

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

**FORM 10-Q**

---

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2014

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 0-50209

---

**BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

---

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

04-3372948  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Prudential Center, 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, Massachusetts 02199-8103  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(617) 236-3300  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

---

---

**BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**  
**FORM 10-Q**  
**for the quarter ended March 31, 2014**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<b>PART I.</b>	<b>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>
ITEM 1.	<a href="#">Financial Statements (unaudited)</a> 1
	<a href="#">a) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013</a> 1
	<a href="#">b) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</a> 2
	<a href="#">c) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</a> 3
	<a href="#">d) Consolidated Statements of Partners' Capital for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</a> 4
	<a href="#">e) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</a> 5
	<a href="#">f) Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</a> 7
ITEM 2.	<a href="#">Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</a> 26
ITEM 3.	<a href="#">Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</a> 62
ITEM 4.	<a href="#">Controls and Procedures</a> 63
<b>PART II.</b>	<b>OTHER INFORMATION</b>
ITEM 1.	<a href="#">Legal Proceedings</a> 64
ITEM 1A.	<a href="#">Risk Factors</a> 64
ITEM 2.	<a href="#">Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</a> 64
ITEM 3.	<a href="#">Defaults Upon Senior Securities</a> 65
ITEM 4.	<a href="#">Mine Safety Disclosures</a> 65
ITEM 5.	<a href="#">Other Information</a> 65
ITEM 6.	<a href="#">Exhibits</a> 66
<b><a href="#">SIGNATURES</a></b>	67

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1—Financial Statements.

BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
	(in thousands, except for unit amounts)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Real estate, at cost	\$ 16,828,341	\$ 16,727,886
Construction in progress	1,564,821	1,523,179
Land held for future development	300,498	297,376
Less: accumulated depreciation	(3,196,522)	(3,096,910)
Total real estate	15,497,138	15,451,531
Cash and cash equivalents	1,179,573	2,365,137
Cash held in escrows	54,240	57,201
Investments in securities	18,026	16,641
Tenant and other receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,625 and \$1,636, respectively)	37,812	59,464
Accrued rental income (net of allowance of \$3,448 and \$3,636, respectively)	661,730	651,603
Deferred charges, net	861,567	884,450
Prepaid expenses and other assets	178,488	184,477
Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	127,356	126,084
Total assets	<u>\$ 18,615,930</u>	<u>\$ 19,796,588</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL</b>		
Liabilities:		
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 4,430,110	\$ 4,449,734
Unsecured senior notes (net of discount of \$13,710 and \$14,146, respectively)	5,836,290	5,835,854
Unsecured exchangeable senior notes (net of discount of \$0 and \$182, respectively)	—	744,880
Unsecured line of credit	—	—
Mezzanine notes payable	310,735	311,040
Outside members' notes payable	180,000	180,000
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	218,028	202,470
Distributions payable	114,799	497,242
Accrued interest payable	178,651	167,523
Other liabilities	556,772	578,969
Total liabilities	<u>11,825,385</u>	<u>12,967,712</u>
Commitments and contingencies	—	—
Noncontrolling interests:		
Redeemable interest in property partnership	100,327	99,609
Redeemable partnership units—666,116 series two preferred units outstanding (874,168 common units at redemption value, if converted) at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013	100,118	87,740
Redeemable partnership units—360,126 series four preferred units outstanding at redemption value at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013	18,006	18,006
Redeemable partnership units—15,583,853 and 15,583,370 common units and 1,554,353 and 1,455,761 long term incentive units outstanding at redemption value at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively	1,962,839	1,710,218
Capital:		
5.25% Series B cumulative redeemable preferred units, liquidation preference \$2,500 per unit, 80,000 units issued and outstanding at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013	193,623	193,623
Boston Properties Limited Partnership partners' capital—1,701,555 and 1,700,222 general partner units and 151,315,756 and 151,282,879 limited partner units outstanding at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively	3,690,539	3,993,548
Noncontrolling interests in property partnerships	725,093	726,132
Total capital	<u>4,609,255</u>	<u>4,913,303</u>
Total liabilities and capital	<u>\$ 18,615,930</u>	<u>\$ 19,796,588</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(Unaudited)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands, except for per unit amounts)	
<b>Revenue</b>		
Rental		
Base rent	\$ 455,018	\$ 373,046
Recoveries from tenants	81,934	64,319
Parking and other	24,333	23,437
Total rental revenue	561,285	460,802
Hotel revenue	8,193	8,291
Development and management services	5,216	8,733
Total revenue	574,694	477,826
<b>Expenses</b>		
Operating		
Rental	206,388	169,062
Hotel	6,797	7,044
General and administrative	29,905	45,516
Transaction costs	437	443
Impairment loss	—	4,401
Depreciation and amortization	152,245	117,409
Total expenses	395,772	343,875
Operating income	178,922	133,951
Other income (expense)		
Income from unconsolidated joint ventures	2,816	8,721
Interest and other income	1,311	1,471
Gains from investments in securities	286	735
Interest expense	(113,554)	(100,433)
Income from continuing operations	69,781	44,445
Discontinued operations		
Income from discontinued operations	—	2,494
Gain on forgiveness of debt from discontinued operations	—	20,736
Impairment loss from discontinued operations	—	(2,852)
Net income	69,781	64,823
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		
Noncontrolling interests in property partnerships	(4,354)	(2,574)
Noncontrolling interest—redeemable preferred units	(3,208)	(1,326)
Net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership	\$ 62,219	\$ 60,923
Basic earnings per common unit attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.24
Discontinued operations	—	0.12
Net income	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.36
Weighted average number of common units outstanding	169,841	168,750
Diluted earnings per common unit attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.24
Discontinued operations	—	0.12
Net income	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.36
Weighted average number of common and common equivalent units outstanding	169,980	169,056

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF**  
**COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**  
**(Unaudited)**

	Three months ended	
	March 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Net income	\$69,781	\$64,823
Other comprehensive income:		
Amortization of interest rate contracts (1)	629	628
Other comprehensive income	629	628
Comprehensive income	70,410	65,451
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(7,562)	(3,900)
Comprehensive income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership	<u>\$62,848</u>	<u>\$61,551</u>

(1) Amounts reclassified from comprehensive income primarily to interest expense within the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS' CAPITAL**  
**FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2014 AND 2013**  
**(Unaudited and in thousands)**

	<b>Total Partners' Capital</b>
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$4,187,171
Contributions	1,535
Net income allocable to general and limited partner units	58,648
Distributions	(102,050)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	567
Unearned compensation	(474)
Conversion of redeemable partnership units	627
Adjustment to reflect redeemable partnership units at redemption value	(261,862)
Balance at March 31, 2014	<u>\$3,884,162</u>
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$3,330,605
Contributions	197,310
Net income allocable to general and limited partner units	54,892
Distributions	(98,712)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	564
Unearned compensation	1,658
Conversion of redeemable partnership units	272
Adjustment to reflect redeemable partnership units at redemption value	85,104
Balance at March 31, 2013	<u>\$3,571,693</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(Unaudited)**

	For the three months ended	
	March 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 69,781	\$ 64,823
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	152,245	119,147
Non-cash compensation expense	10,380	25,783
Impairment loss	—	4,401
Income from unconsolidated joint ventures	(2,816)	(8,721)
Distributions of net cash flow from operations of unconsolidated joint ventures	1,431	12,921
Gains from investments in securities	(286)	(735)
Non-cash portion of interest expense	(7,676)	10,561
Settlement of accreted debt discount on repurchases of unsecured exchangeable senior notes	(92,979)	—
Gain on forgiveness of debt from discontinued operations	—	(20,736)
Impairment loss from discontinued operations	—	2,852
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Cash held in escrows	2,961	(297)
Tenant and other receivables, net	21,652	10,690
Accrued rental income, net	(10,127)	(14,295)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	5,989	18,684
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	1,288	(1,172)
Accrued interest payable	11,128	30,158
Other liabilities	(22,197)	(7,703)
Tenant leasing costs	(16,565)	(8,932)
Total adjustments	54,428	172,606
Net cash provided by operating activities	124,209	237,429
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Acquisitions of real estate	—	(289,816)
Construction in progress	(97,025)	(103,178)
Building and other capital improvements	(17,510)	(14,162)
Tenant improvements	(31,551)	(24,988)
Repayments of notes receivable, net	—	184
Capital contributions to unconsolidated joint ventures	—	(113)
Capital distributions from unconsolidated joint ventures	113	—
Investments in securities, net	(1,099)	(918)
Net cash used in investing activities	(147,072)	(432,991)

**BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(Unaudited)**

	For the three months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repayments of mortgage notes payable	(6,630)	(23,687)
Repayment of unsecured exchangeable senior notes	(654,521)	—
Deferred financing costs	(18)	—
Net proceeds from preferred unit issuance	—	194,371
Net proceeds from equity transactions	(527)	(559)
Distributions	(496,330)	(110,940)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests in property partnerships	468	5,875
Distributions to noncontrolling interests in property partnerships	(5,143)	(2,100)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,162,701)	62,960
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(1,185,564)	(132,602)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	2,365,137	1,041,978
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 1,179,573</u>	<u>\$ 909,376</u>
Supplemental disclosures:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 220,790	\$ 74,492
Interest capitalized	\$ 17,709	\$ 14,418
Non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Additions to real estate included in accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 9,393	\$ 7,475
Mortgage note payable extinguished through foreclosure	\$ —	\$ 25,000
Real estate transferred upon foreclosure	\$ —	\$ 6,954
Land improvements contributed by noncontrolling interest in property partnership	\$ —	\$ 4,546
Distributions declared but not paid	\$ 114,799	\$ 110,886
Conversions of redeemable partnership units to partners' capital	\$ 627	\$ 272
Issuance of restricted securities to employees	\$ 26,534	\$ 25,901

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**  
**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**1. Organization**

Boston Properties Limited Partnership (the “Company”), a Delaware limited partnership, is the entity through which Boston Properties, Inc., a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (“REIT”), conducts substantially all of its business and owns (either directly or through subsidiaries) substantially all of its assets. Boston Properties, Inc. is the sole general partner of the Company and at March 31, 2014 owned an approximate 89.5% (89.0% at March 31, 2013) general and limited partnership interest in the Company. Partnership interests in the Company are denominated as “common units of partnership interest” (also referred to as “OP Units”), “long term incentive units of partnership interest” (also referred to as “LTIP Units”) or “preferred units of partnership interest” (also referred to as “Preferred Units”). In addition, in February 2011 and February 2012, the Company issued LTIP Units in connection with the granting to employees of outperformance awards (also referred to as “2011 OPP Units” and “2012 OPP Units,” respectively, and collectively as “OPP Units”). On January 31, 2014, the measurement period for the Company’s 2011 OPP Unit awards expired and the Company’s total return to shareholders (“TRS”) was not sufficient for employees to earn and therefore become eligible to vest in any of the 2011 OPP Unit awards. Accordingly, all 2011 OPP Unit awards were automatically forfeited (See Note 7 and 10). In February 2013 and February 2014, the Company issued LTIP Units in connection with the granting to employees of multi-year, long-term incentive program (“MYLTIP”) awards (also referred to as “2013 MYLTIP Units” and “2014 MYLTIP Units,” respectively, and collectively as “MYLTIP Units”). Because the rights, preferences and privileges of OPP Units and MYLTIP Units differ from other LTIP Units granted to employees as part of the annual compensation process, unless specifically noted otherwise, all references to LTIP Units exclude OPP Units and MYLTIP Units (See Notes 7 and 10).

Unless specifically noted otherwise, all references to OP Units exclude units held by Boston Properties, Inc. A holder of an OP Unit may present such OP Unit to the Company for redemption at any time (subject to restrictions agreed upon at the time of issuance of OP Units to particular holders that may restrict such redemption right for a period of time, generally one year from issuance). Upon presentation of an OP Unit for redemption, the Company is obligated to redeem such OP Unit for cash equal to the value of a share of common stock of Boston Properties, Inc. (“Common Stock”) at such time. In lieu of a cash redemption, Boston Properties, Inc. may elect to acquire such OP Unit for one share of Common Stock. Because the number of shares of Common Stock outstanding at all times equals the number of OP Units that Boston Properties, Inc. owns, one share of Common Stock is generally the economic equivalent of one OP Unit, and the quarterly distribution that may be paid to the holder of an OP Unit equals the quarterly dividend that may be paid to the holder of a share of Common Stock. An LTIP Unit is generally the economic equivalent of a share of restricted common stock of Boston Properties, Inc. LTIP Units, whether vested or not, will receive the same quarterly per unit distributions as OP Units, which equal per share dividends on Common Stock (See Note 8).

At March 31, 2014, there were three series of Preferred Units outstanding (i.e., Series Two Preferred Units, Series Four Preferred Units and Series B Preferred Units).

- The Series Two Preferred Units bear a distribution that is set in accordance with an amendment to the partnership agreement of the Company. Each Series Two Preferred Unit may also be converted into approximately 1.312336 OP Units or redeemed for \$50.00 of cash at the election of the holder thereof or the Company in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in the applicable amendment to the partnership agreement (See Note 7).
- The Series Four Preferred Units are not convertible into or exchangeable for any common equity of the Company or Boston Properties, Inc., have a per unit liquidation preference of \$50.00 and are entitled to receive quarterly distributions of \$0.25 per unit (or an annual rate of 2.00%) (See Note 7).

## [Table of Contents](#)

- The Series B Preferred Units were issued to Boston Properties, Inc. on March 27, 2013 in connection with Boston Properties, Inc.'s issuance of 80,000 shares (8,000,000 depository shares each representing 1/100th of a share) of 5.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series B Preferred Stock"). Boston Properties, Inc. contributed the net proceeds from the offering to the Company in exchange for 80,000 Series B Preferred Units having terms and preferences generally mirroring those of the Series B Preferred Stock (See Note 8).

All references herein to the Company refer to Boston Properties Limited Partnership and its consolidated subsidiaries, collectively, unless the context otherwise requires.

### **Properties**

At March 31, 2014, the Company owned or had interests in a portfolio of 175 commercial real estate properties (the "Properties") aggregating approximately 45.8 million net rentable square feet, including eight properties under construction totaling approximately 4.0 million net rentable square feet. In addition, the Company has structured parking for approximately 45,971 vehicles containing approximately 15.7 million square feet. At March 31, 2014, the Properties consist of:

- 167 office properties, including 128 Class A office properties (including eight properties under construction) and 39 Office/Technical properties;
- one hotel;
- four retail properties; and
- three residential properties.

The Company owns or controls undeveloped land parcels totaling approximately 502.5 acres.

The Company considers Class A office properties to be centrally located buildings that are professionally managed and maintained, attract high-quality tenants and command upper-tier rental rates, and that are modern structures or have been modernized to compete with newer buildings. The Company considers Office/Technical properties to be properties that support office, research and development, laboratory and other technical uses. The Company's definitions of Class A Office and Office/Technical properties may be different than those used by other companies.

### **2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

Boston Properties, Inc. does not have any other significant assets, liabilities or operations, other than its investment in the Company, nor does it have employees of its own. The Company, not Boston Properties, Inc., generally executes all significant business relationships other than transactions involving securities of Boston Properties, Inc. All majority-owned subsidiaries and joint ventures over which the Company has financial and operating control and variable interest entities ("VIE"s) in which the Company has determined it is the primary beneficiary are included in the consolidated financial statements. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company accounts for all other unconsolidated joint ventures using the equity method of accounting. Accordingly, the Company's share of the earnings of these joint ventures and companies is included in consolidated net income.

The accompanying interim financial statements are unaudited; however, the financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and in conjunction with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all of the disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring matters) necessary for a fair statement of the financial statements for these interim periods have been included. The results of operations for the interim periods are not

## [Table of Contents](#)

necessarily indicative of the results to be obtained for other interim periods or for the full fiscal year. The year-end consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosure required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's Annual Report in the Company's Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. In the third quarter of 2013, the Company modified the presentation of expenses to operate its San Francisco and Princeton regional offices to reflect the growing activity in its San Francisco region and to have a consistent presentation across the Company. These expenses, which totaled approximately \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were previously included in Rental Operating Expenses and are now included in General and Administrative Expenses. In addition, beginning on January 1, 2014, the properties that were historically part of the Company's Princeton region are reflected as the suburban component of the Company's New York region (See Note 11).

The Company follows the authoritative guidance for fair value measurements when valuing its financial instruments for disclosure purposes. The Company determines the fair value of its unsecured senior notes and unsecured exchangeable senior notes using market prices. The inputs used in determining the fair value of the Company's unsecured senior notes and unsecured exchangeable senior notes are categorized at a level 1 basis (as defined in the accounting standards for Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures) due to the fact that the Company uses quoted market rates to value these instruments. However, the inputs used in determining the fair value could be categorized at a level 2 basis (as defined in the accounting standards for Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures) if trading volumes are low. The Company determines the fair value of its mortgage notes payable using discounted cash flow analyses by discounting the spread between the future contractual interest payments and hypothetical future interest payments on mortgage debt based on current market rates for similar securities. In determining the current market rates, the Company adds its estimates of market spreads to the quoted yields on federal government treasury securities with similar maturity dates to its debt. The inputs used in determining the fair value of the Company's mortgage notes payable and mezzanine notes payable are categorized at a level 3 basis (as defined in the accounting standards for Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures) due to the fact that the Company considers the rates used in the valuation techniques to be unobservable inputs.

Because the Company's valuations of its financial instruments are based on these types of estimates, the actual fair values of its financial instruments may differ materially if the Company's estimates do not prove to be accurate. The following table presents the aggregate carrying value of the Company's indebtedness and the Company's corresponding estimate of fair value as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

	March 31, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 4,430,110	\$ 4,577,435	\$ 4,449,734	\$ 4,545,283
Mezzanine notes payable	310,735	310,764	311,040	311,064
Unsecured senior notes	5,836,290	6,145,060	5,835,854	6,050,517
Unsecured exchangeable senior notes	—	—	744,880(1)	750,266
Total	<u>\$10,577,135</u>	<u>\$ 11,033,259</u>	<u>\$ 11,341,508</u>	<u>\$ 11,657,130</u>

(1) Includes the net adjustment for the equity component allocation totaling approximately \$2.4 million at December 31, 2013.

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

On April 10, 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-08, "Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity" ("ASU No. 2014-08"). ASU No. 2014-08 clarifies that discontinued operations presentation applies

## [Table of Contents](#)

only to disposals representing a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results (e.g., a disposal of a major geographical area, a major line of business, a major equity method investment or other major parts of an entity). ASU No. 2014-08 is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is permitted, and the Company early adopted ASU No. 2014-08 during the first quarter of 2014. The Company's adoption of ASU No. 2014-08 did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

### 3. Real Estate Activity During the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

#### *Developments*

On February 10, 2014, the Company completed and fully placed in-service The Avant at Reston Town Center development project comprised of 359 apartment units and retail space aggregating approximately 355,000 square feet located in Reston, Virginia.

#### *Dispositions*

The Company did not have any dispositions during the three months ended March 31, 2014. The following table summarizes the income from discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 related to One Preserve Parkway, 10 & 20 Burlington Mall Road, 1301 New York Avenue, Montvale Center and 303 Almaden Boulevard and the related gain on forgiveness of debt and impairment loss:

	<u>For the three months ended March 31, 2013</u> (in thousands)
Total revenue	\$ 7,035
Expenses	
Operating	2,443
Depreciation and amortization	1,738
Total expenses	4,181
Operating income	2,854
Other expense	
Interest expense	360
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 2,494
Gain on forgiveness of debt from discontinued operations	\$ 20,736
Impairment loss from discontinued operations	\$ (2,852)

## [Table of Contents](#)

### 4. Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

The investments in unconsolidated joint ventures consist of the following at March 31, 2014:

<u>Entity</u>	<u>Properties</u>	<u>Nominal % Ownership</u>	<u>Carrying Value of Investment (in thousands)</u>
Square 407 Limited Partnership	Market Square North	50.0%	\$ (9,758)
The Metropolitan Square Associates LLC	Metropolitan Square	51.0%	6,236
BP/CRF 901 New York Avenue LLC	901 New York Avenue	25.0%(1)	(2,509)
WP Project Developer LLC	Wisconsin Place Land and Infrastructure	33.3%(2)	46,944
Boston Properties Office Value-Added Fund, L.P.	N/A	39.5%(3)	(30)
Annapolis Junction NFM, LLC	Annapolis Junction	50.0%(4)	17,528
2 GCT Venture LLC	N/A	60.0%(5)	(91)
540 Madison Venture LLC	540 Madison Avenue	60.0%	69,002
125 West 55 <sup>th</sup> Street Venture LLC	N/A	60.0%(6)	944
500 North Capitol LLC	500 North Capitol Street, NW	30.0%	(910)
			<u>\$ 127,356</u>

- (1) The Company's economic ownership can increase based on the achievement of certain return thresholds.
- (2) The Company's wholly-owned entity that owns the office component of the project also owns a 33.3% interest in the entity owning the land, parking garage and infrastructure of the project.
- (3) The Company acquired Mountain View Research Park and Mountain View Technology Park from the Value-Added Fund on April 10, 2013.
- (4) Comprised of two buildings, one building under construction and two undeveloped land parcels (See also Note 12).
- (5) Two Grand Central Tower was sold on October 25, 2011.
- (6) 125 West 55th Street was sold on May 30, 2013.

Certain of the Company's unconsolidated joint venture agreements include provisions whereby, at certain specified times, each partner has the right to initiate a purchase or sale of its interest in the joint ventures at an agreed upon fair value. Under these provisions, the Company is not compelled to purchase the interest of its outside joint venture partners.

The combined summarized balance sheets of the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures are as follows:

	<u>March 31, 2014</u>	<u>December 31, 2013</u>
	<u>(in thousands)</u>	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Real estate and development in process, net	\$ 921,524	\$ 924,297
Other assets	158,375	163,149
Total assets	<u>\$1,079,899</u>	<u>\$1,087,446</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS'/PARTNERS' EQUITY</b>		
Mortgage and notes payable	\$ 748,700	\$ 749,732
Other liabilities	19,593	28,830
Members'/Partners' equity	311,606	308,884
Total liabilities and members'/partners' equity	<u>\$1,079,899</u>	<u>\$1,087,446</u>
Company's share of equity	\$ 155,807	\$ 154,726
Basis differentials (1)	(28,451)	(28,642)
Carrying value of the Company's investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	<u>\$ 127,356</u>	<u>\$ 126,084</u>

## [Table of Contents](#)

- (1) This amount represents the aggregate difference between the Company's historical cost basis and the basis reflected at the joint venture level, which is typically amortized over the life of the related assets and liabilities. Basis differentials occur from impairment of investments and upon the transfer of assets that were previously owned by the Company into a joint venture. In addition, certain acquisition, transaction and other costs may not be reflected in the net assets at the joint venture level.

The combined summarized statements of operations of the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures are as follows:

	For the three months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Total revenue (1)	\$38,034	\$135,650
Expenses		
Operating	15,464	42,366
Depreciation and amortization	9,092	39,277
Total expenses	24,556	81,643
Operating income	13,478	54,007
Other expense		
Interest expense	8,012	56,234
Net income (loss)	\$ 5,466	\$ (2,227)
Company's share of net income (loss)	\$ 2,625	\$ (1,858)
Basis differential	191	444
Elimination of inter-entity interest on partner loan	—	10,135
Income from unconsolidated joint ventures	\$ 2,816	\$ 8,721

- (1) Includes straight-line rent adjustments of \$0.6 million and \$4.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Includes net below-market rent adjustments of \$0.1 million and \$20.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

### 5. Unsecured Exchangeable Senior Notes

On February 18, 2014, the Company repaid at maturity the \$747.5 million aggregate principal amount of its 3.625% exchangeable senior notes due 2014 plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon.

### 6. Commitments and Contingencies

#### General

In the normal course of business, the Company guarantees its performance of services or indemnifies third parties against its negligence. In addition, in the normal course of business, the Company guarantees to certain tenants the obligations of its subsidiaries for the payment of tenant improvement allowances and brokerage commissions in connection with their leases and limited costs arising from delays in delivery of their premises.

The Company has letter of credit and performance obligations of approximately \$13.2 million related to lender and development requirements.

Certain of the Company's joint venture agreements include provisions whereby, at certain specified times, each partner has the right to initiate a purchase or sale of its interest in the joint ventures. With limited exception, under these provisions, the Company is not compelled to purchase the interest of its outside joint venture partners (See also Note 7).

## [Table of Contents](#)

In connection with the assumption of 767 Fifth Avenue's (the General Motors Building) secured loan by the Company's consolidated joint venture, 767 Venture, LLC, the Company guaranteed the consolidated joint venture's obligation to fund various escrows, including tenant improvements, taxes and insurance in lieu of cash deposits. As of March 31, 2014, the maximum funding obligation under the guarantee was approximately \$11.7 million. The Company earns a fee from the joint venture for providing the guarantee and has an agreement with the outside partners to reimburse the joint venture for their share of any payments made under the guarantee.

In connection with the mortgage financing collateralized by the Company's John Hancock Tower property located in Boston, Massachusetts, the Company has agreed to guarantee approximately \$21.4 million related to its obligations to provide funds for certain tenant re-leasing costs. The mortgage financing matures on January 6, 2017.

From time to time, the Company (or the applicable joint venture) has also agreed to guarantee portions of the principal, interest or other amounts in connection with other unconsolidated joint venture borrowings. In addition to the financial guarantees referenced above, the Company has agreed to customary environmental indemnifications and nonrecourse carve-outs (e.g., guarantees against fraud, misrepresentation and bankruptcy) on certain of its unconsolidated joint venture loans.

In 2009, the Company filed a general unsecured creditor's claim against Lehman Brothers, Inc. for \$45.3 million related to its rejection of a lease at 399 Park Avenue in New York City. On January 10, 2014, the trustee for the liquidation of Lehman Brothers allowed the Company's claim in the amount of \$45.2 million. Similar claims have recently been quoted privately within a range of \$0.42 to \$0.45 per \$1.00 of claim. The Company is currently evaluating whether to attempt to sell the claim or wait until the trustee distributes proceeds from the Lehman estate. There can be no assurance as to the timing or amount of potential income, if any, that may ultimately be realized on the claim, whether by sale to a third party or by distribution from the trustee, and accordingly, the Company is disclosing this as a gain contingency.

### **Insurance**

The Company carries insurance coverage on its properties of types and in amounts and with deductibles that it believes are in line with coverage customarily obtained by owners of similar properties. In response to the uncertainty in the insurance market following the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, the Federal Terrorism Risk Insurance Act (as amended, "TRIA") was enacted in November 2002 to require regulated insurers to make available coverage for "certified" acts of terrorism (as defined by the statute). The expiration date of TRIA was extended to December 31, 2014 by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 ("TRIPRA") and the Company can provide no assurance that it will be extended further. Currently, the Company's property insurance program per occurrence limits are \$1.0 billion for its portfolio insurance program, including coverage for acts of terrorism other than nuclear, biological, chemical or radiological terrorism ("Terrorism Coverage"). The Company also carries \$250 million of Terrorism Coverage for 601 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York ("601 Lexington Avenue") in excess of the \$1.0 billion of coverage in the Company's property insurance program. Certain properties, including the General Motors Building located at 767 Fifth Avenue in New York, New York ("767 Fifth Avenue"), are currently insured in separate insurance programs. The property insurance program per occurrence limits for 767 Fifth Avenue are \$1.625 billion, including Terrorism Coverage, with \$1.375 billion of Terrorism Coverage in excess of \$250 million being provided by NYXP, LLC ("NYXP"), as a direct insurer. The Company also currently carries nuclear, biological, chemical and radiological terrorism insurance coverage for acts of terrorism certified under TRIA ("NBCR Coverage"), which is provided by IXP as a direct insurer, for the properties in our portfolio, including 767 Fifth Avenue, but excluding certain other properties owned in joint ventures with third parties or which the Company manages. The per occurrence limit for NBCR Coverage is \$1.0 billion. Under TRIA, after the payment of the required deductible and coinsurance, the NBCR Coverage provided by IXP and the Terrorism Coverage provided by NYXP are backstopped by the Federal Government if the aggregate industry insured losses resulting from a certified act of terrorism exceed a "program trigger." The program trigger is \$100.0 million and the coinsurance is 15%. Under TRIPRA, if the Federal Government pays out for a loss under TRIA, it is mandatory that the

## Table of Contents

Federal Government recoup the full amount of the loss from insurers offering TRIA coverage after the payment of the loss pursuant to a formula in TRIPRA. The Company may elect to terminate the NBCR Coverage if the Federal Government seeks recoupment for losses paid under TRIA, if there is a change in its portfolio or for any other reason. In the event TRIPRA is not extended beyond December 31, 2014, (i) the Company's \$1.0 billion portfolio property insurance program and the \$250 million of additional Terrorism Coverage for 601 Lexington Avenue will continue to provide Terrorism Coverage through the expiration of the program on March 1, 2015, (ii) the Company will evaluate alternative approaches to secure coverage for acts of terrorism thereby potentially increasing our overall cost of insurance, (iii) if such insurance is not available at commercially reasonable rates with limits equal to our current coverage or at all, the Company may not continue to have full occurrence limit coverage for acts of terrorism, (iv) the Company may not satisfy the insurance requirements under existing or future debt financings secured by individual properties, (v) the Company may not be able to obtain future debt financings secured by individual properties and (vi) the Company may cancel the insurance policies issued by IXP for the NBCR Coverage and by NYXP for the Terrorism Coverage for 767 Fifth Avenue. The Company intends to continue to monitor the scope, nature and cost of available terrorism insurance and maintain terrorism insurance in amounts and on terms that are commercially reasonable.

The Company also currently carries earthquake insurance on its properties located in areas known to be subject to earthquakes in an amount and subject to self-insurance that the Company believes are commercially reasonable. In addition, this insurance is subject to a deductible in the amount of 5% of the value of the affected property. Specifically, the Company currently carries earthquake insurance which covers its San Francisco region (excluding 535 Mission Street and the below grade improvements for Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower)) with a \$120 million per occurrence limit and a \$120 million annual aggregate limit, \$20 million of which is provided by IXP, as a direct insurer. The builders risk policy maintained for the development of 535 Mission Street in San Francisco includes a \$15 million per occurrence and annual aggregate limit of earthquake coverage. In addition, the builders risk policy maintained for the development of the below grade improvements of the Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower) in San Francisco includes a \$15 million per occurrence and annual aggregate limit of earthquake coverage. The amount of the Company's earthquake insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover losses from earthquakes. In addition, the amount of earthquake coverage could impact the Company's ability to finance properties subject to earthquake risk. The Company may discontinue earthquake insurance or change the structure of its earthquake insurance program on some or all of its properties in the future if the premiums exceed the Company's estimation of the value of the coverage.

IXP, a captive insurance company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, acts as a direct insurer with respect to a portion of the Company's earthquake insurance coverage for its Greater San Francisco properties and the Company's NBCR Coverage. NYXP, a captive insurance company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, acts as a direct insurer with respect to a portion of the Company's Terrorism Coverage for 767 Fifth Avenue. Currently, NYXP only insures losses which exceed the program trigger under TRIA and NYXP reinsures with a third-party insurance company any coinsurance payable under TRIA. Insofar as the Company owns IXP and NYXP, it is responsible for their liquidity and capital resources, and the accounts of IXP and NYXP are part of the Company's consolidated financial statements. In particular, if a loss occurs which is covered by the Company's NBCR Coverage but is less than the applicable program trigger under TRIA, IXP would be responsible for the full amount of the loss without any backstop by the Federal Government. IXP and NYXP would also be responsible for any recoupment charges by the Federal Government in the event losses are paid out and their insurance policies are maintained after the payout by the Federal Government. If the Company experiences a loss and IXP or NYXP are required to pay under their insurance policies, the Company would ultimately record the loss to the extent of the required payment. Therefore, insurance coverage provided by IXP and NYXP should not be considered as the equivalent of third-party insurance, but rather as a modified form of self-insurance. In addition, the Company has issued a guarantee to cover liabilities of IXP in the amount of \$20.0 million.

The mortgages on the Company's properties typically contain requirements concerning the financial ratings of the insurers who provide policies covering the property. The Company provides the lenders on a regular basis with the identity of the insurance companies in the Company's insurance programs. The ratings of some of the



## [Table of Contents](#)

Company's insurers are below the rating requirements in some of the Company's loan agreements and the lenders for these loans could attempt to claim that an event of default has occurred under the loan. The Company believes it could obtain insurance with insurers which satisfy the rating requirements. Additionally, in the future, the Company's ability to obtain debt financing secured by individual properties, or the terms of such financing, may be adversely affected if lenders generally insist on ratings for insurers or amounts of insurance which are difficult to obtain or which result in a commercially unreasonable premium. There can be no assurance that a deficiency in the financial ratings of one or more of the Company's insurers will not have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Company continues to monitor the state of the insurance market in general, and the scope and costs of coverage for acts of terrorism and California earthquake risk in particular, but the Company cannot anticipate what coverage will be available on commercially reasonable terms in future policy years. There are other types of losses, such as from wars, for which the Company cannot obtain insurance at all or at a reasonable cost. With respect to such losses and losses from acts of terrorism, earthquakes or other catastrophic events, if the Company experiences a loss that is uninsured or that exceeds policy limits, the Company could lose the capital invested in the damaged properties, as well as the anticipated future revenues from those properties. Depending on the specific circumstances of each affected property, it is possible that the Company could be liable for mortgage indebtedness or other obligations related to the property. Any such loss could materially and adversely affect the Company's business and financial condition and results of operations.

### **7. Noncontrolling Interests**

Noncontrolling interests relate to the interests in the Company not owned by Boston Properties, Inc. and interests in consolidated property partnerships not wholly-owned by the Company. As of March 31, 2014, the noncontrolling interests in the Company consisted of 15,583,853 OP Units, 1,554,353 LTIP Units, 394,590 2012 OPP Units, 314,974 2013 MYLTIP Units, 483,555 2014 MYLTIP Units, 666,116 Series Two Preferred Units (or 874,168 OP Units on an as converted basis) and 360,126 Series Four Preferred Units (none of which are convertible into OP Units) held by parties other than Boston Properties, Inc.

#### ***Noncontrolling Interest—Redeemable Interest in Property Partnership***

On October 4, 2012, the Company completed the formation of a joint venture that owns and operates Fountain Square located in Reston, Virginia. The joint venture partner contributed the property valued at approximately \$385.0 million and related mortgage indebtedness totaling approximately \$211.3 million for a nominal 50% interest in the joint venture. The Company contributed cash totaling approximately \$87.0 million for its nominal 50% interest, which cash was distributed to the joint venture partner. Pursuant to the joint venture agreement (i) the Company has rights to acquire the partner's nominal 50% interest and (ii) the partner has the right to cause the Company to acquire the partner's interest on January 4, 2016, in each case at a fixed price totaling approximately \$102.0 million in cash. The fixed price option rights expire on January 31, 2016. The Company is consolidating this joint venture due to the Company's right to acquire the partner's nominal 50% interest. The Company initially recorded the noncontrolling interest at its acquisition-date fair value as temporary equity, due to the redemption option existing outside the control of the Company. The Company will accrete the changes in the redemption value quarterly over the period from the acquisition date to the earliest redemption date using the effective interest method. The Company will record the accretion after the allocation of net income and distributions of cash flow to the noncontrolling interest account balance.

## [Table of Contents](#)

The following table reflects the activity of the noncontrolling interest—redeemable interest in property partnership in the Company’s Fountain Square consolidated joint venture for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

Balance at January 1, 2014	\$ 99,609
Net loss	(106)
Distributions	(1,050)
Adjustment to reflect redeemable interest at redemption value	1,874
Balance at March 31, 2014	<u>\$100,327</u>
Balance at January 1, 2013	\$ 97,558
Net loss	(525)
Distributions	(1,350)
Adjustment to reflect redeemable interest at redemption value	2,533
Balance at March 31, 2013	<u>\$ 98,216</u>

### ***Noncontrolling Interest—Redeemable Preferred Units of the Company***

The Preferred Units at March 31, 2014 included 666,116 Series Two Preferred Units, which bear a preferred distribution equal to the greater of (1) the distribution which would have been paid in respect of the Series Two Preferred Unit had such Series Two Preferred Unit been converted into an OP Unit (including both regular and special distributions) or (2) 6.00% per annum on a liquidation preference of \$50.00 per unit, and are convertible into OP Units at a rate of \$38.10 per Preferred Unit (1.312336 OP Units for each Preferred Unit). On March 11, 2014, the Company’s general partner notified the holders of the outstanding Series Two Preferred Units that it had elected to redeem all of such Series Two Preferred Units on May 12, 2014. As a result of the Company’s general partner’s election to redeem the units, all of the holders of the Series Two Preferred Units have elected to convert such units into 1.312336 OP Units, or an aggregate of 874,168 OP Units on or before May 12, 2014. Due to the holders’ redemption option existing outside the control of the Company’s general partner, the Series Two Preferred Units are presented outside of permanent equity in the Company’s Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On February 18, 2014, the Company paid a distribution on its outstanding Series Two Preferred Units of \$0.85302 per unit.

Due to the redemption option and the conversion option existing outside the control of the Company, the Series Two Preferred Units are not included in Partners’ Capital and are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at an amount equivalent to the value of such units had such units been redeemed at March 31, 2014. The value of the Series Two Preferred Units, assuming all of such units had been converted and then redeemed at March 31, 2014, was approximately \$100.1 million based on the closing price of Boston Properties, Inc.’s common stock of \$114.53 per share on that date.

The Preferred Units at March 31, 2014 also included 360,126 Series Four Preferred Units, which bear a preferred distribution equal to 2.00% per annum on a liquidation preference of \$50.00 per unit and are not convertible into OP Units. In order to secure the performance of certain obligations by the holders, such Series Four Preferred Units are subject to forfeiture pursuant to the terms of a pledge agreement. The holders of Series Four Preferred Units have the right, at certain times and subject to certain conditions set forth in the Certificate of Designations establishing the rights, limitations and preferences of the Series Four Preferred Units, to require the Company to redeem all their units for cash at the redemption price of \$50.00 per unit. The Company also has the right, at certain times and subject to certain conditions, to redeem all of the Series Four Preferred Units for cash at the redemption price of \$50.00 per unit. The Series Four Preferred Units that are subject to the security interest under the pledge agreement may not be redeemed until and unless such security interest is released. The

## [Table of Contents](#)

Company's first right to redeem the Series Four Preferred Units was a 30-day period beginning on August 29, 2013. Due to the holders' redemption option existing outside the control of the Company, the Series Four Preferred Units are not included in Partners' Capital in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On February 18, 2014, the Company paid a distribution on its outstanding Series Four Preferred Units of \$0.25 per unit.

The following table reflects the activity of the noncontrolling interests—redeemable preferred units of the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

Balance at January 1, 2014	\$105,746
Net income	619
Distributions	(619)
Reallocation of partnership interest	12,378
Balance at March 31, 2014	<u>\$118,124</u>
Balance at January 1, 2013	\$199,378
Net income	1,180
Distributions	(1,180)
Reallocation of partnership interest	(6,208)
Balance at March 31, 2013	<u>\$193,170</u>

### ***Noncontrolling Interest—Common Units of the Company***

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, 18,528 OP Units were presented by the holders for redemption (including 17,191 OP Units issued upon conversion of LTIP Units) and were redeemed by Boston Properties, Inc. in exchange for an equal number of shares of Common Stock.

At March 31, 2014, the Company had outstanding 394,590 2012 OPP Units, 314,974 2013 MYLTIP Units and 483,555 2014 MYLTIP Units. Prior to the measurement date (February 6, 2015 for 2012 OPP Units, February 4, 2016 for 2013 MYLTIP Units and February 3, 2017 for 2014 MYLTIP Units), holders of OPP Units and MYLTIP Units will be entitled to receive per unit distributions equal to one-tenth (10%) of the regular quarterly distributions payable on an OP Unit, but will not be entitled to receive any special distributions. After the measurement date, the number of OPP Units and MYLTIP Units, both vested and unvested, that OPP and MYLTIP award recipients have earned, if any, based on the establishment of a performance pool, will be entitled to receive distributions in an amount per unit equal to distributions, both regular and special, payable on an OP Unit.

On January 31, 2014, the measurement period for the Company's 2011 OPP Unit awards expired and Boston Properties, Inc.'s TRS was not sufficient for employees to earn and therefore become eligible to vest in any of the 2011 OPP Unit awards. Accordingly, all 2011 OPP Unit awards were automatically forfeited.

On January 29, 2014, the Company paid a special cash distribution on the OP Units and LTIP Units in the amount of \$2.25 per unit, a regular cash distribution on the OP Units and LTIP Units in the amount of \$0.65 per unit, and a distribution on the 2011 OPP Units, 2012 OPP Units and 2013 MYLTIP Units in the amount of \$0.065 per unit, to holders of record as of the close of business on December 31, 2013. The special cash distribution was in addition to the regular quarterly distribution on the OP Units and LTIP Units. Holders of the 2011 OPP Units, 2012 OPP Units, 2013 MYLTIP Units and 2014 MYLTIP Units are not entitled to receive any special distributions. Holders of Series Two Preferred Units will participate in the special cash distribution in connection with their conversion to OP Units in May 2014 as provided in the Company's partnership agreement. On March 18, 2014, Boston Properties, Inc., as general partner of the Company, declared a distribution on the OP Units and LTIP Units in the amount of \$0.65 per unit and a distribution on the 2012 OPP Units, 2013 MYLTIP Units and 2014 MYLTIP Units in the amount of \$0.065 per unit, in each case payable on April 30, 2014 to holders of record as of the close of business on March 31, 2014.

## [Table of Contents](#)

The following table reflects the activity of the noncontrolling interests—redeemable common units for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

Balance at January 1, 2014	\$1,710,218
Contributions	23,379
Net income	6,160
Distributions	(11,218)
Conversion of redeemable partnership units	(627)
Unearned compensation	(14,619)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	62
Adjustment to reflect redeemable partnership units at redemption value	249,484
Balance at March 31, 2014	<u>\$1,962,839</u>
Balance at January 1, 2013	\$1,836,522
Contributions	22,403
Net income	6,177
Distributions	(11,446)
Conversion of redeemable partnership units	(272)
Unearned compensation	(5,859)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	64
Adjustment to reflect redeemable partnership units at redemption value	(78,896)
Balance at March 31, 2013	<u>\$1,768,693</u>

Pursuant to the Company's partnership agreement, certain limited partners in the Company have the right to redeem all or any portion of their interest for cash from the Company. However, Boston Properties, Inc. may elect to acquire the limited partner's interest by issuing its Common Stock in exchange for the interest. The amount of cash to be paid to the limited partner if the redemption right is exercised and Boston Properties, Inc. does not elect to issue its Common Stock is based on the trading price of Boston Properties, Inc.'s common stock at that time. Due to the redemption option existing outside the control of the Company, such limited partners' units are not included in Partners' Capital. The value of the OP Units not owned by Boston Properties, Inc. (including LTIP Units assuming that all conditions had been met for the conversion thereof), assuming all of such units had been redeemed at March 31, 2014 was approximately \$2.0 billion based on the closing price of Boston Properties, Inc.'s common stock of \$114.53 per share.

### ***Noncontrolling Interests—Property Partnerships***

The noncontrolling interests in property partnerships consist of the outside equity interests in ventures that are consolidated with the financial results of the Company because the Company exercises control over the entities that own the properties. The equity interests in these ventures that are not owned by the Company, totaling approximately \$725.1 million at March 31, 2014 and approximately \$726.1 million at December 31, 2013, are included in Noncontrolling Interests—Property Partnerships on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On February 7, 2013, the partner in the Company's Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower) joint venture issued a notice that it was electing under the joint venture agreement to reduce its nominal ownership interest in the venture from 50% to 5%. On February 26, 2013, the Company issued a notice to the partner electing to proceed with the venture on that basis. As a result, the Company has a 95% nominal interest in and is consolidating the joint venture. Under the joint venture agreement, if certain return thresholds are achieved the partner will be entitled to an additional promoted interest. In addition, if the Company elects to fund the construction of Salesforce Tower without a construction loan (or a construction loan of less than 50% of project costs), then the partner has the option to require the Company to fund up to 2.5% of the total project costs (i.e., of

## [Table of Contents](#)

50% of the partner's 5% interest in the venture) in the form of a loan to the partner. This loan would bear interest at the then prevailing market construction loan interest rates. Also, under the agreement, (1) the partner has the right to cause the Company to purchase the partner's interest after the defined stabilization date and (2) the Company has the right to acquire the partner's interest on the third anniversary of the stabilization date, in each case at an agreed upon purchase price or appraised value.

On May 31, 2013, the Company's two joint venture partners in 767 Venture, LLC (the entity that owns 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building) in New York City) transferred all of their interests in the joint venture to third parties. In connection with the transfer, the Company and its new joint venture partners modified the Company's relative decision making authority and consent rights with respect to the joint venture's assets and operations. These changes resulted in the Company having sufficient financial and operating control over 767 Venture, LLC such that the Company now accounts for the assets, liabilities and operations of 767 Venture, LLC on a consolidated basis in its financial statements instead of under the equity method of accounting. Upon consolidation, the Company recognized the new joint venture partners' aggregate 40% equity interest at its aggregate fair value of approximately \$480.9 million within Noncontrolling Interests—Property Partnerships on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On October 9, 2013, the Company completed the sale of a 45% ownership interest in its Times Square Tower property for a gross sale price of \$684.0 million in cash. Net cash proceeds totaled approximately \$673.1 million, after the payment of transaction costs. In connection with the sale, the Company formed a joint venture with the buyer and will provide customary property management and leasing services to the joint venture. Times Square Tower is an approximately 1,246,000 net rentable square foot Class A office tower located in New York City. The transaction did not qualify as a sale of real estate for financial reporting purposes because the Company continues to control the joint venture and will therefore continue to account for the entity on a consolidated basis in its financial statements. The Company has accounted for the transaction as an equity transaction and has recognized noncontrolling interest in its consolidated balance sheets totaling approximately \$243.5 million, which is equal to 45% of the carrying value of the total equity of the property immediately prior to the transaction.

The following table reflects the activity of the noncontrolling interests in property partnerships for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

Balance at January 1, 2014	\$726,132
Capital contributions	468
Net income	2,586
Distributions	(4,093)
Balance at March 31, 2014	<u>\$725,093</u>
Balance at January 1, 2013	\$ (1,964)
Capital contributions	10,421
Net income	566
Distributions	(750)
Balance at March 31, 2013	<u>\$ 8,273</u>

## **8. Partners' Capital**

As of March 31, 2014, Boston Properties, Inc. owned 1,701,555 general partnership units and 151,315,756 limited partnership units.

## [Table of Contents](#)

As of March 31, 2014, approximately \$305.3 million remained available for issuance under Boston Properties, Inc.'s \$600 million "at the market" stock offering program. No shares were issued under the "at the market" stock offering program during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, Boston Properties, Inc. acquired 18,528 OP Units in connection with the redemption of an equal number of redeemable OP Units from third parties.

On January 29, 2014, the Company paid a special cash distribution and regular quarterly distribution aggregating \$2.90 per OP Unit to unitholders of record as of the close of business on December 31, 2013. On March 18, 2014, Boston Properties, Inc.'s Board of Directors declared a distribution of \$0.65 per OP Unit payable on April 30, 2014 to unitholders of record as of the close of business on March 31, 2014.

As of March 31, 2014, Boston Properties, Inc. had 80,000 shares (8,000,000 depository shares each representing 1/100th of a share) outstanding of its 5.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, with a liquidation preference of \$2,500.00 per share (\$25.00 per depository share). Boston Properties, Inc. contributed the net proceeds of the offering to the Company in exchange for 80,000 Series B Preferred Units having terms and preferences generally mirroring those of the Series B Preferred Stock. Boston Properties, Inc. will pay cumulative cash dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock at a rate of 5.25% per annum of the \$2,500.00 liquidation preference per share. Boston Properties, Inc. may not redeem the Series B Preferred Stock prior to March 27, 2018, except in certain circumstances relating to the preservation of Boston Properties, Inc.'s REIT status. On or after March 27, 2018, Boston Properties, Inc., at its option, may redeem the Series B Preferred Stock for a cash redemption price of \$2,500.00 per share (\$25.00 per depository share), plus all accrued and unpaid dividends. The Series B Preferred Stock is not redeemable by the holders, has no maturity date and is not convertible into any other security of Boston Properties, Inc., the Company or its affiliates.

On February 18, 2014, the Company paid a distribution on its outstanding Series B Preferred Units of \$32.8125 per unit. On March 18, 2014, Boston Properties, Inc.'s Board of Directors declared a distribution of \$32.8125 per Series B Preferred Unit payable on May 15, 2014 to shareholders of record as of the close of business on May 5, 2014.

### **9. Earnings Per Common Unit**

The following table provides a reconciliation of both the net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership and the number of common units used in the computation of basic earnings per common unit, which is calculated by dividing net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership by the weighted-average number of common units outstanding during the period. The terms of the Series Two Preferred Units enable the holders to obtain OP Units of the Company and as a result these are considered participating securities. Unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are also participating securities. As such, unvested restricted common stock of Boston Properties, Inc. and the Company's LTIP Units, OPP Units and MYLTIP Units are considered participating securities. Participating securities are included in the computation of basic earnings per common unit using the two-class method. Participating securities are included in the computation of diluted earnings per common unit using the if-converted method if the impact is dilutive. Because the OPP Units and MYLTIP Units require Boston Properties, Inc. to outperform absolute and relative return thresholds, unless such thresholds have been met by the end of the applicable reporting period, the Company excludes such units from the diluted earnings per common unit calculation. Other potentially dilutive common units and the related impact on earnings are considered when calculating diluted earnings per common unit. Included in the number of units (the denominator) below are approximately 16,811,000 and 17,104,000 redeemable common units for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

## [Table of Contents](#)

	For the three months ended March 31, 2014		
	Income	Units	Per Unit
	(Numerator)	(Denominator)	Amount
	(in thousands, except for per unit amounts)		
<b>Basic Earnings:</b>			
Net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership	\$ 62,219	169,841	\$ 0.37
<b>Effect of Dilutive Securities:</b>			
Stock Based Compensation	—	139	—
<b>Diluted Earnings:</b>			
Net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership	<u>\$ 62,219</u>	<u>169,980</u>	<u>\$ 0.37</u>
	For the three months ended March 31, 2013		
	Income	Units	Per Unit
	(Numerator)	(Denominator)	Amount
	(in thousands, except for per unit amounts)		
<b>Basic Earnings:</b>			
Income from continuing operations attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership	\$ 40,545	168,750	\$ 0.24
Discontinued operations attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership	20,378	—	0.12
Net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership	<u>\$ 60,923</u>	<u>168,750</u>	<u>\$ 0.36</u>
<b>Effect of Dilutive Securities:</b>			
Stock Based Compensation and Exchangeable Senior Notes	—	306	—
<b>Diluted Earnings:</b>			
Net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership	<u>\$ 60,923</u>	<u>169,056</u>	<u>\$ 0.36</u>

### 10. Stock Option and Incentive Plan

On January 27, 2014, Boston Properties, Inc.'s Compensation Committee approved the 2014 MYLTIP awards under Boston Properties, Inc.'s 2012 Plan to certain officers and employees of Boston Properties, Inc. The 2014 MYLTIP awards utilize TRS over a three-year measurement period, on an annualized, compounded basis, as the performance metric. Earned awards, if any, will be based on Boston Properties, Inc.'s TRS relative to (i) the Cohen & Steers Realty Majors Portfolio Index (50% weight) and (ii) the NAREIT Office Index adjusted to exclude Boston Properties, Inc. (50% weight). Earned awards will range from \$0 to a maximum of approximately \$40.2 million depending on the Boston Properties, Inc.'s TRS relative to the two indices, with four tiers (threshold: approximately \$6.7 million; target: approximately \$13.4 million; high: approximately \$26.8 million; and exceptional: approximately \$40.2 million) and linear interpolation between tiers. Earned awards measured on the basis of relative TRS performance are subject to an absolute TRS component in the form of relatively simple modifiers that (A) reduce the level of earned awards in the event Boston Properties, Inc.'s annualized TRS is less than 0% and (B) cause some awards to be earned in the event Boston Properties, Inc.'s annualized TRS is more than 12% even though on a relative basis alone Boston Properties, Inc.'s TRS would not result in any earned awards.

Earned awards (if any) will vest 50% on February 3, 2017 and 50% on February 3, 2018, based on continued employment. Vesting will be accelerated in the event of a change in control, termination of employment by Boston Properties, Inc. without cause, termination of employment by the award recipient for good reason, death, disability or retirement. If there is a change of control prior to February 3, 2017, earned awards will be calculated as of the date of the change of control based upon performance through such date as

## [Table of Contents](#)

measured against performance hurdles (without proration). The 2014 MYLTIP awards are in the form of LTIP Units issued on the grant date which (i) are subject to forfeiture to the extent awards are not earned and (ii) prior to the performance measurement date are only entitled to one-tenth (10%) of the regular quarterly distributions payable on common partnership units.

Under the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 718 "Compensation-Stock Compensation" the 2014 MYLTIP awards have an aggregate value of approximately \$12.7 million, which amount will generally be amortized into earnings over the four-year plan period under the graded vesting method.

On January 31, 2014, the measurement period for Boston Properties Inc.'s 2011 OPP Unit awards expired and Boston Properties Inc.'s TRS was not sufficient for employees to earn and therefore become eligible to vest in any of the 2011 OPP Unit awards. Accordingly, all 2011 OPP Unit awards were automatically forfeited.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, Boston Properties, Inc. issued 21,455 shares of restricted common stock, no non-qualified stock options and the Company issued 121,567 LTIP Units and 485,459 2014 MYLTIP Units to employees under the 2012 Plan. Employees paid \$0.01 per share of restricted common stock and \$0.25 per LTIP Unit and 2014 MYLTIP Unit. An LTIP Unit is generally the economic equivalent of a share of restricted stock in Boston Properties, Inc. The aggregate value of the LTIP Units is included in noncontrolling interests in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Grants of restricted stock and LTIP Units to employees vest in four equal annual installments. Restricted stock is measured at fair value on the date of grant based on the number of shares granted, as adjusted for forfeitures, and the closing price of Boston Properties, Inc.'s Common Stock on the date of grant as quoted on the New York Stock Exchange. Such value is recognized as an expense ratably over the corresponding employee service period. The shares of restricted stock granted during the three months ended March 31, 2014 were valued at approximately \$2.3 million (\$108.09 per share). The LTIP Units granted were valued at approximately \$12.2 million (\$100.16 per unit weighted-average fair value) using a Monte Carlo simulation method model. The per unit fair value of each LTIP Unit granted was estimated on the date of grant using the following assumptions: an expected life of 5.7 years, a risk-free interest rate of 1.84% and an expected price volatility of 27%. As the 2011 OPP Units, 2012 OPP Units, 2013 MYLTIP Units and 2014 MYLTIP Units are subject to both a service condition and a market condition, the Company recognizes the compensation expense related to the 2011 OPP Units, 2012 OPP Units, 2013 MYLTIP Units and 2014 MYLTIP Units under the graded vesting attribution method. Under the graded vesting attribution method, each portion of the award that vests at a different date is accounted for as a separate award and recognized over the period appropriate to that portion so that the compensation cost for each portion should be recognized in full by the time that portion vests. Dividends paid on both vested and unvested shares of restricted stock are charged directly to Partners' Capital in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Aggregate stock-based compensation expense associated with restricted stock, non-qualified stock options, LTIP Units, 2011 OPP Units, 2012 OPP Units, 2013 MYLTIP Units and 2014 MYLTIP Units was approximately \$9.9 million and \$25.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. On January 31, 2014, the measurement period for the Company's 2011 OPP Awards expired and the Company's TRS was not sufficient for employees to earn and therefore become eligible to vest in any of the 2011 OPP Awards. As a result, the Company accelerated the then remaining unrecognized compensation expense totaling approximately \$1.2 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, stock-based compensation expense includes approximately \$16.9 million consisting of the acceleration of the expense of Boston Properties, Inc.'s Executive Chairman's stock-based compensation awards and the stock-based compensation awards associated with his transition benefits agreement related to Boston Properties, Inc.'s succession planning. At March 31, 2014, there was \$24.9 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested restricted stock and LTIP Units and \$20.6 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested 2012 OPP Units, 2013 MYLTIP Units and 2014 MYLTIP Units that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 3.3 years.

### **11. Segment Information**

The Company's segments are based on the Company's method of internal reporting which classifies its operations by both geographic area and property type. Beginning on January 1, 2014, the properties that were



## [Table of Contents](#)

historically part of the Princeton region are reflected as the suburban component of the New York region. The operations for the Princeton region, including the reclassification between Rental Operating Expenses and General and Administrative Expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as detailed below, have been included in the New York region. The Company's segments by geographic area are Boston, New York, San Francisco and Washington, DC. Segments by property type include: Class A Office, Office/Technical, Residential and Hotel.

Asset information by segment is not reported because the Company does not use this measure to assess performance. Therefore, depreciation and amortization expense is not allocated among segments. Interest and other income, development and management services income, general and administrative expenses, transaction costs, impairment loss, interest expense, depreciation and amortization expense, gains from investments in securities, income from unconsolidated joint ventures, discontinued operations and noncontrolling interests are not included in Net Operating Income as internal reporting addresses these items on a corporate level.

Net Operating Income is not a measure of operating results or cash flows from operating activities as measured by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and it is not indicative of cash available to fund cash needs and should not be considered an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. All companies may not calculate Net Operating Income in the same manner. The Company considers Net Operating Income to be an appropriate supplemental measure to net income because it helps both investors and management to understand the core operations of the Company's properties.

In the third quarter of 2013, the Company modified the presentation of expenses to operate its San Francisco and Princeton regional offices to reflect the growing activity in its San Francisco region and to have a consistent presentation across the Company. For San Francisco these expenses, which totaled approximately \$1.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, and for Princeton these expenses, which totaled approximately \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, were previously included in Rental Operating Expenses and are now included in General and Administrative Expenses.

On May 31, 2013, the Company's two joint venture partners in 767 Venture, LLC (the entity that owns 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building) located in New York City) transferred all of their interests in the joint venture to third parties. Effective as of May 31, 2013, the Company accounts for the assets, liabilities and operations of 767 Venture, LLC on a consolidated basis in its financial statements instead of under the equity method of accounting. Upon consolidation, the operations for this building are included in the New York region.

[Table of Contents](#)

Information by geographic area and property type (dollars in thousands):

For the three months ended March 31, 2014:

	<u>Boston</u>	<u>New York</u>	<u>San Francisco</u>	<u>Washington, DC</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>Rental Revenue:</b>					
Class A Office	\$170,942	\$217,308	\$54,608	\$ 97,048	\$539,906
Office/Technical	5,820	—	6,217	3,660	15,697
Residential	1,163	—	—	4,519	5,682
Hotel	8,193	—	—	—	8,193
Total	<u>186,118</u>	<u>217,308</u>	<u>60,825</u>	<u>105,227</u>	<u>569,478</u>
% of Grand Totals	32.68%	38.16%	10.68%	18.48%	100.0%
<b>Rental Expenses:</b>					
Class A Office	71,398	74,371	19,313	33,439	198,521
Office/Technical	1,689	—	1,214	1,202	4,105
Residential	448	—	—	3,314	3,762
Hotel	6,797	—	—	—	6,797
Total	<u>80,332</u>	<u>74,371</u>	<u>20,527</u>	<u>37,955</u>	<u>213,185</u>
% of Grand Totals	37.68%	34.89%	9.63%	17.80%	100.0%
<b>Net operating income</b>	<u>\$105,786</u>	<u>\$142,937</u>	<u>\$40,298</u>	<u>\$ 67,272</u>	<u>\$356,293</u>
% of Grand Totals	29.69%	40.12%	11.31%	18.88%	100.0%

For the three months ended March 31, 2013:

	<u>Boston</u>	<u>New York</u>	<u>San Francisco</u>	<u>Washington, DC</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>Rental Revenue:</b>					
Class A Office	\$160,824	\$138,523	\$52,671	\$ 93,359	\$445,377
Office/Technical	5,653	—	151	4,043	9,847
Residential	1,067	—	—	4,511	5,578
Hotel	8,291	—	—	—	8,291
Total	<u>175,835</u>	<u>138,523</u>	<u>52,822</u>	<u>101,913</u>	<u>469,093</u>
% of Grand Totals	37.48%	29.53%	11.26%	21.73%	100.0%
<b>Rental Expenses:</b>					
Class A Office	65,287	49,088	18,373	30,755	163,503
Office/Technical	1,787	—	35	1,004	2,826
Residential	443	—	—	2,290	2,733
Hotel	7,044	—	—	—	7,044
Total	<u>74,561</u>	<u>49,088</u>	<u>18,408</u>	<u>34,049</u>	<u>176,106</u>
% of Grand Totals	42.35%	27.87%	10.45%	19.33%	100.0%
<b>Net operating income</b>	<u>\$101,274</u>	<u>\$ 89,435</u>	<u>\$34,414</u>	<u>\$ 67,864</u>	<u>\$292,987</u>
% of Grand Totals	34.57%	30.53%	11.75%	23.15%	100.0%

## [Table of Contents](#)

The following is a reconciliation of Net Operating Income to net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership:

	Three months ended	
	March 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Net Operating Income	\$ 356,293	\$ 292,987
Add:		
Development and management services income	5,216	8,733
Income from unconsolidated joint ventures	2,816	8,721
Interest and other income	1,311	1,471
Gains from investments in securities	286	735
Income from discontinued operations	—	2,494
Gain on forgiveness of debt from discontinued operations	—	20,736
Less:		
General and administrative expense	29,905	45,516
Transaction costs	437	443
Depreciation and amortization expense	152,245	117,409
Interest expense	113,554	100,433
Impairment loss	—	4,401
Impairment loss from discontinued operations	—	2,852
Noncontrolling interest in property partnerships	4,354	2,574
Noncontrolling interest—redeemable preferred units	3,208	1,326
Net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership	<u>\$ 62,219</u>	<u>\$ 60,923</u>

## 12. Subsequent Events

On April 10, 2014, a consolidated joint venture in which the Company has a 95% interest signed a lease with salesforce.com for 714,000 square feet at the new Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower), the 1.4 million square foot, 61-story Class A office development project currently under construction at 415 Mission Street in the South Financial District of San Francisco, California. In conjunction with the lease signing, the Company has committed to construct the building.

On April 10, 2014, the Company entered into a joint venture with an unrelated third party to acquire a parcel of land located at 501 K Street in Washington, DC. The Company anticipates the land parcel will accommodate an approximate 520,000 square foot Class A office property to be developed in the future. The joint venture partner contributed the land for its 50% interest in the joint venture and the Company contributed cash of approximately \$39.0 million for its 50% interest.

On April 30, 2014, the Company's partner in its Annapolis Junction joint venture contributed a parcel of land and improvements and the Company contributed cash of approximately \$5.4 million. The Company has a 50% interest in this joint venture. The joint venture has commenced construction of Annapolis Junction Building Eight, which when completed will consist of a Class A office property with approximately 125,000 net rentable square feet located in Annapolis, Maryland.

## ITEM 2—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

As used herein, the terms “BPLP,” “we,” “us,” and “our” refer collectively to Boston Properties Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership, and its subsidiaries and its respective predecessor entities, considered a single enterprise.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. We caution investors that any forward-looking statements presented in this report, or which management may make orally or in writing from time to time, are based on beliefs and assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management. When used, the words “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “might,” “plan,” “project,” “result,” “should,” “will” and similar expressions which do not relate solely to historical matters are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions and are not guarantees of future performance, which may be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties and factors that are beyond our control. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected by the forward-looking statements. We caution you that while forward-looking statements reflect our good-faith beliefs when we make them, they are not guarantees of future performance and are impacted by actual events when they occur after we make such statements. Accordingly, investors should use caution in relying on forward-looking statements, which are based on results and trends at the time they are made, to anticipate future results or trends.

Some of the risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements include, among others, the following:

- the continuing impacts of high unemployment and other macroeconomic trends, which are having and may continue to have a negative effect on the following, among other things:
  - the fundamentals of our business, including overall market occupancy, tenant space utilization, and rental rates;
  - the financial condition of our tenants, many of which are financial, legal and other professional firms, our lenders, counterparties to our derivative financial instruments and institutions that hold our cash balances and short-term investments, which may expose us to increased risks of default by these parties; and
  - the value of our real estate assets, which may limit our ability to dispose of assets at attractive prices or obtain or maintain debt financing secured by our properties or on an unsecured basis;
- general risks affecting the real estate industry (including, without limitation, the inability to enter into or renew leases, tenant space utilization, dependence on tenants’ financial condition, and competition from other developers, owners and operators of real estate);
- failure to manage effectively our growth and expansion into new markets and sub-markets or to integrate acquisitions and developments successfully;
- the ability of our joint venture partners to satisfy their obligations;
- risks and uncertainties affecting property development and construction (including, without limitation, construction delays, cost overruns, inability to obtain necessary permits, tenant accounting considerations that may result in negotiated lease provisions that limit a tenant’s liability during construction, and public opposition to such activities);
- risks associated with the availability and terms of financing and the use of debt to fund acquisitions and developments, including the impact of higher interest rates on the cost and/or availability of financing;
- risks associated with forward interest rate contracts and the effectiveness of such arrangements;

## [Table of Contents](#)

- risks associated with downturns in the national and local economies, increases in interest rates, and volatility in the securities markets;
- risks associated with actual or threatened terrorist attacks;
- costs of compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and other similar laws;
- potential liability for uninsured losses and environmental contamination;
- risks associated with Boston Properties, Inc.'s potential failure to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended;
- possible adverse changes in tax and environmental laws;
- the impact of newly adopted accounting principles on our accounting policies and on period-to-period comparisons of financial results;
- risks associated with possible state and local tax audits;
- risks associated with our dependence on key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed; and
- the other risk factors identified in our most recently filed Annual Report on Form 10-K, including those described under the caption "Risk Factors."

The risks set forth above are not exhaustive. Other sections of this report may include additional factors that could adversely affect our business and financial performance. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all risk factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. Investors should also refer to our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K and our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for future periods and Current Reports on Form 8-K as we file them with the SEC, and to other materials we may furnish to the public from time to time through Forms 8-K or otherwise, for a discussion of risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements. We expressly disclaim any responsibility to update any forward-looking statements to reflect changes in underlying assumptions or factors, new information, future events, or otherwise, and you should not rely upon these forward-looking statements after the date of this report.

### **Overview**

Boston Properties Limited Partnership is the entity through which Boston Properties, Inc. conducts substantially all of its business and owns (either directly or through subsidiaries) substantially all of its assets. Our properties are concentrated in four markets—Boston, New York, San Francisco and Washington, DC. We generate revenue and cash primarily by leasing Class A office space to our tenants. Factors we consider when we lease space include the creditworthiness of the tenant, the length of the lease, the rental rate to be paid at inception and throughout the lease term, the costs of tenant improvements and other landlord concessions, current and anticipated operating costs and real estate taxes, our current and anticipated vacancy, current and anticipated future demand for office space and general economic factors. From time to time, we also generate cash through the sale of assets.

Our core strategy has always been to own, operate and develop properties in supply-constrained markets with high barriers to entry and to focus on executing long-term leases with financially strong tenants. Historically, this combination has tended to reduce our exposure in down cycles and enhance revenues as market conditions improve. To be successful in the current leasing environment, we believe all aspects of the tenant-landlord relationship must be considered. In this regard, we believe that our understanding of tenants' short- and long-term space utilization and amenity needs in the local markets in which we operate, our relationships with

## [Table of Contents](#)

local brokers, our reputation as a premier owner and operator of Class A office properties, our financial strength and our ability to maintain high building standards provide us with a competitive advantage. We expect tenants in our markets to continue to take advantage of the ability to upgrade to high-quality space in Class A properties like ours, particularly those tenants who value our operational expertise and financial stability when making their leasing decisions.

Leasing activity has continued to improve in our submarkets in which demand is driven primarily by growth in the technology and life sciences industries. This is particularly true in the San Francisco Central Business District (“CBD”), Silicon Valley, Cambridge, Massachusetts and suburban Boston submarkets, and we remain optimistic about the long-term operating fundamentals in all of our markets. Our portfolio is concentrated in markets and submarkets where businesses are oriented on new ideas, such as technology, advertising, media and information distribution (often referred to as “TAMI”), mobility, life sciences and medical devices, and these segments of the economy are expanding and leasing additional office space. However, there continue to be headwinds against more rapid improvements in the overall office business. The strongest force is densification, which occurs as businesses seek less traditional layouts that cater to more collaborative work environments and fit people more efficiently into less space. We are also seeing moderate levels of new construction in our markets accommodating both growing tenant sectors and tenants seeking more efficient space utilization, and the resulting increase in supply presents challenges for increasing our occupancy and the rents we can realize.

Leasing activity in our portfolio during the first quarter of 2014 was strong and exceeded our five-year quarterly average as we signed approximately 1.6 million square feet of leases covering vacant space, extensions and expansions, and pre-leasing for our development projects. However, we had approximately 1.4 million square feet of lease expirations and approximately 0.9 million square feet of leases with new and existing tenants that commenced in the quarter which resulted in a decline in occupancy in our portfolio during the quarter from 93.4% at December 31, 2013 to 92.4% at March 31, 2014.

In the New York region, overall leasing activity during the quarter remained strong and we completed approximately 537,000 square feet of leasing in twenty-four lease transactions, including three leases in Princeton totaling approximately 239,000 square feet. Activity in our portfolio has improved with same property occupancy increasing by 230 basis points to 92.2% as of March 31, 2014, with little near-term lease expirations. The demand in our CBD portfolio is driven by smaller tenants, primarily in the financial services industry. We also experienced activity from larger tenants and during the quarter we signed lease extensions with two tenants at 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building) for an aggregate of approximately 150,000 square feet. Subsequent to March 31, 2014, we executed a lease with a tenant for approximately 85,000 square feet at 250 West 55th Street. Our 250 West 55th Street development project has been partially placed in-service and the property is currently 73% leased and we expect to commence revenue recognition on a portion of the signed leases beginning in the second quarter of 2014 with revenue recognition on all currently signed leases by 2015. At 510 Madison Avenue, we completed seven leases during the quarter and are in lease negotiations with four more tenants, which, if signed, will bring the property to 95% leased.

In our Washington, DC region, the overall leasing activity continues to be slow and public sector and defense contractor demand has been adversely impacted by continued federal budgetary uncertainty, sequestration and the reductions in discretionary spending programs. Our near-term exposure in the Washington, DC CBD is limited due to our strong occupancy rate of 94.7%. In addition, with the renewal of a 261,000 square foot tenant in Reston, Virginia, our suburban Washington, DC assets are 95.4% leased at March 31, 2014, with moderate rollover/exposure through 2014 of approximately 5.9%. We are actively engaging our law firm tenants with future lease expirations to provide new space configuration in exchange for extended lease terms at market rents. This may result in us reducing the amount of space the tenant leases and thus near-term revenue, but would provide for more stable long-term revenues.

In the Boston region, the expansion of the life sciences and technology industry is positively impacting each of the submarkets in which we operate. Our assets in the Boston CBD are 95.5% leased, with approximately

## [Table of Contents](#)

205,000 square feet, or approximately 3.0%, of the Boston CBD portfolio vacancy leased to tenants that will commence in the future. We have been actively leasing space to cover our 2014-2015 lease expirations at the John Hancock Tower and the Prudential Center and have signed approximately 780,000 square feet of new leases, early renewals and relocations. However, the positive rental impact from these leases will not be realized until 2015 because (1) a portion of these leases are with existing sublease tenants and therefore higher rents will not commence until the new direct lease takes effect and (2) other tenants do not take occupancy until 2015. We have approximately 651,000 square feet of lease expirations in 2014 and 2015 in these two buildings where we expect the tenant to vacate and we have not yet signed replacement tenants. The East Cambridge submarket is the strongest submarket in the region and our Cambridge portfolio is approximately 100% leased. During the quarter, we negotiated two early renewals with tenants in Cambridge at net rents that are approximately 50% above the current net rent. In the suburbs of Boston along the Route 128 corridor, we are also benefiting from the strong tenant demand in the technology and life sciences industries with the completion of approximately 291,000 square feet of leases during the quarter. In total, our suburban portfolio same property occupancy improved 740 basis points since March 31, 2013 from 78.4% to 85.8%.

The San Francisco CBD and Silicon Valley submarkets continue to benefit from business expansion and job growth, particularly in the technology sector, which has resulted in positive absorption, lower vacancy and increasing rental rates. Despite this positive trend, since December 31, 2013, occupancy in our assets in the San Francisco CBD and Silicon Valley submarkets has declined from 89.9% to 86.1% primarily due to a 165,000 lease expiration at 3120 Zanker Road in North San Jose, California. During the first quarter of 2014, we leased approximately 309,000 square feet, including an approximately 79,000 square foot lease at our 535 Mission Street development project. Construction of 535 Mission Street is on schedule and we expect to be able to deliver space to tenants in the fourth quarter of 2014. In addition, on April 10, 2014, we announced the signing of a 714,000 square foot lease with salesforce.com at our 1.4 million square foot Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower). With the execution of the lease, we have committed to complete the building in early 2017 at a projected total cost of approximately \$1.1 billion.

The table below details the leasing activity during the three months ended March 31, 2014:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2014</b>
	<b>Square Feet</b>
Vacant space available at the beginning of the period	2,683,647
Property dispositions/properties taken out of service	—
Properties acquired vacant space	—
Properties placed in-service	—
Leases expiring or terminated during the period	1,361,837
Total space available for lease	4,045,484
1 <sup>st</sup> generation leases	22,248
2 <sup>nd</sup> generation leases with new tenants	486,633
2 <sup>nd</sup> generation lease renewals	431,925
Total space leased	940,806
Vacant space available for lease at the end of the period	3,104,678
<b>Second generation leasing information: (1)</b>	
Leases commencing during the period, in square feet	918,558
Average Lease Term	71 Months
Average Free Rent Period	62 Days
Total Transaction Costs Per Square Foot (2)	\$ 27.59
Increase / (decrease) in Gross Rents (3)	1.50%
Increase / (decrease) in Net Rents (4)	1.29%

## [Table of Contents](#)

- (1) Second generation leases are defined as leases for space that had previously been under lease by us. Of the 918,558 square feet of second generation leases that commenced during the three months ended March 31, 2014, leases for 673,712 square feet were signed in prior periods.
- (2) Total transaction costs include tenant improvements and leasing commissions and exclude free rent concessions.
- (3) Represents the increase/(decrease) in gross rent (base rent plus expense reimbursements) on the new vs. expired leases on the 745,359 square feet of second generation leases (1) that had been occupied within the prior 12 months and (2) for which the new lease term is greater than six months.
- (4) Represents the increase/(decrease) in net rent (gross rent less operating expenses) on the new vs. expired leases on the 745,359 square feet of second generation leases (1) that had been occupied within the prior 12 months and (2) for which the new lease term is greater than six months.

From April 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014, leases representing approximately 4.0% of the space at our properties will expire. As these leases expire, assuming no change in current market rental rates, we expect that the gross rental rates we are likely to achieve on new leases will on average be greater than the rates that are currently being paid.

Although we continue to evaluate opportunities to acquire assets, the abundance of capital and demand for assets has resulted in increasing prices. As a result, in the current environment we are able to develop properties at a cost per square foot that is generally less than the cost at which we can acquire older existing properties, thereby generating relatively better returns with lower annual maintenance expenses and capital costs. Accordingly, we believe the successful lease-up and completion of our development pipeline will enhance our long-term return on equity and earnings growth as these developments are placed in-service through 2018. We believe the development of well-positioned office buildings is justified in many of our submarkets where tenants have shown demand for high-quality construction, modern design, efficient floor plates and sustainable features. In addition, select first-class residential developments that are part of a mixed-use environment, which combine office, retail and residential uses, have proven successful in our markets. During the first quarter, we fully placed in-service The Avant, our 359 unit residential project in Reston, Virginia. Each of our development projects underway is pre-certified USGB LEED Silver or higher. As of March 31, 2014, our current development pipeline, which excludes properties which are fully placed in-service, totals approximately 4.0 million square feet with a total projected investment of approximately \$3.2 billion of which approximately \$1.4 billion remains to be funded. Additionally, we are working on several new developments in each of our markets that could commence in 2014 or later.

Given investor demand for assets like ours we continue to review our portfolio to identify properties that may have limited opportunities for cash flow growth, no longer fit within our portfolio strategy or can attract premium pricing in the current market environment as potential sales candidates. During 2013, we sold approximately \$1.25 billion (our share) of assets and we are in various stages of the sale process for additional properties that we expect will exceed an aggregate of \$1.0 billion in 2014. In general, we structure asset sales for possible inclusion in like kind-exchanges within the meaning of Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code. The ability to complete a like-kind exchange depends on many factors, including, among others, identifying and acquiring suitable replacement property(ies) within limited time periods and the ownership structure of the properties being sold and acquired, and therefore we are not always able to sell an asset as part of a like-kind exchange. When successful, like-kind exchanges enable us to defer the taxable gain on the asset sold and limit Boston Properties, Inc.'s REIT distribution requirement and thus preserve capital. If we are unable to identify and acquire suitable replacement property(ies) in a like-kind exchange, then we expect to distribute at least the amount of proceeds necessary to avoid paying a corporate level tax on the gain realized from the sale.

We continue to maintain substantial liquidity, including available cash, as of May 5, 2014, of approximately \$0.9 billion and approximately \$990 million available under our \$1.0 billion Unsecured Line of Credit. Our more significant future funding requirements include approximately \$1.4 billion of our development pipeline that



## [Table of Contents](#)

remains to be funded through 2018 and approximately \$77 million of secured debt (of which our share is approximately \$70 million) that matures by the end of 2014. We have access to multiple sources of capital, including current cash balances, public debt and equity markets, secured and unsecured debt markets and potential asset sales to fund our future capital requirements.

Transactions during the three months ended March 31, 2014 included the following:

- On January 27, 2014, Boston Properties, Inc.'s Compensation Committee approved the 2014 Multi-Year Long-Term Incentive Program (the "2014 MYLTIP") as a performance-based component of our overall compensation program. Under the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 718 "Compensation—Stock Compensation," the 2014 MYLTIP awards have an aggregate value of approximately \$12.7 million, which amount will generally be amortized into earnings over the four-year plan period under the graded vesting method. As detailed in our proxy statement for Boston Properties, Inc.'s 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, this reflects the Compensation Committee's decision to shift a significant portion of Boston Properties, Inc.'s incentive compensation from time-based to performance-based awards to build stronger pay-for-performance alignment with Boston Properties, Inc.'s stockholders.
- On January 31, 2014, the measurement period for our 2011 OPP Awards expired and our total return to shareholders ("TRS") was not sufficient for employees to earn and therefore become eligible to vest in any of the 2011 OPP Awards. As a result, we accelerated the then remaining unrecognized compensation expense totaling approximately \$1.2 million. Accordingly, all 2011 OPP Awards were automatically forfeited.
- On February 10, 2014, we completed and fully placed in-service The Avant at Reston Town Center development project comprised of 359 apartment units and retail space aggregating approximately 355,000 square feet located in Reston, Virginia. The retail space totaling approximately 26,000 net rentable square feet is 100% leased and the residential units are currently 32% leased.
- On February 18, 2014, we repaid at maturity the \$747.5 million aggregate principal amount of our 3.625% exchangeable senior notes due 2014 plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon.

Transactions completed subsequent to March 31, 2014:

- On April 10, 2014, a consolidated joint venture in which we have a 95% interest signed a lease with salesforce.com for 714,000 square feet at the new Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower), the 1.4 million square foot, 61-story Class A office development project currently under construction at 415 Mission Street in the South Financial District of San Francisco, California. In conjunction with the lease signing, we have committed to construct the building and expect to complete the building in early 2017 for a projected total cost of approximately \$1.1 billion, which includes capitalized interest in accordance with generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP.
- On April 10, 2014, we entered into a joint venture with an unrelated third party to acquire a parcel of land located at 501 K Street in Washington, DC. We anticipate the land parcel will accommodate an approximate 520,000 square foot Class A office property to be developed in the future. The joint venture partner contributed the land for its 50% interest in the joint venture and we contributed cash of approximately \$39.0 million for our 50% interest.
- On April 30, 2014, our partner in our Annapolis Junction joint venture contributed a parcel of land and improvements and we contributed cash of approximately \$5.4 million. We have a 50% interest in this joint venture. The joint venture has commenced construction of Annapolis Junction Building Eight, which when completed will consist of a Class A office property with approximately 125,000 net rentable square feet located in Annapolis, Maryland.

## **Critical Accounting Policies**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, requires management to use judgment in the application of accounting policies, including making estimates and assumptions. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. These judgments affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. If our judgment or interpretation of the facts and circumstances relating to various transactions had been different, it is possible that different accounting policies would have been applied resulting in a different presentation of our financial statements. From time to time, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions. In the event estimates or assumptions prove to be different from actual results, adjustments are made in subsequent periods to reflect more current information. Below is a discussion of accounting policies that we consider critical in that they may require complex judgment in their application or require estimates about matters that are inherently uncertain.

### ***Real Estate***

Upon acquisitions of real estate that constitutes a business, which includes the consolidation of previously unconsolidated joint ventures, we assess the fair value of acquired tangible and intangible assets, (including land, buildings, tenant improvements, “above-” and “below-market” leases, leasing and assumed financing origination costs, acquired in-place leases, other identified intangible assets and assumed liabilities) and allocate the purchase price to the acquired assets and assumed liabilities, including land and buildings as if vacant. We assess and consider fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize discount and/or capitalization rates that we deem appropriate, as well as available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including the historical operating results, known and anticipated trends, and market and economic conditions.

The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it were vacant. We also consider an allocation of purchase price of other acquired intangibles, including acquired in-place leases that may have a customer relationship intangible value, including (but not limited to) the nature and extent of the existing relationship with the tenants, the tenants’ credit quality and expectations of lease renewals. Based on our acquisitions to date, our allocation to customer relationship intangible assets has been immaterial.

We record acquired “above-” and “below-market” leases at their fair values (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) equal to the difference between (1) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to each in-place lease and (2) management’s estimate of fair market lease rates for each corresponding in-place lease, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease for above-market leases and the initial term plus the term of any below-market fixed rate renewal options for below- market leases. Acquired “above-” and “below-market” lease values have been reflected within Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets and Other Liabilities, respectively, in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Other intangible assets acquired include amounts for in-place lease values that are based on our evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant’s lease. Factors to be considered include estimates of carrying costs during hypothetical expected lease-up periods considering current market conditions, and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, we include real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up periods, depending on local market conditions. In estimating costs to execute similar leases, we consider leasing commissions, legal and other related expenses.

Management reviews its long-lived assets for impairment following the end of each quarter and when there is an event or change in circumstances that indicates an impairment in value. An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of its assets is not recoverable and exceeds its fair value. If such criteria are present, an impairment loss is recognized based on the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over its fair value. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is highly subjective and is based in part on assumptions regarding future

## [Table of Contents](#)

occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results in future periods. Since cash flows on properties considered to be “long-lived assets to be held and used” are considered on an undiscounted basis to determine whether an asset has been impaired, our established strategy of holding properties over the long term directly decreases the likelihood of recording an impairment loss. If our strategy changes or market conditions otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an impairment loss may be recognized and such loss could be material. If we determine that an impairment has occurred, the affected assets must be reduced to their fair value, less cost to sell.

Guidance in Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 360 “Property Plant and Equipment” (“ASC 360”) requires that qualifying assets and liabilities and the results of operations that have been sold, or otherwise qualify as “held for sale,” be presented as discontinued operations in all periods presented if the property operations are expected to be eliminated and we will not have significant continuing involvement following the sale. The components of the property’s net income that is reflected as discontinued operations include the net gain (or loss) upon the disposition of the property held for sale, operating results, depreciation and interest expense (if the property is subject to a secured loan). We generally consider assets to be “held for sale” when the transaction has been approved by Boston Properties, Inc.’s Board of Directors, or a committee thereof, and there are no known significant contingencies relating to the sale, such that a sale of the property within one year is considered probable. Following the classification of a property as “held for sale,” no further depreciation is recorded on the assets, and the asset is written down to the lower of carrying value or fair market value, less cost to sell. On April 10, 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2014-08, “Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity” (“ASU No. 2014-08”). ASU No. 2014-08 clarifies that discontinued operations presentation applies only to disposals representing a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity’s operations and financial results (e.g., a disposal of a major geographical area, a major line of business, a major equity method investment or other major parts of an entity). ASU No. 2014-08 is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is permitted, and we early adopted ASU No. 2014-08 during the first quarter of 2014. Our adoption of ASU No. 2014-08 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Real estate is stated at depreciated cost. A variety of costs are incurred in the acquisition, development and leasing of properties. The cost of buildings and improvements includes the purchase price of property, legal fees and other acquisition costs. We expense costs that we incur to effect a business combination such as legal, due diligence and other closing related costs. Costs directly related to the development of properties are capitalized. Capitalized development costs include interest, internal wages, property taxes, insurance, and other project costs incurred during the period of development. After the determination is made to capitalize a cost, it is allocated to the specific component of a project that is benefited. Determination of when a development project commences and capitalization begins, and when a development project is substantially complete and held available for occupancy and capitalization must cease, involves a degree of judgment. Our capitalization policy on development properties is guided by guidance in ASC 835-20 “Capitalization of Interest” and ASC 970 “Real Estate-General.” The costs of land and buildings under development include specifically identifiable costs.

The capitalized costs include pre-construction costs necessary to the development of the property, development costs, construction costs, interest costs, real estate taxes, salaries and related costs and other costs incurred during the period of development. We begin the capitalization of costs during the pre-construction period which we define as activities that are necessary to the development of the property. We consider a construction project as substantially completed and held available for occupancy upon the completion of tenant improvements, but no later than one year from cessation of major construction activity. We cease capitalization on the portion (1) substantially completed, (2) occupied or held available for occupancy, and we capitalize only those costs associated with the portion under construction or (3) if activities necessary for the development of the property have been suspended.

### ***Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures***

We consolidate variable interest entities (VIEs) in which we are considered to be the primary beneficiary. VIEs are entities in which the equity investors do not have sufficient equity at risk to finance their endeavors without additional financial support or that the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have a controlling financial interest. The primary beneficiary is defined by the entity having both of the following characteristics: (1) the power to direct the activities that, when taken together, most significantly impact the variable interest entity's performance, and (2) the obligation to absorb losses and right to receive the returns from the variable interest entity that would be significant to the variable interest entity. For ventures that are not VIEs we consolidate entities for which we have significant decision making control over the ventures' operations. Our judgment with respect to our level of influence or control of an entity involves the consideration of various factors including the form of our ownership interest, our representation in the entity's governance, the size of our investment (including loans), estimates of future cash flows, our ability to participate in policy making decisions and the rights of the other investors to participate in the decision making process and to replace us as manager and/or liquidate the venture, if applicable. Our assessment of our influence or control over an entity affects the presentation of these investments in our consolidated financial statements. In addition to evaluating control rights, we consolidate entities in which the outside partner has no substantive kick-out rights to remove us as the managing member.

Accounts of the consolidated entity are included in our accounts and the non-controlling interest is reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as a component of equity or in temporary equity between liabilities and equity. Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures are recorded initially at cost, and subsequently adjusted for equity in earnings and cash contributions and distributions. Any difference between the carrying amount of these investments on the balance sheet and the underlying equity in net assets is amortized as an adjustment to equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures over the life of the related asset. Under the equity method of accounting, our net equity investment is reflected within the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and our share of net income or loss from the joint ventures is included within the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The joint venture agreements may designate different percentage allocations among investors for profits and losses; however, our recognition of joint venture income or loss generally follows the joint venture's distribution priorities, which may change upon the achievement of certain investment return thresholds. We may account for cash distributions in excess of our investment in an unconsolidated joint venture as income when we are not the general partner in a limited partnership and when we have neither the requirement nor the intent to provide financial support to the joint venture. Our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures are reviewed for impairment periodically and we record impairment charges when events or circumstances change indicating that a decline in the fair values below the carrying values has occurred and such decline is other-than-temporary. The ultimate realization of the investment in unconsolidated joint ventures is dependent on a number of factors, including the performance of each investment and market conditions. We will record an impairment charge if we determine that a decline in the value below the carrying value of an investment in an unconsolidated joint venture is other-than-temporary.

To the extent that we contribute assets to a joint venture, our investment in the joint venture is recorded at our cost basis in the assets that were contributed to the joint venture. To the extent that our cost basis is different than the basis reflected at the joint venture level, the basis difference is amortized over the life of the related asset and included in our share of equity in net income of the joint venture. In accordance with the provisions of ASC 970-323 "Investments-Equity Method and Joint Ventures" ("ASC 970-323"), we will recognize gains on the contribution of real estate to joint ventures, relating solely to the outside partner's interest, to the extent the economic substance of the transaction is a sale.

The combined summarized financial information of the unconsolidated joint ventures is disclosed in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### **Revenue Recognition**

Contractual rental revenue is reported on a straight-line basis over the terms of our respective leases. We recognize rental revenue of acquired in-place “above-” and “below-market” leases at their fair values over the terms of the respective leases. Accrued rental income as reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets represents rental income recognized in excess of rent payments actually received pursuant to the terms of the individual lease agreements.

For the three months ended March 31, 2014, the impact of the net adjustments of rents from “above-” and “below-market” leases increased rental revenue by approximately \$9.6 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2014, the impact of the straight-line rent adjustment increased rental revenue by approximately \$9.7 million. Those amounts exclude the adjustment of rents from “above-” and “below-market” leases and straight-line income from unconsolidated joint ventures, which are disclosed in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our leasing strategy is generally to secure creditworthy tenants that meet our underwriting guidelines. Furthermore, following the initiation of a lease, we continue to actively monitor the tenant’s creditworthiness to ensure that all tenant related assets are recorded at their realizable value. When assessing tenant credit quality, we:

- review relevant financial information, including:
  - financial ratios;
  - net worth;
  - revenue;
  - cash flows;
  - leverage; and
  - liquidity;
- evaluate the depth and experience of the tenant’s management team; and
- assess the strength/growth of the tenant’s industry.

As a result of the underwriting process, tenants are then categorized into one of three categories:

- (1) acceptable-risk tenants;
- (2) the tenant’s credit is such that we may require collateral, in which case we:
  - may require a security deposit; and/or
  - may reduce upfront tenant improvement investments; or
- (3) the tenant’s credit is below our acceptable parameters.

We consistently monitor the credit quality of our tenant base. We provide an allowance for doubtful accounts arising from estimated losses that could result from the tenant’s inability to make required current rent payments and an allowance against accrued rental income for future potential losses that we deem to be unrecoverable over the term of the lease.

Tenant receivables are assigned a credit rating of 1 through 4. A rating of 1 represents the highest possible rating and no allowance is recorded. A rating of 4 represents the lowest credit rating, in which case we record a full reserve against the receivable balance. Among the factors considered in determining the credit rating include:

- payment history;
- credit status and change in status (credit ratings for public companies are used as a primary metric);

## Table of Contents

- change in tenant space needs (i.e., expansion/downsize);
- tenant financial performance;
- economic conditions in a specific geographic region; and
- industry specific credit considerations.

If our estimates of collectability differ from the cash received, the timing and amount of our reported revenue could be impacted. The average remaining term of our in-place tenant leases, including unconsolidated joint ventures, was approximately 6.6 years as of March 31, 2014. The credit risk is mitigated by the high quality of our existing tenant base, reviews of prospective tenants' risk profiles prior to lease execution and consistent monitoring of our portfolio to identify potential problem tenants.

Recoveries from tenants, consisting of amounts due from tenants for common area maintenance, real estate taxes and other recoverable costs, are recognized as revenue in the period during which the expenses are incurred. Tenant reimbursements are recognized and presented in accordance with guidance in ASC 605-45 "Principal Agent Considerations" ("ASC 605-45"). ASC 605-45 requires that these reimbursements be recorded on a gross basis, as we are generally the primary obligor with respect to purchasing goods and services from third-party suppliers, have discretion in selecting the supplier and have credit risk. We also receive reimbursement of payroll and payroll related costs from third parties which we reflect on a net basis.

Our parking revenues are derived from leases, monthly parking and transient parking. We recognize parking revenue as earned.

Our hotel revenues are derived from room rentals and other sources such as charges to guests for telephone service, movie and vending commissions, meeting and banquet room revenue and laundry services. Hotel revenues are recognized as earned.

We receive management and development fees from third parties. Property management fees are recorded and earned based on a percentage of collected rents at the properties under management, and not on a straight-line basis, because such fees are contingent upon the collection of rents. We review each development agreement and record development fees as earned depending on the risk associated with each project. Profit on development fees earned from joint venture projects is recognized as revenue to the extent of the third-party partners' ownership interest.

Gains on sales of real estate are recognized pursuant to the provisions included in ASC 360-20 "Real Estate Sales" ("ASC 360-20"). The specific timing of the sale is measured against various criteria in ASC 360-20 related to the terms of the transaction and any continuing involvement in the form of management or financial assistance associated with the properties. If the sales criteria for the full accrual method are not met, we defer some or all of the gain recognition and account for the continued operations of the property by applying the finance, leasing, profit sharing, deposit, installment or cost recovery methods, as appropriate, until the sales criteria are met.

### ***Depreciation and Amortization***

We compute depreciation and amortization on our properties using the straight-line method based on estimated useful asset lives. We allocate the acquisition cost of real estate to its components and depreciate or amortize these assets over their useful lives. The amortization of acquired "above-" and "below-market" leases and acquired in-place leases is recorded as an adjustment to revenue and depreciation and amortization, respectively, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### ***Fair Value of Financial Instruments***

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, escrows, receivables, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other assets and liabilities are reasonable estimates of their fair values because of the short maturities of these instruments.

We follow the authoritative guidance for fair value measurements when valuing our financial instruments for disclosure purposes. We determine the fair value of our unsecured senior notes and unsecured exchangeable senior notes using market prices. The inputs used in determining the fair value of our unsecured senior notes and unsecured exchangeable senior notes is categorized at a level 1 basis (as defined in the accounting standards for Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures) due to the fact that we use quoted market rates to value these instruments. However, the inputs used in determining the fair value could be categorized at a level 2 basis if trading volumes are low. We determine the fair value of our mortgage notes payable using discounted cash flow analyses by discounting the spread between the future contractual interest payments and hypothetical future interest payments on mortgage debt based on current market rates for similar securities. In determining the current market rates, we add our estimates of market spreads to the quoted yields on federal government treasury securities with similar maturity dates to our debt. The inputs used in determining the fair value of our mortgage notes payable and mezzanine notes payable are categorized at a level 3 basis (as defined in the accounting standards for Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures) due to the fact that we consider the rates used in the valuation techniques to be unobservable inputs.

### ***Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities***

Derivative instruments and hedging activities require management to make judgments on the nature of its derivatives and their effectiveness as hedges. These judgments determine if the changes in fair value of the derivative instruments are reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as a component of net income or as a component of comprehensive income and as a component of equity on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. While management believes its judgments are reasonable, a change in a derivative's effectiveness as a hedge could materially affect expenses, net income and equity. We account for the effective portion of changes in the fair value of a derivative in other comprehensive income (loss) and subsequently reclassify the effective portion to earnings over the term that the hedged transaction affects earnings. We account for the ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of a derivative directly in earnings.

### **Results of Operations**

The following discussion is based on our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

At March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013, we owned or had interests in a portfolio of 175 and 157 properties, respectively (in each case, the "Total Property Portfolio"). As a result of changes within our Total Property Portfolio, the financial data presented below shows significant changes in revenue and expenses from period-to-period. Accordingly, we do not believe that our period-to-period financial data with respect to the Total Property Portfolio is necessarily meaningful. Therefore, the comparison of operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 show separately the changes attributable to the properties that were owned by us and in service throughout each period compared (the "Same Property Portfolio") and the changes attributable to the properties included in the Placed In-Service, Acquired or Consolidated or Development or Redevelopment Portfolios.

In our analysis of operating results, particularly to make comparisons of net operating income between periods meaningful, it is important to provide information for properties that were in-service and owned by us throughout each period presented. We refer to properties acquired or consolidated or placed in-service prior to the beginning of the earliest period presented and owned by us and in service through the end of the latest period presented as our Same Property Portfolio. The Same Property Portfolio therefore excludes properties placed in-service, acquired or consolidated or in development or redevelopment after the beginning of the earliest period presented or disposed of prior to the end of the latest period presented.

## [Table of Contents](#)

Net operating income, or “NOI,” is a non-GAAP financial measure equal to net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership, the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, plus income attributable to noncontrolling interests, depreciation and amortization, interest expense, impairment loss, transaction costs, general and administrative expense, less discontinued operations, gains from investments in securities, income from unconsolidated joint ventures, interest and other income and development and management services revenue. We use NOI internally as a performance measure and believe NOI provides useful information to investors regarding our financial condition and results of operations because it reflects only those income and expense items that are incurred at the property level. Therefore, we believe NOI is a useful measure for evaluating the operating performance of our real estate assets.

Our management also uses NOI to evaluate regional property level performance and to make decisions about resource allocations. Further, we believe NOI is useful to investors as a performance measure because, when compared across periods, NOI reflects the impact on operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs and acquisition and development activity on an unleveraged basis, providing perspectives not immediately apparent from net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership. NOI excludes certain components from net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership in order to provide results that are more closely related to a property’s results of operations. For example, interest expense is not necessarily linked to the operating performance of a real estate asset and is often incurred at the corporate level as opposed to the property level. In addition, depreciation and amortization, because of historical cost accounting and useful life estimates, may distort operating performance at the property level. NOI presented by us may not be comparable to NOI reported by other REITs that define NOI differently. We believe that in order to facilitate a clear understanding of our operating results, NOI should be examined in conjunction with net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership as presented in our Consolidated Financial Statements. NOI should not be considered as an alternative to net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership as an indication of our performance or to cash flows as a measure of liquidity or ability to make distributions. For a reconciliation of NOI to net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership, see Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

### ***Comparison of the three months ended March 31, 2014 to the three months ended March 31, 2013.***

The table below shows selected operating information for the Same Property Portfolio and the Total Property Portfolio. The Same Property Portfolio consists of 132 properties totaling approximately 35.9 million net rentable square feet of space, excluding unconsolidated joint ventures. The Same Property Portfolio includes properties acquired or consolidated or placed in-service on or prior to January 1, 2013 and owned and in service through March 31, 2014. The Total Property Portfolio includes the effects of the other properties either placed in-service, acquired or consolidated or in development or redevelopment after January 1, 2013 or disposed of on or prior to March 31, 2014. This table includes a reconciliation from the Same Property Portfolio to the Total Property Portfolio by also providing information for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 with respect to the properties that were placed in-service, acquired or consolidated or in development or redevelopment.



## Table of Contents

(dollars in thousands)	Same Property Portfolio				Properties Acquired or Consolidated Portfolio		Properties Placed In-Service Portfolio		Properties in Development or Redevelopment Portfolio		Total Property Portfolio			
	2014	2013	Increase/ (Decrease)	% Change	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	Increase/ (Decrease)	% Change
<b>Rental Revenue:</b>														
Rental Revenue	\$467,544	\$450,629	\$ 16,915	3.75%	\$79,402	\$—	\$7,778	\$2,319	\$—	\$ 1,800	\$554,724	\$454,748	\$ 99,976	21.98%
Termination Income	1,110	476	634	133.19%	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,110	476	634	133.19%
Total Rental Revenue	468,654	451,105	17,549	3.89%	79,402	—	7,778	2,319	—	1,800	555,834	455,224	100,610	22.10%
Real Estate Operating Expenses	175,608	165,232	10,376	6.28%	23,901	—	3,899	787	—	310	203,408	166,329	37,079	22.29%
Net Operating Income, excluding residential and hotel	293,046	285,873	7,173	2.51%	55,501	—	3,879	1,532	—	1,490	352,426	288,895	63,531	21.99%
Residential Net Operating Income (1)	2,471	2,845	(374)	(13.15)%	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,471	2,845	(374)	(13.15)%
Hotel Net Operating Income(1)	1,396	1,247	149	11.95%	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,396	1,247	149	11.95%
Consolidated Net Operating Income (1)	296,913	289,965	6,948	2.40%	55,501	—	3,879	1,532	—	1,490	356,293	292,987	63,306	21.61%
<b>Other Revenue:</b>														
Development and management services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5,216	8,733	(3,517)	(40.27)%
<b>Other Expenses:</b>														
General and administrative expense	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	29,905	45,516	(15,611)	(34.30)%
Transaction costs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	437	443	(6)	(1.35)%
Impairment loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4,401	(4,401)	(100.00)%
Depreciation and amortization	114,836	113,295	1,541	1.36%	34,724	—	2,685	680	—	3,434	152,245	117,409	34,836	29.67%
Total Other Expenses	114,836	113,295	1,541	1.36%	34,724	—	2,685	680	—	3,434	182,587	167,769	14,818	8.83%
Operating Income	182,077	176,670	5,407	3.06%	20,777	—	1,194	852	—	(1,944)	178,922	133,951	44,971	33.57%
<b>Other Income:</b>														
Income from unconsolidated joint ventures	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,816	8,721	(5,905)	(67.71)%
Interest and other income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,311	1,471	(160)	(10.88)%
Gains from investments in securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	286	735	(449)	(61.09)%
<b>Other Expenses:</b>														
Interest expense	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	113,554	100,433	13,121	13.06%
Income From Continuing Operations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	69,781	44,445	25,336	57.01%
<b>Discontinued Operations:</b>														
Income from discontinued operations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,494	(2,494)	(100.00)%
Gain on forgiveness of debt from discontinued operations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20,736	(20,736)	(100.00)%
Impairment loss from discontinued operations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(2,852)	2,852	100.00%
Net Income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$ 69,781	\$ 64,823	\$ 4,958	7.65%
<b>Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests:</b>														
Noncontrolling interests in property partnerships	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(4,354)	(2,574)	(1,780)	(69.15)%
Noncontrolling interest—redeemable preferred units	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3,208)	(1,326)	(1,882)	(141.93)%
Net Income Attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$ 62,219	\$ 60,923	\$ 1,296	2.13%

(1) For a detailed discussion of NOI, including the reasons management believes NOI is useful to investors, see page 38. Residential Net Operating Income for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 are comprised of Residential Revenue of \$5,451 and \$5,578 less Residential Expenses of \$2,980 and \$2,733, respectively. Hotel Net Operating Income for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 are comprised of Hotel Revenue of \$8,193 and \$8,291 less Hotel Expenses of \$6,797 and \$7,044, respectively, per the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

**Same Property Portfolio**

***Rental Revenue***

Rental revenue from the Same Property Portfolio increased approximately \$16.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013. The increase was primarily the result of an increase of approximately \$16.9 million in revenue from our leases due to an increase in tenant recoveries related to higher operating expenses and increases in rental rates and occupancy. Rental revenue from our leases increased approximately \$16.9 million as a result of our average revenue per square foot increasing by approximately \$0.96, contributing approximately \$7.9 million, and an approximately \$9.0 million increase due to an increase in average occupancy from 91.4% to 93.2%.

For fiscal 2014, we expect an increase in Same Property Portfolio net operating income of approximately 1.75% to 2.5% compared to 2013.

***Termination Income***

Termination income increased by approximately \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013.

Termination income for the three months ended March 31, 2014 related to eleven tenants across the Same Property Portfolio and totaled approximately \$1.1 million, of which approximately \$0.5 million was related to a negotiated settlement with a former tenant at Cambridge Center.

Termination income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 related to six tenants across the Same Property Portfolio and totaled approximately \$0.5 million.

***Real Estate Operating Expenses***

Operating expenses from the Same Property Portfolio increased approximately \$10.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013 due primarily to (1) an increase of approximately \$3.0 million, or 4.1%, in real estate taxes, which increases primarily occurred in our Washington, DC and New York regions, (2) an increase of approximately \$3.0 million, or 12.2%, in repairs and maintenance expense, which was primarily in the Boston and New York CBD buildings, (3) an approximately \$1.6 million, or 5.5%, increase in utilities expense, which was primarily due to an increase in the delivery rate for steam in the Boston region, (4) an approximately \$1.5 million, or 16.1%, increase in roads and grounds expense, which was primarily related to snow removal in the Washington, DC region and (5) an increase of approximately \$1.3 million, or 4.4%, in other operating expenses.

Beginning in the third quarter of 2013, we modified the presentation of expenses to operate our San Francisco and Princeton regional offices to reflect the growing activity in our San Francisco region and to have a consistent presentation across our company. These expenses, which totaled approximately \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, were previously included in Rental Operating Expenses and are now included in General and Administrative Expenses for all periods presented.

***Depreciation and Amortization Expense***

Depreciation and amortization expense for the Same Property Portfolio increased approximately \$1.5 million, or 1.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013.

**Properties Acquired or Consolidated Portfolio**

On April 10, 2013, we acquired the Mountain View Research Park and Mountain View Technology Park properties from the Value-Added Fund for an aggregate net purchase price of approximately \$233.1 million. Prior to the acquisition, our ownership interest in the properties was approximately 39.5%. As a result of the

## [Table of Contents](#)

acquisition, we own 100% of the properties and account for them on a consolidated basis. Mountain View Research Park is an approximately 604,000 net rentable square foot, sixteen building Office/Technical complex. Mountain View Technology Park is an approximately 135,000 net rentable square foot, seven building Office/Technical complex.

On May 31, 2013, our two joint venture partners in 767 Venture, LLC (the entity that owns 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building) in New York City) transferred all of their interests in the joint venture to third parties. In connection with the transfer, we and our new joint venture partners modified our relative decision making authority and consent rights with respect to the joint venture's assets and operations. These changes resulted in us having sufficient financial and operating control over 767 Venture, LLC such that we now account for the assets, liabilities and operations of 767 Venture, LLC on a consolidated basis in our financial statements instead of under the equity method of accounting. Our ownership interest in 767 Venture, LLC remained unchanged at 60%. 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building) is an approximately 1.8 million net rentable square foot, 59-story Class A office tower.

### **Rental Revenue**

Rental revenue from our Properties Acquired or Consolidated Portfolio increased approximately \$79.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013, as detailed below:

<u>Property</u>	<u>Date Acquired or Consolidated</u>	<u>Rental Revenue for the three months ended March 31,</u>		
		<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>Change</u>
		(in thousands)		
Mountain View Research Park	April 10, 2013	\$ 4,952	\$ —	\$ 4,952
Mountain View Technology Park	April 10, 2013	1,118	—	1,118
767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building)	May 31, 2013	73,332	—	73,332
<b>Total</b>		<u>\$79,402</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 79,402</u>

### **Real Estate Operating Expenses**

Real estate operating expenses from our Properties Acquired or Consolidated Portfolio increased approximately \$23.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013, as detailed below:

<u>Property</u>	<u>Date Acquired or Consolidated</u>	<u>Real Estate Operating Expenses for the three months ended March 31,</u>		
		<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>Change</u>
		(in thousands)		
Mountain View Research Park	April 10, 2013	\$ 999	\$ —	\$ 999
Mountain View Technology Park	April 10, 2013	176	—	176
767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building)	May 31, 2013	22,726	—	22,726
<b>Total</b>		<u>\$23,901</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 23,901</u>

### **Depreciation and Amortization Expense**

Depreciation and amortization expense for our Properties Acquired or Consolidated Portfolio increased by approximately \$34.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013 as a result of the acquisition or consolidation of properties after March 31, 2013.

For a discussion of the operating results for 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building), Mountain View Research Park and Mountain View Technology Park for the period prior to consolidation / acquisition refer to "Results of Operations—Other Income and Expense Items—Income from Unconsolidated Joint Ventures" within "Item 2—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

## [Table of Contents](#)

### ***Properties Placed In-Service Portfolio***

We placed in-service or partially placed in-service seven properties between January 1, 2013 and March 31, 2014. The square footage amount for the four properties that are fully placed in-service is approximately 1.1 million square feet. One and Two Patriots Park is a two-phase redevelopment project for a single tenant.

#### ***Rental Revenue***

Rental revenue from our Properties Placed In-Service Portfolio increased approximately \$5.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013, as detailed below:

<b>Property</b>	<b>Quarter Initially Placed In-Service</b>	<b>Quarter Fully Placed In-Service</b>	<b>Rental Revenue for the three months ended March 31,</b>		
			<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>Change</b>
			(in thousands)		
One and Two Patriots Park	Second Quarter, 2012 (Phase I) and First Quarter, 2013 (Phase II)	Second Quarter, 2012 (Phase I) and First Quarter, 2013 (Phase II)	\$ 4,278	\$ 2,319	\$ 1,959
Seventeen Cambridge Center	Second Quarter, 2013	Second Quarter, 2013	2,660	—	2,660
250 West 55th Street	Third Quarter, 2013	N/A	601	—	601
The Avant at Reston Town Center (Residential)	Fourth Quarter, 2013	First Quarter, 2014	231	—	231
680 Folsom Street	Fourth Quarter, 2013	N/A	8	—	8
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$ 7,778</b>	<b>\$ 2,319</b>	<b>\$ 5,459</b>

#### ***Real Estate Operating Expenses***

Real estate operating expenses from our Properties Placed In-Service Portfolio increased approximately \$3.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013, as detailed below:

<b>Property</b>	<b>Quarter Initially Placed In-Service</b>	<b>Quarter Fully Placed In-Service</b>	<b>Real Estate Operating Expenses for the three months ended March 31,</b>		
			<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>Change</b>
			(in thousands)		
One and Two Patriots Park	Second Quarter, 2012 (Phase I) and First Quarter, 2013 (Phase II)	Second Quarter, 2012 (Phase I) and First Quarter, 2013 (Phase II)	\$ 1,514	\$ 787	\$ 727
Seventeen Cambridge Center	Second Quarter, 2013	Second Quarter, 2013	195	—	195
250 West 55th Street	Third Quarter, 2013	N/A	1,367	—	1,367
The Avant at Reston Town Center (Residential)	Fourth Quarter, 2013	First Quarter, 2014	782	—	782
680 Folsom Street	Fourth Quarter, 2013	N/A	41	—	41
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$ 3,899</b>	<b>\$ 787</b>	<b>\$ 3,112</b>

#### ***Depreciation and Amortization Expense***

Depreciation and amortization expense for our Properties Placed In-Service Portfolio increased by approximately \$2.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### **Properties in Development or Redevelopment Portfolio**

At March 31, 2013, the Properties in Development or Redevelopment Portfolio consisted primarily of our 601 Massachusetts Avenue property located in Washington, DC.

On April 25, 2013, we commenced development of our 601 Massachusetts Avenue property, which is expected to be completed during the fourth quarter of 2015. Prior to the commencement of development, this building was operational and during the three months ended March 31, 2013, had approximately \$1.8 million of revenue and approximately \$0.3 million of operating expenses. In addition, during the three months ended March 31, 2013, the building had approximately \$3.4 million of depreciation and amortization expense.

### **Other Operating Income and Expense Items**

#### ***Residential Net Operating Income***

Net operating income for our residential properties decreased by approximately \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013.

The following reflects our occupancy and rate information for The Lofts at Atlantic Wharf and the Residences on The Avenue for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

	<u>The Lofts at Atlantic Wharf</u>			<u>Residences on The Avenue</u>		
	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>Percentage Change</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>Percentage Change</u>
Average Physical Occupancy (1)	96.9%	99.6%	(2.7)%	92.5%	92.7%	(0.2)%
Average Economic Occupancy (2)	97.7%	99.8%	(2.1)%	91.8%	92.5%	(0.8)%
Average Monthly Rental Rate (3)	\$3,927	\$3,781	3.9%	\$3,182	\$3,360	(5.3)%
Average Rental Rate Per Occupied Square Foot	\$ 4.37	\$ 4.19	4.3%	\$ 3.90	\$ 4.12	(5.3)%

- (1) Average Physical Occupancy is defined as the average number of occupied units divided by the total number of units, expressed as a percentage.
- (2) Average Economic Occupancy is defined as total possible revenue less vacancy loss as a percentage of total possible revenue. Total possible revenue is determined by valuing average occupied units at contract rates and average vacant units at Market Rents. Vacancy loss is determined by valuing vacant units at current Market Rents. By measuring vacant units at their Market Rents, Average Economic Occupancy takes into account the fact that units of different sizes and locations within a residential property have different economic impacts on a residential property's total possible gross revenue. Market Rents used by us in calculating Economic Occupancy are based on the current market rates set by the managers of our residential properties based on their experience in renting their residential property's units and publicly available market data. Trends in market rents for a region as reported by others could vary. Market Rents for a period are based on the average Market Rents during that period and do not reflect any impact for cash concessions.
- (3) Average Monthly Rental Rates are calculated by us as rental revenue in accordance with GAAP, divided by the weighted monthly average number of occupied units.

#### ***Hotel Net Operating Income***

Net operating income for the Cambridge Center Marriott hotel property increased by approximately \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013. We expect our hotel net operating income for fiscal 2014 to be between \$13 million and \$14 million.

## [Table of Contents](#)

The following reflects our occupancy and rate information for the Cambridge Center Marriott hotel for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

	2014	2013	Percentage Change
Occupancy	77.7%	73.5%	5.7%
Average daily rate	\$199.88	\$194.79	2.6%
Revenue per available room, REVPAR	\$155.78	\$143.17	8.8%

### ***Development and Management Services***

Development and management services income decreased approximately \$3.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013. The decrease was due to decreases in development fee and management fee income of approximately \$1.8 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. The decrease in development fees is primarily due to a decrease in fees associated with tenant improvement project management. The decrease in management fees is due primarily to a decrease in management fees earned from our joint ventures primarily due to the consolidation of 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building), the acquisition of the Mountain View assets and the sale of 125 West 55th Street in New York City. We expect fee income for fiscal 2014 to be between \$19 million and \$22 million. Our 2014 estimates are less than 2013 due to the conclusion of several fee development projects in Washington, DC and Boston, as well as the consolidation of 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building). As a result of the consolidation of 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building), the management fees for the building that were approximately \$5 million per year will no longer be recognized as fee income. Instead our partners' 40% share will be reflected as an adjustment to noncontrolling interest in property partnerships.

### ***General and Administrative***

General and administrative expenses decreased approximately \$15.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013 due primarily to the Transition Benefits Agreement that Boston Properties, Inc. entered into with Mortimer B. Zuckerman in 2013. On March 11, 2013, we announced that Owen D. Thomas would succeed Mr. Zuckerman as Boston Properties, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer, effective April 2, 2013. Mr. Zuckerman will continue to serve as Executive Chairman for a transition period and thereafter is expected to continue to serve as the Non-Executive Chairman of the Board of Boston Properties, Inc. In connection with succession planning, Mr. Zuckerman entered into the Transition Benefits Agreement with Boston Properties, Inc. If Mr. Zuckerman remains employed by Boston Properties, Inc. through July 1, 2014, he will be entitled to receive, on January 1, 2015, a lump sum cash payment of \$6.7 million and an equity award with a targeted value of approximately \$11.1 million. The cash payment and equity award vest one-third on each of March 10, 2013, October 1, 2013 and July 1, 2014, subject to acceleration in certain circumstances. As a result, we recognized approximately \$6.6 million of compensation expense during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and approximately \$2.0 million of compensation expense during the three months ended March 31, 2014. We expect to recognize the remaining compensation expense over the remaining vesting period and, accordingly, expect to expense approximately \$2.0 million in the second quarter of 2014. Due to the Transition Benefits Agreement, during the three months ended March 31, 2013, we accelerated the remaining approximately \$12.9 million of stock-based compensation expense associated with Mr. Zuckerman's unvested long-term equity awards. In addition, the value of our deferred compensation plan and other general and administrative expenses, which includes compensation expense decreased by approximately \$0.5 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. These decreases were partially offset by the following increases: (1) approximately \$0.9 million related to the issuance of the 2014 MYLTIP Units, (2) approximately \$1.2 million related to the termination of the 2011 OPP Awards (refer to Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements), (3) approximately \$0.5 million in taxes and (4) approximately \$0.3 million in health care costs.

Beginning in the third quarter of 2013, we modified the presentation of expenses to operate our San Francisco and Princeton regional offices to reflect the growing activity in our San Francisco region and to have a

## [Table of Contents](#)

consistent presentation across our company. These expenses, which totaled approximately \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, were previously included in Rental Operating Expenses and are now included in General and Administrative Expenses for all periods presented. We expect our fiscal 2014 general and administrative expenses to be between \$100 million and \$104 million.

Wages directly related to the development of rental properties are not included in our operating results. These costs are capitalized and included in real estate assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheets and amortized over the useful lives of the real estate. Capitalized wages for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were approximately \$3.5 million and \$2.8 million, respectively. These costs are not included in the general and administrative expenses discussed above.

### ***Transaction Costs***

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, we incurred approximately \$0.4 million of transaction costs, which related to several properties that we are marketing for potential sale and legal fees associated with the formation of joint ventures. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we incurred approximately \$0.4 million of transaction costs related to Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower) in San Francisco, California,

### ***Impairment Loss***

On March 28, 2013, we executed a binding contract for the sale of our 303 Almaden Boulevard property located in San Jose, California for a sale price of \$40.0 million. The pending sale of this asset caused us to evaluate our strategy for development of the adjacent Almaden land parcel which can accommodate approximately 840,000 square feet of office development. Based on a shorter than expected hold period, we reduced the carrying value of the land parcel to its estimated fair market value and we recognized an impairment loss of approximately \$4.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013. We did not recognize any impairment losses during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

## **Other Income and Expense Items**

### ***Income from Unconsolidated Joint Ventures***

For the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013, income from unconsolidated joint ventures decreased by approximately \$5.9 million due primarily to (1) an approximately \$4.8 million decrease in our share of net income from 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building) related to its consolidation on June 1, 2013, (2) an approximately \$0.4 million decrease in our share of net income from the Value-Added Fund due to our acquisition of the Mountain View assets on April 10, 2013 and (3) an approximately \$1.3 million decrease in our share of net income from 125 West 55th Avenue due to its sale on May 30, 2013. These decreases were partially offset by an approximately \$0.6 million increase in our share of net income from our other unconsolidated joint ventures, which was primarily related to increased leasing and occupancy at 540 Madison Avenue in New York City.

For the consolidated operating results for 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building), Mountain View Research Park and Mountain View Technology Park refer to “*Results of Operations—Properties Acquired or Consolidated Portfolio*” within “*Item 2—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.*”

### ***Gains from Investments in Securities***

Gains from investments in securities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 related to investments that we have made to reduce our market risk relating to a deferred compensation plan that we maintain for Boston Properties, Inc.’s officers. Under this deferred compensation plan, each officer who is eligible to participate is permitted to defer a portion of the officer’s current income on a pre-tax basis and receive

## [Table of Contents](#)

a tax-deferred return on these deferrals based on the performance of specific investments selected by the officer. In order to reduce our market risk relating to this plan, we typically acquire, in a separate account that is not restricted as to its use, similar or identical investments as those selected by each officer. This enables us to generally match our liabilities to our officers under the deferred compensation plan with equivalent assets and thereby limit our market risk. The performance of these investments is recorded as gains from investments in securities. During the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, we recognized gains of approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, on these investments. By comparison, our general and administrative expense increased by approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, as a result of increases in our liability under our deferred compensation plan that were associated with the performance of the specific investments selected by our officers participating in the plan.

### **Interest Expense**

Interest expense increased approximately \$13.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013 as detailed below:

<u>Component</u>	<u>Change in interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to March 31, 2013 (in thousands)</u>
Increases to interest expense due to:	
Interest associated with the consolidation of the \$1.6 billion of debt outstanding for 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building)	\$ 12,811
Partner's share of the interest for the outstanding Outside Members' Notes Payable for 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building)	6,940
Issuance of \$700 million in aggregate principal of our 3.800% senior notes due 2024 on June 27, 2013	6,692
Issuance of \$500 million in aggregate principal of our 3.125% senior notes due 2023 on April 11, 2013	3,971
Total increases to interest expense	<u>\$ 30,414</u>
Decreases to interest expense due to:	
Interest expense associated with the adjustment for the equity component allocation of our unsecured exchangeable debt	\$ (4,719)
Repurchases/redemption/exchange of \$450.0 million in aggregate principal of our 3.75% exchangeable senior notes due 2036	(4,219)
Repayment of \$747.5 million in aggregate principal of our 3.625% exchangeable senior notes due 2014	(3,854)
Increase in capitalized interest	(3,290)
Repayment of mortgage financings	(774)
Other interest expense (excluding senior notes)	(437)
Total decreases to interest expense	<u>\$ (17,293)</u>
Total change in interest expense	<u>\$ 13,121</u>

The following properties are included in the repayment of mortgage financings line item: Kingstowne One and 140 Kendrick Street. As properties are placed in-service, we cease capitalizing interest and interest is then expensed.

Interest expense directly related to the development of rental properties is not included in our operating results. These costs are capitalized and included in real estate assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheets and



## [Table of Contents](#)

amortized over the useful lives of the real estate. Interest capitalized for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 was approximately \$17.7 million and \$14.4 million, respectively. These costs are not included in the interest expense referenced above.

We anticipate net interest expense for 2014 will be approximately \$446 million to \$450 million. This estimate assumes approximately \$52 million to \$56 million of capitalized interest and the repayment of a \$63.0 million mortgage secured by New Dominion Tech. Park Building Two that matures in October 2014. These estimates also assume that we will not incur any additional indebtedness, make additional prepayments or repurchases of existing indebtedness and that there will not be any fluctuations in interest rates or any changes in our development activity.

At March 31, 2014, our variable rate debt consisted of our \$1.0 billion Unsecured Line of Credit. For a summary of our consolidated debt as of March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013 refer to the heading “*Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capitalization—Debt Financing*” within “*Item 2—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.*”

### **Discontinued Operations**

On April 10, 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2014-08, “Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity” (“ASU No. 2014-08”). ASU No. 2014-08 clarifies that discontinued operations presentation applies only to disposals representing a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity’s operations and financial results (e.g., a disposal of a major geographical area, a major line of business, a major equity method investment or other major parts of an entity). ASU No. 2014-08 is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is permitted, and we early adopted ASU No. 2014-08 during the first quarter of 2014. Our adoption of ASU No. 2014-08 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Prior to the adoption of ASU No. 2014-08, we had the following properties that were considered discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013: 10 & 20 Burlington Mall Road, One Preserve Parkway, 1301 New York Avenue, 303 Almaden Boulevard and Montvale Center. Each of these dispositions is discussed below.

On December 20, 2013, we completed the sale of our 10 & 20 Burlington Mall Road property located in Burlington, Massachusetts for a sale price of approximately \$30.0 million. 10 & 20 Burlington Mall Road consists of two Class A office properties aggregating approximately 152,000 net rentable square feet. The operating results of the property through the date of sale have been classified as discontinued operations on a historical basis for all periods presented.

On December 20, 2013, we completed the sale of our One Preserve Parkway property located in Rockville, Maryland for a sale price of approximately \$61.3 million. One Preserve Parkway is a Class A office property totaling approximately 184,000 net rentable square feet. The operating results of the property through the date of sale have been classified as discontinued operations on a historical basis for all periods presented.

On August 22, 2013, we completed the sale of our 1301 New York Avenue property located in Washington, DC for a net contract sale price of approximately \$121.7 million. After adjusting for outstanding lease and other transaction costs assumed by the buyer, the gross sale price was approximately \$135.0 million. 1301 New York Avenue is a Class A office property totaling approximately 201,000 net rentable square feet. The operating results of the property through the date of sale have been classified as discontinued operations on a historical basis for all periods presented.

On June 28, 2013, we completed the sale of our 303 Almaden Boulevard property located in San Jose, California for a sale price of \$40.0 million. Net cash proceeds totaled approximately \$39.3 million. 303 Almaden

## [Table of Contents](#)

Boulevard is a Class A office property totaling approximately 158,000 net rentable square feet. Because we entered into the related purchase and sale agreement on March 28, 2013 and the carrying value of the property exceeded its net sale price, we recognized an impairment loss totaling approximately \$2.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013. As a result, there was no loss on sale of real estate recognized. The impairment loss and operating results of this property have been classified as discontinued operations on a historical basis for all periods presented.

On February 20, 2013, the foreclosure sale of our Montvale Center property was ratified by the court. As a result of the ratification, the mortgage loan totaling \$25.0 million was extinguished and the related obligations were satisfied with the transfer of the real estate resulting in the recognition of a gain on forgiveness of debt totaling approximately \$20.7 million during the first quarter of 2013. The operating results of the property through the date of ratification have been classified as discontinued operations on a historical basis for all periods presented.

### ***Noncontrolling interests in property partnerships***

Noncontrolling interests in property partnerships increased by approximately \$1.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013 as detailed below.

<b>Property</b>	<b>Date of Consolidation</b>	<b>Partners' noncontrolling interest for the three months ended March 31,</b>		
		<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>Change</b>
			(in thousands)	
505 9th Street	October 1, 2007	\$ 575	\$ 566	\$ 9
Fountain Square	October 4, 2012	1,768	2,008	(240)
767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building)	May 31, 2013	(4,644)	—	(4,644)
Times Square Tower	October 9, 2013	6,655	—	6,655
		<u>\$ 4,354</u>	<u>\$ 2,574</u>	<u>\$ 1,780</u>

The decrease at 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building) was primarily due to the partners' share of the interest expense for the outside members' notes payable.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

### ***General***

Our principal liquidity needs for the next twelve months and beyond are to:

- fund normal recurring expenses;
- meet debt service and principal repayment obligations, including balloon payments on maturing debt;
- fund capital expenditures, including major renovations, tenant improvements and leasing costs;
- fund development costs;
- redeem our Series Four Preferred Units;
- fund possible property acquisitions; and
- make the minimum distribution required to enable Boston Properties, Inc. to maintain its REIT qualification under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

We expect to satisfy these needs using one or more of the following:

- cash flow from operations;
- distribution of cash flows from joint ventures;
- cash and cash equivalent balances;

## Table of Contents

- Issuances of Boston Properties, Inc.'s equity securities and/or proceeds from issuances of our preferred or common units;
- our Unsecured Line of Credit or other short-term bridge facilities;
- construction loans;
- long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness (including unsecured exchangeable indebtedness); and
- sales of real estate or ownership interests in our assets.

We draw on multiple financing sources to fund our long-term capital needs. Our Unsecured Line of Credit is utilized primarily as a bridge facility to fund acquisition opportunities, refinance outstanding indebtedness and meet short-term development and working capital needs. Although we may seek to fund our development projects with construction loans, which may be guaranteed by us, the financing for each particular project ultimately depends on several factors, including, among others, the project's size and duration, the extent of pre-leasing and our available cash and access to cost effective capital at the given time.

The following table presents information on properties under construction as of March 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

<u>Construction Properties</u>	<u>Estimated Stabilization Date</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u># of Buildings</u>	<u>Estimated Square Feet</u>	<u>Investment to Date (1)</u>	<u>Estimated Total Investment (1)</u>	<u>Percentage Leased (2)</u>
<b>Office</b>							
680 Folsom Street (3)	Fourth Quarter, 2014	San Francisco, CA	2	524,509	\$ 305,313	\$ 340,000	96%
Annapolis Junction Building Seven (50% ownership) (4)	First Quarter, 2015	Annapolis, MD	1	125,000	11,796	17,500	100%
250 West 55th Street (5)	Fourth Quarter, 2015	New York, NY	1	989,000	868,268	1,050,000	73%
804 Carnegie Center	First Quarter, 2016	Princeton, NJ	1	130,000	2,234	40,410	100%
535 Mission Street	Third Quarter, 2016	San Francisco, CA	1	307,000	135,348	215,000	26%
601 Massachusetts Avenue	Fourth Quarter, 2017	Washington, DC	1	478,000	171,232	360,760	80%
Salesforce Tower (95% Ownership) (6)	First Quarter, 2019	San Francisco, CA	1	1,400,000	264,788	1,130,000	51%
<b>Total Properties under Construction</b>			<b>8</b>	<b>3,953,509</b>	<b>\$ 1,758,979</b>	<b>\$ 3,153,670</b>	<b>67%</b>

(1) Represents our share. Includes net revenue during lease up period, acquisition expenses and approximately \$60.9 million of construction cost and leasing commission accruals.

(2) Represents percentage leased as of May 5, 2014, includes leases with future commencement dates.

(3) As of March 31, 2014, this project was 1% placed in-service.

(4) This development project has a construction loan.

(5) Investment to Date excludes approximately \$24.8 million of costs that were expensed in prior periods in connection with the suspension of development activities. Estimated Total Investment includes approximately \$230 million of interest capitalization. As of March 31, 2014, this property was 6% placed in-service.

(6) On April 11, 2014, the joint venture executed a lease for 714,000 square feet of this 61-story, 1.4 million square foot Class A office tower. This project was (formerly Transbay Tower). The Estimated Total investment has been updated to represent the total cost to complete the project.

Contractual rental revenue, recoveries from tenants, other income from operations, available cash balances and draws on our Unsecured Line of Credit are our principal sources of capital used to pay operating expenses, debt service, recurring capital expenditures and the minimum distribution required to enable Boston Properties, Inc. to maintain its REIT qualification. We seek to maximize income from our existing properties by maintaining quality standards for our properties that promote high occupancy rates and permit increases in rental rates while reducing tenant turnover and controlling operating expenses. Our sources of revenue also include third-party fees

## [Table of Contents](#)

generated by our property management, leasing, and development and construction businesses, as well as the sale of assets from time to time. We believe our revenue, together with our cash balances and proceeds from financing activities, will continue to provide the necessary funds for our short-term liquidity needs.

Material adverse changes in one or more sources of capital may adversely affect our net cash flows. Such changes, in turn, could adversely affect our ability to fund distributions, debt service payments and tenant improvements. In addition, a material adverse change in the cash provided by our operations may affect our ability to comply with the financial covenants under our Unsecured Line of Credit and unsecured senior notes.

The completion of our ongoing developments, through 2018, have remaining costs to fund of approximately \$1.4 billion. We have approximately \$77 million of secured debt (of which our share is approximately \$70 million) expiring through the end of 2014. In addition, we anticipate that we will redeem the remaining 360,126 Series Four Preferred Units at a redemption price of \$50.00 per unit plus accrued and unpaid distributions. We believe that our strong liquidity, including available cash as of May 5, 2014 of approximately \$0.9 billion, the approximately \$990 million available under our Unsecured Line of Credit and proceeds from potential asset sales provide sufficient capacity to meet our debt obligations and fund our remaining capital requirements on existing development projects, our foreseeable potential development activity and pursue additional attractive investment opportunities. Boston Properties, Inc. expects to establish a new at-the-market equity offering program upon the expiration of its existing program in June 2014. Given the relatively low interest rates currently available to us in the debt markets, we may seek to enhance our liquidity in the future, which may result in us carrying additional cash and cash equivalents pending our use of the proceeds. In order to reduce future cash interest payments, as well as future amounts due at maturity or upon redemption, we may, from time to time, purchase unsecured senior notes for cash in open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions, or both. We will evaluate any such potential transactions in light of then-existing market conditions, taking into account the trading prices of the notes, our current liquidity and prospects for future access to capital.

### **REIT Tax Distribution Considerations**

#### *Distributions*

Boston Properties, Inc., as a REIT, is subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90% of its annual taxable income. Boston Properties, Inc.'s policy is to distribute at least 100% of its taxable income to avoid paying federal tax. On December 2, 2013, Boston Properties, Inc., as our general partner, announced that its Board of Directors declared a special cash distribution of \$2.25 per unit payable on January 29, 2014 to common and LTIP unitholders of record as of the close of business on December 31, 2013. The decision to declare a special distribution was primarily a result of the sale of a 45% interest in our Times Square Tower property in October 2013. Boston Properties, Inc.'s Board of Directors did not make any change in its policy with respect to regular quarterly distributions. Boston Properties, Inc.'s Board of Directors will continue to evaluate our distribution rate in light of actual and projected taxable income, liquidity requirements and other circumstances, and there can be no assurance that the future distributions declared by Boston Properties, Inc.'s Board of Directors will not differ materially.

#### *Sales*

To the extent that we sell assets at a taxable gain and cannot efficiently use the proceeds in a tax deferred manner for either our development activities or attractive acquisitions, Boston Properties, Inc., our general partner, would, at the appropriate time, decide whether it is better to declare a special distribution, adopt a stock repurchase program, reduce our indebtedness or retain the cash for future investment opportunities. Such a decision will depend on many factors including, among others, the timing, availability and terms of development and acquisition opportunities, our then-current and anticipated leverage, the cost and availability of capital from other sources, the price of Boston Properties, Inc.'s common stock and REIT distribution requirements. At a minimum, we expect that we would distribute at least that amount of proceeds necessary for Boston Properties, Inc. to avoid paying corporate level tax on the applicable gains realized from any asset sales.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### **Cash Flow Summary**

The following summary discussion of our cash flows is based on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and is not meant to be an all-inclusive discussion of the changes in our cash flows for the periods presented below.

Cash and cash equivalents were approximately \$1.2 billion and \$0.9 billion at March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, representing an increase of approximately \$0.3 billion. The following table sets forth changes in cash flows:

	Three months ended March 31,		Increase (Decrease)
	2014	2013 (in thousands)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 124,209	\$ 237,429	\$ (113,220)
Net cash used in investing activities	(147,072)	(432,991)	285,919
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,162,701)	62,960	(1,225,661)

Our principal source of cash flow is related to the operation of our office properties. The average term of our in-place tenant leases, including our unconsolidated joint ventures, is approximately 6.6 years with occupancy rates historically in the range of 91% to 94%. Our properties generate a relatively consistent stream of cash flow that provides us with resources to pay operating expenses, debt service and fund quarterly distribution payment requirements. In addition, over the past several years, we have raised capital through the sale of some of our properties, secured and unsecured borrowings and equity offerings by Boston Properties, Inc.

For the three months ended March 31, 2014, our total distribution payments exceeded our cash flow from operating activities due to the special distribution which was declared in December 2013 and paid to common unitholders in January 2014. The cash flows distributed were primarily a result of the sale of a 45% interest in our Times Square Tower property in October 2013 which was included as part of cash flows provided by financing activities. Distributions will generally exceed cash flows from operating activities during periods in which we sell significant real estate assets and distribute gains on sale that would otherwise be taxable. In addition, the payment of a special distribution may not occur in the same period as the asset sale.

Our cash flows provided by operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2014, were lower than 2013 due primarily to the settlement of approximately \$93.0 million of accreted debt discount on our repurchase of \$747.5 million of exchangeable senior notes.

Cash is used in investing activities to fund acquisitions, development, net investments in unconsolidated joint ventures and recurring and nonrecurring capital expenditures. We selectively invest in new projects that enable us to take advantage of our development, leasing, financing and property management skills and invest in existing buildings to enhance or maintain their market position. Cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 consisted primarily of funding our development projects and the acquisitions of 535 Mission Street and the Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower) and Reston, Virginia land parcels, as detailed below:

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Acquisitions of real estate	\$ —	\$ (289,816)
Construction in progress	(97,025)	(103,178)
Building and other capital improvements	(17,510)	(14,162)
Tenant improvements	(31,551)	(24,988)
Repayments of notes receivable, net	—	184
Capital contributions to unconsolidated joint ventures	—	(113)
Capital distributions from unconsolidated joint ventures	113	—
Investments in securities, net	(1,099)	(918)
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (147,072)	\$ (432,991)

## [Table of Contents](#)

Cash used in investing activities changed primarily due to the following:

- On February 6, 2013, we completed the acquisition of 535 Mission Street, a development site, in San Francisco, California for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$71.0 million in cash, including work completed and materials purchased to date.
- On March 26, 2013, the consolidated joint venture in which we have a 95% interest completed the acquisition of a land parcel in San Francisco, California which will support a 61-story, 1.4 million square foot Class A office tower known as Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower). The purchase price for the land was approximately \$192.0 million.
- On March 29, 2013, we completed the acquisition of a parcel of land located in Reston, Virginia for a purchase price of approximately \$27.0 million. The land parcel is commercially zoned for 250,000 square feet of office space.
- Construction in progress for the three months ended March 31, 2013 includes expenditures associated with our continued development and redevelopment of Seventeen Cambridge Center, The Avant at Reston Town Center, the Cambridge Center Connector, 250 West 55th Street, 680 Folsom Street, 535 Mission Street and expenditures associated with Two Patriots Park, which was fully placed in-service on March 22, 2013. Construction in progress for the three months ended March 31, 2014 includes ongoing expenditures associated with The Avant at Reston Town Center, 250 West 55th Street and 680 Folsom Street which were fully or partially placed in-service during the three months ended March 31, 2014. In addition, we incurred costs associated with our continued development of 535 Mission Street, 601 Massachusetts Avenue, 804 Carnegie Center and Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower).
- Our capital expenditures for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were approximately \$12.6 million and \$7.8 million, respectively. Included in our 2014 amount is approximately \$2.9 million of non-recurring capital expenditures related to the repositioning of Bay Colony Corporate Center in Waltham, Massachusetts and expenditures at our Boston CBD buildings.
- Tenant improvement costs increased by approximately \$6.6 million due to the commencement of tenant projects in 2014.

Cash used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 totaled approximately \$1.2 billion. This consisted primarily of the repayment at maturity of \$747.5 million of 3.625% exchangeable senior notes due 2014 and the payments of the regular and special distributions to our unitholders. Future debt payments are discussed below under the heading “Capitalization-Debt Financing.”

### **Capitalization**

At March 31, 2014, our total consolidated debt was approximately \$10.6 billion. The GAAP weighted-average annual interest rate on our consolidated indebtedness was 4.46% (with a coupon/stated rate of 5.01%) and the weighted-average maturity was approximately 5.5 years.

Consolidated debt to total consolidated market capitalization ratio, defined as total consolidated debt as a percentage of the value of our outstanding equity securities plus our total consolidated debt, is a measure of leverage commonly used by analysts in the REIT sector. Our total consolidated market capitalization was approximately \$30.4 billion at March 31, 2014. Our total consolidated market capitalization was calculated using Boston Properties, Inc.’s March 31, 2014 closing stock price of \$114.53 per common share and the following: (1) 168,601,164 outstanding common units of limited partnership interest (including 153,017,311 units held by Boston Properties, Inc.), (2) an aggregate of 874,168 common units issuable upon conversion of all outstanding Series Two Preferred Units of partnership interest, (3) an aggregate of 1,554,353 common units issuable upon conversion of all outstanding LTIP Units, assuming all conditions have been met for the conversion of the LTIP Units, (4) 360,126 Series Four Preferred Units of partnership interest multiplied by the fixed liquidation preference of \$50 per unit, (5) 80,000 Series B Preferred Units, at a price of \$2,500 per unit and (6) our

## [Table of Contents](#)

consolidated debt totaling approximately \$10.6 billion. At March 31, 2014, our total consolidated debt, which excludes debt collateralized by our unconsolidated joint ventures, represented approximately 34.81% of our total consolidated market capitalization.

Following the consolidation of 767 Venture, LLC (the entity that owns 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building)), effective June 1, 2013, our consolidated debt increased significantly compared to prior periods even though our economic interest in 767 Venture, LLC remained substantially unchanged. As a result, we believe the presentation of total adjusted debt may provide investors with a more complete picture of our share of consolidated and unconsolidated debt. Total adjusted debt is defined as our total consolidated debt, plus our share of unconsolidated joint venture debt, minus our joint venture partners' share of consolidated debt, and was approximately \$10.0 billion at March 31, 2014. In addition, in light of the difference between our total consolidated debt and our total adjusted debt, we believe that also presenting our total adjusted debt to total adjusted market capitalization ratio may provide investors with a more complete picture of our leverage in relation to the overall size of our company. The calculation of the total adjusted debt to total adjusted market capitalization ratio is the same as consolidated debt to total consolidated market capitalization ratio except that the total adjusted debt balance is used in lieu of the total consolidated debt balance. At March 31, 2014 our total adjusted debt represented approximately 33.61% of our total adjusted market capitalization.

The calculation of total consolidated and adjusted market capitalization does not include 394,590 2012 OPP Units, 314,974 2013 MYLTIP Units and 483,555 2014 MYLTIP Units because, unlike other LTIP Units, they are not earned until certain return thresholds are achieved. These percentages will fluctuate with changes in the market value of Boston Properties Inc.'s common stock and does not necessarily reflect our capacity to incur additional debt to finance our activities or our ability to manage our existing debt obligations. However, for a company like ours, whose assets are primarily income-producing real estate, the consolidated debt to total consolidated market capitalization ratio and the adjusted debt to total adjusted market capitalization ratio may provide investors with an alternate indication of leverage, so long as it is evaluated along with other financial ratios and the various components of our outstanding indebtedness.

For a discussion of our unconsolidated joint venture indebtedness, see "*Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capitalization—Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements—Joint Venture Indebtedness*" within "*Item 2—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.*"

## [Table of Contents](#)

### *Debt Financing*

As of March 31, 2014, we had approximately \$10.6 billion of outstanding consolidated indebtedness, representing approximately 34.81% of our total consolidated market capitalization as calculated above consisting of approximately (1) \$5.836 billion (net of discount) in publicly traded unsecured senior notes having a weighted-average interest rate of 4.44% per annum and maturities in 2015, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2023 and 2024; (2) \$4.4 billion of property-specific mortgage debt having a GAAP weighted-average interest rate of 4.31% per annum and weighted-average term of 3.9 years and (3) \$0.3 billion of mezzanine notes payable, associated with 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building), having a GAAP interest rate of 5.53% per annum and maturing in 2017. The table below summarizes our mortgage and mezzanine notes payable, our unsecured senior notes and our Unsecured Line of Credit at March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013:

	<u>March 31,</u>	
	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
	<u>(Dollars in Thousands)</u>	
<b>Debt Summary:</b>		
<b>Balance</b>		
Fixed rate mortgage notes payable	\$ 4,430,110	\$3,053,798
Unsecured senior notes, net of discount	5,836,290	4,639,843
Unsecured exchangeable senior notes, net of discount and adjustment for the equity component allocation	—	1,177,877
Unsecured Line of Credit	—	—
Mezzanine notes payable	310,735	—
Total	<u>\$10,577,135</u>	<u>\$8,871,518</u>
<b>Percent of total debt:</b>		
Fixed rate	100.00%	100.00%
Variable rate	— %	— %
Total	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>
<b>GAAP Weighted-average interest rate at end of period:</b>		
Fixed rate	4.46%	5.12%
Variable rate	— %	— %
Total	<u>4.46%</u>	<u>5.12%</u>
<b>Coupon/Stated Weighted-average interest rate at end of period:</b>		
Fixed rate	5.01%	4.87%
Variable rate	— %	— %
Total	<u>5.01%</u>	<u>4.87%</u>

### *Unsecured Line of Credit*

On July 26, 2013, we amended and restated the revolving credit agreement governing our Unsecured Line of Credit, which, among other things, (1) increased the total commitment from \$750.0 million to \$1.0 billion, (2) extended the maturity date from June 24, 2014 to July 26, 2018 and (3) reduced the per annum variable interest rates and other fees. We may increase the total commitment to \$1.5 billion, subject to syndication of the increase and other conditions. At our option, loans outstanding under the Unsecured Line of Credit will bear interest at a rate per annum equal to (1) in the case of loans denominated in Dollars, Euro or Sterling, LIBOR or, in the case of loans denominated in Canadian Dollars, CDOR, in each case, plus a margin ranging from 0.925% to 1.70% based on our credit rating or (2) an alternate base rate equal to the greatest of (a) the Administrative Agent's prime rate, (b) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.5% or (c) LIBOR for a one month period plus 1.00%, in each case, plus a margin ranging from 0.0% to 0.70% based on our credit rating. The Unsecured Line of Credit also contains a competitive bid option that allows banks that are part of the lender consortium to bid to make loan



## [Table of Contents](#)

advances to us at a reduced interest rate. In addition, we are obligated to pay (1) in quarterly installments a facility fee on the total commitment at a rate per annum ranging from 0.125% to 0.35% based on our credit rating and (2) an annual fee on the undrawn amount of each letter of credit equal to the LIBOR margin. Based on our current credit rating, the LIBOR and CDOR margin is 1.00%, the alternate base rate margin is 0.0% and the facility fee is 0.15%. Our ability to borrow under our Unsecured Line of Credit is subject to our compliance with a number of customary financial and other covenants on an ongoing basis, including:

- a leverage ratio not to exceed 60%, however the leverage ratio may increase to no greater than 65% provided that it is reduced back to 60% within one year;
- a secured debt leverage ratio not to exceed 55%;
- a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.40;
- an unsecured leverage ratio not to exceed 60%, however the leverage ratio may increase to no greater than 65% provided that it is reduced back to 60% within one year;
- an unsecured debt interest coverage ratio of at least 1.75; and
- limitations on permitted investments.

We believe we are in compliance with the financial and other covenants listed above.

As of March 31, 2014, we had no borrowings and outstanding letters of credit totaling approximately \$9.9 million outstanding under the Unsecured Line of Credit, with the ability to borrow approximately \$990.1 million. As of May 5, 2014, we had no borrowings and outstanding letters of credit totaling approximately \$9.9 million outstanding under the Unsecured Line of Credit, with the ability to borrow approximately \$990.1 million.

### *Unsecured Senior Notes*

The following summarizes the unsecured senior notes outstanding as of March 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Coupon/ Stated Rate</u>	<u>Effective Rate(1)</u>	<u>Principal Amount</u>	<u>Maturity Date(2)</u>
12 Year Unsecured Senior Notes	5.625%	5.693%	\$ 300,000	April 15, 2015
12 Year Unsecured Senior Notes	5.000%	5.194%	250,000	June 1, 2015
10 Year Unsecured Senior Notes	5.875%	5.967%	700,000	October 15, 2019
10 Year Unsecured Senior Notes	5.625%	5.708%	700,000	November 15, 2020
10 Year Unsecured Senior Notes	4.125%	4.289%	850,000	May 15, 2021
7 Year Unsecured Senior Notes	3.700%	3.853%	850,000	November 15, 2018
11 Year Unsecured Senior Notes	3.850%	3.954%	1,000,000	February 1, 2023
10.5 Year Unsecured Senior Notes	3.125%	3.279%	500,000	September 1, 2023
10.5 Year Unsecured Senior Notes	3.800%	3.916%	700,000	February 1, 2024
Total principal			5,850,000	
Net unamortized discount			(13,710)	
Total			<u>\$5,836,290</u>	

(1) Yield on issuance date including the effects of discounts on the notes and the amortization of financing costs.

(2) No principal amounts are due prior to maturity.

Our unsecured senior notes are redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) 100% of their principal amount or (ii) the sum of the present value of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest discounted at a rate equal to the yield on U.S. Treasury securities with a

## Table of Contents

comparable maturity plus 35 basis points (or 20 basis points in the case of the \$500 million of notes that mature on September 1, 2023, 25 basis points in the case of the \$250 million and \$700 million of notes that mature on June 1, 2015 and February 1, 2024, respectively, 40 basis points in the case of the \$700 million of notes that mature on October 15, 2019 and 30 basis points in the case of the \$700 million and \$850 million of notes that mature on November 15, 2020 and May 15, 2021, respectively), in each case plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. The indenture under which our unsecured senior notes were issued contains restrictions on incurring debt and using our assets as security in other financing transactions and other customary financial and other covenants, including (1) a leverage ratio not to exceed 60%, (2) a secured debt leverage ratio not to exceed 50%, (3) an interest coverage ratio of greater than 1.50, and (4) unencumbered asset value to be no less than 150% of our unsecured debt. As of March 31, 2014, we believe we were in compliance with each of these financial restrictions and requirements.

### Mortgage Notes Payable

The following represents the outstanding principal balances due under the mortgage notes payable at March 31, 2014:

Properties	Stated Interest Rate	GAAP Interest Rate(1)	Stated Principal Amount	Historical Fair Value Adjustment	Carrying Amount	Maturity Date
(Dollars in thousands)						
767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building)	5.95%	2.44%	\$1,300,000	\$ 152,724	\$1,452,724(2)(3)(4)	October 7, 2017
599 Lexington Avenue	5.57%	5.41%	750,000	—	750,000(4)(5)	March 1, 2017
601 Lexington Avenue	4.75%	4.79%	719,473	—	719,473	April 10, 2022
John Hancock Tower	5.68%	5.05%	640,500	11,495	651,995(4)(6)	January 6, 2017
Embarcadero Center Four	6.10%	7.02%	358,800	—	358,800(7)	December 1, 2016
Fountain Square	5.71%	2.56%	211,250	13,752	225,002(4)(8)	October 11, 2016
505 9th Street	5.73%	5.87%	120,762	—	120,762(8)	November 1, 2017
New Dominion Tech Park, Bldg. Two	5.55%	5.58%	63,000	—	63,000(4)	October 1, 2014
New Dominion Tech Park, Bldg. One	7.69%	7.84%	42,147	—	42,147	January 15, 2021
Kingstowne Two and Retail	5.99%	5.61%	32,618	239	32,857	January 1, 2016
University Place	6.94%	6.99%	13,350	—	13,350	August 1, 2021
<b>Total</b>			<u>\$4,251,900</u>	<u>\$ 178,210</u>	<u>\$4,430,110</u>	

- (1) GAAP interest rate differs from the stated interest rate due to the inclusion of the amortization of financing charges, effects of hedging transactions and adjustments required to reflect loans at their fair values upon acquisition. All adjustments to reflect loans at their fair value upon acquisition are noted above.
- (2) This property is owned by a consolidated joint venture in which we have a 60% interest.
- (3) In connection with the assumption of the loan, we guaranteed the joint venture's obligation to fund various escrows, including tenant improvements, taxes and insurance in lieu of cash deposits. As of March 31, 2014, the maximum funding obligation under the guarantee was approximately \$11.7 million. We earn a fee from the joint venture for providing the guarantee and have an agreement with our partners to reimburse the joint venture for their share of any payments made under the guarantee.
- (4) The mortgage loan requires interest only payments with a balloon payment due at maturity.
- (5) On December 19, 2006, we terminated the forward-starting interest rate swap contracts related to this financing and received approximately \$10.9 million, which amount is reducing our GAAP interest expense for this mortgage over the term of the financing, resulting in an effective interest rate of 5.41% per annum for the financing. The stated interest rate is 5.57% per annum.

## Table of Contents

- (6) In connection with the mortgage financing we have agreed to guarantee approximately \$21.4 million related to our obligation to provide funds for certain tenant re-leasing costs.
- (7) Under our interest rate hedging program, we are reclassifying into earnings over the eight-year term of the loan as an increase in interest expense approximately \$26.4 million (approximately \$3.3 million per year) of the amounts recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets within Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss resulting in an effective interest rate of 7.02% per annum.
- (8) This property is owned by a consolidated joint venture in which we have a 50% interest.

### *Mezzanine Notes Payable*

The following represents the outstanding principal balances due under the mezzanine notes payable at March 31, 2014:

<u>Property Debt is Associated With</u>	<u>Stated Interest Rate</u>	<u>GAAP Interest Rate(1)</u>	<u>Stated Principal Amount</u>	<u>Historical Fair Value Adjustment</u>	<u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Maturity Date</u>
				(Dollars in thousands)		
767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building)	6.02%	5.53%	\$306,000	\$ 4,735	\$310,735(2)(3)	October 7, 2017

- (1) GAAP interest rate differs from the stated interest rate due to adjustments required to reflect loans at their fair values upon acquisition or consolidation. All adjustments to reflect loans at their fair value upon acquisition are noted above.
- (2) This property is owned by a consolidated joint venture in which we have a 60% interest.
- (3) The mortgage loan requires interest only payments with a balloon payment due at maturity.

### *Outside Members' Notes Payable*

In conjunction with the consolidation of 767 Fifth Avenue (the General Motors Building), we recorded loans payable to the joint venture's partners totaling \$450.0 million and related accrued interest payable totaling approximately \$175.8 million. The partner loans bear interest at a fixed rate of 11.0% per annum and mature on June 9, 2017. We have eliminated in consolidation our partner loan totaling \$270.0 million and our share of the related accrued interest payable of approximately \$116.0 million at March 31, 2014. The remaining notes payable to the outside joint venture partners and related accrued interest payable totaling \$180.0 million and approximately \$77.3 million as of March 31, 2014 have been reflected as Outside Members' Notes Payable and within Accrued Interest Payable, respectively, on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The related interest expense from the Outside Members' Notes Payable totaling approximately \$6.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 is fully allocated to the outside joint venture partners as an adjustment to Noncontrolling Interests in Property Partnerships in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### *Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements—Joint Venture Indebtedness*

We have investments in unconsolidated joint ventures with our effective ownership interests ranging from 25% to 60%. Six of these ventures have mortgage indebtedness. We exercise significant influence over, but do not control, these entities and therefore they are presently accounted for using the equity method of accounting. See also Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. At March 31, 2014, the aggregate carrying amount of debt, including both our and our partners' share, incurred by these ventures was approximately \$748.7 million (of which our proportionate share is approximately \$328.9 million). The table below summarizes the outstanding debt of these joint venture properties at March 31, 2014. In addition to other guarantees specifically noted in the table, we have agreed to customary environmental indemnifications and nonrecourse carve-outs (e.g., guarantees against fraud, misrepresentation and bankruptcy) on certain of the loans.

<u>Properties</u>	<u>Venture Ownership %</u>	<u>Stated Interest Rate</u>	<u>GAAP Interest Rate(1)</u>	<u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Maturity Date</u>
				(Dollars in thousands)	
540 Madison Avenue	60%	1.66%	1.83%	\$120,000(2)(3)	June 5, 2018
Metropolitan Square	51%	5.75%	5.81%	173,133	May 5, 2020
Market Square North	50%	4.85%	4.91%	129,191	October 1, 2020
Annapolis Junction Building One	50%	1.91%	2.07%	41,133(4)	March 31, 2018
Annapolis Junction Building Six	50%	1.81%	2.00%	13,982(2)(5)	November 17, 2014
Annapolis Junction Building Seven	50%	1.82%	2.38%	13,415(2)(6)	April 4, 2016
500 North Capitol Street	30%	4.15%	4.19%	105,000(2)	June 6, 2023
901 New York Avenue	25%	5.19%	5.27%	152,846	January 1, 2015
<b>Total</b>				<u>\$748,700</u>	

- (1) GAAP interest rate differs from the stated interest rate due to the inclusion of the amortization of financing charges.
- (2) The loan requires interest only payments with a balloon payment due at maturity.
- (3) Mortgage loan bears interest at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.50% per annum.
- (4) Mortgage loan bears interest at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.75% per annum and matures on March 31, 2018 with one, three-year extension option, subject to certain conditions.
- (5) The construction financing bears interest at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.65% per annum and matures on November 17, 2014 with one, one-year extension options, subject to certain conditions.
- (6) The construction financing bears interest at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.65% per annum and matures on April 4, 2016 with two, one-year extension options, subject to certain conditions.

### *State and Local Tax Matters*

Because Boston Properties, Inc. is organized and qualifies as a REIT, it is generally not subject to federal income taxes, but subject to certain state and local taxes. In the normal course of business, certain entities through which we own real estate either have undergone, or are currently undergoing, tax audits or other inquiries. Although we believe that we have substantial arguments in favor of our positions in the ongoing audits, in some instances there is no controlling precedent or interpretive guidance on the specific point at issue. Collectively, tax deficiency notices received to date from the jurisdictions conducting the ongoing audits have not been material. However, there can be no assurance that future audits will not occur with increased frequency or that the ultimate result of such audits will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

### *Insurance*

We carry insurance coverage on our properties of types and in amounts and with deductibles that we believe are in line with coverage customarily obtained by owners of similar properties. In response to the uncertainty in the insurance market following the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, the Federal Terrorism Risk Insurance

## [Table of Contents](#)

Act (as amended, "TRIA") was enacted in November 2002 to require regulated insurers to make available coverage for "certified" acts of terrorism (as defined by the statute). The expiration date of TRIA was extended to December 31, 2014 by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 ("TRIPRA") and we can provide no assurance that it will be extended further. Currently, the per occurrence limits of our portfolio property insurance program are \$1.0 billion, including coverage for acts of terrorism other than nuclear, biological, chemical or radiological terrorism ("Terrorism Coverage"). We also carry \$250 million of Terrorism Coverage for 601 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York ("601 Lexington Avenue") in excess of the \$1.0 billion of Terrorism Coverage in our property insurance program. Certain properties, including the General Motors Building located at 767 Fifth Avenue in New York, New York ("767 Fifth Avenue"), are currently insured in separate insurance programs. The property insurance program per occurrence limits for 767 Fifth Avenue are \$1.625 billion, including Terrorism Coverage, with \$1.375 billion of Terrorism Coverage in excess of \$250 million being provided by NYXP, LLC, ("NYXP") as a direct insurer. We also currently carry nuclear, biological, chemical and radiological terrorism insurance coverage for acts of terrorism certified under TRIA ("NBCR Coverage"), which is provided by IXP, as a direct insurer, for the properties in our portfolio, including 767 Fifth Avenue, but excluding certain other properties owned in joint ventures with third parties or which we manage. The per occurrence limit for NBCR Coverage is \$1 billion. Under TRIA, after the payment of the required deductible and coinsurance, the NBCR Coverage provided by IXP and the Terrorism Coverage provided by NYXP are backstopped by the Federal Government if the aggregate industry insured losses resulting from a certified act of terrorism exceed a "program trigger." The program trigger is \$100 million and the coinsurance is 15%. Under TRIPRA, if the Federal Government pays out for a loss under TRIA, it is mandatory that the Federal Government recoup the full amount of the loss from insurers offering TRIA coverage after the payment of the loss pursuant to a formula in TRIPRA. We may elect to terminate the NBCR Coverage if the Federal Government seeks recoupment for losses paid under TRIA, if there is a change in our portfolio or for any other reason. In the event TRIPRA is not extended beyond December 31, 2014, (i) our \$1.0 billion portfolio property insurance program and the \$250 million of additional Terrorism Coverage for 601 Lexington Avenue will continue to provide Terrorism Coverage through the expiration of the program on March 1, 2015, (ii) we will evaluate alternative approaches to secure coverage for acts of terrorism thereby potentially increasing our overall cost of insurance, (iii) if such insurance is not available at commercially reasonable rates with limits equal to our current coverage or at all, we may not continue to have full occurrence limit coverage for acts of terrorism, (iv) we may not satisfy the insurance requirements under existing or future debt financings secured by individual properties, (v) we may not be able to obtain future debt financings secured by individual properties and (vi) we may cancel the insurance policies issued by IXP for the NBCR Coverage and by NYXP for the Terrorism Coverage for 767 Fifth Avenue. We intend to continue to monitor the scope, nature and cost of available terrorism insurance and maintain terrorism insurance in amounts and on terms that are commercially reasonable.

We also currently carry earthquake insurance on our properties located in areas known to be subject to earthquakes in an amount and subject to self-insurance that we believe are commercially reasonable. In addition, this insurance is subject to a deductible in the amount of 5% of the value of the affected property. Specifically, we currently carry earthquake insurance which covers our San Francisco region (excluding 535 Mission Street and Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower)) with a \$120 million per occurrence limit and a \$120 million annual aggregate limit, \$20 million of which is provided by IXP, as a direct insurer. The builders risk policy maintained for the development of 535 Mission Street in San Francisco includes a \$15 million per occurrence and annual aggregate limit of earthquake coverage. In addition, the builders risk policy maintained for the development of the below grade improvements of the Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower) in San Francisco includes a \$15 million per occurrence and annual aggregate limit of earthquake coverage. We are evaluating purchasing additional earthquake insurance limits upon the commencement of the vertical construction of Salesforce Tower (formerly Transbay Tower). The amount of our earthquake insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover losses from earthquakes. In addition, the amount of earthquake coverage could impact our ability to finance properties subject to earthquake risk. We may discontinue earthquake insurance or change the structure of our earthquake insurance program on some or all of our properties in the future if the premiums exceed our estimation of the value of the coverage.

## [Table of Contents](#)

IXP, a captive insurance company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary, acts as a direct insurer with respect to a portion of our earthquake insurance coverage for our Greater San Francisco properties and our NBCR Coverage. NYXP, a captive insurance company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary, acts as a direct insurer with respect to a portion of our Terrorism Coverage for 767 Fifth Avenue. Currently, NYXP only insures losses which exceed the program trigger under TRIA and NYXP reinsures with a third-party insurance company any coinsurance payable under TRIA. Insofar as we own IXP and NYXP, we are responsible for their liquidity and capital resources, and the accounts of IXP and NYXP are part of our consolidated financial statements. In particular, if a loss occurs which is covered by our NBCR Coverage but is less than the applicable program trigger under TRIA, IXP would be responsible for the full amount of the loss without any backstop by the Federal Government. IXP and NYXP would also be responsible for any recoupment charges by the Federal Government in the event losses are paid out and their insurance policies are maintained after the payout by the Federal Government. If we experience a loss and IXP or NYXP are required to pay under their insurance policies, we would ultimately record the loss to the extent of the required payment. Therefore, insurance coverage provided by IXP and NYXP should not be considered as the equivalent of third-party insurance, but rather as a modified form of self-insurance. In addition, we have issued a guarantee to cover liabilities of IXP in the amount of \$20.0 million.

The mortgages on our properties typically contain requirements concerning the financial ratings of the insurers who provide policies covering the property. We provide the lenders on a regular basis with the identity of the insurance companies in our insurance programs. The ratings of some of our insurers are below the rating requirements in some of our loan agreements and the lenders for these loans could attempt to claim an event of default has occurred under the loan. We believe we could obtain insurance with insurers which satisfy the rating requirements. Additionally, in the future our ability to obtain debt financing secured by individual properties, or the terms of such financing, may be adversely affected if lenders generally insist on ratings for insurers or amounts of insurance which are difficult to obtain or which result in a commercially unreasonable premium. There can be no assurance that a deficiency in the financial ratings of one or more of our insurers will not have a material adverse effect on us.

We continue to monitor the state of the insurance market in general, and the scope and costs of coverage for acts of terrorism and California earthquake risk in particular, but we cannot anticipate what coverage will be available on commercially reasonable terms in future policy years. There are other types of losses, such as from wars, for which we cannot obtain insurance at all or at a reasonable cost. With respect to such losses and losses from acts of terrorism, earthquakes or other catastrophic events, if we experience a loss that is uninsured or that exceeds policy limits, we could lose the capital invested in the damaged properties, as well as the anticipated future revenues from those properties. Depending on the specific circumstances of each affected property, it is possible that we could be liable for mortgage indebtedness or other obligations related to the property. Any such loss could materially and adversely affect our business and financial condition and results of operations.

### *Funds from Operations*

Pursuant to the revised definition of Funds from Operations adopted by the Board of Governors of NAREIT, we calculate Funds from Operations, or "FFO," by adjusting net income (loss) attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership (computed in accordance with GAAP, including non-recurring items) for gains (or losses) from sales of properties, impairment losses on depreciable real estate of consolidated real estate, impairment losses on investments in unconsolidated joint ventures driven by a measurable decrease in the fair value of depreciable real estate held by the unconsolidated joint ventures, real estate related depreciation and amortization, and after adjustment for unconsolidated partnerships, joint ventures and preferred distributions. FFO is a non-GAAP financial measure. The use of FFO, combined with the required primary GAAP presentations, has been fundamentally beneficial in improving the understanding of operating results of REITs among the investing public and making comparisons of REIT operating results more meaningful. Management generally considers FFO to be a useful measure for reviewing our comparative operating and financial performance because, by excluding gains and losses related to sales of previously depreciated operating real

## [Table of Contents](#)

estate assets, impairment losses on depreciable real estate of consolidated real estate, impairment losses on investments in unconsolidated joint ventures driven by a measurable decrease in the fair value of depreciable real estate held by the unconsolidated joint ventures and excluding real estate asset depreciation and amortization (which can vary among owners of identical assets in similar condition based on historical cost accounting and useful life estimates), FFO can help one compare the operating performance of a company's real estate between periods or as compared to different companies. Our computation of FFO may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs or real estate companies that do not define the term in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or that interpret the current NAREIT definition differently.

FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indication of our performance. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP and is not a measure of liquidity or an indicator of our ability to make cash distributions. We believe that to further understand our performance, FFO should be compared with our reported net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership and considered in addition to cash flows in accordance with GAAP, as presented in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table presents a reconciliation of net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership to FFO for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Net income attributable to Boston Properties Limited Partnership	\$ 62,219	\$ 60,923
Add:		
Noncontrolling interest—redeemable preferred units	3,208	1,326
Noncontrolling interests in property partnerships	4,354	2,574
Impairment loss from discontinued operations	—	2,852
Less:		
Income from discontinued operations	—	2,494
Gain on forgiveness of debt from discontinued operations	—	20,736
Income from continuing operations	69,781	44,445
Add:		
Real estate depreciation and amortization (1)	156,489	140,511
Income from discontinued operations	—	2,494
Less:		
Noncontrolling interests in property partnerships' share of funds from operations	19,023	3,038
Noncontrolling interest—redeemable preferred units	3,208	1,326
Funds from Operations	<u>\$ 204,039</u>	<u>\$ 183,086</u>
Weighted-average units outstanding—basic	169,841	168,750

- (1) Real estate depreciation and amortization consists of depreciation and amortization from the Consolidated Statements of Operations of \$152,245 and \$117,409, our share of unconsolidated joint venture real estate depreciation and amortization of \$4,584 and \$21,657 and depreciation and amortization from discontinued operations of \$0 and \$1,738, less corporate related depreciation and amortization of \$340 and \$293 for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

## Table of Contents

### Reconciliation to Diluted Funds from Operations:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		Three Months Ended March 31, 2013	
	Income (Numerator)	Units (Denominator)	Income (Numerator)	Units (Denominator)
Basic FFO	\$ 204,039	169,841	\$ 183,086	168,750
Effect of Dilutive Securities				
Convertible Preferred Units	530	874	879	1,307
Stock Based Compensation and Exchangeable Senior Notes	—	139	—	306
Diluted FFO	<u>\$ 204,569</u>	<u>170,854</u>	<u>\$ 183,965</u>	<u>170,363</u>

### Contractual Obligations

We have various standing or renewable service contracts with vendors related to our property management. In addition, we have certain other contracts we enter into in the ordinary course of business that may extend beyond one year. These contracts include terms that provide for cancellation with insignificant or no cancellation penalties. Contract terms are generally between three and five years.

During the first quarter of 2014, we paid approximately \$48.1 million to fund tenant-related obligations, including tenant improvements and leasing commissions, and incurred approximately \$48.3 million of new tenant-related obligations associated with approximately 1.4 million square feet of second generation leases, or approximately \$34 per square foot. In addition, we signed leases for approximately 160,000 square feet at our development properties. The tenant-related obligations for the development properties are included within the projects' "Estimated Total Investment" referred to in "Item 2—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources." In the aggregate, during the first quarter of 2014, we signed leases for approximately 1.6 million square feet of space and incurred aggregate tenant-related obligations of approximately \$65.3 million, or approximately \$42 per square foot.

### ITEM 3—Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

As of March 31, 2014, approximately \$10.6 billion of our consolidated borrowings bore interest at fixed rates and none of our consolidated borrowings bore interest at variable rates. The fair value of these instruments is affected by changes in market interest rates. The table below does not include our unconsolidated joint venture debt. For a discussion concerning our unconsolidated joint venture debt, refer to Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and "Item 2—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Capitalization—Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements—Joint Venture Indebtedness."

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019+	Total	Estimated Fair Value
	(dollars in thousands)							
	<b>Mortgage debt</b>							
Fixed Rate	\$ 120,627	\$ 80,070	\$ 659,511	\$ 2,855,942	\$ 18,633	\$ 695,327	\$ 4,430,110	\$ 4,577,435
Average Interest Rate	5.63%	5.48%	5.29%	3.90%	3.89%	4.59%	4.31%	
Variable Rate	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	<b>Mezzanine debt</b>							
Fixed Rate	\$ 939	\$ 1,314	\$ 1,389	\$ 307,093	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 310,735	\$ 310,764
Average Interest Rate	—	—	—	5.53%	—	—	5.53%	
Variable Rate	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	<b>Unsecured debt</b>							
Fixed Rate	\$ (1,372)	\$ 548,314	\$ (1,681)	\$ (1,749)	\$ 848,226	\$ 4,444,552	\$ 5,836,290	\$ 6,145,060
Average Interest Rate	—	5.47%	—	—	3.85%	4.53%	4.52%	
Variable Rate	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total Debt	<u>\$ 120,194</u>	<u>\$ 629,698</u>	<u>\$ 659,219</u>	<u>\$ 3,161,286</u>	<u>\$ 866,859</u>	<u>\$ 5,139,879</u>	<u>\$ 10,577,135</u>	<u>\$ 11,033,259</u>



## [Table of Contents](#)

At March 31, 2014, the weighted-average coupon/stated rates on our fixed rate debt was 5.01% per annum. The weighted-average coupon/stated rates for our unsecured debt was 4.44% per annum.

At March 31, 2014, we had no outstanding variable rate debt.

The fair value amounts were determined solely by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our financial instruments. Due to the uncertainty of specific actions we may undertake to minimize possible effects of market interest rate increases, this analysis assumes no changes in our financial structure.

### **ITEM 4—Controls and Procedures.**

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As of the end of the period covered by this report, the management of Boston Properties, Inc., with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer), evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Boston Properties, Inc. concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

(b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) occurred during the first quarter of our fiscal year ending December 31, 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1—Legal Proceedings.**

We are subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. These matters are generally covered by insurance. Boston Properties, Inc. believes that the final outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

**ITEM 1A—Risk Factors.**

Except to the extent updated below or previously updated or to the extent additional factual information disclosed elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q relates to such risk factors (including, without limitation, the matters discussed in Part I, “*Item 2—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*”), there were no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in Part I, “*Item 1A. Risk Factors*” of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

**ITEM 2—Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**

- (a) Each time Boston Properties, Inc. issues shares of stock (other than in exchange for common units when such common units are presented for redemption), it contributes the proceeds of such issuance to us in return for an equivalent number of partnership units with rights and preferences analogous to the shares issued. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, in connection with issuances of common stock by Boston Properties, Inc. pursuant to issuances to employees of restricted common stock under the Boston Properties, Inc. 2012 Stock Option and Incentive Plan and pursuant to issuances under the Boston Properties, Inc. 1999 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan, we issued an aggregate of approximately 25,017 common units to Boston Properties, Inc. in exchange for approximately \$320,568, the aggregate proceeds of such common stock issuances to Boston Properties, Inc. Such units were issued in reliance on an exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.
- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

<u>Period</u>	<u>(a) Total Number of Units Purchased</u>	<u>(b) Average Price Paid per Unit</u>	<u>(c) Total Number of Units Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs</u>	<u>(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Units that May Yet be Purchased</u>
January 1, 2014—January 31, 2014	405,396(1)	\$ 2.56	N/A	N/A
February 1, 2014—February 28, 2014	7,896(2)	\$ 0.25	N/A	N/A
March 1, 2014—March 31, 2014	1,322(3)	\$ 0.17	N/A	N/A
	414,614	\$ 2.51	N/A	N/A

- (1) Includes 396,500 2011 OPP units. The measurement period for such 2011 OPP units expired on January 31, 2014 and Boston Properties, Inc.’s TRS was not sufficient for employees to earn and therefore become eligible to vest in any of the 2011 OPP units. As a result, under the terms of the applicable 2011 OPP award agreements, these OPP units were repurchased at a price of \$0.25 per 2011 OPP unit, which was the amount originally paid by each employee for the units. Also includes 8,896 common units previously held by Boston Properties, Inc. that were redeemed in connection with the surrender of shares of restricted common stock of Boston Properties, Inc. by employees to Boston Properties, Inc. to satisfy such employees’ tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted common stock.
- (2) Includes 3,081 LTIP units, 1,560 2012 OPP units, 1,351 2013 MYLTIP units and 1,904 2014 MYLTIP units that were repurchased in connection with the termination of certain employees’ employment with the

## [Table of Contents](#)

Company. Under the terms of the applicable LTIP unit vesting agreements and OPP and MYLTIP award agreements, these units were repurchased by the Company at a price of \$0.25 per unit, which was the amount originally paid by such employees for the units.

- (3) Includes 883 LTIP units that were repurchased in connection with the termination of an employee's employment with the Company. Under the terms of the applicable LTIP unit vesting agreement, these units were repurchased by the Company at a price of \$0.25 per unit, which was the amount originally paid by such employee for the units. Also includes 439 common units previously held by Boston Properties, Inc. that were redeemed in connection with the repurchase of restricted shares of common stock of Boston Properties, Inc. in connection with the termination of an employee's employment with the Company. Under the terms of the applicable restricted stock award agreement, such shares were repurchased by us at a price of \$0.01 per share, which was the amount originally paid by such employee for such shares.

### **ITEM 3—Defaults Upon Senior Securities.**

None.

### **ITEM 4— Mine Safety Disclosures.**

None.

### **ITEM 5—Other Information.**

(a) None.

(b) None.

---

[Table of Contents](#)

**ITEM 6—Exhibits.**

(a) Exhibits

- |      |   |   |
|------|---|---|
| 12.1 | — | Calculation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Calculation of Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Distributions.   |
| 31.1 | — | Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Boston Properties, Inc. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.  |
| 31.2 | — | Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Boston Properties, Inc. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.  |
| 32.1 | — | Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Boston Properties, Inc. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.  |
| 32.2 | — | Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Boston Properties, Inc. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.  |
| 101  | — | The following materials from Boston Properties Limited Partnership Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Partners' Capital, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) related notes to these financial statements. |

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

By: Boston Properties, Inc., its General Partner

May 9, 2014

/s/ MICHAEL E. LABELLE

---

**Michael E. LaBelle**  
Chief Financial Officer  
(duly authorized officer and principal financial officer)

**BOSTON PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**  
**CALCULATION OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES**  
**CALCULATION OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO COMBINED FIXED CHARGES AND**  
**PREFERRED DISTRIBUTIONS**

Boston Properties Limited Partnership's ratios of earnings to fixed charges and ratios of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred distributions for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and the five years ended December 31, 2013 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
(Dollars in thousands)						
Earnings:						
Add:						
Income from continuing operations before income from unconsolidated joint ventures and gains on consolidation of joint ventures	\$ 66,965	\$254,536	\$244,561	\$225,277	\$148,921	\$254,946
Gains on sales of real estate	—	—	—	—	2,734	11,760
Amortization of interest capitalized	1,445	5,522	5,278	4,188	2,660	2,498
Distributions from unconsolidated joint ventures	1,431	17,600	20,565	22,451	10,733	6,676
Fixed charges (see below)	132,925	522,070	465,586	446,633	419,881	372,465
Subtract:						
Interest capitalized	(17,709)	(68,152)	(44,278)	(48,178)	(40,981)	(48,816)
Noncontrolling interest in income of a subsidiary that has not incurred fixed charges	(6,655)	(5,818)	—	—	—	—
<b>Total earnings</b>	<b>\$178,402</b>	<b>\$725,758</b>	<b>\$691,712</b>	<b>\$650,371</b>	<b>\$543,948</b>	<b>\$599,529</b>
Fixed charges:						
Interest expensed	\$113,554	\$447,240	\$413,564	\$394,131	\$378,079	\$322,833
Interest capitalized	17,709	68,152	44,278	48,178	40,981	48,816
Portion of rental expense representative of the interest factor	1,662	6,678	7,744	4,324	821	816
<b>Total fixed charges</b>	<b>\$132,925</b>	<b>\$522,070</b>	<b>\$465,586</b>	<b>\$446,633</b>	<b>\$419,881</b>	<b>\$372,465</b>
Preferred distributions	3,208	14,103	3,497	3,339	3,343	3,594
<b>Total combined fixed charges and preferred distributions</b>	<b>\$136,133</b>	<b>\$536,173</b>	<b>\$469,083</b>	<b>\$449,972</b>	<b>\$423,224</b>	<b>\$376,059</b>
<b>Ratio of earnings to fixed charges</b>	<b>1.34</b>	<b>1.39</b>	<b>1.49</b>	<b>1.46</b>	<b>1.30</b>	<b>1.61</b>
<b>Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred distributions</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>1.47</b>	<b>1.45</b>	<b>1.29</b>	<b>1.59</b>

## CERTIFICATION

I, Owen D. Thomas, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Boston Properties Limited Partnership;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 9, 2014

/s/ OWEN D. THOMAS

---

Owen D. Thomas  
Chief Executive Officer of Boston Properties, Inc.  
General Partner of Boston Properties Limited Partnership

## CERTIFICATION

I, Michael E. LaBelle, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Boston Properties Limited Partnership;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 9, 2014

/s/ MICHAEL E. LABELLE

---

Michael E. LaBelle  
Chief Financial Officer of Boston Properties, Inc.  
General Partner of Boston Properties Limited Partnership



**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

The undersigned officer of Boston Properties, Inc., the sole general partner of Boston Properties Limited Partnership (the "Company"), hereby certifies to his knowledge that the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014 (the "Report"), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. This certification shall not be deemed "filed" for any purpose, nor shall it be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

Date: May 9, 2014

/s/ OWEN D. THOMAS

---

**Owen D. Thomas**  
**Chief Executive Officer of Boston Properties, Inc.**  
**General Partner of Boston Properties Limited Partnership**

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

The undersigned officer of Boston Properties, Inc., the sole general partner of Boston Properties Limited Partnership (the "Company"), hereby certifies to his knowledge that the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014 (the "Report"), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. This certification shall not be deemed "filed" for any purpose, nor shall it be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

Date: May 9, 2014

/s/ MICHAEL E. LABELLE

---

**Michael E. LaBelle**  
**Chief Financial Officer of Boston Properties, Inc.**  
**General Partner of Boston Properties Limited Partnership**