rates. The federal, state or local income taxes that the company is required to pay will reduce the amount of dividends payable by such company to the Operating Partnership and cash available for distribution by the Company, which in turn could require the Operating Partnership to secure funds from additional sources in order to allow the Company to make required distributions.

As described above, the value of the equity and unsecured debt securities of the Development and Management Company held by the Company cannot exceed 5% of the value of the Company's assets at a time when a Partner exercises his redemption right (or the Company otherwise is considered to acquire additional securities of the Development and Management Company). See "--Requirements for Qualification--Asset Tests." This limitation may restrict the ability of the Development and Management Company to increase the size of its respective business unless the value of the assets of the Company is increasing at a commensurate rate.

# STATE AND LOCAL TAX

The Company and its operating subsidiaries may be subject to state and local tax in states and localities in which they do business or own property. The tax treatment of the Company and its operating subsidiaries and the holders of Common Stock in such jurisdictions may differ from the federal income tax treatment described above.

139

#### UNDERWRITING

Subject to the terms and conditions in the United States purchase agreement (the "U.S. Purchase Agreement"), among the Company and each of the underwriters named below (the "U.S. Underwriters"), and concurrently with the sale of 5,900,000 shares to the International Managers (as defined below), the Company has agreed to sell to each of the U.S. Underwriters, for whom Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc., PaineWebber Incorporated, Prudential Securities Incorporated, and Smith Barney Inc. are acting as representatives (the "U.S. Representatives"), and each of the U.S. Underwriters has severally agreed to purchase from the Company, the respective number of shares of Common Stock set forth opposite their respective names:

UNDERWRITER	NUMBER OF SHARES
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith	23,600,000.00

The Company has also entered into a purchase agreement (the "International Purchase Agreement" and, together with the U.S. Purchase Agreement, the "Purchase Agreements") with certain underwriters outside the United States and Canada (the "International Managers" and, together with the U.S. Underwriters, the "Underwriters") for whom Merrill Lynch International, Goldman Sachs International, Bear, Stearns International Limited, PaineWebber International (U.K.) Ltd., Prudential-Bache Securites, and Smith Barney Inc. are acting as lead managers. Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the International Purchase Agreement and concurrently with the sale of 23,600,000 shares of Common Stock to the U.S. Underwriters pursuant to the U.S. Purchase Agreement, the Company has agreed to sell to the International Managers, and the International Managers have severally agreed to purchase from the Company, an aggregate of 5,900,000 shares of Common Stock. The initial public offering price per share and the total underwriting discount per share are identical under the U.S. Purchase Agreement and the International Purchase Agreement.

In each Purchase Agreement, the several U.S. Underwriters and the several International Managers have agreed, respectively, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in such Purchase Agreement, to purchase all of the shares of Common Stock being sold pursuant to such Purchase Agreement if any of such shares of Common Stock are purchased. Under certain circumstances, the commitments of non-defaulting U.S. Underwriters or International Managers (as the case may be) may be increased. The sale of shares of Common Stock pursuant to the U.S. Purchase Agreement and the International Purchase Agreement are conditioned upon each other.

The U.S. Representatives have advised the Company that the U.S. Underwriters propose to offer the Common Stock to the public at the initial public offering price set forth on the cover page of this Prospectus and to certain dealers at such price less a concession not in excess of  $per\$  per share. The U.S. Underwriters may allow, and such dealers may re-allow, a discount not in excess of per share on sales to certain other brokers and dealers. After the date of this Prospectus, the initial public offering price and concession and discount may be changed.

The Company has been informed that the U.S. Underwriters and the International Managers have entered into an agreement (the "Intersyndicate Agreement") providing for the coordination of their activities. Under the terms of the Intersyndicate Agreement, the U.S. Underwriters and the International Managers are permitted to sell shares of Common Stock to each other for purposes of resale at the initial public offering price, less an amount not greater than the selling concession. Under the terms of the Intersyndicate Agreement, the International Managers and any dealer to whom they sell shares of Common Stock will not offer to sell or sell

shares of Common Stock to persons who are United States persons or Canadian persons or to persons they believe intend to resell to persons who are United States persons or Canadian persons, and the U.S. Underwriters and any dealer to whom they sell shares of Common Stock will not offer to sell or sell shares of Common Stock to persons who are non-United States and non-Canadian persons or to persons they believe intend to resell to non-United States and non-Canadian persons, except in each case for transactions pursuant to such agreement.

The Company has granted to the U.S. Underwriters an option, exercisable for 30 days after the date of this Prospectus, to purchase up to 3,540,000 additional shares of Common Stock to cover overallotments, if any, at the initial public offering price, less the underwriting discount set forth on the cover page of this Prospectus. If the U.S. Underwriters exercise this option, each U.S. Underwriter will have a firm commitment, subject to certain conditions, to purchase approximately the same percentage thereof which the number of shares of Common Stock to be purchased by it shown in the foregoing table bears to such U.S. Underwriters' initial amount reflected in the foregoing table. The Company also has granted an option to the International Managers, exercisable during the 30-day period after the date of this Prospectus, to purchase up to 885,000 additional shares of Common Stock to cover overallotments, if any, on terms similar to those granted to the U.S. Underwriters.

At the request of the Company, the U.S. Underwriters have reserved up to shares of Common Stock for sale at the public offering price to certain employees of the Company, their business affiliates and related parties who have expressed an interest in purchasing shares. The number of shares available to the general public will be reduced to the extent these persons purchase the reserved shares. Any reserved shares that are not so purchased by such persons at the completion of the Offerings will be offered by the U.S. Underwriters to the general public on the same terms as the other shares offered by this Prospectus.

In the Purchase Agreements, the Company has agreed to indemnify the Underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act. Insofar as indemnification of the Underwriters for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted pursuant to the foregoing provisions, the Company has been informed that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is therefore unenforceable.

The Company, the Operating Partnership and certain persons who owned interests in one or more of the Properties prior to the Offering and who received OP Units in exchange for such interests in the Formation Transactions (the "Non-Affiliated Participants") have agreed, subject to certain exceptions, not to sell, offer or contract to sell, grant any option for the sale of, or otherwise dispose of any shares of Common Stock or OP Units, or any securities convertible into or exchangeable for Common Stock or OP Units, for a period of one year from the date of the Prospectus, without the prior written consent of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated. The Company has granted certain registration rights pursuant to which the Non-Affiliated Participants may require the Company to file a registration statement with the SEC with respect to sales of any shares received by the Non-Affiliated Participants in exchange for their OP Units after the expiration of the one-year period.

Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and the senior officers of the Company who will receive OP Units and/or shares of Common Stock in the Formation Transactions have agreed, subject to certain exceptions, not to sell, offer or contract to sell, grant any option for the sale of, or otherwise dispose of any shares of Common Stock or OP Units for a period of two years from the date of the Prospectus, without the prior written consent of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated.

Until the distribution of the Common Stock is completed, rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission may limit the ability of the Underwriters and certain selling group members to bid for and purchase the Common Stock. As an exception to these rules, the U.S. Representatives are permitted to engage in certain transactions that stabilize the price of the Common Stock. Such transactions consist of bids or purchases for the purpose of pegging, fixing or maintaining the price of the Common Stock.

If the Underwriters create a short position in the Common Stock in connection with the offering, i.e., if they sell more shares of Common Stock than are set forth on the cover page of this Prospectus, the U.S. Representatives and the International Managers, respectively, may reduce that short position by purchasing

Common Stock in the open market. The U.S. Representatives and the International Managers, respectively, may also elect to reduce any short position by exercising all or part of the over-allotment option described above.

The U.S. Representatives and the International Managers, respectively, may also impose a penalty bid on certain Underwriters and selling group members. This means that if the U.S. Representatives or the International Managers purchase shares of Common Stock in the open market to reduce the Underwriters' short position or to stabilize the price of the Common Stock, they may reclaim the amount of the selling concession from the Underwriters and selling group members who sold those shares as part of the Offering.

In general, purchases of a security for the purpose of stabilization or to reduce a short position could cause the price of the security to be higher than it might be in the absence of such purchases. The imposition of a penalty bid might also have an effect on the price of a security to the extent that it were to discourage resales of the security.

Neither the Company nor any of the Underwriters makes any representation or prediction as to the direction or magnitude of any effect that the transactions described above may have on the price of the Common Stock. In addition, none of the Underwriters makes any representation that the U.S. Representatives or the International Managers will engage in such transactions or that such transactions, once commenced, will not be discontinued without notice.

The Underwriters do not intend to confirm sales to any account over which they exercise discretionary authority.

Prior to the Offerings, there has been no public market for the Common Stock of the Company. The initial public offering price has been determined through negotiations between the Company and the U.S. Representatives. Among the factors considered in such negotiations, in addition to prevailing market conditions, are dividend yields and financial characteristics of publicly traded REITs that the Company and the U.S. Representatives believe to be comparable to the Company, the expected results of operations of the Company (which are based on the results of operations of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group and the third-party development and management business in recent periods), estimates of the future business potential and earnings prospects of the Company as a whole and the current state of the real estate market in the Company's primary markets and the economy as a whole.

The Company will apply for listing of the Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "BXP." In order to meet one of the requirements for listing the Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange, the Underwriters will undertake to sell lots of 100 or more shares of Common Stock to a minimum of 2,000 beneficial holders.

The Company will pay to Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated an advisory fee equal to  $\,\%$  of the gross proceeds received from the sale of Common Stock to public investors in the Offerings for financial advisory services rendered in connection with the Company's formation as a REIT.

# **EXPERTS**

The combined historical financial statements and financial statement schedule of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group included in this Prospectus and the Registration Statement of which this Prospectus is a part, to the extent and for the periods indicated in their reports, have been audited by Coopers & Lybrand, L.L.P., independent accountants, and are included herein in reliance upon the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

In addition, certain statistical information provided under the captions "Prospectus Summary--The Properties" and "Business and Properties" has been prepared by Spaulding & Slye, and is included herein in reliance upon the authority of such firm as expert in, among other things, office and industrial real estate market conditions.

#### LEGAL MATTERS

Certain legal matters, including the validity of the shares of Common Stock offered hereby, will be passed upon for the Company by Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP. In addition, the description of federal income tax consequences contained in this Prospectus under the heading "Federal Income Tax Consequences" is based upon the opinion of Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP. Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP served as corporate and real estate counsel in connection with the Formation Transactions and the Offering. Bingham, Dana & Gould LLP (Which advised the Company in connection with the restructuring of indebtedness on the Company's 599 Lexington Avenue Property) and Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge serve as real estate counsel for the Company.

Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the Underwriters by Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The Company has filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") a Registration Statement on Form S-11 (of which this Prospectus is a part) under the Securities Act with respect to the securities offered hereby. This Prospectus does not contain all information set forth in the Registration Statement, certain portions of which have been omitted as permitted by the rules and regulations of the SEC. Statements contained in this Prospectus as to the content of any contract or other document are not necessarily complete, and in each instance reference is made to the copy of such contract or other document filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement, each such statement being qualified in all respects by such reference and the exhibits and schedules hereto. For further information regarding the Company and the Common Stock offered hereby, reference is hereby made to the Registration Statement and such exhibits and schedules, which may be obtained from the SEC as its principal office at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, upon payment of the fees prescribed by the SEC. The SEC maintains a website at http://www.sec.gov containing reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding registrants, including the Company, that file electronically with the SEC.

Statements contained in this Prospectus as to the contents of any contract or other document that is filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement are not necessarily complete, and each such statement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such contract or document.

The Company will be required to file reports and other information with the Commission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In addition to applicable legal or NYSE requirements, if any, holders of Common Shares will receive annual reports containing audited financial statements with a report thereon by the Company's independent certified public accounts, and quarterly reports containing unaudited financial information for each of the first three quarters of each fiscal year.

#### GLOSSARY

"100 Stockholder Requirement" means the requirement that beneficial ownership of a corporation must be held by 100 or more persons in order to qualify as a REIT under the Code.

"1940 Act" means the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended.

"Absorption" means the net increase in square feet of leased space.

"ADA" means the Americans with Disabilities Act, enacted on July 26, 1990.

"ADR" means the average daily rate of a Hotel Property.

"Annual Net Effective Rent" means the annualized Base Rent for the month of December 1996, plus tenant pass-throughs of operating and other expenses (but excluding electricity costs paid by tenants), under each lease executed as of December 31, 1996, presented on a straight-line basis in accordance with GAAP, minus amortization of tenant improvement costs and leasing commissions, if any, paid or payable by the Company during such period, annualized.

"Base Rent" means gross rent excluding payments by tenants on account of real estate tax and operating expense escalation.

"Beneficiary" means the qualified charitable organization selected by the Company to serve as the beneficiary of the trust which shall hold any Excess Shares.

"Book-Tax Difference" means the difference between the fair market value of the contributed property at the time of contribution and the adjusted tax basis of such property at the time of contribution.

"Boston Properties Predecessor Group" means Boston Properties, Inc., the Property Partnerships and the other entities which owned interests in one or more of the Properties or in other assets that will be contributed to the Company in connection with the Formation Transactions.

"Built-In Gain" means the excess of the fair market value of an asset as of the beginning of the applicable Recognition Period over the Company's adjusted basis in such asset as of the beginning of such Recognition Period.

"Built-In Gain Rules" means the built-in gain rules promulgated in quidelines issued by the IRS.

"Bylaws" means the Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company.

"Certificate" means the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company.

"Code" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, together with its predecessor.

"Commission" or the "SEC" means the Securities and Exchange Commission.

"Common Stock" means shares of the Company's common stock, \$.01 par value per share.

"Company" means Boston Properties, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis, including the Operating Partnership and the Development and Management Company.

"Company Quoted Rental Rate" means the weighted average rental rate per square foot quoted by the Company as of December 31, 1996, based on the total net rentable square feet of Properties in the applicable submarket. This rate is not adjusted to a full-service equivalent rate in markets in which the Company's rates are not quoted on a full-service basis.

"Continuing Investors" means the persons who held a direct or indirect interest in the assets of the Company prior to the Offering.

"Development and Management Company" means Boston Properties Management, Inc., the subsidiary of the Operating Partnership which will succeed to a portion of the third-party commercial real estate property management business of Boston Properties, Inc.

"Designated Property" means any of 599 Lexington Avenue, Independence Square, Capital Gallery, Democracy Center, the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, Bedford Business Park, One Cambridge Center, Long Wharf Marriott and Cambridge Center Marriott.

"Development Properties" means the seven Office Properties currently under development or redevelopment by the Company.

"DGCL" means the Delaware General Corporation Law.

"Direct Vacancy Rate" means space immediately available by landlords.

"EBITDA" means earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization.

"Escalated Rent" means the annualized monthly Base Rent in effect (after giving effect to any contractual increases in monthly Base Rent that have occurred up to December 31, 1996) plus annualized monthly tenant pass-throughs of operating and other expenses (but excluding electricity costs paid by tenants) under each lease executed as of December 31, 1996, or, if such monthly rent has been reduced by a rent concession, the monthly rent that would have been in effect at such date in the absence of such concession.

"Excess Shares" means those shares of Common Stock in excess of the Ownership Limit, the Look-Through Ownership Limit, the Related Party Limit, or the Certificate which are automatically converted into an equal number of shares of Excess Stock.

"Excess Stock" means the separate class of shares of stock of the Company into which shares of stock of the Company owned, or deemed to be owned, or transferred to a stockholder in excess of the Ownership Limit, the Related Party Limit or the Look-Through Ownership Limit, as applicable, will automatically be converted.

"Excluded Properties" means the three properties in which Messrs. Zuckerman and/or Linde hold ownership interests but are not being contributed to the Company as part of the Formation Transactions.

"FIRPTA" means the Foreign Investment in Real Property Tax Act of 1980, as amended.

"Five or Fewer Requirement" means the requirement under the Code that not more than 50% in value of the Company's outstanding shares of Stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code) during the last half of a taxable year (other than the first year).

"Formation Transactions" means the transactions relating to the formation of the Company and its subsidiaries, including the transfer to the Company of the Properties from the Property Partnerships and other entities which own one or more Properties and the development, project management and property management businesses of Boston Properties, Inc.

"Funds from Operations" means, in accordance with the resolution adopted by the Board of Governors of NAREIT, net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding significant non-recurring items, gains (or losses) from debt restructuring and sales of property, plus depreciation and amortization on real estate assets, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

"GAAP" means generally accepted accounting principles.

"Garage Property" means the 1,170 space parking garage in which the Company has an interest.

"Greater Boston" means the city of Boston and ninety surrounding municipalities in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, as designated by Spaulding & Slye in its market study cited herein.

"Greater Washington, D.C." means the city of Washington D.C. and fifty surrounding municipalities, as designated by Spaulding & Slye in its market study cited herein.

"GSA" means the General Services Administration of the United States Government.

"Hotel Properties" means the two full service hotels which the Company will own at the completion of the Offering.

"Industrial Properties" means the nine industrial properties in which the Company has an interest.

"International Purchase Agreement" means the purchase agreement among the Company and the International Managers.

"International Managers" means the underwriters outside the United States and Canada named in this Prospectus for whom Merrill Lynch International, Goldman Sachs International, Bear, Stearns International Limited, PaineWebber International (U.K.) Ltd, Prudential-Bache Securities, and Smith Barney Inc. are acting as lead managers.

"Intersyndicate Agreement" means the agreement between the U.S. Underwriters and the International Managers providing for the coordination of their activities

"IRS" means the Internal Revenue Service.

"LIBOR" means the London Interbank Offered Rate.

"Line of Credit Bank" means the commercial bank which will lead the Unsecured Line of Credit as agent.

"Look-Through Ownership Limit" means the ownership limit applicable to entities which are looked through for purposes of the Five or Fewer Requirement restricting such entities to holding no more than 15.0% of the number of outstanding shares of any class or series of capital stock of the Company.

"Make-Whole Amount" means the amount that may be required to be paid, in connection with a sale of or reduction of indebtedness on a Designated Property, to a person who had an interest in such Designated Property prior to the completion of the Offering and who recognized taxable gain as a result of such sale or reduction of indebtedness.

"Make-Whole Period" means the 15 year period following the completion of the Offering.

"Marriott (R)" means Marriott Hotels, Inc., the manager of the two Hotel Properties.

"MBTA" means the Metropolitan Boston Transit Authority.

"MIT" means the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

"Mortgage Debt" means the total mortgage debt secured by the Properties following the Offering.  $\,$ 

"Named Executive Officers" means the Company's Chief Executive Officer and each of the Company's five other most highly compensated executive officers.

"NAREIT" means the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts.

"Non-U.S. Stockholders" means non-United States stockholders for federal income tax purposes.

"NYSE" means The New York Stock Exchange.

"Offering" means the offering of shares of Common Stock of the Company pursuant to, and as described in, this Prospectus. "Office Properties" means the 60 office properties, including seven office properties currently under development or redevelopment by the Company, in which the Company has an interest.

"OP Units" means limited and general partnership interests in the Operating Partnership.

"Operating Partnership" means Boston Properties Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership.

"Operating Partnership Agreement" means the amended and restated agreement of limited partnership of the Operating Partnership.

"Ownership Limit" means the restriction contained in the Company's Certificate providing that, subject to certain exceptions, no holder may own, or be deemed to own by virtue of the attribution provision of the Code, more than % of the number of outstanding shares of any class or series of capital stock of the Company.

"Plan" means the Boston Properties, Inc. 1997 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, adopted by the Board of Directors prior to the date hereof.

"Preferred Stock" means shares of Series A preferred stock of the Company, \$.01 par value per share.

"Prohibited Owner" means a person or entity holding record title to shares of Common Stock in excess of the Ownership Limit, the Look-Through Ownership Limit, the Related Party Limit, or the Certificate.

"Prohibited Transferee" means the transferee of any purported transfer of capital stock of the Company or any other event which would otherwise result in the transferee violating the Ownership Limit, the Look-Through Ownership Limit, the Related Party Limit, or the Certificate.

"Properties" means the 72 commercial real estate properties referred to herein in which the Company has an interest.

"Property Partnership" means a general or limited partnership which, prior to the Formation Transactions, owned or had an interest in one or more Properties.

"Prospectus" means this prospectus, as the same may be amended.

"Purchase Agreements" means the U.S. Purchase Agreement and the International Purchase Agreement.

"R&D Properties" means the 27 properties, including four Development Properties, in which the Company has an interest that support both office and technical uses.

"Recognition Period" means the ten-year period beginning on the date on which the Company acquires an asset from a C corporation in a carry-over basis transaction.

"REIT" means real estate investment trust, as defined by Sections 856 through 860 of the Code and applicable Treasury Regulations.

"REIT Requirements" means the requirements for qualifying as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Code and applicable Treasury Regulations.

"Related Party" means each of Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde, their respective heirs, legatees and devisees, and any other person whose beneficial ownership of shares of Common Stock would be attributed under the Code to Messrs. Zuckerman, Linde, or their respective heirs, legatees or devisees.

"Related Party Limit" means the ownership limit applicable to each of Mr. Zuckerman and associated related parties and Mr. Linde and associated related parties restricting each such class of personsto holding no more than 15.0% of the number of outstanding shares of any class or series of capital stock of the Company.

"Related Party Tenant" means a tenant or subtenant of the Company which is 10% or more constructively or directly owned by an owner of 10% or more of the Company under the Code.

"Rents" means Base Rent.

"Restricted Stock" means the shares of Common Stock acquired by holders in redemption of OP Units which will constitute "restricted" securities as defined by Rule 144.

"REVPAR" means the revenue per available room of a Hotel Property as determined by dividing room revenue by available rooms for the applicable period.

"Rule 144" means Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities Act.

"Securities Act" means the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

"Stock" means Common Stock and Preferred Stock.

"Subsidiary Corporation" means the Development and Management Company.

"Tax Counsel" means Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP, tax counsel to the Company.

"TIN" means taxpayer identification number.

"Total Square Footage" means total net rentable square feet of the Office and Industrial Properties, plus total square footage of the Hotel and Garage Properties.

"Treasury Regulations" means regulations of the U.S. Department of Treasury under the Code.  $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \end{tabular}$ 

"UBTI" means unrelated business taxable income as defined by Section 512(a) of the Code and applicable Treasury Regulations.

"Underwriters" means the U.S. Underwriters and the International Managers.

"U.S. or United States" means the United States of America (including the District of Columbia), its territories, possessions and other areas subject to its jurisdiction.

"U.S. Purchase Agreement" means the purchase agreement among the Company and the U.S. Underwriters.

"U.S. Representatives" means Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc., PaineWebber Incorporated, Prudential Securities Incorporated and Smith Barney Inc. acting as representatives for the U.S. Underwriters.

 $\hbox{\tt "U.S.}$  Stockholder" means a United States stockholder under the REIT Requirements.

"U.S. Underwriters" means the underwriters for the United States and Canada named in this Prospectus for whom the U.S. Representatives are acting as representatives.

# INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

P	PAGE
-	
Boston Properties, Inc.:	
Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information:	
Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 1996  Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended	F-2
December 31, 1996	F-3
Consolidated Financial Information	F-4
Boston Properties Predecessor Group:	
Report of Independent Accountants F	-12
Combined Balance Sheets as of December 31, 1996 and 1995 F Combined Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 1996,	·-13
1995 and 1994 F	-14
Combined Statements of Owners' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended	
December 31, 1996, 1995 and 1994 F	:-15
Combined Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 1996,	
1995 and 1994 F	-16
Notes to Combined Financial Statements F	-17
Schedule III: Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December	
31, 1996	S-1

# PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

DECEMBER 31, 1996 (UNAUDITED) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

# PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS (NOTE 5)

	PREDECESSOR	OFFERING (A)(I)		PRO FORMA
ASSETS Real estate and equipment Less: accumulated depreciation		\$	\$ 9,869	\$987,975 (253,623)
Total real estate and equipment	724,483 5,611 25,474 11,703 38,102 17,171 6,279 4,388	683,069	(681, 275) (15, 419) 16	
Total assets	\$ 833,211 =======		\$(686,809)	\$829,008
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Liabilities:  Mortgage notes payable and unsecured line of credit  Notes payableaffiliate  Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$1,314,503 22,117 12,619		(22,117)	
Accrued interest payable Rent received in advance, security deposits and other liabilities	5,835 7,069	, ,		5,835 7,069
Total liabilities	1,362,143	(113)	(681,946)	
Commitments and contingencies				
Stockholders' and owners' equity		682,719	(4,863)	
Total liabilities and equity		\$682,606	\$(686,809)	\$829,008

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet.

# PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME

# FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1996 (UNAUDITED) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS (NOTE 5)

		OTHER ADJUSTMENTS B(II)	PRO FORMA
Revenue: Rental:			
Base rent	\$156,031 	\$ 19,271	\$156,031 19,271
Recoveries from tenants Parking and other	20,924 2,970	(2,043)	20,924 927
Total rental revenue	179,925	17,228	197,153
Hotel  Development and management services	65,678 6,262	(65,678) (1,414)	4,848
Interest and other	3,327	(705)	2,622
Total revenue			\$204,623 ======
Expenses: Rental:			
Operating Real estate taxes	28,145 26,577	(713) (346)	27,432 26,231
Hotel:	20,577	(346)	20,231
Operating	43,634 3,100	(43,634)	
Real estate taxes	10,698	(3,100) 356	11,054
Interest Interestamortization of financing	97,357	(48,082)	49,275
costs	2,203	(683)	,
Depreciation and amortization	34,699		34,699
Total expenses		(96,202)	
Income before minority interest in combined			
partnership and extraordinary item Minority interest in combined partnership	(384)	45,633 	(384)
Income before extraordinary item	\$ 8,395 ======	\$ 45,633 ======	\$ 54,028 ======

# NOTES AND MANAGEMENT'S ASSUMPTIONS TO THE PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

#### 1. ORGANIZATION:

Boston Properties Inc. (the "Company"), which was formed in 1970, will be reorganized to change its jurisdiction of organization from Massachusetts to Delaware. The Company intends to qualify as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, commencing with its taxable year ending December 31, 1997. The Company will acquire the sole general partnership interest in Boston Properties, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership"), and will own a % partnership interest in the Operating Partnership.

The Company has been reorganized to succeed to (i) the real estate development redevelopment, ownership, acquisition, management, operating and leasing business associated with the Predecessor Company and (ii) various Property Partnerships under common control with the Company (collectively, the "Boston Properties Predecessor Group" or the "Predecessor"). The Company will contribute substantially all of its Greater Washington D.C. third-party property management business to Boston Properties Management Company, Inc. (the "Development and Management Company"), a company in which the Operating Partnership will have a 95% economic interest.

### 2. FORMATION TRANSACTIONS:

## The Offering

The Company has filed a registration statement on Form S-11 with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the public offering (the "Offering") of 29.5 million common shares (exclusive of 4.4 million common shares subject to the underwriters' over-allotment option) at an estimated initial offering price of \$25 per share. The Company will contribute certain management and development operations and the net proceeds from the Offering to the Operating Partnership in exchange for million partnership units ("Units"), representing an approximate interest, in the Operating Partnership.

The Operating Partnership is the successor to the Boston Properties Predecessor Group. Each property that is included in the financial statements is currently owned by a Property Partnership affiliated with Boston Properties, Inc. which controls the managing general partner and, in most cases, a majority economic interest. Certain Property Partnerships will contribute properties to the Operating Partnership, or will merge into the Operating Partnership, in exchange for Units and the assumption of debt, and the partners of such Property Partnerships will receive such proceeds either directly as merger consideration or as a distribution from the Property Partnership, and certain persons, both affiliated and not affiliated with the Company, will contribute their direct and indirect interests in certain Property Partnerships in exchange for units. The acquisition or contribution of the various Boston Properties Predecessor Group interests will be accounted for at their historical cost.

# The Properties

Upon completion of the Offering, the Company will own a portfolio of 72 properties (70 properties at December 31, 1996) aggregating approximately 10.4 million square feet, 90% of which was developed or substantially redeveloped by the Company. The properties consist of 60 office properties with approximately 7.3 million net rentable square feet (including seven office properties under development containing approximately 810,000 net rentable square feet) and approximately 1.1 million additional square feet of structured parking for 3,872 vehicles, nine industrial properties with approximately 925,000 net rentable square feet, two hotels with a total of 833 rooms (consisting of approximately 750,000 square feet), and a parking garage with 1,170 spaces

# NOTES AND MANAGEMENT'S ASSUMPTIONS TO THE PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION--(CONTINUED)

#### (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(consisting of approximately 330,000 square feet). In addition, the Company will own, have under contract or have an option to acquire six parcels of land totaling 47.4 acres, which will support approximately 991,000 square feet of development.

## Third-Party Business

Substantially all of the Greater Washington D.C. third party property management business will be contributed to the Development and Management Company as described under "Organization." The other management and development operations of the Company will be contributed to the Operating Partnership.

#### 0ther

The Operating Partnership will enter into a participating lease with ZL Hotel LLC. Marriott Hotels, Inc. will continue to manage the Hotel Properties under the Marriott name pursuant to management agreements with ZL Hotel LLC. Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde will be the sole member-managers of the lessee and will own a 9.8% economic interest in ZL Hotel LLC. ZL Hotel Corp. will own the remaining economic interests in ZL Hotel LLC. One or more public charities will own all of the capital stock of ZL Hotel Corp.

#### Unsecured Line of Credit

Concurrent with the completion of the Offering, the Company expects to have a three-year, \$300 million Unsecured Line of Credit with the Line of Credit Bank. The Unsecured Line of Credit will be a recourse obligation of the Operating Partnership and will be guaranteed by the Company. The Company intends to use the Unsecured Line of Credit principally to fund growth opportunities and for working capital purposes. At the closing of the Offering, the Company expects to draw down approximately \$40.1 million (\$22.1 million for pro forma presentation as of December 31, 1996) under this line of credit.

The Company's ability to borrow under the Unsecured Line of Credit is subject to the Company's compliance with a number of customary financial and other covenants on an ongoing basis, including loan to unencumbered property value and debt service coverage ratios, limitations on additional indebtedness and stockholder distributions, and a minimum net worth requirement.

The Unsecured Line of Credit will, at the Company's election, bear interest at a floating rate based on a spread over LIBOR or the Line of Credit Bank's prime rate, and will require monthly payments of interest only on prime rate loans, with interest on LIBOR loans payable on the last day of an interest period but not less often than quarterly. LIBOR loans may be for periods of between thirty and 180 days.

The Line of Credit Bank has not yet issued a commitment to provide the Unsecured Line of Credit. In the event a commitment is so issued, the Unsecured Line of Credit will be subject to final approval and satisfactory completion of the Offerings, completion by the Line of Credit Lender of its due diligence and preparation and execution of an acceptable credit agreement.

Repayment of Mortgage Notes Payable and Notes Payable--Affiliate

Approximately \$661,707 (as of December 31, 1996) of the net proceeds of the Offering will be used to repay certain mortgage indebtedness collateralized by the Properties as set forth in the following table and \$22,117 (as of December 31, 1996) for notes due affiliates of the Company in respect of construction loans advanced by them for certain of the Development Properties.

# NOTES AND MANAGEMENT'S ASSUMPTIONS TO THE PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION--(CONTINUED)

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

Certain information regarding the indebtedness to be repaid is set forth below:

MORTGAGE NOTES PAYABLE TO BE REPAID WITH A PORTION OF THE OFFERING PROCEEDS

April 19, 2005   8.000%   \$185,000	PROPERTY	MATURITY DATE	INTEREST RATE	AMOUNT TO BE REPAID (IN 000'S)
======	Democracy Center Long Wharf Marriott. Cambridge Center Marriott. The U.S. International Trade Commission Building. One Cambridge Center. Three Cambridge Center. Lexington Office Park. Waltham Office Center. T601 Boston Boulevard, Building Eight. Eleven Cambridge Center. 8000 Grainger Court, Building Five. Fourteen Cambridge Center. 7500 Boston Boulevard, Building Six. 7600 Boston Boulevard, Building Nine. 7435 Boston Boulevard, Building One. 40-46 Harvard Street. 170 Tracer Lane. 6201 Columbia Park Road, Building Two. Eight Arlington Street. 32 Hartwell Avenue. 7374 Boston Boulevard, Building Four. 2000 South Club Drive, Building Four. 2004 Second Avenue. 25-33 Dartmouth Street. 1950 Stanford Court, Building One. 7451 Boston Boulevard, Building Two. 164 Lexington Road.	July 24, 1998 June 28, 1997 June 30, 1997  July 12, 1997 June 30, 1997 June 30, 1997 June 30, 2001 October 1, 1997 August 15, 1997 August 15, 1997 August 15, 1997 August 15, 1997 August 16, 1997 October 1, 1997 August 16, 1997 June 30, 2001 October 1, 1997 June 30, 2001 October 1, 1997 June 30, 2001 October 1, 1997 August 15, 1997 June 30, 2001 October 1, 1997 August 15, 1997 October 1, 1997 August 15, 1997 August 15, 1997 October 1, 1997 November 30, 2000 March 20, 2006	6.700% 6.200% 6.200% 6.875% 7.350% 6.875% 6.500% 9.500% 6.750% 6.750% 6.759% 6.759% 6.500% 9.500% 6.750% 6.500% 9.500% 6.750% 9.500% 6.750% 9.500% 6.750% 9.500% 9.500% 9.500% 9.500% 9.500% 9.500% 9.500% 9.500% 9.500% 9.500%	110,100 68,600 61,000 50,000 45,000 19,000 15,373 11,389 8,372 8,319 7,664 6,748 6,441 5,796 5,564 5,380 5,146 5,023 4,611 4,223 3,619 3,542 3,374 3,296 2,662 2,215 1,969 1,343 938

# 3. BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

The accompanying unaudited pro forma financial information has been prepared based upon certain pro forma adjustments to the historical combined financial statements of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group.

The pro forma balance sheet of the Company as of December 31, 1996 has been prepared as if the Formation Transactions, as discussed above, had been consummated on December 31, 1996. The pro forma statement of income for the year ended December 31, 1996 has been prepared as if the Formation Transactions had been consummated at January 1, 1996.

# NOTES AND MANAGEMENT'S ASSUMPTIONS TO THE PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION--(CONTINUED)

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

The Development and Management Company has been included in the pro forma financial information under the equity method of accounting due to the Operating Partnership's ownership of a noncontrolling, 1% voting interest.

The operations of the hotel properties and the parking garages have been included in the pro forma financial information pursuant to participating lease agreements to be entered into in order for the Company to continue to qualify as a REIT under IRC Section 856.

The unaudited pro forma information is not necessarily indicative of what the actual financial position would have been at December 31, 1996 or what the actual results of operations would have been for the year ended December 31, 1996 had the Formation Transactions been consummated on December 31, 1996 or January 1, 1996 and carried forward through the year presented, nor do they purport to present the future financial position or results of operations of the Company. The pro forma financial information should be read in conjunction with the historical combined financial statements and notes thereto of the Predecessor.

#### 4. ASSUMPTIONS:

Certain assumptions regarding the operations of the Company have been made in connection with the preparation of the pro forma financial information. These assumptions are as follows:

- (a) The pro forma financial information assumes that the Company has elected to be, and qualified as, a REIT for federal income tax purposes and has distributed all of its taxable income for the applicable periods, and, therefore, incurred no federal income tax liabilities.
- (b) Rental income has been recognized on a straight-line method of accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.
- (c) The over-allotment option granted to the underwriters is not exercised.
- (d) General and administrative expenses historically incurred by the Properties and the Boston Properties Predecessor Group have been adjusted to reflect the self-administered structure of the Company and the additional expenses of being a public company.
- (e) Pro forma net income per share has been calculated using million common shares as the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the pro forma period reflecting the issuance of 29.5 million common shares to the public in the Offering and million common shares held by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde.

## 5. PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS FOR DECEMBER 31, 1996:

## A. THE OFFERING:

## (i) Balance Sheet

Reflects the initial capitalization of the Company including the issuance of 29.5 million Common shares in connection with the Offering at an assumed initial public offering price of \$25 per share. The estimated costs of the Offering, totaling \$54,781 have been reflected as an offset to Additional paid-in capital. The resulting net proceeds of the Offering total \$682,719. An additional million Common shares will be held by Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde.

# NOTES AND MANAGEMENT'S ASSUMPTIONS TO THE PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION--(CONTINUED)

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

## **B. OTHER ADJUSTMENTS:**

### (i) Balance Sheet

The following Pro Forma Adjustment Summary table summarizes the pro forma adjustments made to the December 31, 1996 Boston Properties Predecessor Group Balance Sheet. The column totals reflect the net adjustments presented in the Balance Sheet on F-2. The summary below should be read in conjunction with the following notes.

PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENT SUMMARY (UNAUDITED) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 1996

PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENT	REAL F	DEFERRED INANCING DSTS, NET	CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	ESCROWS	MORTGAGE NOTES PAYABLE	NOTES PAYABLE- AFFILIATE	MINORITY INTEREST	
5B(i)(1) Transfer costs paid	\$9,869		\$ (9,869)					
5B(i)(2) Deferred financing costs and mortgage loan prepayment penalties,								
net 5B(i)(3) Mortgage loan repayment,		\$16	(9,699)					\$ 9,683
net 5B(i)(4) Extinguishment of 599 Lexington			(661,707)		\$(639,590)	\$(22,117)		
debt 5B(i)(5) Release of					(20,239)			(20,239)
escrows				\$(15,419)				15,419
5B(i)(6) Predecessor ownership							\$	
Pro Forma other								
adjustments total	\$9,869 =====	\$16 ===	\$(681,275) ======	\$(15,419) ======	\$(659,829) ======	\$(22,117) ======	\$ ===	\$ ======

- (1) Represents the transfer costs paid and the corresponding increase in basis of the property totaling \$9,869 in connection with the contribution of the property by Boston Properties Predecessor Group concurrent with the Offering.
- (2) Represents the write-off to Stockholders' Equity of previously capitalized deferred financing costs on mortgage loans to be repaid concurrent with the Offering, offset by the capitalization for the financing fee and related professional costs to be incurred on the Unsecured Line of Credit and prepayment penalties of \$7,899 on early retirement of mortgage loans charged directly to pro forma Shareholders' equity.
- (3) Reflects the expected paydown of (i) outstanding mortgage loans of the properties and (ii) the notes payable due to affiliates in the amounts (as of December 31, 1996) of \$661,707 and \$22,117, respectively, with proceeds from the Offering, net of anticipated borrowings from the Unsecured Line of Credit totaling \$22,117 as follows:

Repayment of mortgage notes payable	
Net mortgage loan repayments	\$(639,590)

(4) Represents the increase to Pro Forma Stockholders' Equity for the excess mortgage note payable balance over principal repayment required for the 599 Lexington Avenue loan necessitated by this increasing rate loan being accounted for on the effective interest method. (See Footnote #3 in the Boston Properties Predecessor Group Historical Combined Financial Statements)

# NOTES AND MANAGEMENT'S ASSUMPTIONS TO THE PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION--(CONTINUED)

## (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

- (5) Reflects the release of cash previously required to be held in escrow per the terms of the various mortgage notes payable agreements. The cash will be distributed to the Predecessor owner concurrent with the repayment of the related mortgage notes payable.
- (6) Represents the equity attributable to Units owned by the Boston Properties Predecessor Group. The Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and will own approximately % of the Operating Partnership. Persons with an interest in the Property Partnerships prior to the Formation Transactions will own in the aggregate Units, which will represent an approximate % minority interest in the Operating Partnership. The minority interest is reported as the equity of the Operating Partnership multiplied by such persons' ownership percentage in the Operating Partnership.

## (ii) Statement of Income

The following Pro Forma Adjustment Summary table summarizes the other pro forma adjustments made to the Boston Properties Predecessor Group's Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 1996. The column totals reflect the net adjustments presented on the Statement of Income on F-3. The summary below should be read in conjunction with the following notes.

# NOTES AND MANAGEMENT'S ASSUMPTIONS TO THE PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION---(CONTINUED)

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENT SUMMARY
(UNAUDITED)
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
STATEMENT OF INCOME
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1996

ownership..

DRO FORMA	RENT HOTELS	DADICTNO	HOTEL	MCMT		PROPERTY	PROPERTY	HOTEL	HOTEL REAL	GENERAL
PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS	AND GARAGE	PARKING INCOME	HOTEL REVENUE	MGMT FEES	AND OTHER	EXPENSES	REAL ESTATE TAXES	EXPENSES	ESTATE TAXES	OFFICE & ADMIN
5B(ii)(1)										
Assignment of contracts				\$(1,414)						\$(1,344)
5B(ii)(2) Equity investment				Ψ(1,414)						Ψ(1,344)
<pre>income 5B(ii)(3) Operation</pre>					\$66					
of hotels and										
garages 5B(ii)(4) Rental of hotels and		\$(2,043)	\$(65,678)			\$(713)	\$(346)	\$(43,634)	\$(3,100)	
garages 5B(ii)(5) General	\$19,271									
and admin										1,700
5B(ii)(6) Mortgage interest										
5B(ii)(7) Amortization of deferred										
financing costs										
5B(ii)(8) Release of										
restricted cash 5B(ii)(9) Predecessor					(771)					
ownership										
Pro Forma other adjustments										
total			\$(65,678) ======		\$(705) =====	\$(713) =====	\$(346) =====	\$(43,634) ======	\$(3,100) ======	\$ 356 ======
PRO FORMA	INTERES	INTERES T EXPENSI		Y						
ADJUSTMENTS	EXPENSE	AMORT		T 						
5B(ii)(1) Assignment										
of contracts										
5B(ii)(2) Equity investment										
income 5B(ii)(3) Operation										
of hotels and garages										
5B(ii)(4) Rental of hotels and										
garages 5B(ii)(5) General and										
admin 5B(ii)(6) Mortgage	Φ/40 OO	2.)								
interest 5B(ii)(7) Amortization of	\$(48,082	<del>-</del> )								
deferred financing										
costs 5B(ii)(8) Release		\$(683)	)							
of restricted cash										
5B(ii)(9) Predecessor ownership										

F-10

# NOTES AND MANAGEMENT'S ASSUMPTIONS TO THE PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION--(CONTINUED)

### (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(1) In connection with the Formation Transactions, certain third-party development and management contracts will be assigned to the Development and Management Company. As a result of the assignment, current operating income, expenses and overhead attributable to the contracts will be reflected in the operations of the Development and Management Company as detailed below:

	servicesexpenses	. ,	
Manager contract income		\$	70 

- (2) The Operating Partnership will hold a 95% economic interest in the Development and Management Company and record an equity interest of \$66 on the \$70 net income.
- (3) In connection with the Formation Transactions, the Operating Partnership will enter into participating leases for the operation of the hotels and parking garages. As a result of these agreements, revenue and expenses will not be reflected from the operation of these businesses.
- (4) Represents rental income from the leasing of the hotels and parking garages owned by the Operating Partnership. The hotel lease arrangements are with an affiliate.
- (5) Reflects an increase of \$1,700 in general and administrative expenses as a result of being a public company.
- (6) Reflects the net decrease in interest expense as a result of the repayment of a portion of the existing mortgage indebtedness in connection with the Offering. The following outlines the mortgage notes payable to be outstanding subsequent to the Offering and the corresponding interest expense incurred in 1996:

			INTEREST (IN 000'S)
PROPERTY(IES)			
599 Lexington Avenue	\$225,000	7.00%	\$15,750(a)
Two Independence Square	122,855	7.90%	9,813
One Independence Square	78,700	7.90%	6,276
Capital Gallery	60,751	8.24%	5,761
Ten Cambridge Center	25,000	7.57%	1,924
191 Spring Street	23,942	8.50%	1,697
Bedford Business Park	23,500	8.50%	1,998(a)
10 & 20 Burlington Mall Road	20,215	8.33%	1,842
Cambridge Center North Garage	15,000	7.57%	1,183
91 Hartwell Avenue	13,770	8.33%	1,244
92 & 100 Hayden Avenue	11,015	8.33%	995
Montvale Center	7,992	8.59%	474
Hilltop Business Center	4,817	7.00%	318
Pro forma totals	\$632,557		49,275(b)
	=======		
Historical interest expense for the year			
ended December 31, 1996			97,357
Pro forma interest expense adjustment			\$48,082 ======

- (a) The interest expense used in this calculation assumes the mortgage loan was outstanding during all of 1996.
- (b) Interest on the outstanding balance on the Unsecured Line of Credit to be used for development purposes is assumed to be capitalized for purposes of the pro forma presentation.
- (7) Reflects the net increase of \$600 in the amortization of Deferred financing costs for the \$1,800 fee on the Unsecured Line of Credit, less a reduction of \$1,283 in amortization of Deferred financing costs related to debt paid off with the Offering proceeds.
- (8) Reflects the decrease in interest income as a result of the release of cash previously required to be held in escrow per the terms of the various mortgage note payable agreements.
- (9) Represents net income attributable to the minority interest in the Operating Partnership to be held by persons who had an interest in the Property Partnerships prior to the Formation Transactions. Such persons will own in the aggregate approximately % of the Operating Partnership. The Company, is the sole general partner and will own approximately % of the Operating Partnership.

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Partners and Owners of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group

We have audited the accompanying combined balance sheets of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group as of December 31, 1996 and 1995, and the related combined statements of operations, owners' equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1996 and the financial statement schedule included on the index at F-1 of this Prospectus. These combined financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the management of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these combined financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the combined financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the combined financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall combined financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the combined financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the combined financial position of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group as of December 31, 1996 and 1995, and the combined results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1996, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule referred to above, when considered in relation to the basic combined financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information required to be set forth therein.

/S/ Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P.

Boston, Massachusetts April 9, 1997

# COMBINED BALANCE SHEETS

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	DECEMBER 31,		
	1996	1995	
ASSETS  Real estate and equipment:   Land and land improvements.   Buildings and improvements.   Tenant improvements.   Furniture, fixtures and equipment.   Development and construction in process.	\$ 151,304 666,343 104,840 34,034 21,585	661,646 96,741 32,831 10,018	
Less: accumulated depreciation	978,106 (253,623)	952,837 (227,510)	
Total real estate and equipment	724,483 5,611 25,474 11,703 38,102	725,327 22,791 25,946 13,960 38,616	
amortization of \$21,597 in 1996 and \$19,671 in 1995 Prepaid expenses and other assets	6,279 4,388	4,600 8,496	
Total assets  LIABILITIES AND OWNERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) Liabilities:		\$ 856,714	
Mortgage notes payable	22,117	5,266 12,904	
other liabilities	7,069		
Total liabilities	(528,932)		
Total liabilities and owners' equity (deficit)	\$ 833,211 =======	\$ 856,714	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined financial statements.

# COMBINED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	1996	1995	1994	
Revenue: Rental:	<b>#150</b> 001	<b>#141</b> 000	<b>#100 410</b>	
Base rentRecoveries from tenantsParking and other	20,924	\$141,899 19,227 2,523	\$139,412 19,726 1,906	
Total rental revenue  Hotel  Development and management services  Interest and other	65,678	163,649 61,320 4,994 3,517	58,435 6,630 2,708	
Total revenue	255,192			
Expenses: Rental: Operating Real estate taxes Hotel:	28,145 26,577	25,739 26,375		
Operating. Real estate taxes. General and administrative. Interest. Interestamortization of financing costs. Depreciation and amortization.	43,634 3,100 10,698 97,357 2,203 34,699	•	2,477 10,123 85,595 1,853 31,606	
Total expenses	246,413	237,722	,	
Income (loss) before extraordinary item and minority interest	(384)	(4,242) (276)	(411)	
Income (loss) before extraordinary item Extraordinary item-loss on early extinguishment of debt	8,395 (994)	(4,518)	6,598	
Net income (loss)			\$ 6,598	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined financial statements.

# COMBINED STATEMENTS OF OWNERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

# FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1996, 1995 AND 1994 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

Balance at January 1, 1994	24,324 6,598
Balance at December 31, 1994	44,544 (4,518)
Balance at December 31, 1995	33,278 <sup>2</sup> 7,401
Balance at December 31, 1996	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined financial statements.

# COMBINED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		ED DECEMBE	
	1996	1995 	1994
Cash flows from operating activities: Net income (loss)	\$ 7,401	\$ (4,518)	\$ 6,598
net cash provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Amortization of financing costs Accrued rental income Effective interest adjustment	513	( /	1,668
Change in operating assets/liabilities: Tenant receivables Escrows Prepaid expenses and other assets Accounts payable and accrued expenses Accrued interest payable	2,257 (2,803) 4,108 (1,386) 313	785	91 1,550 196
Rent received in advance, security deposits and other liabilities		(471)	
Cash flows provided by operating activities	50,442	28,959	45,398
Cash flows from investing activities: Acquisition of or additions to real estate and equipment Tenant leasing costs Escrows	(30,267) (3,779) 9,525 1 101	(33,774) (3,191)	(11,878) (1,554) (4,992)
Cash flows used in investing activities	(23,420)		(18, 424)
Cash flows from financing activities:  Owners' contributions	33,278 (108,059) 117,269 16,851 (93,408) (6,250) (3,883)	44,544 (43,530) 1,200 171 (14,134)  (751)	24, 324 (37, 169)  (237) (16, 065)  (2, 572)
Cash flows used in financing activities	(44,202)	(12,500)	(31,719)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	(17,180) 22,791		
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year		\$ 22,791	\$ 42,990
Supplemental cash flow information: Cash paid for interest		\$100,369	\$ 85,526
Interest capitalized	\$ 366	\$ 1,543	\$
Supplemental disclosure of noncash transaction: Conversion of owners' equity to note payable- affiliate			\$

# NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

#### 1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

The accompanying combined financial statements comprise interests in properties and the third party commercial real estate development, project management and property management business of Boston Properties, Inc. at December 31, 1996.

The accompanying financial statements have been presented on a combined basis because of the affiliates, general partners and common management which control the business operations of each entity and because the Properties are expected to be the subject of a business combination with Boston Properties, Inc. (the "Company"), which was formed in 1970 and will be reorganized to change its jurisdiction of organization from Massachusetts to Delaware and is expected to qualify as a real estate investment trust under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

The entities owning the properties and Boston Properties, Inc. collectively are referred to as the "Boston Properties Predecessor Group" or the "Predecessor."

The interests in properties at December 31, 1996 included in the accompanying combined financial statements consist of 70 commercial real estate properties (the "Properties") aggregating approximately 8.8 million square feet. The Predecessor owns a 100% fee interest in 58 of the Properties. The Predecessor also owns a 75.0% general partner interest (100% economic interest as a result of a priority of the Predecessor's interest in one of the properties which comprises approximately 120,000 square feet). Additionally, the Predecessor owns a 35.7% controlling general partnership interest in 11 of the properties which comprise approximately 204,500 square feet. The Properties consist of 60 office properties with approximately 7.3 million net rentable square feet, including five office properties currently under development or redevelopment totaling approximately 371,000 net rentable square feet (the "Office Properties"); nine industrial properties with approximately 810,000 net rentable square feet (the "Industrial Properties"); two full service hotels totaling 833 rooms and approximately 750,000 square feet (the "Hotel Properties"); and a 1,170 space parking garage with approximately 332,000 square feet located within the Company's mixed-use development in East Cambridge, Massachusetts (the "Garage Property"). The Properties are primarily located in ten submarkets, including five submarkets in Greater Boston (the East Cambridge, Route 128 NW, Route 128/Massachusetts Turnpike, Route 128 SW and downtown Boston submarkets), four submarkets in Greater Washington, D.C. (the downtown Southwest Washington, D.C., Montgomery County, Maryland, Fairfax County, Virginia and Prince George's County, Maryland Submarkets) and midtown Manhattan (the Park Avenue Submarket). The Predecessors' single largest Property, with approximately 1.0 million net rentable square feet, is an Office Property located in the Park Avenue submarket of midtown Manhattan.

Boston Properties L.P. (the "Operating Partnership") has acquired the right to purchase from the partners and owners in the Predecessor their interests therein in exchange for an interest in the Operating Partnership, which will hold the operating assets of the Company. The Company will be the general and majority partner of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership will hold all of the assets of the Predecessor entities as a result of the expected business combination. Due to the affiliation of the Predecessor, the business combination will be accounted for as a reorganization of entities under common control which is similar to the accounting used for a pooling of interests. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the combined presentation.

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

## A. REAL ESTATE AND EQUIPMENT

Real estate and equipment are stated at depreciated cost. The Company will record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operation, when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired

# NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(CONTINUED) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

and the estimated undiscounted cash flows to be generated by those assets are less than the carrying amount of those assets. No such impairment losses have been recognized to date.

The cost of buildings and improvements includes the purchase price of property, legal fees, acquisition costs as well as interest, property taxes and other costs incurred during the period of development.

Depreciation is computed on the straight line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets, as follows:

Land improvements	25 to 40 years
Building costs	10 to 40 years
Tenant improvements	Terms of the lease useful life
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	5 to 7 years

Depreciation expense for corporate furniture, fixtures, and equipment and corporate occupied real property was \$557, \$588 and \$603 for the years ended December 31, 1996, 1995 and 1994, respectively.

Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to operations as incurred. Significant betterments are capitalized.

When assets are sold or retired, their costs and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts with the resulting gains or losses reflected in net income or (loss) for the period.

### B. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand and investments with maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase. The majority of the Predecessor's cash and cash equivalents are held at major commercial banks. The Predecessor has not experienced any losses to date on its invested cash.

## C. ESCROWS

Escrows include amounts established pursuant to various agreements for security deposits, property taxes, insurance and capital improvements.

## D. REVENUE RECOGNITION

Base rental revenue is reported on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective leases. The impact of the straight line rent adjustment increased revenues by \$513, decreased revenues by \$168, and increased revenues by \$1,668 for the years ended December 31, 1996, 1995 and 1994, respectively.

Accrued rental income represents rental income earned in excess of rent payments received pursuant to the terms of the individual lease agreements, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts.

Development fees are recognized ratably over the period of development. Management fees are recognized as revenue as they are earned.

Revenue recognition of fees received for lease terminations are deferred and amortized to income using the straight line method over the remaining original lease term until the space is subsequently leased.

## E. INCOME TAXES

No provision for income taxes is necessary in the financial statements of the Predecessor since the Predecessor's statements combine the operations and balances of partnerships, trusts and an S-corporation, none

# NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(CONTINUED) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

of which is directly subject to income tax. The tax effect of its activities accrues to the individual partners and or principals of the respective entity.

Certain entities included in the Predecessor's combined financial statements are subject to District of Columbia franchise taxes. Franchise taxes are recorded as rental operating expenses in the accompanying combined financial statements.

#### F. TENANT LEASING COSTS

Fees and costs incurred in the successful negotiation of leases, including brokerage, legal and other costs have been deferred and are being amortized on a straight line basis over the terms of the respective leases.

### G. DEFERRED FINANCING COSTS

Fees and costs incurred to obtain long-term financing have been deferred and are being amortized over the terms of the respective loans on a basis which approximates the effective interest method.

## H. INTEREST EXPENSE

Interest expense on fixed rate debt with periodic rate increases is computed using the effective interest method over the terms of the respective loans.

## I. PARTNERS' CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS, DISTRIBUTIONS AND PROFITS AND LOSSES

Partners' capital contributions, distributions and profits and losses are allocated in accordance with the terms of individual partnership agreements.

### J. USE OF ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### 3. MORTGAGE NOTES PAYABLE:

Mortgage notes payable are comprised of 42 loans at December 31, 1996 and 1995, each of which is collateralized by a building and related land included in real estate assets. The mortgage notes payable are generally due in monthly installments and mature at various dates through September 30, 2012. Interest rates on fixed rate mortgage notes payable aggregating \$913,361 and \$829,226 at December 31, 1996 and 1995, respectively, range from 7.35% to 9.875% (averaging 8.07% at December 31, 1996). Interest rates on variable rate mortgage notes payable aggregating \$380,129 and \$440,403 at December 31, 1996 and 1995, respectively, range from the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") 5.5% at December 31, 1996 to 1.375% above the LIBOR rate.

The interest rates related to the mortgage notes payable for three properties aggregating \$610,782 and \$612,657 at December 31, 1996 and 1995 are subject to periodic scheduled rate increases. Interest expense for these mortgage notes payable is computed using the effective interest method. The impact of using this method increased interest expense \$644, \$1,347 and \$3,131 for the years ended December 31, 1996, 1995 and 1994, respectively. The cumulative liability related to these adjustments is \$21,013 and \$20,369 at December 31, 1996 and 1995, respectively, and is included in mortgage notes payable.

# NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(CONTINUED) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

Combined aggregate principal maturities of mortgage notes payable at December 31, 1996 are as follows:

1997	\$334,472
1998	119,435
1999	6,083
2000	48,040
2001	153,148

The extraordinary loss reflected in the statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 1996 resulted from a prepayment penalty upon the early principal repayment of a mortgage note payable.

Certain mortgage notes payable are subject to prepayment penalties of varying amounts in the event of an early principal repayment.

## 4. LEASING ACTIVITIES:

Future minimum lease payments to be received as of December 31, 1996 under noncancelable operating leases, which expire on various dates through 2012, are as follows:

### Years ending December 31:

1997	. \$149,827
1998	. 134,481
1999	. 124,922
2000	. 109,888
2001	
Thereafter	. 460,973

One major tenant, the General Services Administration, represented 17%, 19% and 18% of the Predecessor's total rental income for the years ended December 31, 1996, 1995, and 1994, respectively.

## 5. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS:

Notes payable--affiliate consists of amounts funded by affiliates for office buildings under renovation or construction. The notes bear interest at the prime rate plus 1% and are due on demand.

Development fees of \$25, \$125, and \$478, have been received from affiliates for 1996, 1995, and 1994, respectively.

Management fees and other income of \$979, \$1,102, and \$1,082, have been received from affiliates for 1996, 1995, and 1994, respectively.

Additionally, certain mortgage notes payable aggregating \$208,149 at December 31, 1996 are guaranteed by affiliates of the Predecessor.

## 6. SAVINGS PLAN:

Effective January 1, 1985, the Predecessor adopted a 401(K) Savings Plan (the "Plan") for its employees. Under the Plan, employees, age 18 and older, are eligible to participate in the Plan after they have completed three months of service. In addition, participants may elect to make an after-tax contribution of up to 10% of their wages.

The Plan provides that matching employer contributions are to be determined at the discretion of the Predecessor. The Predecessor matches 200% of the first 2% of pay (utilizing pay that is not in excess of \$100). The

# NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(CONTINUED) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

cost to the Predecessor of this matching for the years ended December 31, 1996, 1995 and 1994, was \$359, \$319 and \$216, respectively.

Participants are immediately vested in their pre-tax and after-tax contributions. Participants vest in the Predecessor's matching contributions and earnings thereon over a seven year period.

#### 7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

### Legal Matters

The Predecessor is subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. These matters are generally covered by insurance. The Predecessor believes that the final outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position, results of operations or liquidity of the Predecessor.

### **Environmental Matters**

On January 9, 1997, the Predecessor received a Notice of Potential Responsibility ("NOR") related to groundwater contamination at one of the Predecessor's properties located in Massachusetts. The lease with the tenant of the property contains an indemnification from the tenant to the Predecessor for liability due to the tenant's actions. The tenant is currently conducting an investigation. The Predecessor expects that any resolution will not have a material impact on the financial position, results of operations or liquidity of the Predecessor.

### Development

The Predecessor has entered into contracts for the construction and renovation of projects currently under development. Commitments under these arrangements totaled approximately \$37 million at December 31, 1996.

The Predecessor has future development rights related to the purchase, construction, and completion of approximately 1.5 million square feet of office and industrial space. The Predecessor is required to make minimum deposits of \$1 million during the next six years to maintain these rights. If the Predecessor elects to purchase the land, all deposits would be applied to the purchase price.

## Management Contracts

The hotels are managed pursuant to contracts which expire in 2012 with a national hotel management company. These agreements include base and incentive fee provisions. The fees under these agreements aggregated \$4,974, \$4,410 and \$4,001 for the years ended December 31, 1996, 1995 and 1994, respectively.

## 8. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS:

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, escrows, receivables, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other assets and liabilities are reasonable estimates of their fair values because of the short maturities of these instruments. Mortgage notes payable have aggregate carrying values which approximate their estimated fair values based upon the remaining maturities for certain debt and interest rates for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities.

NO DEALER, SALESPERSON OR OTHER INDIVIDUAL HAS BEEN AUTHORIZED TO GIVE ANY IN-FORMATION OR MAKE ANY REPRESENTATIONS NOT CONTAINED IN THIS PROSPECTUS IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFERING COVERED BY THIS PROSPECTUS. IF GIVEN OR MADE, SUCH INFORMATION OR REPRESENTATION MUST NOT BE RELIED UPON AS HAVING BEEN AUTHORIZED BY THE COMPANY. THIS PROSPECTUS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFER TO SELL, OR A SO-LICITATION OF AN OFFER TO BUY, THE COMMON STOCK IN ANY JURISDICTION WHERE, OR TO ANY PERSON TO WHOM, IT IS UNLAWFUL TO MAKE SUCH OFFER OR SOLICITATION. NEITHER THE DELIVERY OF THIS PROSPECTUS NOR ANY SALE MADE HEREUNDER SHALL, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES, CREATE AN IMPLICATION THAT THERE HAS NOT BEEN ANY CHANGE IN THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THIS PROSPECTUS OR IN THE AFFAIRS OF THE COMPANY SINCE THE DATE HEREOF.

# -----SUMMARY TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Prospectus Summary Risk Factors The Company Business and Growth Strategies Use of Proceeds Distributions. Capitalization. Dilution. Selected Financial Information. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results	1 23 33 37 41 42 46 48 49
of OperationsBusiness and Properties	101 107 110 114 118
·	127 128 140 142 143 144
UNTIL , 1997 (25 DAYS AFTER THE COMMENCEMENT OF THIS OFFERING), ALL DE EFFECTING TRANSACTIONS IN THE SHARES, WHETHER OR NOT PARTICIPATING IN THIS	

TRIBUTION, MAY BE REQUIRED TO DELIVER A PROSPECTUS. THIS DELIVERY REQUIREMENT IS IN ADDITION TO THE OBLIGATION OF THE DEALERS TO DELIVER A PROSPECTUS WHEN ACTING AS UNDERWRITERS AND WITH RESPECT TO THEIR UNSOLD ALLOTMENTS OR SUBSCRIPTIONS.

29,500,000 SHARES

[LOGO OF BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC. APPEARS HERE]

BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC.

COMMON STOCK

-----

**PROSPECTUS** 

MERRILL LYNCH & CO. GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO. BEAR, STEARNS & CO., INC. PAINEWEBBER INCORPORATED PRUDENTIAL SECURITIES INCORPORATED SMITH BARNEY INC.

, 1997

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION APRIL 16, 1997

**PROSPECTUS** 

29,500,000 SHARES BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC.

[LOGO OF BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC. APPEARS HERE]

COMMON STOCK

Boston Properties, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, the "Company") has been formed to succeed to the real estate development, redevelopment, acquisition, management, operating and leasing businesses associated with the predecessor company founded by Mortimer B. Zuckerman and Edward H. Linde in . 1970. The Company is one of the largest owners and developers of office properties in the United States, with a significant presence in five submarkets in Greater Boston, four submarkets in Greater Washington, D.C. and the Park Avenue submarket of midtown Manhattan. Upon completion of this offering (the "Offering"), the Company will own a portfolio of 72 properties aggregating approximately 10.4 million square feet, 90% of which was developed or substantially redeveloped by the Company. The properties consist of 60 office properties with approximately 7.3 million net rentable square feet (including seven office properties under development that will consist of approximately 810,000 net rentable square feet) and approximately 1.1 million additional square feet of structured parking for 3,872 vehicles; nine industrial properties with approximately 925,000 net rentable square feet; two hotels with a total of 833 rooms consisting of approximately 750,000 square feet; and a parking garage with 1,170 spaces (consisting of approximately 330,000 square feet). In addition, the Company will own, have under contract or have options to acquire six parcels of land totaling 47.4 acres, which will support approximately 1.0 million square feet of development.

Following the Offering, Mr. Zuckerman will serve as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Linde will serve as President and Chief Operating Officer and together they will own approximately a % economic interest in the Company. Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde have agreed that the Company will be the exclusive entity through which they develop and acquire commercial properties. The Company is a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate company and expects to qualify as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") for federal income tax purposes for the year ending December 31, 1997. Upon completion of the Offering, the Company expects to have a \$300 million unsecured line of credit to facilitate its development and acquisition activity.

All of the shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$.01 per share ("Common Stock"), offered hereby are being sold by the Company. Of the 29,500,000 shares of Common Stock being offered hereby, 23,600,000 shares are being offered initially in the United States and Canada by the U.S. Underwriters and 5,900,000 shares are being offered initially outside the United States and Canada by the International Managers. See "Underwriting."

Prior to the Offering, there has been no public market for the Common Stock. It is currently estimated that the initial public offering price will be \$25.00 per share. See "Underwriting" for information relating to the factors to be considered in determining the initial public offering price. The Company will apply for listing of the Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "BXP."

SEE "RISK FACTORS" BEGINNING ON PAGE 23 FOR CERTAIN FACTORS RELEVANT TO AN INVESTMENT IN THE COMMON STOCK, INCLUDING:

- . Risks associated with the development of commercial properties;
- . Conflicts of interest with affiliates of the Company in connection with the formation of the Company, this Offering and the operations of the Company's ongoing business;
- . The possibility that certain provisions of the Company's organizational documents may increase the costs and reduce the potential gains of the Company in connection with the sale of, or the reduction of indebtedness on, certain properties, if such sale or reduction of indebtedness caused persons who had an interest in such properties prior to the Offering to recognize taxable income;
- . The possibility that the consideration to be given by the Company for properties and other assets at the completion of the Offering may exceed their fair market value; no third-party appraisals were obtained by the Company regarding these properties and other assets;
- . Risks inherent in real estate investment and property management;
- . The possibility that the Company may not be able to refinance outstanding debt upon maturity, that indebtedness might be refinanced on less favorable terms, and that interest rates might increase on amounts drawn under the Company's proposed line of credit;
- . Taxation of the Company as a regular corporation if it fails to qualify as a REIT; and
- . Limitations on the stockholders' ability to change control of the Company due to certain provisions of the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, Delaware law and the partnership agreement of the Company's operating partnership subsidiary.

ACCURACY OR	SSION OR ANY S COMMISSION OR ADEQUACY OF A CRIMINAL OFF	STATE SECURITIE ANY STATE SEC THIS PROSPEC	ES COMMISS CURITIES C CTUS. ANY	ION NOR HAS OMMISSION PAS	THE SECURITIES SSED UPON THE TION TO THE	
			PRICE TO PUBLIC	UNDERWRITING DISCOUNT(1)	G PROCEEDS TO COMPANY(2)	
Per Share			. \$	\$	\$	
Total(3)			. \$	\$	\$	
1933, as amo (2) Before deduct (3) The Company up to an add	has agreed to bilities, incl ended. See "Ur cting estimate has granted t ditional 3,540	o indemnify the Luding liabilit nderwriting."	e several ties under \$ pay writers a f Common S	Underwriters the Securit  able by the ( 30-day option tock, and has	against ies Act of Company. n to purchase s granted the	

Res,000 shares of Common Stock, on the same terms and conditions as set forth above solely to cover overallotments, if any. If such options are exercised in full, the total Price to Public, Underwriting Discount and Proceeds to Company will be \$ , \$ and \$ , respectively. See "Underwriting."

The shares of Common Stock are offered by the several Underwriters, subject to prior sale, when, as and if issued and accepted by them, subject to approval of certain legal maters by counsel for the Underwriters. The Underwriters reserve the right to withdraw, cancel or modify such offer and to reject orders in whole or in part. It is expected that delivery of the shares will be made in New York, New York on or about , 1997.

MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL GOLDMAN SACHS INTERNATIONAL

BEAR, STEARNS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED PAINEWEBBER INTERNATIONAL (U.K.) LTD. PRUDENTIAL-BACHE SECURITIES SMITH BARNEY INC.

> The date of this Prospectus is , 1997.

#### UNDERWRITING

Subject to the terms and conditions in the international purchase agreement (the "International Purchase Agreement"), among the Company and each of the underwriters named below (the "International Managers"), and concurrently with the sale of 23,600,000 shares to the U.S. Underwriters (as defined below), the Company has agreed to sell to each of the International Managers, for whom Merrill Lynch International, Goldman Sachs International, Bear, Stearns International Limited, PaineWebber International (U.K.) Ltd., Prudential-Bache Securities, and Smith Barney Inc. are acting as lead managers (the "Lead Managers"), and each of the International Managers has severally agreed to purchase from the Company, the respective number of shares of Common Stock set forth opposite their respective names:

UNDERWRITER	NUMBER OF SHARES
Merrill Lynch International	
Total	 5,900,000

The Company has also entered into a purchase agreement (the "U.S. Purchase Agreement" and, together with the International Purchase Agreement, the "Purchase Agreements") with certain underwriters in the United States and Canada (the "U.S. Underwriters" and, together with the International Underwriters, the "Underwriters") for whom Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc., PaineWebber Incorporated, Prudential Securities Incorporated, and Smith Barney Inc. are acting as representatives. Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the U.S. Purchase Agreement and concurrently with the sale of 5,900,000 shares of Common Stock to the International Managers pursuant to the International Purchase Agreement, the Company has agreed to sell to the U.S. Underwriters, and the U.S. Underwriters have severally agreed to purchase from the Company, an aggregate of 23,600,000 shares of Common Stock. The initial public offering price per share and the total underwriting discount per share are identical under the U.S. Purchase Agreement and the International Purchase Agreement.

In each Purchase Agreement, the several U.S. Underwriters and the several International Managers have agreed, respectively, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in such Purchase Agreement, to purchase all of the shares of Common Stock being sold pursuant to such Purchase Agreement if any of such shares of Common Stock are purchased. Under certain circumstances, the commitments of non-defaulting U.S. Underwriters or International Managers (as the case may be) may be increased. The sale of shares of Common Stock pursuant to the U.S. Purchase Agreement and the International Purchase Agreement are conditioned upon each other.

The Lead Managers have advised the Company that the International Managers propose to offer the Common Stock to the public at the initial public offering price set forth on the cover page of this Prospectus, and to certain banks, brokers and dealers (the "Selling Group") at such price less a concession not in excess of \$ per share. The International Managers may allow, and such dealers may re-allow with the consent of Merrill Lynch International, a discount not in excess of \$ per share on sales to certain other International Managers and members of the Selling Group. After the date of this Prospectus, the public offering price and concession and discount may be changed.

The Company has been informed that the U.S. Underwriters and the International Managers have entered into an agreement (the "Intersyndicate Agreement") providing for the coordination of their activities. Under the terms of the Intersyndicate Agreement, the U.S. Underwriters and the International Managers are permitted to sell shares of Common Stock to each other for purposes of resale at the initial public offering price, less an amount not greater than the selling concession. Under the terms of the Intersyndicate Agreement, the International Managers and any dealer to whom they sell shares of Common Stock will not offer to sell or sell

shares of Common Stock to persons who are United States persons or Canadian persons or to persons they believe intend to resell to persons who are United States persons or Canadian persons, and the U.S. Underwriters and any dealer to whom they sell shares of Common Stock will not offer to sell or sell shares of Common Stock to persons who are non-United States and non-Canadian persons or to persons they believe intend to resell to non-United States and non-Canadian persons, except in each case for transactions pursuant to such agreement.

The Company has granted to the International Managers an option, exercisable for 30 days after the date of this Prospectus, to purchase up to 885,000 additional shares of Common Stock to cover overallotments, if any, at the initial public offering price, less the underwriting discount set forth on the cover page of this Prospectus. If the International Managers exercise this option, each International Manager will have a firm commitment, subject to certain conditions, to purchase approximately the same percentage thereof which the number of shares of Common Stock to be purchased by it shown in the foregoing table bears to such International Managers' initial amount reflected in the foregoing table. The Company also has granted an option to the U.S. Underwriters, exercisable during the 30-day period after the date of this Prospectus, to purchase up to 3,540,000 additional shares of Common Stock to cover overallotments, if any, on terms similar to those granted to the International Managers.

At the request of the Company, the U.S. Underwriters have reserved up to shares of Common Stock for sale at the public offering price to certain employees of the Company, their business affiliates and related parties who have expressed an interest in purchasing shares. The number of shares available to the general public will be reduced to the extent these persons purchase the reserved shares. Any reserved shares that are not so purchased by such persons at the completion of the Offerings will be offered by the U.S. Underwriters to the general public on the same terms as the other shares offered by this Prospectus.

In the Purchase Agreements, the Company has agreed to indemnify the Underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act. Insofar as indemnification of the Underwriters for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted pursuant to the foregoing provisions, the Company has been informed that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is therefore unenforceable.

The Company, the Operating Partnership and certain persons who owned interests in one or more of the Properties prior to the Offering and who received OP Units in exchange for such interests in the Formation Transactions (the "Non-Affiliated Participants") have agreed, subject to certain exceptions, not to sell, offer or contract to sell, grant any option for the sale of, or otherwise dispose of any shares of Common Stock or OP Units, or any securities convertible into or exchangeable for Common Stock or OP Units, for a period of one year from the date of the Prospectus, without the prior written consent of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated. The Company has granted certain registration rights pursuant to which the Non-Affiliated Participants may require the Company to file a registration statement with the SEC with respect to sales of any shares received by the Non-Affiliated Participants in exchange for their OP Units after the expiration of the one-year period.

Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde and the senior officers of the Company who will receive OP Units and/or shares of Common Stock in the Formation Transactions have agreed, subject to certain exceptions, not to sell, offer or contract to sell, grant any option for the sale of, or otherwise dispose of any shares of Common Stock or OP Units for a period of two years from the date of the Prospectus, without the prior written consent of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated.

Each of the Company and the International Managers has represented and agreed that (a) it has not offered or sold, and prior to the date six months after the date of this Prospectus will not offer or sell any Shares of Common Stock to persons in the United Kingdom except to persons whose ordinary activities involve them in acquiring, holding, managing or disposing of investments (as principal or agent) for the purpose of their businesses or otherwise in circumstances which do not constitute an offer to the public in the United Kingdom for the purposes of the Public Offers of Securities Regulations 1995, (b) it has complied and will comply with all applicable provisions of the Financial Services Act 1986 with respect to anything done by it in relation to the shares of Common Stock in, from or otherwise the United Kingdom and (c) it has only issued or passed on and will only issue or pass on in the United Kingdom any document received by it in connection with the issue or sale of the Common Stock to a person who is of a kind described in Article II(3) of the Financial Services Act

1986 (Investment Advertisements) (Exemptions) Order 1995 or is a person to whom the document may otherwise lawfully be issued or passed on.

Until the distribution of the Common Stock is completed, rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission may limit the ability of the Underwriters and certain selling group members to bid for and purchase the Common Stock. As an exception to these rules, the U.S. Representatives are permitted to engage in certain transactions that stabilize the price of the Common Stock. Such transactions consist of bids or purchases for the purpose of pegging, fixing or maintaining the price of the Common Stock.

If the Underwriters create a short position in the Common Stock in connection with the offering, i.e., if they sell more shares of Common Stock than are set forth on the cover page of this Prospectus, the U.S. Representatives and the International Managers, respectively, may reduce that short position by purchasing Common Stock in the open market. The U.S. Representatives and the International Managers, respectively, may also elect to reduce any short position by exercising all or part of the over-allotment option described above.

The U.S. Representatives and the International Managers, respectively, may also impose a penalty bid on certain Underwriters and selling group members. This means that if the U.S. Representatives or the International Managers purchase shares of Common Stock in the open market to reduce the Underwriters' short position or to stabilize the price of the Common Stock, they may reclaim the amount of the selling concession from the Underwriters and selling group members who sold those shares as part of the Offering.

In general, purchases of a security for the purpose of stabilization or to reduce a short position could cause the price of the security to be higher than it might be in the absence of such purchases. The imposition of a penalty bid might also have an effect on the price of a security to the extent that it were to discourage resales of the security.

Neither the Company nor any of the Underwriters makes any representation or prediction as to the direction or magnitude of any effect that the transactions described above may have on the price of the Common Stock. In addition, none of the Underwriters makes any representation that the U.S. Representatives or the International Managers will engage in such transactions or that such transactions, once commenced, will not be discontinued without notice.

The Underwriters do not intend to confirm sales to any account over which they exercise discretionary authority.

Prior to the Offerings, there has been no public market for the Common Stock of the Company. The initial public offering price has been determined through negotiations between the Company and the U.S. Representatives. Among the factors considered in such negotiations, in addition to prevailing market conditions, are dividend yields and financial characteristics of publicly traded REITs that the Company and the U.S. Representatives believe to be comparable to the Company, the expected results of operations of the Company (which are based on the results of operations of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group and the third-party development and management business in recent periods), estimates of the future business potential and earnings prospects of the Company as a whole and the current state of the real estate market in the Company's primary markets and the economy as a whole.

The Company has applied for listing of the Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "BXP." In order to meet one of the requirements for listing the Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange, the Underwriters will undertake to sell lots of 100 or more shares of Common Stock to a minimum of 2,000 beneficial holders.

The Company will pay to Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated an advisory fee equal to % of the gross proceeds received from the sale of Common Stock to public investors in the Offerings for financial advisory services rendered in connection with the Company's formation as a REIT.

\_\_\_\_\_

NO DEALER, SALESPERSON OR OTHER INDIVIDUAL HAS BEEN AUTHORIZED TO GIVE ANY INFORMATION OR MAKE ANY REPRESENTATIONS NOT CONTAINED IN THIS PROSPECTUS IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFERING COVERED BY THIS PROSPECTUS. IF GIVEN OR MADE, SUCH INFORMATION OR REPRESENTATION MUST NOT BE RELIED UPON AS HAVING BEEN AUTHORIZED BY THE COMPANY. THIS PROSPECTUS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFER TO SELL, OR A SOLICITATION OF AN OFFER TO BUY, THE COMMON STOCK IN ANY JURISDICTION WHERE, OR TO ANY PERSON TO WHOM, IT IS UNLAWFUL TO MAKE SUCH OFFER OR SOLICITATION. NEITHER THE DELIVERY OF THIS PROSPECTUS NOR ANY SALE MADE HEREUNDER SHALL, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES, CREATE AN IMPLICATION THAT THERE HAS NOT BEEN ANY CHANGE IN THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THIS PROSPECTUS OR IN THE AFFAIRS OF THE COMPANY SINCE THE DATE HEREOF.

## SUMMARY TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Prospectus Summary	1
Risk Factors	23
The Company	33
Business and Growth Strategies	37
Use of Proceeds	41
Distributions	42
Capitalization	46
Dilution	48
Selected Financial Information	49
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results	
of Operations	52
Business and Properties	57
Unsecured Line of Credit	100
Management	101
Policies with Respect to Certain Activities	107
Structure and Formation of the Company	
Operating Partnership Agreement	
Principal Stockholders	
	119
Description of Capital Stock	119
	404
Incorporation and Bylaws	
Shares Available for Future Sale	
Federal Income Tax Consequences	
Underwriting	
Experts	
Legal Matters	
Glossary	144
Index to Financial Statements	
UNTIL , 1997 (25 DAYS AFTER THE COMMENCEMENT OF THIS OFFERING), ALL DE	AI -
ERS EFFECTING TRANSACTIONS IN THE SHARES, WHETHER OR NOT PARTICIPATING IN	
DISTRIBUTION, MAY BE REQUIRED TO DELIVER A PROSPECTUS. THIS DELIVERY REQUI	
MENT IS IN ADDITION TO THE OBLIGATION OF THE DEALERS TO DELIVER A PROSPECT	
WHEN ACTING AS UNDERWRITERS AND WITH RESPECT TO THEIR UNSOLD ALLOTMENTS OF	
WHEN ACTING AS UNDERWRITERS AND WITH RESPECT TO THEIR UNSULD ALLOTMENTS OF SUBSCRIPTIONS.	`
SUBSCRIF I IUNS.	

29,500,000 SHARES

[LOGO OF BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC. APPEARS HERE]

BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC.

COMMON STOCK

-----

PROSPECTUS

MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL GOLDMAN SACHS INTERNATIONAL BEAR, STEARNS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED PAINEWEBBER INTERNATIONAL (U.K.) LTD. PRUDENTIAL-BACHE SECURITIES SMITH BARNEY INC.

, 1997

### INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS

ITEM 30. OTHER EXPENSES OF ISSUANCE AND DISTRIBUTION.

The following table itemizes the expenses incurred by the Company in connection with the offering of the shares of Common Stock being registered hereby. All of the amounts shown are estimates, except the Securities and Exchange Commission Registration Fee.

ITEM	AMOUNT
Securities and Exchange Commission Registration Fee.  NASD Fee.  New York Stock Exchange Listing Fee.  Transfer Agent's and Registrar's Fees.  Printing Fees.  Legal Fees and Expenses (other than Blue Sky).  Accounting Fees and Expenses.  Blue Sky Fees and Expenses (including fees of counsel).  Miscellaneous Expenses.	30,500
Total	\$ * ======

<sup>\*</sup> To be filed by amendment.

ITEM 31. SALES TO SPECIAL PARTIES.

See Item 32.

ITEM 32. RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES.

On April 8, 1997, the Operating Partnership was formed with Boston Properties, Inc., a Massachusetts Corporation ("BP-Massachusetts"), as general partner and an affiliate as a limited partner. The sale of the interests in the Operating Partnership was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act").

On April 9 and 15, 1997, the Company entered into an Omnibus Option Agreement (or, in the case of one entity, a similar agreement) with a total of 80 individuals (the "Individuals") and entities ("Entities") (including entities such as trusts or limited partnerships in which one or more of the Individuals may have the primary economic or a controlling interest). None of the Entities was formed for the purpose of entering into the Omnibus Option Agreement and acquiring OP Units. Such agreement provides that the Operating Partnership can, at its option and without any further action by such Individuals or Entities, acquire all or any of the interests of the Individuals or Entities in the 72 Properties and in two of the properties which are described as Excluded Properties in Part I of this Registration Statement (collectively, the "Interests"). The right of the Operating Partnership to acquire all or any of the Interests from the Individuals and Entities and to issue OP Units in exchange therefor is subject only to the fulfillment of conditions (principally, the completion of the Offering) beyond the control of the Individuals and Entities. The total number of OP Units that will be issued to the Individuals and Entities will depend on whether the Operating Partnership determines to acquire the Interests in the Excluded Properties and on the final offering price of a share of Common Stock in the Offering. Such agreement was entered into and will be consummated in reliance on Section 4(2) of, and Regulation D under, the Securities Act.

On April 11, 1997, BP-Massachusetts and Boston Properties, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("BP-Delaware"), and the Operating Partnership, entered into a number of agreements (including a merger agreement and a contribution agreement) that memorializes (i) the issuance of Common Stock by BP-Delaware to the stockholders of BP-Massachusetts (Messrs. Zuckerman and Linde) upon consummation of a reincorporation merger in connection with the Formation Transactions and (ii) the contribution to the Operating Partnership of

the proceeds of the Offering and the management and development operations currently held by BP-Massachusetts. Such agreements were entered into and will be consummated in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

#### TTEM 33. INDEMNIFICATION OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS.

The Company's Certificate, as amended, and Bylaws provide certain limitations on the liability of the Company's directors and officers for monetary damages to the Company. The Certificate and Bylaws obligate the Company to indemnify its directors and officers, and permit the Company to indemnify its employees and other agents, against certain liabilities incurred in connection with their service in such capacities. These provisions could reduce the legal remedies available to the Company and the stockholders against these individuals. See "Certain Provisions of Delaware Law and The Company's Certificate and Bylaws--Limitation of Liability and Indemnification."

The Company's Certificate limits the liability of the Company's directors and officers to the Company to the fullest extent permitted from time to time by Delaware law. The DGCL permits, but does not require, a corporation to indemnify its directors, officers, employees or agents and expressly provides that the indemnification provided for under the DGCL shall not be deemed exclusive of any indemnification right under any bylaw, vote of stockholders or disinterested directors, or otherwise. The DGCL permits indemnification against expenses and certain other liabilities arising out of legal actions brought or threatened against such persons for their conduct on behalf of the corporation, provided that each such person acted in good faith and in a manner that he reasonably believed was in or not opposed to the corporation's best interests and in the case of a criminal proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful. The DGCL does not allow indemnification of directors in the case of an action by or in the right of the corporation (including stockholder derivative suits) unless the directors successfully defend the action or indemnification is ordered by the court.

The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with each of its directors and executive officers. The indemnification agreements require, among other matters, that the Company indemnify its directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by law and advance to the directors and officers all related expenses, subject to reimbursement if it is subsequently determined that indemnification is not permitted. Under these agreements, the Company must also indemnify and advance all expenses incurred by directors and officers seeking to enforce their rights under the indemnification agreements and may cover directors and officers under the Company's directors' and officers' liability insurance. Although the form of indemnification agreement offers substantially the same scope of coverage afforded by law, it provides additional assurance to directors and officers that indemnification will be available because, as a contract, it cannot be modified unilaterally in the future by the Board of Directors or the Stockholders to eliminate the rights it provides. It is the position of the SEC that indemnification of directors and officers for liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") is against public policy and unenforceable pursuant to Section 14 of the Securities Act.

ITEM 34. TREATMENT OF PROCEEDS FROM STOCK BEING REGISTERED.

Not applicable.

ITEM 35. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND EXHIBITS.

(b) Exhibits. The following is a complete list of Exhibits filed or incorporated by reference as part of this Registration Statement.

# EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION

- \*1.1 --Form of U.S. Purchase Agreement
- \*1.2 --Form of International Purchase Agreement

### EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION

- \_\_\_\_\_\_
  - \*3.1 --Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company
  - \*3.2 --Form of Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company
  - \*5.1 --Opinion of Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP regarding legality of the shares of the Common Stock issued
  - \*8.1 --Opinion of Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP regarding tax matters
  - \*10.1 --Form of Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Operating Partnership
  - \*10.2 --1997 Stock Option and Incentive Plan
  - \*10.3 --Employment and Non-Competition Agreement between the Company and Mortimer B. Zuckerman
  - \*10.4 -- Employment and Noncompetition Agreement between the Company and Edward H. Linde
  - \*10.5 --Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and each of its directors and executive officers
  - 10.6 --Omnibus Option Agreement by and among Boston Properties Limited Partnership (the "Operating Partnership") and the Grantors named therein dated as of April 9, 1997
  - \*10.7 -- Revolving Credit Agreement
  - \*10.8 --Form of Registration Rights Agreement among the Company and the persons named therein
  - \*10.9 -- Form of Hotel Lease Agreement
  - \*10.10 --Option Agreement between Boston Properties Limited Partnership and Square 36 Properties Limited Partnership dated April 15,
  - \*21.1 -- Schedule of Subsidiaries of the Company
  - 23.1 -- Consent of Coopers & Lybrand, L.L.P.
  - 23.3 -- Consent of Spaulding & Slye
  - \*23.4 --Consent of Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP (included in Exhibit 5.1)
  - \*24.1 -- Powers of Attorney
  - 27.1 -- Financial Data Schedule

## \* To be filed by amendment

### ITEM 36. UNDERTAKINGS.

- (a) Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the Registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the Registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the Registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the Registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the Registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.
- (b) The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes to provide to the underwriter at the closing specified in the underwriting agreements, certificates in such denominations and registered in such names as required by the underwriter to permit prompt delivery to each purchaser.
  - (c) The undersigned Registrant hereby undertakes that:
  - (1) For purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, the information omitted from the form of prospectus filed as part of this Registration Statement in reliance upon Rule 430A and contained in a form of prospectus filed by the Registrant pursuant to Rule 424(b)(1) or (4) or 497(h) under the Securities Act shall be deemed to be part of this Registration Statement as of the time it was declared effective.
  - (2) For the purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each post-effective amendment that contains a form of prospectus shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.

## SIGNATURES

PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC. CERTIFIES THAT IT HAS REASONABLE GROUNDS TO BELIEVE THAT IT MEETS ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR FILING ON FORM S-11 AND HAS DULY CAUSED THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT TO BE SIGNED ON ITS BEHALF BY THE UNDERSIGNED, THEREUNTO DULY AUTHORIZED, IN THE CITY OF BOSTON, THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, ON THIS 14TH THE DAY OF APRIL, 1997.

Boston Properties, Inc.

By: /s/ Mortimer B. Zuckerman

NAME: MORTIMER B. ZUCKERMAN

TITLE: CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD AND

CHIEF

EXECUTIVE OFFICER

PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT HAS BEEN SIGNED BY THE FOLLOWING PERSONS IN THE CAPACITIES AND ON THE DATES INDICATED.

SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
/s/ Mortimer B. Zuckerman MORTIMER B. ZUCKERMAN	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Director (Principal Executive Officer)	April 14, 1997
/s/ Edward H. Linde EDWARD H. LINDE	President and Chief Operating Officer, Director	April 14, 1997
/s/ David G. Gaw DAVID G. GAW	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	April 14, 1997

II-4

# REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION DECEMBER 31, 1996

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

# INITIAL COST

PROPERTY NAME		LOCATION				COSTS CAPITALIZED SUBSEQUENT TO ACQUISITION
599 Lexington						
Avenue	Office	New York, NY	\$430,239	\$81 040	\$100 507	\$ 67,459
10 & 20 Mall	011100	New York, WY	Ψ-100/200	Ψ01,040	Ψ100/00!	Ψ 01/400
Road	Office	Burlington, MA	20,215	930	6,928	8,237
8 Arlington		g, ·	,		-,	-,
Street	Office	Boston, MA	4,611	90	1,855	133
32 Hartwell Ave		Lexington, MA	4,222			2,720
91 Hartwell Ave	Office	Lexington, MA	13,770			
191 Spring		,	•		,	,
Street	Office	Lexington, MA	23,942	5,175	27,166	17,693
201 Spring						
Street	Office	Lexington, MA		1,500	3,637	
Waltham Office						
Center	Office	Waltham, MA	11,389	422	2,719	2,926
204 Second						
Avenue	Office	Waltham, MA	3,374			630
170 Tracer Lane		Waltham, MA	5,146			
33 Hayden Avenue		Lexington, MA		266	,	
92 Hayden Avenue	Office	Lexington, MA	11,015	230	3,145	510
100 Hayden						
Avenue	Office	Lexington, MA		364	3,603	264
Lexington Office	066:	1	45.070	000	4 400	0 470
Park		Lexington, MA	15,373		,	,
Bedford Business		Bedford, MA	23,500	502	3,403	12,743
Park	R & D					
One Cambridge Center	Office	Cambridge, MA	45,000	134	25,110	3,133
Three Cambridge	UTITLE	Callibi Tuye, MA	45,000	134	25,110	3,133
Center	Office	Cambridge, MA	19,000	174	12,200	598
Ten Cambridge	OTITCE	Cambi Tuye, MA	19,000	1/4	12,200	390
Center	Office	Cambridge, MA	25,000	1,299	12,943	4,420
Eleven Cambridge	011100	James Lage, 111	20,000	1,200	12,040	4,420
Center	Office	Cambridge, MA	8,319	121	5,535	392
Capital Gallery		SW, Washington DC	60,751	4,725		
The U.S.		,		.,	,,	.,
International						
Commission						
Building	Office	SW, Washington DC	50,000	109	22,420	9,293
-		-				
Subtotal			\$774,866	\$99,466	\$280,801	\$150,391

# GROSS AMOUNT CARRIED AT CLOSE OF PERIOD

DEVELOPMENT							
	LAND AND	BUILDING AND	AND CONSTRUCTION		ACCUMULATED	VEAD DUTLE/	DEPRECIABLE LIVES
PROPERTY NAME	IMPROVEMENTS				DEPRECIATION		(YEARS)
							(TEARS)
599 Lexington							
Avenue	\$81,040	\$167,966	\$	\$249,006	\$ 58,567	1986	(1)
10 & 20 Mall	,	, , , , , , , , ,	·	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ,		` '
Road	939	15,156		16,095	4,474	1984-86	(1)
8 Arlington		,		,	,		( )
Street	90	1,988		2,078	770	1860-1920/1989	(1)
32 Hartwell Ave	168	4,663		4,831	2,244	1968-79/1987-88	
91 Hartwell Ave	784	7,806		8,590	2,081	1985	(1)
191 Spring							
Street	5,175	44,859		50,034	8,857	1971/1995	(1)
201 Spring							
Street			5,137	5,137	160	1997	N/A
Waltham Office							
Center	425	5,642		6,067	3,004	1968-70/1987-88	(1)
204 Second							
Avenue	37	3,032		3,069	,	1981/1993	` '
170 Tracer Lane	418	5,863		6,281		1980	` '
33 Hayden Avenue	266	3,344		3,610			` '
92 Hayden Avenue	230	3,655		3,885	1,294	1968/1984	(1)
100 Hayden							
Avenue	364	3,867		4,231	1,132	1985	(1)
Lexington Office							
Park	1,072	10,824		11,896	,	1982	` ,
Bedford Business	502	16,146		16,648	5,831	1969-80	(1)

Park One Cambridge							
Center Three Cambridge	134	28,243		28,377	7,975	1987	(1)
Center Ten Cambridge	174	12,798		12,972	3,181	1987	(1)
Center Eleven Cambridge	1,868	16,794		18,662	4,882	1990	(1)
Center	121	5,927		6,048	1,975	1984	(1)
Capital Gallery The U.S. International Commission	4,725	36,593		41,318	14,192	1981	(1)
Building	1,569	30,253		31,822	10,762	1987	(1)
Subtotal	\$100,101	\$425,419	\$5,137	\$530,657	\$ 139,872		

### BOSTON PROPERTIES PREDECESSOR GROUP

### REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

DECEMBER 31, 1996 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

## INITIAL COST

PROPERTY NAME	TYPE		ENCUMBRANCES	LAND	BUILDINGS	COSTS CAPITALIZED SUBSEQUENT TO ACQUISITIONS
Subtotal from previous page			\$ 774,866	,	\$ 280,801	,
One Independence						
Square Two Independence	Office	SW, Washington DC	78,700	\$ 9,356	\$ 33,701	\$ 14,170
Square .	Office	SW, Washington DC	122,856	14,053	59,883	8,795
Montvale Center		Gaithersburg, MD	7,992	1,574	9,786	3,433
Democracy Center 7435 Boston		Bethesda, MD	110, 100	12,550		
Boulevard, Building One 7451 Boston	Office	Springfield, VA	5,564	392	3,822	1,199
Boulevard, Building Two 7374 Boston	Office	Springfield, VA	2,215	249	1,542	1,460
Boulevard, Building Four 8000 Grainger	Office	Springfield, VA	3,619	241	1,605	462
Court, Building Five 7500 Boston	Office	Springfield, VA	7,664	366	4,282	603
Boulevard, Building Six 7501 Boston	Office	Springfield , VA	6,440	138	3,749	206
Boulevard, Building Seven 7601 Boston	Office	Springfield, VA		665	878	
Boulevard, Building Eight 7600 Boston	Office	Springfield, VA	8,372	200	3,883	453
Boulevard, Building Nine 7375 Boston	Office	Springfield, VA	5,796	127	2,839	1,386
Boulevard, Building Ten 8000 Boston	Office	Springfield, VA		23	2,685	559
Boulevard, Building Eleven	Office	Springfield, VA			3,071	
Subtotal			\$1,134,184	\$139,536	\$ 462,542	\$ 201,597
		GROSS	AMOUNT			

#### GROSS AMOUNT CARRIED AT CLOSE OF PERIOD

PROPERTY NAME	AND	BUILDING AND S IMPROVEMENTS	DEVELOPMENT AND CONSTRUCTION IN PROCESS				
Subtotal from previous page	\$ 100,101	\$ 425,419	\$ 5,137	\$ 530,657	\$ 139,872		
One Independence Square Two Independence Square Montvale Center Democracy Center 7435 Boston Boulevard, Building One 7451 Boston Boulevard,	2,399	67,693 12,394 67,262		82,731		1992 1987 1985-88	(1)
Building Two 7374 Boston Boulevard,	535	2,716		3,251	1,141	1982	(1)
Building Four 8000 Grainger Court,	303	2,005		2,308	639	1984	(1)
Building Five 7500 Boston Boulevard,	453	4,798		5,251	1,509	1984	(1)

Building Six 7501 Boston	282	3,811		4,093	1,174	1985	(1)
Boulevard, Building Seven 7601 Boston			1,543	1,543	159	1997	N/A
Boulevard, Building Eight 7600 Boston	378	4,158		4,536	1,270	1986	(1)
Boulevard, Building Nine 7375 Boston	189	4,163		4,352	1,212	1987	(1)
Boulevard, Building Ten 8000 Boston	47	3,220		3,267	894	1988	(1)
Boulevard, Building Eleven	214	3,081		3,295	629	1989	(1)
Subtotal	\$ 143,754	\$ 653,240	\$ 6,680	\$ 803,674	\$ 189,948		

### BOSTON PROPERTIES PREDECESSOR GROUP

### REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

DECEMBER 31, 1996 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

INITIAL COST

								-	
PROPERTY NAME	TYPE	LOCATION		ENCUMBRANCES	;	LAND	BUILDINGS	COSTS CAPITALIZE SUBSEQUEN TO ACQUISIT	T ION
Subtotal from previous page				\$1,134,184				2 \$ 201,597	
7700 Boston Boulevard, Building Twelve	Office	Springfield, VA			\$	1,105	\$ 1,042	2 \$	
Sugarland Building One	Office	Herndon, VA			Ť	735	,		
Sugarland		,					,		
Building Two Hilltop Business	Office	Herndon, VA				834	3,216		
Center 164 Lexington	Office	So. San Francisc	o, CA	4,817		53	492	2 140	
Road 25-33 Dartmouth	Office	Billerica, MA		1,970		592	1,370	127	
Street	Industrial	Westwood, MA		3,296		273	1,595	5 470	
40-46 Harvard Street 1950 Stanford	Industrial	Westwood, MA		5,380		351	1,782	2 1,347	
Court, Building One 6201 Columbia	Industrial	Landover, MD		2,662		269	1,554	161	
Park, Building Two 2000 South Club	Industrial	Landover, MD		5,023		505	2,746	951	
Drive, Building Three	Industrial	Landover, MD		3,542		465	2,125	701	
38 Cabot Boulevard 430 Rozzi Place		Bucks County, PA So. San Francisc				329 24			
560 Forbes Boulevard	Industrial	So. San Francisc	o, CA			48	435	5 133	
2391 West Winton Avenue	Industrial	Hayward, CA		1,343		182	1,217	7 41	
17 Hartwell Avenue	R&D	Lexington, MA		938		26	150	362	
Fourteen Cambridge Long Wharf	R&D	Cambridge, MA		6,748		110			
Marriott Cambridge Center	Hotel Hotel	Boston, MA Cambridge, MA		68,600 61,000		1,752 478	37,534 37,918	2,216 3,734	
Cambridge Center N.	Garage	Cambridge, MA		15,000		639			
Subtotal				\$1,314,503	\$	148,306	\$ 576,025	\$ 214,507	
		GROSS AMO		ERIOD					
		D	EVELO	PMENT		-			
PROPERTY NAME		BUILDING AND C		UCTION			LATED YEA	AR BUILT/	PRECIABLE LIVES (YEARS)
Subtotal from previous page	\$ 143,754	\$ 653,240	\$ 6	,680 \$ 803,	674	1 \$ 189	, 948		
7700 Boston Boulevard,	<b></b>								
Building Twelve	\$	\$	\$ 2	,147 \$ 2,	147	7 \$	159	1997	N/A
Sugarland Building One			3	3,474 3,	474	1		1985/1997	N/A
Sugarland Building Two			4	,050 4,	050	9		1986/1997	N/A
Hilltop Business Center	53	632			685	5	260 ear	rly 1970's	(1)
164 Lexington Road	592						39	1995	(1)
25-33 Dartmouth		,		•	089				
Street 40-46 Harvard	273	2,065		2,	338	3 1	,120	1966	(1)
Street 1950 Stanford	351	3,129		3,	480	2	, 244	1967	(1)

1950 Stanford

350	1,634		1,984	444	1986	(1)
960	3,242		4,202	1,186	1986	(1)
050	0 400		0.000		1000	(4)
859	2,433		3,292	682	1988	(1)
320	2 171		3 500	2 700	1072/108/	(1)
	,			,		(1)
24	204		300	111	carry 1970 3	(1)
48	568		616	234	early 1970's	(1)
			020	20.	ou. 1, 10.0 o	(-)
182	1,258		1,440	858	1974	(1)
	,		,			. ,
26	512		538	435	1968	(1)
110	4,483		4,593	1,569	1983	(1)
,	39,750		41,502	14,527		(1)
478	41,652		42,130	10,129	1986	(1)
1,163	11,633		12,796	2,000	1990	(1)
ф 1F1 2O4	ф 771 100	ф 16 OF1	ф 020 020	Ф 220 660		
D 151.304	D 111.183	D TD.35T	D 9.56 . 8.58	J // K . DDU		
	960 859 329 24 48 182 26	960 3,242  859 2,433  329 3,171 24 284  48 568  182 1,258 26 512 110 4,483  1,752 39,750 478 41,652 1,163 11,633	960 3,242  859 2,433  329 3,171  24 284  48 568  182 1,258  26 512  110 4,483  1,752 39,750  478 41,652  1,163 11,633	960 3,242 4,202  859 2,433 3,292  329 3,171 3,500 24 284 308  48 568 616  182 1,258 1,440  26 512 538  110 4,483 4,593  1,752 39,750 41,502 478 41,652 42,130  1,163 11,633 12,796	960 3,242 4,202 1,186  859 2,433 3,292 682  329 3,171 3,500 2,709 24 284 308 117  48 568 616 234  182 1,258 1,440 858  26 512 538 435  110 4,483 4,593 1,569  1,752 39,750 41,502 14,527 478 41,652 42,130 10,129  1,163 11,633 12,796 2,000	960 3,242 4,202 1,186 1986  859 2,433 3,292 682 1988  329 3,171 3,500 2,709 1972/1984 24 284 308 117 early 1970's  48 568 616 234 early 1970's  182 1,258 1,440 858 1974  26 512 538 435 1968  110 4,483 4,593 1,569 1983  1,752 39,750 41,502 14,527 1982 478 41,652 42,130 10,129 1986  1,163 11,633 12,796 2,000 1990

COSTS

#### BOSTON PROPERTIES PREDECESSOR GROUP

#### REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

DECEMBER 31, 1996 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

INITIAL COST

						APITALIZED SUBSEQUENT	
PROPERTY NAME	TYPE	LOCATION	ENCUMBRANCES	LAND	BUILDINGS TO	ACQUISITION	_
				-			_
Subtotal from previous page			\$1,314,503		\$ 576,025	\$ 214,507	
Cambridge Master Plan	Development	Cambridge, MA			\$	\$ 1,727	
Maryland Master Plan Virginia Master	Development	Landover, MD Springfield,		464			
Plan		VA				666	
Total				\$ 151,147	\$ 576,025	\$ 216,900	
		GROSS A	SE OF PERIOD				
DDODEDTY NAME	LAND AND	BUILDING AND	DEVELOPMENT AND CONSTRUCTION		ACCUMULATED	YEAR BUILT/	DEPRECIABLE LIVES
PROPERTY NAME							
Subtotal from previous page	\$ 151,304	\$ 771,183	\$ 16,351	\$ 938,838	3 \$ 228,660		
Cambridge Master Plan Maryland Master	\$	\$	\$ 3,449	\$ 3,449	) \$	Various	N/A
Plan			464	464	1	Various	N/A
Virginia Master Plan			1,321			Various	N/A

<sup>(1)</sup> Depreciation of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group's buildings and improvements are calculated over lives ranging from the life of the lease to 40 years.

to 40 years.

(2) The aggregate cost and accumulated depreciation for tax purposes was \$982,858 and \$385,702, respectively at December 31, 1996.

### BOSTON PROPERTIES PREDECESSOR GROUP

### REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

DECEMBER 31, 1996 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

A summary of activity for real estate and accumulated depreciation is as follows:

	1996	1995	1994
Real estate:			
Balance at beginning of year Improvements and acquisition/development	\$920,006	\$893,560	\$892,392
of real estate	30,132	28,987	9,884
Write-off of fully depreciated assets	(6,066)	(2,541)	(8,716)
Balance at end of year	\$944,072	\$920,006	\$893,560
	=======	=======	=======
Accumulated depreciation:			
Balance at beginning of year	204,299	179,998	161,879
Depreciation expense	30,603	26,842	26,835
Write-off of fully depreciated assets	(6,066)		,
Balance at end of year	\$228,836	\$204,299	\$179,998
	=======	=======	=======

### EXHIBIT INDEX

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
*1.1	Form of U.S. Purchase Agreement	
*1.2	Form of International Purchase Agreement	
*3.1	Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company	
*3.2	Form of Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company	
*5.1	Opinion of Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP regarding legality of the shares of the Common Stock issued	
*8.1	Opinion of Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP regarding tax matters	
*10.1	Form of Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Operating Partnership	
*10.2	1997 Stock Option and Incentive Plan	
*10.3	Employment and Non-Competition Agreement between the Company and Mortimer B. Zuckerman	
*10.4	Employment and Noncompetition Agreement between the Company and Edward H. Linde	
*10.5	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and each of its directors and executive officers	
10.6	Omnibus Option Agreement by and among Boston Properties Limited Partnership (the "Operating Partnership") and the Grantors named therein dated as of April 9, 1997	
*10.7	Revolving Credit Agreement	
*10.8	Form of Registration Rights Agreement among the Company and the persons named therein	
*10.9	Form of Hotel Lease Agreement	
*10.10	Option Agreement between Boston Properties Limited Partnership and Square 36 Properties Limited Partnership dated April 15, 1997.	
*21.1	Schedule of Subsidiaries of the Company	
23.1	Consent of Coopers & Lybrand, L.L.P.	
23.3	Consent of Spaulding & Slye	
*23.4	Consent of Goodwin, Procter & Hoar LLP (included in Exhibit 5.1)	
*24.1	Powers of Attorney	
27.1	Financial Data Schedule	

<sup>\*</sup> To be filed by amendment

OMNIBUS OPTION AGREEMENT
BY AND AMONG
BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
AND THE
GRANTORS NAMED HEREIN
Dated as of April 9, 1997

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ARTICLE T: THE OPTIO	N	2
1.1	Grant of Option	
1.2	Term and Exercise of Option	
1.3	Purchase Price and Payment	
1.4	Additional Units.	
1.4	Additional units.	2
ARTICLE II: CONTRACT	TO PURCHASE AND CLOSING PROCEDURES	3
2.1	Purchase and Sale	3
2.2	Closing; Condition to Obligations	3
2.3	Documents to be Delivered at Closing	5
2.4	Cessation of Public Offering	
2.5	Further Assurances	
2.6	Special Partner Distributions	
	NTATIONS, WARRANTIES AND COVENANTS OF	
GRANTORS		7
3.1	Title to Interests	7
3.2	Authority	8
3.3	Litigation	8
3.4	No Other Agreements to Sell	9
3.5	No Brokers	9
3.6	Investment Representations and Warranties	
3.7	NASD Affiliation	
3.8	Covenant to Remedy Breaches	
ADTIOLE TV. DEDDECENT	TATTONS MARRANTES AND CONFINANTS OF	
	ATIONS, WARRANTIES AND COVENANTS OF	
	A.Ab.a.24	
4.1	Authority	
4.2	No Brokers	
4.3	Exercise of Options	12
ARTICLE V: POWER OF	ATTORNEY	12
5.1	Grant of Power of Attorney	
5.2	Limitation on Liability	
5.3	Ratification; Third Party Reliance	
5.5	nactitioacton, intia raity nottanoci	4
ARTICLE VI: DIRECT PU		
AND OTHER PA	RTNERSHIP MATTERS	15

i

	Page
ARTICLE VII:	MISCELLANEOUS
7.1	Amendment
7.2	Entire Agreement; Counterparts; Applicable Law
7.3	Assignability
7.4	Titles
7.5	Third Party Beneficiary17
7.6	Severability
7.7	Equitable Remedies
7.8	Disputes
7.9	Notices; Exercise of Grantor's Purchase Option18
7.10	Waiver of Rights; Consents with Respect to Partnership Interests19
7.11	Computation of Time20
7.12	Survival
7.13	Nature of Option and Determination of Values21
7.14	Time of the Essence
EXHI	SIT A. LIST AND DESCRIPTION OF ASSETS
EXHIE	BIT B. NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF GRANTORSB-1
EXHIE	SIT C. ACQUISITION EXHIBITS OF THE GRANTORS

### INDEX OF DEFINED TERMS

Defined Term Section

Acquisition Exhibit	Recital
Aggregate Net Asset Valuation	Article VI (a)
Ancillary Agreements	Section 2.2
Asset	Recital
Assignment	Section 2.3
Attorney-in-Fact	Section 5.1
Cessation Date	Section 2.4
Closing Agent	Section 2.2
Closing Documents	Section 2.2
Common Stock	Recital
Company	Recital
Consents	Section 7.10
Conveyance Action	Section 7.10
Direct Purchase	Recital
Encumbrances	Section 3.1
Final Closing	Section 2.2
FIRPTA	Section 2.3
Grantor	Recital
Interest	Recital
Interest Acquisition	Recital
IPO	Recital
IPO Closing	Section 2.2
Merger	Recital
NASD	Section 3.7
Non-Complying Grantor	Section 2.2
Omnibus Consent	Recital
Option Agreement	Recital
Option Exercise Notice	Section 1.1
Option Termination Date	Section 1.1
Optionee	Recital
Partnership Summary	Section 3.6
Permitted Pledge	Section 3.1
Pre-closing	Section 2.2
Private Placement Memorandum	Section 3.6
Property Partnership	Recital
Purchase Option	Section 1.1
Purchase Price	Section 1.1
Registration Rights Agreement	Section 3.6
Registration Statement	Section 5.1

Securities Act	Section 3.6
Total Value	Section 1.1
Units	Section 1.1
Waivers	Section 7.10

#### OMNIBUS OPTION AGREEMENT

This Omnibus Option Agreement (including all exhibits, hereinafter referred to as this "Option Agreement") relates to a proposed acquisition by

Boston Properties Limited Partnership of (i) the properties, development projects and development rights set forth on Exhibit A hereto (each such property, project or right, and all personal property related thereto or to the operation thereof, is hereinafter referred to as an "Asset") and/or (ii) direct or indirect interests in such Assets. This Option Agreement is executed as of this ninth day of April, 1997 by Boston Properties Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership ("Optionee"), and those Grantors whose names are set forth on Exhibit B hereto and who become signatories hereto (each, a "Grantor" and, collectively, the "Grantors");

WHEREAS, each Grantor owns direct or indirect interests in one or more of the Assets, as described more fully on a supplemental exhibit (hereinafter referred to as such Grantor's "Acquisition Exhibit") delivered by or on behalf

of such Grantor to Optionee (all such Acquisition Exhibits being attached hereto as Exhibit C); and

WHEREAS, all direct or indirect interests of whatever kind or nature of a Grantor in a particular Asset (including without limitation, the Grantor's interests in each such Asset set forth in Column (2) of such Grantor's Acquisition Exhibit), are referred to individually as an "Interest"; and

WHEREAS, Optionee desires to acquire from each Grantor, and each Grantor desires to grant to Optionee, an option to purchase on the terms and conditions set forth herein any or all Interests owned by such Grantor in the Assets set forth in such Grantor's Acquisition Exhibit; and

WHEREAS, each Grantor acknowledges that the Optionee may decide that, rather than acquiring (by way of the exercise of "Purchase Options" as defined below) all of the direct and indirect interests in the entity that owns a certain Asset (such an entity, a "Property Partnership," and such an

acquisition, an "Interest Acquisition"), it is more desirable for it to acquire

such Asset by a direct purchase of the Asset from the Property Partnership that owns such Asset (a "Direct Purchase") or by a merger of the Property Partnership

with and into the Optionee (a "Merger"); and whereas each Grantor, desiring to

give the Property Partnership and the Optionee the right, in the Optionee's sole discretion, to engage in any Direct Purchase or Merger on the terms and conditions described herein without the need to seek any further consent or action of the Grantor, will give hereby an irrevocable "Omnibus Consent" and

related power of attorney as set forth in Article VI hereof; and

WHEREAS, the parties acknowledge that Optionee is considering the purchase of each Grantor's Interests (or, alternatively, of the related Assets by way of Mergers or Direct Purchases) in connection with a proposed initial public offering (the "IPO") of shares of common stock ("Common Stock") of Boston

Properties, Inc. (the "Company"), which will be the General Partner of Optionee.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and conditions set forth herein and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, Optionee and Grantors agree as follows:

## ARTICLE I: THE OPTION

1.1 Grant of Option. Each Grantor hereby grants to Optionee an option

to purchase all right, title and interest of such Grantor in any or all of such Grantor's Interests (each such option is hereinafter referred to as such Grantor's "Purchase Option") on the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth

and subject, in any event, to the last sentence of Section 7.13.

1.2 Term and Exercise of Option. Each Grantor's Purchase Option may be  $\,$ 

exercised, in whole or in part, at any time from and after the date hereof through 5:00 p.m., Boston, Massachusetts, time on the earlier of (i) December 31, 1997 or (ii) the Cessation Date (as such term is defined in Section 2.4 hereof) (the earlier of such dates, the "Option Termination Date"). Each

Grantor's Purchase Option can be exercised only by the giving of notice by Optionee to such Grantor or any of its Attorneys-in-Fact named in Article VI hereof, which notice (an "Option Exercise Notice") shall state (unless all of

such Grantor's Interests will be purchased) which of the Interests of the Grantor will be purchased by Optionee. If Optionee does not exercise a Grantor's Purchase Option by the Option Termination Date, such Grantor's Purchase Option shall automatically terminate and shall be of no further force and effect and such Grantor shall have no further obligations hereunder.

1.3 Purchase Price and Payment. The full purchase price for the  $\,$ 

Interests of each Grantor that will be purchased upon the exercise of such Grantor's Purchase Option (such purchase price with respect to such Grantor is hereinafter referred to as such Grantor's "Purchase Price") shall be a number of

Units (as hereinafter defined) having an aggregate value equal to the "Total Value" set forth on such Grantor's Acquisition Exhibit. Notwithstanding the prior sentence, in the event that Optionee does not acquire all of the Interests of a Grantor set forth on such exhibit in connection with the exercise of such Grantor's Purchase Option, then such Grantor's Purchase Price shall be a number of Units having an aggregate value equal to the difference between (i) the "Total Value" set forth on such Grantor's Acquisition Exhibit less (ii) the sum of the "Net Value to Offeree" (set forth under Column (4) on such Grantor's Acquisition Exhibit) of those Interests that will not be acquired by Optionee upon exercise of such Grantor's Purchase Option. As used herein, the term "Units" means Units representing a limited partnership interest in Optionee, and the value of each Unit shall equal the public offering price of a share of Common Stock of the Company in its initial public offering.

 ${\bf 1.4}$  Additional Units. After the acquisition of Assets or Interests

therein, the Optionee intends to pay a quarterly distribution in respect of each outstanding Unit. The Optionee currently expects that such distribution will initially be at a rate that, on an annualized basis, is at least 6.0% of the value of a Unit (as such value is determined in the last

sentence of Section 1.3). In the event the initial distribution (i.e., the first distribution after the IPO) will be at a rate that is lower than such expectation, then Optionee shall issue to each Grantor, prior to such first distribution, additional Units so that the total initial distribution on all Units issued to such Grantor equals, on an annualized basis, at least 6.0% of the "total value" (i.e., the sum of the applicable amounts set forth under Column (4) of such Grantor's Acquisition Exhibit) to which such Grantor is entitled, in accordance with such Grantor's Acquisition Exhibit, in respect of the Interests of such Grantor acquired by way of the exercise of such Grantor's Purchase Option or by way of a Merger or Direct Purchase as provided for in Article VI.

ARTICLE II: CONTRACT TO PURCHASE AND CLOSING PROCEDURES.

2.1 Purchase and Sale. Upon Optionee's exercise of a Grantor's Purchase

Option, such Grantor shall, subject to Section 2.2 hereof, sell, transfer, assign, and convey to Optionee, and Optionee shall purchase and accept from such Grantor, all right, title and interest of such Grantor in those Interests of Grantor to be purchased by Optionee pursuant to an Option Exercise Notice, free and clear of all Encumbrances (as defined in Section 3.1) for such Grantor's Purchase Price, such sale to be closed in accordance with this Article II.

2.2 Closing; Condition to Obligations. In connection with or at any

time after the exercise by Optionee of a Grantor's Purchase Option, Optionee will specify a closing date, which date will be no later than December 31, 1997, for the initial closing (the "Pre-closing") of the purchase and sale

contemplated by such Grantor's Purchase Option. At or before such Pre-closing, which shall be held at a place and time determined by Optionee in its sole discretion, Optionee and Grantor (or its attorney-in-fact) will execute all closing documents (the "Closing Documents") required by Optionee in accordance with Section 2.3 and deliver the same to a person designated by Optionee (such person, the "Closing Agent").

-----

Upon the exercise of a Grantor's Purchase Option, the transactions contemplated by this Option Agreement and by the Closing Documents executed and deposited in connection with such exercise will be consummated only if the IPO Closing (as hereinafter defined) occurs simultaneously with or within fifteen (15) business days after the date of the Pre- closing. For purposes hereof, the "IPO Closing" will be deemed to have occurred if, but only if, the share of the

net proceeds to the Company from the initial public offering of the Company's Common Stock that is made available to Optionee is sufficient, as determined by Optionee in its reasonable discretion, to enable Optionee (i) to acquire those Interests of each Grantor to be purchased by Optionee pursuant to the Option Exercise Notice and (ii) to apply such share of the net proceeds to acquire such other properties or interests and to repay principal, interest and other amounts due with respect to indebtedness and to meet such other obligations as may be described in the Registration Statement on Form S-11 prepared and filed in connection with such initial public offering, as the same is in effect on the day of the IPO Closing. If the IPO Closing occurs within such fifteen (15) business day period,

- (i) Optionee shall, contemporaneously with the IPO Closing, cause to be delivered to each Grantor (x) a certificate of the General Partner of Optionee certifying that such Grantor has been or will be effective upon the Final Closing (as hereinafter defined) admitted as a limited partner of Optionee and that Optionee's books and records indicate that such Grantor is the holder of the number of Units which are called for pursuant to the Grantor's Purchase Price and (y) if such Units are represented by certificates, a certificate or certificates in the name of such Grantor for the number of Units to which such Grantor is entitled,
- (ii) upon receipt of the consideration set forth in clause (i) above, the Closing Agent will release the Closing Documents to Optionee, and
- (iii) the transactions described or otherwise contemplated herein or in the Closing Documents will thereupon be deemed to have been consummated (such consummation, the "Final Closing").

-----

Notwithstanding the above, Optionee may, in its sole discretion, elect not to complete the purchase of any or all Interests of any Grantor that identified, in its Assignment delivered pursuant to Section 2.3, a breach of or other exception with respect to Article III hereof or that has otherwise breached this Option Agreement (any such Grantor, a "Non-Complying Grantor"), in which case Optionee

shall, in lieu of the delivery with respect to such Grantor pursuant to clause (i) above, notify the Closing Agent of such election and direct the Closing Agent to return such Grantor's Closing Documents and Ancillary Agreements (as defined below) to such Grantor (or, alternatively, if only certain Interests of the Non-Complying Grantor will be acquired by Optionee, deliver the appropriate consideration in respect of those Interests). The election of Optionee not to purchase any or all of the Interests of a particular Non-Complying Grantor shall not affect the obligations of any other Grantor hereunder, including any other Non-Complying Grantor.

If the IPO Closing does not occur within fifteen (15) business days after the date of the Pre-closing, then neither party shall have any obligations under the Closing Documents executed in connection with the related exercise of Grantors' Purchase Options or under any agreements or instruments executed in connection with the transactions contemplated by such exercise (such other agreements or instruments, collectively, "Ancillary Agreements"), the Closing

Documents and the Ancillary Agreements shall be deemed null and void ab initio and the Closing Agent will be directed to destroy the Closing Documents and any Ancillary Agreements it holds and return to Optionee the consideration delivered by Optionee to the Closing Agent in accordance with the previous paragraph. This Option Agreement shall thereafter remain in effect and Optionee may thereafter exercise the Grantors' Purchase Options again at any time before the Option Termination Date.

2.3 Documents to be Delivered at Closing. At the Pre-closing, each

\_\_\_\_\_

Grantor shall, directly or through the Attorney-in-Fact appointed pursuant to Article V hereof, execute, acknowledge where deemed desirable or necessary by Optionee, and deliver to the Closing Agent, in addition to any other documents mentioned elsewhere herein, the following:

(a) An Assignment of Interests (the "Assignment"), which

assignment shall be in a form satisfactory to Optionee, shall contain a warranty of title that such Grantor owns such Grantor's Interests free and clear of all Encumbrances (as defined in Section 3.1) and shall either (i) reaffirm the accuracy of all representations and warranties and the satisfaction of all covenants made by such Grantor in Article III hereof or (ii) if such reaffirmation cannot be made, identify those representations, warranties and covenants of Article III hereof (other than Section 3.8) with respect to which circumstances have changed, represent that such Grantor has used all reasonable efforts within its control to prevent and remedy such breach, and reaffirm the accuracy of all other representations and warranties and the satisfaction of all other covenants made by such Grantor in Article III hereof.

- (b) If requested by Optionee, a certified copy of all appropriate corporate resolutions or partnership actions authorizing the execution, delivery and performance by Grantor of this Option Agreement, the Ancillary Agreements, if any, and the Closing Documents.
- (c) If requested by Optionee in the case of any Grantor that is a corporation, partnership, trust or other entity, an opinion from counsel for such Grantor in form and content reasonably acceptable to Optionee substantially to the effect that:
  - (i) such Grantor is a limited partnership, corporation or trust duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the state of its organization and, to the knowledge of such counsel, had and has all applicable corporate or partnership power and authority to enter into, deliver and perform this Option Agreement, the Ancillary Agreements, if any, and the Closing Documents;
  - (ii) the execution, delivery and performance of this Option Agreement, the Ancillary Agreements, if any, and the Closing Documents, and the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby, do not and will not constitute a breach or a violation of Grantor's partnership agreement, declaration of trust, charter or bylaws, as applicable; and
  - (iii) all applicable partnership, corporate or other action necessary for such Grantor to execute and deliver this Option Agreement, the Ancillary Agreements, if any, and the Closing Documents has been taken and that the same have been validly executed and delivered and are the valid and binding obligations of such Grantor enforceable against it in accordance with

their terms, subject to applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, fraudulent conveyance, reorganization, moratorium or other similar laws affecting creditors' rights and remedies generally.

- (d) An affidavit establishing an exemption from the withholding requirements of the Foreign Investment in Real Property Tax Act ("FIRPTA"), as amended. In the event Grantor fails to provide such an affidavit,
- Optionee shall be entitled to withhold from the purchase price and pay to the Internal Revenue Service the sums required to be withheld pursuant to FIRPTA (and the amount so withheld shall be paid by Optionee to the Internal Revenue Service, in order for Optionee to comply with the provisions of Section 1445 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 or successor similar legislation, as the same may be amended hereafter).
- (e) Any other documents reasonably necessary to assign, transfer and convey such Grantor's Interests and effectuate the transactions contemplated hereby, including filings with any applicable governmental jurisdiction in which the Optionee is required to file its partnership documentation.
- 2.4 Cessation of Public Offering. If at any time Optionee or its
  underwriter or underwriters determine in good faith to abandon the initial
  public offering of the Company's Common Stock (the date of such determination
  being referred to as the "Cessation Date"), Optionee will so advise each Grantor

in writing and thereupon all parties hereto will be relieved of all obligations under this Option Agreement, all Ancillary Agreements, and all Closing Documents (except for obligations arising under Sections 3.5 and 4.2).

- 2.5 Further Assurances. Each Grantor will, from time to time, execute and deliver to Optionee all such other and further instruments and documents and take or cause to be taken all such other and further action as Optionee may reasonably request in order to effect the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, including instruments or documents deemed necessary or desirable by Optionee to effect and evidence the conveyance of such Grantor's Interests in accordance with the terms of this Option Agreement.
- 2.6 Special Partner Distributions. The Optionee covenants that, with respect to each Property Partnership, it shall effect no purchase hereunder or the closing of any Direct Purchase or Merger unless prior thereto:
- (a) There is distributed to the partners of such Property Partnership (in accordance with the distribution provisions of the applicable partnership agreement) all cash reserves of such Property Partnership on hand immediately prior to such closing (but adjusted to take into account prorations of lease payments, utility payments and other receipts or disbursements that have been received or made, or will be received or made after the IPO Closing, in respect of a period of time that covers both a period before and after the IPO

Closing); or, alternatively, proper provision is made for such distribution to occur promptly after closing; and

(b) Proper provision is made so that any receipt after such closing that represents a tax abatement, in respect of a period of time before the IPO Closing, on such Property Partnership's Asset or Assets are distributed (net of any costs or expenses associated with receiving or obtaining such abatement) to the partners of such Property Partnership in accordance with the distribution provisions of the applicable partnership agreement.

## ARTICLE III: REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES AND COVENANTS OF GRANTORS

As a material inducement to Optionee to enter into this Option Agreement and to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby, each Grantor hereby severally makes to Optionee each of the representations and warranties set forth in this Article III, which representations and warranties are true as of the date hereof. As a condition to Optionee's obligation to complete the purchase of those Interests of Grantor specified in an Option Exercise Notice, such representations and warranties must continue to be true as of the date of the Pre-closing and as of the date of the Final Closing.

3.1 Title to Interests. Such Grantor owns beneficially and of record,

free and clear of any claim, lien, pledge (except, prior to the Final Closing only, as otherwise disclosed in writing to Optionee and its counsel prior to the date hereof in a notice specifically referencing this Option Agreement (any such pledge, a "Permitted Pledge")), voting agreement, option, charge, security

interest, mortgage, deed of trust, encumbrance, rights of assignment, purchase rights or other rights of any nature whatsoever of any third party (collectively, "Encumbrances"), and has full power and authority to convey free

and clear of any Encumbrances, its Interests and, upon delivery of an Assignment by such Grantor conveying all or any of its Interests and payment for such Interests as herein provided, Optionee (or its designee) will acquire good and valid title thereto, free and clear of any Encumbrance except Encumbrances created in favor of Optionee by the transactions contemplated hereby. Each of such Grantor's Interests have been validly issued and Grantor has funded (or will fund before the same is past due) all capital contributions and advances to the partnership in which such Interest represents an interest that are required to be funded or advanced prior to the date hereof and the dates of the Pre-closing and the Final Closing. There are no agreements, instruments or understandings with respect to any of such Grantor's Interests except as set forth in the partnership agreement of the partnership in which an Interest represents a limited partner or general partner interest. Such Grantor has no equity interest, either direct or indirect, in any of the Assets except for the Interests owned by it that are the subject of this Option Agreement. Such Grantor covenants that no Permitted Pledge (i) will be in existence as of the date of the Final Closing or (ii) shall prohibit the transfer, free of all Encumbrances (including the Permitted Pledge) of the Interest so encumbered, and such Grantor shall provide at the Final Closing such documentary evidence of the release of any Permitted Pledge as Optionee may reasonably request. In making the representations in this Section 3.1 regarding

the absence of Encumbrances, each Grantor may assume that the consents and waivers of rights set forth in Section 7.10 hereof have been given by all partners of partnerships in which such Grantor's Interests represent direct or indirect interests. If an Interest of a Grantor set forth on such Grantor's Acquisition Exhibit is capital stock of a corporation, then, if Optionee elects to acquire such Interest, at Optionee's request the Grantor will use all reasonable efforts to cause such corporation to pay an in-kind dividend or distribution to Grantor in the form of Grantor's pro rata share of such corporation's direct or indirect interest in the Asset, and Grantor shall deliver such dividend or distribution over to Optionee.

3.2 Authority. Such Grantor has full right, authority, power and

capacity: (i) to enter into this Option Agreement and each agreement, document and instrument to be executed and delivered by or on behalf of such Grantor pursuant to this Option Agreement; (ii) to carry out the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby; and (iii) to transfer, sell and deliver any or all of such Grantor's Interests to Optionee (or its designee) upon exercise by Optionee of such Grantor's Purchase Option and payment therefor in accordance with this Option Agreement. This Option Agreement and each agreement, document and instrument executed and delivered by or on behalf of such Grantor pursuant to this Option Agreement constitutes, or when executed and delivered will constitute, the legal, valid and binding obligation of such Grantor, each enforceable in accordance with their respective terms. The execution, delivery and performance of this Option Agreement and each such agreement, document and instrument by or on behalf of such Grantor: (x) does not and will not violate such Grantor's partnership agreement, declaration of trust, charter or bylaws, if applicable; (y) does not and will not violate any foreign, federal, state, local or other laws applicable to such Grantor or require such Grantor to obtain any approval, consent or waiver of, or make any filing with, any person or authority (governmental or otherwise) that has not been obtained or made or which does not remain in effect; and (z) does not and will not result in a breach of, constitute a default under, accelerate any obligation under or give rise to a right of termination of, any indenture or loan or credit agreement or any other agreement, contract, instrument, mortgage, lien, lease, permit, authorization, order, writ, judgment, injunction, decree, determination or arbitration award to which such Grantor is a party or by which the property of such Grantor is bound or affected, or result in the creation of any Encumbrance on any of the property or assets of any partnership in which an Interest of such Grantor represents an interest. In making the representations set forth in this Section 3.2, each Grantor may assume that (i) the consents and waivers of rights set forth in Section 7.10 hereof have been given by all partners of partnerships in which such Grantor's Interests represent direct or indirect interests and (ii) that, as of the date hereof and the date of the Pre-closing only, any Permitted Pledge has been released.

3.3 Litigation. There is no litigation or proceeding, either

judicial or administrative, pending or overtly threatened, affecting all or any portion of such Grantor's Interests or such Grantor's ability to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby. Such Grantor knows of no outstanding order, writ, injunction or decree of any court, government, governmental entity or authority or arbitration against or affecting all or any portion of its

8

Interests, which in any such case would impair such Grantor's ability to enter into and perform all of its obligations under this Option Agreement.

- 3.4 No Other Agreements to Sell. Such Grantor represents that it has
- made no agreement with, and will not enter into any agreement with, and has no obligation (absolute or contingent) to, any other person or firm to sell, transfer or in any way encumber any of such Grantor's Interests or to not sell such Grantor's Interests, or to enter into any agreement with respect to a sale, transfer or encumbrance of, or put or call right with respect to, such Grantor's Interests. In making the representations set forth in this Section 3.4, each Grantor may assume that (i) the consents and waivers of rights set forth in Section 7.10 hereof have been given by all partners of partnerships in which such Grantor's Interests represent direct or indirect interests and (ii) that, as of the date hereof and the date of the Pre-closing only, any Permitted Pledge has been released.
  - 3.5 No Brokers. Such Grantor represents that it has not entered  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left($

into, and covenants that it will not enter into, any agreement, arrangement or understanding with any person or firm which will result in the obligation of Optionee to pay any finder's fee, brokerage commission or similar payment in connection with the transactions contemplated hereby.

- 3.6 Investment Representations and Warranties.
- (a) Such Grantor has had an opportunity to review the "Summary of Certain Provisions of the Operating Partnership Agreement and the Registration Rights Agreement" and the discussion entitled "Tax Matters," each of which was sent to the Grantor by the Company (collectively, including all supplements thereto, if any, the "Partnership Summary"), and the Private

Placement Memorandum of the Optionee dated April 3, 1997 (including all supplements thereto, if any, the "Private Placement Memorandum") and understands

the risks of, and other considerations relating to, the purchase of the Units. Such Grantor, by reason of its business and financial experience, together with the business and financial experience of those persons, if any, retained by it to represent or advise it with respect to its investment in the Units, has such knowledge, sophistication and experience in financial and business matters and in making investment decisions of this type that it is capable of evaluating the merits and risks of an investment in Optionee and of making an informed investment decision, (ii) is capable of protecting its own interest or has engaged representatives or advisors to assist it in protecting its interests and (iii) is capable of bearing the economic risk of such investment. If such Grantor retained a person to represent or advise it with respect to the investment in Units that may be made hereby then, at Optionee's request, such Grantor shall, prior to or at the Pre-closing, (i) acknowledge in writing such representation and (ii) cause such representative or advisor to deliver a certificate to Optionee containing such representations as are reasonably requested by Optionee.

(b) Such Grantor understands that an investment in the Optionee involves substantial risks. Such Grantor has been given the opportunity to make a thorough

investigation of the proposed activities of Optionee and has been furnished with materials relating to the Optionee and its proposed activities, including, without limitation, the Private Placement Memorandum and the Partnership Summary. Such Grantor has been afforded the opportunity to obtain any additional information deemed necessary by such Grantor to verify the accuracy of any representations made or information conveyed to the Grantor. Such Grantor confirms that all documents, records, and books pertaining to its investment in the Partnership and requested by such Grantor have been made available or delivered to such Grantor. Such Grantor has had an opportunity to ask questions of and receive answers from Optionee, or from a person or persons acting on Optionee's behalf, concerning the terms and conditions of this investment. Such Grantor has relied upon, and is making its investment decision solely upon, the Private Placement Memorandum, the Partnership Summary and other written information provided to the Grantor by or on behalf of Optionee.

(c) The Units to be issued to such Grantor if Optionee acquires such Grantor's Interests will be acquired by such Grantor for its own account (or if such Grantor is a trustee, for a trust account) for investment only and not with a view to, or with any intention of, a distribution or resale thereof, in whole or in part, or the grant of any participation therein, without prejudice, however, to such Grantor's right (subject to the terms of the Units) at all times to sell or otherwise dispose of all or any part of its Units under an exemption from such registration available under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and applicable state securities laws, and

subject, nevertheless, to the disposition of its assets being at all times within its control. Such Grantor was not formed for the specific purpose of acquiring an interest in Optionee.

Such Grantor acknowledges that (i) the Units to be issued (d) to such Grantor if Optionee acquires such Grantor's Interests have not been registered under the Securities Act or state securities laws by reason of a specific exemption or exemptions from registration under the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws and, if such Units are represented by certificates, such certificates will bear a legend to such effect, (ii) the Company's and Optionee's reliance on such exemptions is predicated in part on the accuracy and completeness of the representations and warranties of such Grantor contained herein, (iii) such Units, therefore, cannot be resold unless registered under the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws, or unless an exemption from registration is available, (iv) there is no public market for such Units, and (v) Optionee has no obligation or intention to register such Units for resale under the Securities Act or any state securities laws or to take any action that would make available any exemption from the registration requirements of such laws. Such Grantor hereby acknowledges that because of the restrictions on transfer or assignment of such Units to be issued hereunder, which will be set forth in the partnership agreement of Optionee and/or in a Lock-up and Registration Rights Agreement (as described in Section 5.1, the "Registration Rights Agreement"), the Grantor may have to bear the

economic risk of the investment commitment evidenced by this Option Agreement and any Units purchased hereby for an indefinite period of time, although (x) under the terms of the partnership agreement of Optionee, as it will be in effect at the time of the initial public offering of the Company's

10

Common Stock, Units will be redeemable at the request of the holder thereof at any time after a period not exceeding fourteen months after their issuance for cash or (at the option of the Company) for shares of the Company's Common Stock and (y) the holder of any such shares of Common Stock issued upon a presentation of Units for redemption will be afforded certain rights to have such Common Stock registered for resale under the Securities Act or applicable state securities laws under the Registration Rights Agreement.

- (e) The address set forth under such Grantor's name in Exhibit A is the address of the Grantor's principal residence or place of business, and such Grantor has no present intention of becoming a resident of any country, state or jurisdiction other than the country and state in which such residence or principal place of business is sited.
- 3.7 NASD Affiliation. Each Grantor represents severally that neither it nor any affiliate of such Grantor is a member, affiliate of a member or nerson associated with a member of the National Association of Securities

person associated with a member of the National Association of Securities
Dealers, Inc. ("NASD"). Each Grantor further represents severally that neither

it nor any affiliate of such Grantor owns any stock or other securities of any NASD member not purchased in the open market, or has made any outstanding subordinated loans to an NASD member. (A company or natural person is presumed to control a member of the NASD and is therefore presumed to constitute an affiliate of such a member if the company or person is the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the outstanding securities of a member which is a corporation. Additionally, a natural person is presumed to control a member of the NASD and is therefore presumed to constitute an affiliate of such a member if such person has the power to direct or cause the direction of the management or policies of such member.)

3.8 Covenant to Remedy Breaches. Each Grantor covenants to use all reasonable efforts within its control (i) to prevent the breach of any representation or warranty of such Grantor hereunder, (ii) to satisfy all covenants of such Grantor hereunder and (iii) to promptly cure any breach of a representation, warranty or covenant of such Grantor hereunder upon its learning of same.

ARTICLE IV: REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES AND COVENANTS OF OPTIONEE

As a material inducement to each Grantor to enter into this Option Agreement and to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby, Optionee hereby makes to each Grantor each of the representations and warranties set forth in this Article IV, which representations and warranties shall be true as of the date hereof, as of the date of the Pre-closing and as of the date of consummation of the Final Closing.

4.1 Authority. Optionee has full right, authority, power and

capacity: (i) to enter into this Option Agreement and each agreement, document and instrument to be executed and delivered by or on behalf of it pursuant to this Option Agreement; (ii) to carry out the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby; and (iii) to issue Units to each Grantor to the

extent called for in such Grantor's Purchase Price and in accordance with the terms of this Option Agreement. This Option Agreement and each agreement, document and instrument executed and delivered by Optionee pursuant to this Option Agreement constitutes, or when executed and delivered will constitute, the legal, valid and binding obligation of Optionee, each enforceable in accordance with their respective terms. The execution, delivery and performance of this Option Agreement and each such agreement, document and instrument by Optionee: (x) does not and will not violate the partnership agreement of Optionee; (y) does not and will not violate any foreign, federal, state, local or other laws applicable to Optionee or require Optionee to obtain any approval, consent or waiver of, or make any filing with, any person or authority (governmental or otherwise) that has not been obtained or made; and (z) does not and will not result in a breach of, constitute a default under, accelerate any obligation under or give rise to a right of termination of, any indenture or loan or credit agreement or any other agreement, contract, instrument, mortgage, lien, lease, permit, authorization, order, writ, judgment, injunction, decree, determination or arbitration award to which Optionee is a party or by which the property of Optionee is bound or affected.

- 4.2 No Brokers. Optionee represents that it has not entered into, and covenants that it will not enter into, any agreement, arrangement or understanding with any person or firm which will result in the obligation of any Grantor to pay any finder's fee, brokerage commission or similar payment in connection with the transactions contemplated hereby.
- 4.3 Exercise of Options. If Optionee exercises the Purchase Option of any Grantor hereunder with respect to the Interest of such Grantor in a particular Asset, it will exercise, with respect to Interests in such Asset, the Purchase Options of all Grantors hereunder who have Interests in such Asset, but this covenant shall in no way affect Optionee's right, pursuant to Section 2.2, to elect not to complete a purchase from a Non-Complying Grantor.

## ARTICLE V: POWER OF ATTORNEY

appoints Mortimer B. Zuckerman and Edward H. Linde and (if any Asset in which such Grantor has an Interest is located in Washington, D.C., Virginia or Maryland) Raymond A. Ritchey and (if any Asset in which such Grantor has an Interest is located in any location other than Washington, D.C., Virginia or Maryland) William J. Wedge, and each of them individually and any successor thereof from time to time (such persons or any such successor of any of them acting in his, her or its capacity as Attorney-in-Fact pursuant to this Article V or Article VI, the "Attorney-in-Fact") as the true and lawful Attorney-in-Fact

Grant of Power of Attorney. Each Grantor hereby irrevocably

(a) To enter into the Registration Rights Agreement, which (i) provides for the registration under the Securities Act of the Company's Common Stock which may be issued to Grantor, in accordance with Optionee's partnership agreement, upon the presentation of Units for redemption and (ii) provides for restrictions on the transfer of Units (and any

and agent of such Grantor, with the power to act in the name, place and stead of

such Grantor:

Common Stock which may be issued in exchange for such Units) for a period not to exceed two years (in the case of "Affiliated Holders," as defined in such agreement) or one year (in the case of "Unaffiliated Holders," as defined in such agreement) from their date of issuance.

(b) To take for such Grantor all steps deemed necessary or advisable, if any, by Optionee in connection with the registration of the Company's Common Stock under the Securities Act, including without limitation (i) filing a registration statement and amendments thereto (the "Registration

Statement") under the Securities Act that describes the benefits to be received

by such Grantor in connection with the formation of the Operating Partnership and the offering of the Company's Common Stock, (ii) distributing a preliminary prospectus and prospectus regarding the offering of the Company's Common Stock that contain such information as is deemed necessary or desirable to lawfully effect the initial public offering of such shares, and (iii) to take such other steps as the Attorney-in-Fact may deem necessary or advisable.

- (c) To make, execute, acknowledge and deliver all such other contracts, orders, receipts, notices, requests, instructions, certificates, consents, letters and other writings (including without limitation the execution of Closing Documents, Ancillary Agreements, the partnership agreement, as then in effect, of Optionee, any other documents relating to the acquisition by Optionee of such Grantor's Interests, and any consents and waivers given or contemplated by or in furtherance of Section 7.10 hereof) and, in general, to do all things and to take all action which the Attorney-in-Fact in its sole discretion may consider necessary or proper in connection with or to carry out the transactions contemplated by this Option Agreement, the Ancillary Agreements, if any, and the Closing Documents as fully as could such Grantor if personally present and acting.
- (d) To make, acknowledge, verify and file on behalf of such Grantor applications, consents to service of process and such other undertakings or reports as may be required by law with state commissioners or officers administering state securities or Blue Sky laws and to take any other action required to facilitate the exemption from registration of the Units and the qualification of the Company's Common Stock under the securities or Blue Sky laws of the jurisdictions in which the Units and the Company's Common Stock are to be offered.

The Power of Attorney granted by each Grantor pursuant to this Article V and Article VI and all authority conferred by this Article V and Article VI is granted and conferred subject to and in consideration of the interests of the Optionee, the Company and the other Grantors and is for the purpose of completing the transactions contemplated by this Option Agreement. The Power of Attorney of each Grantor granted by this Article V and Article VI and all authority conferred by this Article V and Article VI is coupled with an interest and therefore shall be irrevocable and shall not be terminated by any act of such Grantor or by operation of law, whether by the death, disability, incapacity or liquidation of such Grantor or by the occurrence of any other event or events (including without limitation the termination of any

trust or estate for which such Grantor is acting as a fiduciary or fiduciaries), and if, after the execution hereof, such Grantor shall die or become disabled or incapacitated or is liquidated, or if any other such event or events shall occur before the completion of the transactions contemplated by this Option Agreement, the Attorney-in-Fact shall nevertheless be authorized and directed to complete all such transactions as if such death, disability, incapacity, liquidation or other event or events had not occurred and regardless of notice thereof. Each Grantor acknowledges that the Attorneys-in-Fact named in the first paragraph of this Section 5.1 have, and any successor thereof acting as Attorney-in-Fact may have, an economic interest in the transactions contemplated by this Option Agreement. Each Grantor agrees that, at the request of Optionee, it will promptly execute a separate Power of Attorney on the same terms set forth in this Article V and Article VI, such execution to be witnessed and notarized if so requested by an Attorney-in-Fact.

## 5.2 Limitation on Liability. It is understood that each

Attorney-in-Fact assumes no responsibility or liability to any person by virtue of the Power of Attorney granted by each Grantor hereby. Each Attorney-in-Fact makes no representations with respect to and shall have no responsibility for the formation of the Operating Partnership, the acquisitions of the Interests by Optionee, the Registration Statement, the prospectus or any preliminary prospectus relating to the offer and sale of Common Stock in the IPO, nor for any aspect of the offering of the Common Stock, and he shall not be liable for any error of judgment or for any act done or omitted or for any mistake of fact or law except for his own gross negligence or willful misconduct. Each Grantor agrees to indemnify the Attorney-in-Fact for and to hold the Attorney-in-Fact harmless against any loss, claim, damage or liability incurred on its part arising out of or in connection with it acting as the Attorney-in-Fact under the Power of Attorney created by such Grantor hereby, as well as the cost and expense of investigating and defending against any such loss, claim, damage or liability, except to the extent such loss, claim, damage or liability is due to the gross negligence or willful misconduct of the Attorney-in-Fact. Each Grantor agrees that an Attorney-in-Fact may consult with counsel of his own choice (who may be counsel for Optionee or the Company) and he shall have full and complete authorization and protection for any action taken or suffered by him hereunder in good faith and in accordance with the advice of such counsel. It is understood that the Attorney-in-Fact may, without breaching any express or implied obligation to the Grantor hereunder, release, amend or modify any other Power of Attorney granted by any other Grantor hereunder or any other person under any related agreement.

## 5.3 Ratification; Third Party Reliance. Each Grantor does hereby

ratify and confirm all that the Attorney-in-Fact shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue of the exercise of the powers granted unto him by such Grantor under this Article V and Article VI, and such Grantor authorizes the reliance of third parties on this Power of Attorney and waives its rights, if any, as against any such third party for its reliance hereon.

#### ARTICLE VI: DIRECT PURCHASES AND MERGERS

#### AND OTHER PARTNERSHIP MATTERS

-----

The parties acknowledge and agree that Optionee may decide to purchase one or more of the Assets (i) by a Direct Purchase from the Property Partnership that beneficially owns it or (ii) by way of a Merger of such Property Partnership with and into the Optionee. In order to facilitate any such Direct Purchase or Merger without the need for further consent or action of the Grantor and without such Direct Purchase or Merger causing a violation of any relevant partnership agreement, each Grantor agrees as follows:

(a) Each Grantor by its execution hereof (i) with respect to each Property Partnership in which an Interest owned by Grantor represents a direct or indirect interest, gives such consent as is necessary (under the partnership agreement of the applicable Property Partnership and under the partnership agreement of any other partnership that has a direct or indirect interest in such Property Partnership, to the extent Grantor is a partner of any such partnership) to cause such Property Partnership to have authority to (i) transfer all or substantially all of the assets (including an Asset) of such Property Partnership to Optionee on such terms and conditions as such Property Partnership and Optionee may agree or (ii) to merge with and into Optionee, provided, however, that in either such case the total merger or purchase price

consideration shall equal the Aggregate Net Asset Valuation (as hereinafter defined). The "Aggregate Net Asset Valuation" of a given Direct Purchase or

Merger is the aggregate value that would be given to all persons with an Interest in the applicable Property Partnership based on the information set forth in Exhibit C hereto and, in any event, the Aggregate Net Asset Valuation,

together with the terms of such Merger or Direct Purchase, must be such that Grantor will receive, in respect of its Interest in the Asset of such Property Partnership, the value (in Units) set forth for such Asset under Column (4) of such Grantor's Acquisition Exhibit.

- Each Grantor by its execution hereof gives such consent as (b) is necessary to cause, with respect to the partnership agreement of each partnership in which an Interest of such Grantor represents, directly or indirectly, a limited partner or general partner interest, the approval of (x) an amendment to such partnership agreement to enable such partnership, to the extent permissible under applicable law, (i) to redeem the interest of any other partner therein who has not agreed to become a party to this Option Agreement and (ii) to distribute to all partners thereof, including any partner who has not agreed to become a party to this Option Agreement, Units or cash received upon any Direct Purchase or Merger in accordance with the preceding paragraph (a), and (y) an amendment to such partnership agreement to cause a waiver by all partners of such partnership agreement of the rights described in paragraph (c) helow.
- (c) Each Grantor hereby waives any and all rights such Grantor may have with respect to, and (to the extent possible) that any other person may have with respect to, or that may accrue to such Grantor or other person upon the occurrence of (i) a Direct Purchase

of an Asset or the Merger of a Property Partnership that owns such Asset, in either case for a total merger or purchase price consideration equal to the Aggregate Net Asset Valuation as described above, or (ii) the effecting of an amendment to any partnership agreement for the purpose of enabling any such Direct Purchase or Merger or implementing a similar waiver by all of the partners of such partnership, such rights to include (but not be limited to) all rights of notice, rights to response periods, and rights to prohibit, limit, invalidate, otherwise restrict or impair such Merger, Direct Purchase or amendment.

(d) Any Attorney-in-Fact may on behalf of each Grantor execute such consents, amendments, releases, waivers or other instruments as such Attorney-in-Fact deems necessary or desirable in connection with the foregoing, including any consent on behalf of such Grantor in such Grantor's capacity as a partner of any partnership described in clause (i) of paragraph (a) of this Article VI.

ARTICLE VII: MISCELLANEOUS

7.1 Amendment. Any amendment hereto shall be effective only against

those parties hereto who have acknowledged in writing their consent to such amendment, provided that Optionee may amend this Option Agreement without notice to or the consent of any Grantor (i) for the purpose of adding additional Grantors as parties hereto or deleting Grantors as parties hereto and conforming Exhibits B and C in connection with such additions or deletions and (ii) to

conform, for clarity, any Grantor's Acquisition Exhibit to the extent the description of such Grantor's interests in a particular Asset as set forth therein does not accurately or completely reflect the entire Interest of such Grantor in such Asset. No waiver of any provisions of this Option Agreement shall be valid unless in writing and signed by the party against whom enforcement is sought.

7.2 Entire Agreement; Counterparts; Applicable Law. This Option

Agreement and all Ancillary Agreements (a) constitute the entire agreement and supersede all prior agreements and understandings, both written and oral, among the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, (b) may be executed in several counterparts, each of which will be deemed an original and all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument and (c) shall be governed in all respects, including validity, interpretation and effect, by the laws of the State of Delaware without giving effect to the conflict of law provisions thereof. This Agreement shall be enforceable as between a Grantor and the Optionee upon the execution by both of signature pages hereto, even though other Grantors may be added hereto thereafter.

7.3 Assignability. This Option Agreement shall be binding upon, and

shall be enforceable by and inure to the benefit of, the parties hereto and their respective heirs, legal representatives, successors and assigns; provided,  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

however, that this Option Agreement may not be assigned (except by operation of

law) by any Grantor without the prior written consent of the Optionee, and any attempted assignment without such consent shall be void and of no effect; and

provided, further, that Optionee may assign this Option Agreement, the Closing  $\hdots$ 

Documents and the Ancillary Agreements and any agreement contemplated hereunder or thereunder, in whole or in part, or the right to acquire from a Grantor any Interest after exercise of such Grantor's Purchase Option, to the Company or to an affiliate of Optionee or the Company without the consent of any Grantor.

7.4 Titles. The titles and captions of the Articles, Sections and

paragraphs of this Option Agreement are included for convenience of reference only and shall have no effect on the construction or meaning of this Option Agreement.

7.5 Third Party Beneficiary. No provision of this Option Agreement is

intended, nor shall it be interpreted, to provide or create any third party beneficiary rights or any other rights of any kind in any customer, affiliate, stockholder, partner, director, officer or employee of any party hereto or any other person or entity, provided, however, that Sections 5.3 and 7.10 of this

Option Agreement shall be enforceable by and shall inure to the benefit of the persons described therein.

7.6 Severability. If any provision of this Option Agreement, or the

application thereof, is for any reason held to any extent to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Option Agreement and application of such provision to other persons or circumstances will be interpreted so as reasonably to effect the intent of the parties hereto. The parties further agree to replace such void or unenforceable provision of this Option Agreement with a valid and enforceable provision that will achieve, to the extent possible, the economic, business and other purposes of the void or unenforceable provision and to execute any amendment, consent or agreement deemed necessary or desirable by Optionee to effect such replacement.

7.7 Equitable Remedies. The parties hereto agree that irreparable  $% \left( \frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left( \frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left($ 

damage would occur to the Operating Partnership in the event that any of the provisions of this Option Agreement were not performed by a Grantor in accordance with their specific terms or were otherwise breached by a Grantor. It is accordingly agreed that the Operating Partnership shall be entitled to an injunction or injunctions to prevent breaches of this Option Agreement by a Grantor and to enforce specifically the terms and provisions hereof in any federal or state court located in Boston, Massachusetts (as to which the parties agree to submit to jurisdiction for the purposes of such action), this being in addition to any other remedy to which the Operating Partnership is entitled at law or in equity.

7.8 Disputes

-----

(a) The parties agree that (subject to Section 7.8(b)) any and all disputes, claims or controversies arising out of or relating to this agreement that are not resolved by their mutual agreement shall be submitted to final and binding arbitration before J.A.M.S./ENDISPUTE, or its successor, in its Boston, Massachusetts office and pursuant to the United States Arbitration Act and the provisions of J.A.M.S./ENDISPUTE's

Streamlined Arbitration Rules and Procedures in effect at the time. The parties will cooperate with J.A.M.S./ENDISPUTE and with one another in selecting an arbitrator from J.A.M.S./ENDISPUTE's panel of neutrals and in scheduling the arbitration proceedings. The provisions of this Section may be enforced by any court of competent jurisdiction.

In the event of any dispute relating to an Interest of a Grantor, the consideration paid therefor or the actions taken in connection with the Formation Transactions (as defined in the Private Placement Memorandum), the arbitrator shall be instructed to value such Interest by (i) using the appraised value of the Asset in which such Interest represents an interest and (ii) determining the Grantor's allocable share, in accordance with the applicable partnership agreement or partnership agreements, of the net proceeds of a sale of such Asset for a price equal to such appraised value (after deducting (x) the arbitrator's estimate of the costs of such a sale, and (y) the aggregate amount of indebtedness at the time of the IPO of the Property Partnership that owned such Asset or that otherwise was secured by such Asset). The arbitrator shall be instructed that the appraised value of the Asset shall be determined (I) as of the date of the IPO (even though the appraisal may be conducted some time thereafter) and (II) by a qualified appraiser, chosen by the arbitrator, who shall use customary and traditional methods for valuing properties of the same type as the Asset.

By executing this agreement each party is agreeing (subject to Section 7.8(b)) to have all disputes, claims or controversies arising out of or relating to this agreement decided by neutral arbitration, and is giving up any rights he, she or it might possess to have those matters litigated in a court or jury trial. By executing this agreement each party is giving up his, her or its judicial rights to discovery and appeal except to the extent that they are specifically provided for under this agreement.

- (b) Notwithstanding Section 7.8(a), the Operating Partnership may seek an injunction or injunctions or specific performance, in a court of competent jurisdiction, to the extent permitted by Section 7.7.
  - 7.9 Notices; Exercise of Grantor's Purchase Option. Any notice or

demand that must or may be given under this Option Agreement (including an Option Exercise Notice) or by law shall, except as otherwise provided, be in writing and shall be deemed to have been given (i) when physically received by personal delivery (which shall include the confirmed receipt of a telecopied facsimile transmission), or (ii) three business days after being deposited in the United States certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, or (iii) one business day after being deposited with a nationally known commercial courier service providing next day delivery service (such as Federal Express); addressed and delivered or telecopied (a) in the case of a notice to the Optionee at the following address and telecopy number:

Boston Properties Limited Partnership 8 Arlington Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02116 (617) 859-2600 Telephone: (617) 536-4233 Telecopy: Attn: William J. Wedge, Esq.

and (b) in the case of a notice to a Grantor, at the address and telecopy number set forth under such Grantor's name on such Grantor's signature page or in Exhibit B hereto.

7.10 Waiver of Rights; Consents with Respect to Partnership Interests.

Each Grantor acknowledges that the agreements contained herein and the transactions contemplated hereby and any actions taken in contemplation of the  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$ transactions contemplated hereby (including the declaration of dividends in the form of Interests or in the form of cash or Units following a Merger or Direct Purchase of an Asset) may conflict with, and may not have been contemplated by, the partnership agreement of one or more partnerships in which one or more of such Grantor's Interests represents a direct or indirect interest or another agreement among one or more holders of such Interests or one or more of the partners of any such partnership. With respect to each partnership in which an Interest of a Grantor represents a direct or indirect interest, each Grantor expressly gives all Consents (and any consents necessary to authorize the proper parties in interest to give all Consents) and Waivers necessary or desirable to facilitate any Conveyance Action relating to such partnership (as each such capitalized term is hereinafter defined).

As used herein, the term "Conveyance Action" means, with respect to any

partnership having a direct or indirect ownership interest in any Asset, (i) the conveyance or agreement to convey by a partner thereof or by any holder of an indirect interest therein (whether or not such partner or holder is a Grantor hereunder) of its direct or indirect interest in such partnership to Optionee or the Company or to another person in connection with the formation of Optionee or the IPO as described in the Private Placement Memorandum or (ii) the entering into by any such partner or holder of any agreement relating to (x) the formation of Optionee or the IPO as described in the Private Placement Memorandum, (y) the direct or indirect acquisition by Optionee or the Company of any such direct or indirect interest, or (z) the transactions described in or contemplated by the Private Placement Memorandum, or (iii) the taking by any such partner or holder of any action necessary or desirable to facilitate any of the foregoing, including, without limitation, the following (provided that the same are taken in furtherance of the foregoing): any sale or distribution to any person of a direct or indirect interest in such partnership, the entering into any agreement with any person that grants to such person the right to purchase a direct or indirect interest in such partnership, and the giving of the Consents and Waivers contained in this Section 7.10 or consents or waivers similar thereto in form or purpose. As used herein, the term "Consents" means, with

respect to any such partnership, any consent necessary or desirable under the partnership agreement of

such partnership or any other agreement among all or any of the holders of interests therein or any other agreement relating thereto or referred to therein (i) to permit any and all Conveyance Actions relating to such partnership or to amend such partnership agreement and/or other agreements so that no provision thereof prohibits, restricts, impairs or interferes with any Conveyance Action (such amendments to include, without limitation, the deletion of provisions which cause a default under such agreement if interests therein are transferred for cash or for property or in violation of notice, rights-of-first refusal or other provisions), (ii) to admit Optionee as a substitute limited partner or general partner of such partnership upon Optionee's acquisition of a limited or general partner interest therein, respectively, and to adopt such amendment as is necessary or desirable to effect such admission, (iii) to continue such partnership following the transfer of interests therein to Optionee and (iv) to cause such partnership to adopt an amendment to its partnership agreement that provides that any disputes arising thereunder, or any disputes arising among the partners of such partnership with respect to the Formation Transactions, shall be resolved by arbitration in accordance with the procedures and principles set forth in Section 7.8 hereof (and to cause any conflicting provision of such partnership agreement to be repealed). As used herein, the term "Waivers" means,

with respect to a partnership of which an Interest of a Grantor represents a direct or indirect interest, the waiving of any and all rights that such Grantor may have with respect to, and (to the extent possible) that any other person may have with respect to, or that may accrue to such Grantor or other person upon the occurrence of, a Conveyance Action relating to such partnership, including, but not limited to, the following rights: rights of notice, rights to response periods, rights to purchase the direct or indirect interests of another partner in such partnership or to sell such Grantor's or other person's direct or indirect interest therein to another partner, rights to sell such Grantor's or other person's direct or indirect interest therein at a price other than as provided herein, or rights to prohibit, limit, invalidate, otherwise restrict or impair any such Conveyance Action or to cause a termination or dissolution of such partnership because of such Conveyance Action. Each Grantor further covenants that such Grantor will take no action to enjoin, or seek damages resulting from, any Conveyance Action by any holder of a direct or indirect interest in a partnership in which an Interest of such Grantor represents a direct or indirect interest. The Waivers and Consents contained in this Section 7.10 shall terminate upon the termination of this Option Agreement, except as to transactions completed hereunder prior to termination.

7.11 Computation of Time. Any time period provided for herein which

shall end on a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday shall extend to 5:00~p.m. of the next full business day. All times are Eastern Time.

7.12 Survival. It is the express intention and agreement of the parties

hereto that the representations, warranties and covenants of each Grantor set forth in this Option Agreement shall survive the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby. The waiver by any party hereto of any of the conditions precedent to its obligations under this Agreement shall not preclude such party for seeking redress for any breach of this agreement.

7.13 Nature of Option and Determination of Values. Without in any way

affecting Optionee's rights and obligations hereunder, the parties acknowledge that the net asset value of each Asset, and the "Net Value to Offeree" for each Interest as set forth on each Grantor's Acquisition Exhibit, were determined based on the assumption that all or most of the Grantor's Interests would be acquired by Optionee upon exercise of Grantor's Purchase Option. The net asset value available for distribution, in the aggregate, to all persons with a direct or indirect limited partner interest in a Property Partnership was in no event determined to be less than \$50,000 (a Property Partnership the limited partnership interest of which was assigned such value is hereinafter referred to as a "Minimally Valued Partnership"), representing the agreed upon minimum value to the Optionee of acquiring all of the interests in the limited partnership interest of such Property Partnership. The Optionee agrees that in no event will it exercise a Grantor's Purchase Option, without such Grantor's consent, in such a manner that only a Grantor's Interests in Minimally Valued Partnerships will be acquired.

 $\hbox{7.14 Time of the Essence. Time is of the essence with respect to all obligations of Grantor under this Option Agreement. }$ 

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the parties hereto has executed this Option Agreement, or caused this Option Agreement to be duly executed on its behalf, as of the date first above written.

OPTIONEE:

BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

By: Boston Properties, Inc., as general partner

By: /s/ Edward H. Linde

-----

Name: Edward H. Linde Title: President

## GRANTOR SIGNATURE PAGE

The undersigned, desiring to become one of the within named Grantors to that certain Omnibus Option Agreement by and among Boston Properties Limited Partnership and such Grantors, dated as of April 9, 1997, hereby becomes a party to such Omnibus Option Agreement and agrees to the terms and conditions thereof and makes the representations, warranties and covenants, and gives the consents, waivers and power of attorney, contained therein. The undersigned agrees that this signature page may be attached to any counterpart of said Omnibus Option Agreement.

Signature line for Grantors who are natural persons:	
	Name: Telecopy Number: Address:
Signature line for trusts, partnerships and other entities:	
	Name of Grantor
	Ву:
	Name: Title: Telecopy Number: Address:

By the Grantor's execution of this Omnibus Option Agreement, the Grantor grants a Power of Attorney to certain individuals pursuant to Articles V and VI hereof and agrees to the arbitration of any dispute arising hereunder pursuant to Section 7.8.

This is the form of Omnibus Option Agreement which was executed by Boston Properties, Inc. and each of the grantors named below:

- 1. Laura Cohen Apelbaum
- 3. Brian C. Badrigian
- 5. David Barrett
- Jack W. Burkart
- 9. James A. Canfield
- 11. Jamie Mark Cohen Trust
- 13. Melinda Ann Cohen
- 15. Richard Cohen
- 17. Sheldon Cohen
- 19. Guy P. Davis
- 21. Frederick J. DeAngelis
- 23. First City Properties E Street, Inc.
- 25. David G. Gaw
- 27. James L. Hudson
- 29. Peter D. Johnston
- 31. Willie L. Leftwich
- 33. Edward H. Linde
- 35. Trustees under the Will of Irving J. Linde
- 37. Thaddeus Lindner
- 39. Bryan Lipowsky Trust
- 41. Ariel Matthew Luks Trust
- 43. Jordana Ilene Luks Trust
- 45. Jack Males Living Trust
- 47. William F. McCall
- 49. Arthur H. Middleton
- 51. Leonard C. Owens, Jr. 53. David Richardson
- 55. James C. Rosenfeld
- 57. R. W. Claxton, Inc.
- 59. Estate of Robert B. Swett, Jr. 61. Albert G. Tierney
- 63. Viatech Systems, Inc.65. William Whitworth
- 67. Mortimer B. Zuckerman
- 69. Robert Selsam
- 71. Jonathan B. Kurtis
- 73. David H. Boone
- 75. Michael A. Cantalupa
- 77. Amy Gindel
- 79. Clare Probert

- 2. Steven Astrove, Esq.
- 4. John J. Baraldi 6. Robert E. Burke
- 8. John D. Camera
- 10. Gerald Cohen 12. Jonathan A. Cohen
- 14. Randy Michael Cohen Trust
- 16. Sharon R. Cohen
- 18. Chester C. Davenport
- 20. Herbert O. Davis
- 22. M. Gordon Ehrlich 24. Sergius Gambal
- 26. James A. Hart
- 28. Thomas P. Hutchinson
- 30. Pauline S. Kisiel
- 32. Douglas T. Linde
- 34. The Edward H. Linde 1984 Family Trust
- 36. Richard Linde
- 38. Brenda Lipowsky
- 40. Dana Robin Lipowsky Trust
- 42. Debra Luks
- 44. Joshua Micah Luks Trust
- 46. McCall & Company
- 48. Metropolitan Poultry Company
- 50. E. Mitchell Norville
- 52. David L. Pergola
- 54. Raymond A. Ritchey
- 56. Charlotte Rudden
- 58. Keith A. Seay
- 60. Robert B. Swett, Jr. Family Trust
- 62. Peter Van, Esq. 64. William J. Wedge, Esq.
- 66. Barbara Wolf
- 68. The Mortimer B. Zuckerman 1983 Family Trust
- 70. Stephen Clineberg
- 72. Frank D. Burt
- 74. Terrence D. McNally
- 76. Michael R. Walsh
- 78. Kathy Stevenson

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

[ACQUISITION EXHIBITS OF THE GRANTORS]

C-1

### CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

We consent to the inclusion in this registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. ) of our report dated April 9, 1997, on our audits of the combined financial statements and financial statement schedule of the Boston Properties Predecessor Group. We also consent to the references to our firm under the caption "Experts".

/s/ Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P.

Boston, Massachusetts April 14, 1997

### [LETTERHEAD OF SPAULDING & SLYE APPEARS HERE]

April 11, 1997

Mr. David R. Barrett Senior Vice President Boston Properties, Inc. 8 Arlington Street Boston, MA 02118

Dear David:

In connection with the initial public offering of common stock by Boston Properties, Inc., we hereby consent to the use of our name in the Registration Statement on Form S-11 and to the reference to us as experts in the section therein under the caption "Experts."

Sincerely,

/s/ Matthew P. Dwyer Matthew P. Dwyer, SIOR Senior Vice President/Principal

MPD/dh

THIS SCHEDULE CONTAINS SUMMARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM COMBINED BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1996 AND THE COMBINED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1996 OF BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC. AND IS QUALIFIED IN ITS ENTIRETY BY REFERENCE TO SUCH FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

#### 1,000

